1	Wednesday, 12 April 2017	1	interest. These discussions will undoubtedly inform the
2	(10.00 am)	2	inquiry as a well and should also identify areas for
3	(Proceedings delayed)	3	further work.
4	(10.10 am)	4	So I thank you all for your participation and
5	Opening remarks by THE CHAIR	5	everyone's presence today, and I will now hand over to
6	THE CHAIR: Good morning, everyone. My name is Alexis Jay,	6	Matthew Hill.
7	and I am the chair of the Independent Inquiry into Child	7	Opening remarks by THE FACILITATOR
8	Sexual Abuse. Could I introduce the other panel members	8	MR HILL: Thank you very much, Professor Jay. As
9	here: Ivor Frank, Professor Sir Malcolm Evans and	9	Professor Jay has said, I'm a barrister and I'm
10	Drusilla Sharpling.	10	instructed by the inquiry. My role today is to
11	I apologise for the delayed start this morning, but	11	facilitate the seminar discussion and not to
12	we were waiting for some of the key participants to	12	cross-examine anybody and not to make any submissions.
13	arrive.	13	The idea is to have a friendly discussion about the
14	I am pleased to welcome you all to the first in our	14	issues involved.
15	series of research seminars. I would also like to	15	The chair and the panel are going to wish to hear
16	welcome everyone in the public gallery as well, so thank	16	from our contributors and not from me.
17	you for coming.	17	We have got a lot of ground to cover today, and some
18	In my December 2016 review, I set out a detailed	18	very broad topics, so I thought it helpful to set out
19	programme of work for the inquiry. It included the	19	briefly the approach that we are going to take.
20	inquiry's seminar programme for 2017. We think this	20	This morning, we will have a presentation from
21	will make an important contribution to our knowledge and	21	Professor Radford and Professor Nicky Stanley from the
22	understanding of child sexual abuse. Today's seminar is	22	University of Central Lancashire, and they will address
23	research-based and is focused on learning from best	23	three broad areas in the morning. They are, first, the
24	practice overseas.	24	methodology adopted during the research project; second,
25	Professor Radford and her colleagues from the	25	what can be learned from other jurisdictions about
	Page 1		Page 3
	1 100 1		1 11/20
1	University of Central Lancashire will be presenting	1	primary prevention of child sexual abuse and child
2	findings from a rapid evidence assessment they have	2	sexual exploitation; third, what can be learned from
3	conducted for the inquiry. Professor Radford will not	3	other jurisdictions about the identification, disclosure
4	be presenting findings on support services for victims	4	and reporting of child sexual abuse and child sexual
5	and survivors today. Given the importance of that	5	exploitation, and the response to such reports.
6	topic, it is critical to ensure there is adequate time	6	The focus of the presentation, and indeed the
7	to address it. As a result, support services will be	7	seminar, is on what we can learn from abroad and how
8	covered in a further seminar in July that will	8	that can be translated to England and Wales.
9	specifically address the impact of child sexual abuse.	9	Following the presentation, there is going to be an
10	The panel and I would like to thank everyone who has	10	opportunity for the invited participants to ask
11	agreed to take part in the seminar today. It is being	11	questions of the presenters on points of clarification
12	live streamed over the internet with a short delay.	12	about their research. After that, we will turn to
13	Core participants to the inquiry's investigations	13	a wider discussion of some of the issues that have been
14	and members of the public who are unable to attend in	14	raised, and there our invited participants will take the
15	person will therefore be able to follow the proceedings.	15	lead. I am going to ask them to introduce themselves
16	The panel and I are looking forward to open, lively and	16	now, going around the table, starting with you, please,
17	respectful discussion. It is important to state at the	17	Linda.
18	outset that the purpose of this seminar is not to gather	18	Introductions
19	evidence in the formal sense. This is a forum for	19	MS DOMINGUEZ: Linda Dominguez, director of One in Four,
20	important issues to be discussed, facilitated by	20	specialist trauma counselling service. That is one hat.
21	Matthew Hill, who is one of the inquiry's counsel team.	21	My other hat is head of safeguarding for St John
22	We have participants who will bring to the table	22	Ambulance.
23	a wide range of experience and knowledge about best	23	MR ASHCROFT: Good morning. I am David Ashcroft. I am
24	practice from overseas. The panel and I will be	24	national chair of the Association of Independent LSCB
25	listening to what you all have to say with keen	25	Chairs. I chair the board in Norfolk and have done so
ı	Page 2		Page 4
	1 agc 2		1 age 4

1	also in Manchester and South Tyneside.	1	management of perpetrators, and that will be followed in
2	MS TAYLOR: I'm Sheila Taylor. I'm the CEO of the NWG	2	the same way by the questions and the discussion.
3	network, which is a network of 13,000 plus professionals	3	As the chair has said, because of the sensitive
4	all working to tackle the issue of child sexual	4	matters we are dealing with in this inquiry, we have
5	exportation across Scotland, Northern Ireland, England	5	a five-minute delay on the public feed of our live
6	and Wales.	6	extreme broadcast. If any matter does come up that
7	MR BEARD: Good morning, I'm David Beard. I'm head of	7	I consider to be sensitive, I will pause and I will ask
8	corporate safeguarding for Barnardo's and I'm also	8	the chair to address it.
9	a member of the North Wales' Safeguarding Children's	9	I will say a little bit more later on about the
10	Board.	10	nature of our discussion, but for now I will hand over
11	MS MILLER: I'm Pam Miller and I'm a senior analyst in the	11	to Professor Radford for the first presentation. May
12	strategy policy and evidence directorate at the NSPCC.	12	I thank you in advance for all of the work that you and
13	DR BIRD: I'm Jon Bird, National Association for People	13	your team have put into this project.
14	Abused in Childhood, ten years on the board of trustees,	14	Presentation by PROFESSOR LORRAINE RADFORD
15	and the last six years in managing service delivery.	15	PROF RADFORD: Good morning. As was explained, I am here to
16	MS HARGREAVES: I'm Susie Hargreaves, I'm chief executive of	16	report findings from a rapid evidence assessment which
17	the Internet Watch Foundation, which is the UK hotline	17	was commissioned to inform the work of the inquiry.
18	for reporting and removing online child sexual abuse.	18	I was principal investigator on this project and the
19	MS EGGLESTON: Lee Eggleston, representing Rape Crisis	19	other team members, as has been said, are Nicky Stanley
20	England and Wales, which is a network of over 50	20	and Christine Barter, who will be presenting today, and
21	Rape Crisis centres in England and Wales.	21	Helen Richardson Foster, who is unable to attend. So
22	PROF BEECH: Anthony Beech, with the University of	22	I am going to begin with a very brief introduction,
23	Birmingham. I guess I have done research in this area	23	explaining the purpose of the research and the approach
24	for very, very many years.	24	that we took to collect and also review the materials
25	MS PRAKASH: I am Namita Prakash, representing	25	that we found. I am not going to go into a huge amount
	Page 5		Page 7
			-
1	Survivors Trust. It is a national umbrella organisation	1	of detail, because this is all explained in the research
2	representing about 145 member organisations, working	2	reports which members of the inquiry have.
3	with rape and sexual abuse and child sexual abuse.	3	Following a competitive tender exercise, the
4	MR HILL: Thank you all very much, and thank you for	4	University of Central Lancashire were commissioned to
5	attending here today and for taking the time to prepare	5	conduct a rapid evidence assessment to inform the work
6	for these seminars as well. We are extremely grateful	6	of the inquiry. We were asked to address two research
7	for that.	7	questions: what can be learnt from jurisdictions outside
8	I should say that we also invited representatives	8	of England and Wales about the role of institutions in
9	from the Home Office, the Ministry of Justice, the	9	preventing and responding to child sexual abuse and
10	Department of Health and Education and the Welsh	10	sexual exploitation; and, secondly, what does the
11	government to attend and join in this discussion by	11	research evidence show is best practice for institutions
12	outlining current legislative policy considerations in	12	in preventing, identifying and responding to
13	England and Wales. They expressed a willingness to	13	child sexual abuse?
14	assist the inquiry in its work but declined our	14	A rapid evidence assessment is desk-based research.
15	invitation to contribute today, and we will consider	15	It is like a systematic review, in that systematic and
16	what assistance we might ask them to provide in the	16	transparent methods are used to identify relevant
17	future.	17	research materials and to quality assess them and also
18	The Office of the Children's Commissioner for	18	to synthesise them. Having said that, though, the scope
19	England have accepted an invitation, but we learnt this	19	of this review is fairly broad. Systematic reviews tend
20	morning they are now unable to attend.	20	to be narrow in their focus, whereas a rapid evidence
21	Following the discussion amongst our invited	21	assessment tends to take a broad focus but have some
22	participants, I will invite some observations from the	22	limits, and so we did have limitations on the scope on
23	public gallery on the issues arising from this morning's	23	the review, mostly in terms of the time period covered,
24	discussion. After lunch, we will have a further	24	from 2004 to 2016 for the research materials we
25	presentation from Dr Christine Barter on the control and	25	collected, and also in terms of the type of literature
	Page 6		Page 8
	- mpc v	1	- "8" V

we collected.

So we included research of peer reviewed literature and we did include also "grey literature". Grey literature is literature which is published in sources other than peer-reviewed academic journals, and what is different perhaps about this evidence assessment is that we were asked to include research that was promising. Because of the nature of the questions that were addressed, it was thought that it might be the case that some of the research literature might not come up to the high standards for quality assessment, and so, because this is a rapidly developing area, particularly in relation to online abuse, some of the newer material we didn't want to exclude.

The details are well explained in the research reports, but at the end of this exercise, using these agreed methodologies, we also conducted a weight-of-evidence assessment considering questions like how well does this research answer our research questions; what sort of quality is it; and also, was it gathered ethically?

This slide basically gives you in diagrammatic form the results from our search and our quality review process. You can see that we identified a very large number of potential records from research that may be different jurisdictions, just to give a background, so that we could put some of the research findings in context.

So in December 2016, the inquiry chair announced four themes for the inquiry's work, and the inquiry is to make recommendations for changes in organisations that address, firstly, the cultural issues, so considering the attitudes, behaviours and values in institutions that prevent us from stopping child sexual abuse; secondly, the structural factors, so the framework of legislation policy and the organisational frameworks in other jurisdictions within and between institutions; the financial considerations and the costs; and the professional and political issues, so issues such as the leadership in organisations, their professional standards, practice issues for people who are working and volunteering in those institutions.

So to try to maximise the conceptual clarity of our presentation and the research findings for members of the inquiry, we are going to align the presentation towards the four inquiry themes. In addition to that, to further manage the breadth of the material that we uncovered in the evidence assessment, we broke the material down into four themes on the basis of the type of response that organisations could take to address

Page 9

relevant and we went through the process of assessment for relevance using our agreed exclusion and inclusion criteria and then also our quality assessment exercise, looking specifically at quality assessments that were relevant to the type of study that we were looking at.

We reviewed 483 full text articles -- I'm sorry, there is a typo on that slide there about exclusion, please ignore that.

We ended up with 88 high-quality research studies which were included in the review as being good, high-standard research on effectiveness from other jurisdictions, but in addition to that, where the research literature was thin, we were asked to do additional searches and to look for research that could be identified as promising. So that was research where there is some evidence, but it doesn't yet meet the standards for high-quality research, following the agreed criteria for research assessment.

So, in addition, we identified and included in the discussion in the research report 90 additional studies of promising research literature, and these are discussed and clearly identified and distinguished from the work that was assessed as good evidence.

We also read a lot of publications and reports that described the context of policy and implementation in

Page 11

sexual abuse and sexual exploitation. These four themes were: primary prevention, so efforts that organisations can take to prevent child sexual abuse and sexual exploitation happening in the first place; what organisations can do to improve identification, disclosure, reporting and the immediate child protection responses; what organisations can do in terms of contributing to the control and management of perpetrators, ensuring particularly that they are not able to reoffend; and the fourth area that we considered in the review, as was explained, will be discussed in more detail in the July seminar. So we will not be considering that today.

I am just going to flag up a couple of general messages from the research before I hand over to my colleague, Nicky Stanley, to discuss in detail the first area of findings. A general message from the research was that, although there are a lot of examples of good practice from other jurisdictions, we shouldn't assume that there is any other jurisdiction where they have got everything right. Unfortunately, that's not the case. Most have struggled with similar issues regards the institutional responses towards child sexual abuse and sexual exploitation. We do know a lot more about what doesn't work. We know about what is effective. And

Page 10

that is general for sexual abuse and child protection in general, unfortunately.

q

If you look at the inquiries and the reports of the evidence to the inquiries on child abuse in countries such as Australia, the USA, Canada, Ireland and Germany, for example, they all have documented examples very similar to the UK on poor information sharing, poorly coordinated working together, systemic and organisational failures to act that have had harmful consequences for children and young people, sometimes lasting into their adult lives.

What we do know is that adequately resourced, comprehensive and coordinated multi-sector approaches are likely to be more effective. We also know that child sexual abuse and exploitation are very varied issues. They vary a lot, in terms of the nature of the abuse experienced, the type of offenders who are involved, the relationship which they have with the victim, the context in which the abuse occurs, the location in which the abuse occurs. A lot of the situational risk factors will vary, and the harmful consequences will vary.

So effective responses are likely to be those that are able to address the diversity of the problem. So we are not going to find a quick and easy fix from other researchers' failure to look at the impact of different approaches, and I think we are guilty of that in child protection work. We assume that what we do is going to be helpful.

Our ability to compare across different jurisdictions from the research literature is sorely limited by the fact that researchers have looked at evaluating single interventions. So, rather than what practitioners want to know at the local level, which is, what is the bundle of actions and interactions that we need with our agencies and our organisations in order to make a difference working with communities, we have less of that multi-sector, community-focused, impact-focused research than we have on evaluations of single interventions, like a particular therapy.

Our ability to say whether or not we are doing it better in England compared with Sweden or Australia is sorely limited by the fact that we lack data on prevalence and incidence and, unless we start to monitor that, we are going to find it very difficult to show whether or not our policy has impact at the local level or the national level or even at the global level.

We don't know enough about the drivers of demand for child sexual abuse and sexual exploitation, so what are the underlying factors that create the demand to

Page 13

jurisdictions, but we need a more sophisticated approach to our thinking and our organisational responses; to take into consideration the range of different experiences that we will be addressing; the age and developmentally appropriate ways of dealing with the problem for victims and offenders, and not assuming that there is a rigid distinction between the two, particularly when we are talking about young people's experiences; and we need to have an approach which is able to respond to children's underlying vulnerabilities, in the context in which they live their lives.

Before we move on to present the detailed findings, it is important to also issue some caveats about the limitations of the research. In common with a lot of researchers who have looked at what works in child protection in general, we know there are some limitations in the literature and some known knowledge gaps and, unfortunately, that is the case for sexual abuse and sexual exploitation, although that has been the area which actually has grabbed policy and also research imagination for a longer period of time than

There are many gaps in basically what works. We found that the literature was severely limited by

Page 15

sexually abuse and sexually exploit children and young people. The converse to that is, because we don't know enough about the drivers, we also don't know enough about what are protective factors for children.

Although peer-on-peer abuse is known to be a common of the control of the contro

Although peer-on-peer abuse is known to be a common experience, we know very little still about effective interventions for sexual abuse and sexual exploitation by peers on peers, and there are also notable gaps in the research literature on compensation schemes for victims, on barriers to disclosure, particularly for younger children and for boys, and on financial aspects and the cost effectiveness of different programmes.

I am now going to hand over to my colleague, Nicky Stanley, who is going to present the review findings on primary prevention.

Presentation by PROFESSOR NICKY STANLEY
PROF STANLEY: Hello. I am going to be using the four key
themes from the inquiry, the themes of structural,
cultural, financial and professional and political
interventions, to report our findings here.

Most of the information we have found on preventive interventions comes from high-income countries, such as Australia, Finland, Norway, Sweden and the USA. There are some exceptions to this. For example, we found an example of a robustly evaluated media campaign that

Page 14

Page 16

some other areas.

addresses both child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation alongside sexual health and AIDS prevention in a number of African countries, but otherwise most of the information does come from high-income countries.

2.1

There are three main types of preventative responses. Firstly, those that aim to tackle demand and reduce the motivations of perpetrators, as well as changing wider social attitudes and addressing the norms and drivers of abuse.

Secondly, those responses that focus on children and aim to reduce their risks and vulnerabilities as victims by increasing their capacity to identify abuse, to resist it and to disclose and seek help.

Thirdly, and this is the approach most widely used, those interventions that address situational factors, such as the context and environmental accessibility of the child to the perpetrator.

So if we focus on the cultural level first, we know that findings from robust evaluations of North American programmes, such as the Canadian programme, for example, "Who do you tell?", and from systematic reviews, show that programmes delivered in schools can improve children's awareness, increase the likelihood of them disclosing abuse, and that the changes achieved in knowledge are sustained.

a programme called "Parents Matter" that originated in the States.

We need more robust evaluations of programmes, but also more research that identifies protective factors, because understanding those protective factors can inform the development of preventative initiatives.

Moving on to -- whoops, I think I have missed one. Let's go back. Yes.

So we know that schools programmes -- sorry, I have done this. No, I think that's right. We move to the structural level now.

We know that legislation can provide an environment that discourages and sanctions child sexual abuse. Legislation is important because it can impact on social norms as well as being a product of social norms. It can support prevention programmes and initiatives and there is evidence that well-resourced national plans can stimulate preventive initiatives.

The regulation of sexual offending is actually very strong in England and Wales by comparison with other jurisdictions, and we are at the forefront of primary prevention and early identification efforts online.

In Sweden and Norway, we found that laws prohibiting the purchase of sexual services have resulted in a reduction in street prostitution. Now, this may

Page 17

What we also learn from this research is that there is no evidence that taking part in such a programme increases children's fears about sexual abuse.

We discovered that public education and social marketing campaigns that aim to prevent abuse are commonly used in EU member states. These campaigns can achieve great reach, and they use a language and media that children and young people themselves use and where they can have some ownership. They can also be designed to target particular audiences, for example, boys, but they are not terribly well evaluated as yet, and probably, at the moment, what we would be saying is, they are most useful when used to support other interventions.

What is sometimes described as "edutainment" appears promising. Edutainment techniques involve using TV dramas or soap story lines to convey preventative messages. We have a very good example of that in the UK at the moment with the Home Office's recent "This is Abuse" campaign, which used characters from the TV soap "Hollyoaks".

There have been promising results from evaluations of interventions for parents and caregivers that aim to improve parent/child communication about sex-related issues and sexual risk reduction. An example of this is

Page 18

Page 19

impact on child sexual exploitation, but we don't have
 robust evidence of this link.

Image takedown, site blocking, online safety resources have shown success in a context of international collaboration, and organisations such as CEOP, the Online Protection Centre, the National Crime

7 Agency via Global Alliance and WePROTECT have all been involved in these international collaborations

involved in these international collaborations.

Staying with the structural level, we need more research on the comparative effectiveness of different child protection agencies or arrangements. At the moment, the research on the organisation and governance of child protection systems tends to be descriptive. We don't know a lot about their comparative effectiveness. So we don't know if arrangements such as local child safeguarding boards are more or less effective than

safeguarding boards are more or less effective than other systems. This is a research gap.

The UK's disclosure and barring service, with its
three levels of checks and systems, has been described
as the "most developed regulatory system" in Europe, and

21 that was a 2016 report for Missing Children Europe,

22 ECPAT and UNESCO. However, vetting and barring policies

are limited to those already convicted of offences, and
 we know that the majority of offenders will be

25 unconvicted.

Page 20

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Tackling factors such as unmonitored contact with children, the lack of openness in institutions and the opportunities this offers for abuse in organisations could provide an immediately effective response. These sorts of approaches need to be considered in a wide range of organisations, including the full range of sports organisations, faith groups, social and leisure groups, residential homes and schools.

In terms of the financial level and primary prevention, we found little information on the effective use of resources, and I am afraid this is going to be a theme throughout our findings. More work is needed on cost effectiveness of responses and we need standardised data on the costs that are required to inform fiscal assessments which can underpin prevention policy.

What we can say is that preventative interventions need to be adequately resourced and backed up by accessible services for those who disclose subsequent to an intervention so that primary prevention links to early intervention.

At the professional and political level, we found good information showing that age and developmentally appropriate preschool and school-based education programmes on child sexual abuse are effective, but we also need to recognise that children are a very diverse through the child's career in the school.

Sticking with the professional and political level, there are promising findings regarding collaborative approaches with travel and tourism sectors in preventing child sexual exploitation via awareness education, codes of conduct and safety standards.

The evidence is currently limited, but what there is suggests good opportunities for extending the range of organisations and groups involved in safeguarding children and young people beyond statutory children's services.

An international review undertaken for UNICEF identified the UK, Canada, Australia and the Netherlands as examples of countries where national and local sports bodies provide leadership and guidance on ethical practice and child protection strategies and raise public awareness of abuse in sport. But we know there is more to do in this area.

I am going to describe a short case study which is aimed at assisting potential perpetrators prior to offending. Stop It Now! offers services in the USA, Australia, Canada, Ireland, the Netherlands and the UK, and it is a free and confidential helpline for adults who are concerned about their own behaviour towards children or who are concerned about a friend or family

Page 23

Page 21

population, the risks may be higher in some communities and groups than in others, and interventions need to be responsive to that. So, for example, disabled children are known to be a particularly vulnerable group.

Teachers need training at both the qualifying and post-qualifying levels in order to be able to engage in delivering these programmes, and the recent announcement of compulsory PSHE for all schools and academies makes this a really urgent issue.

A whole-school approach that involves CSA and CSE prevention and that's embedded into sex and relationships education in schools needs to spread beyond particular classes, right across the curriculum, and into staff/student behaviour. The school's management and parents need to be engaged. Such programmes need to be explained to them and they can be given roles and ownership in these programmes. Faith and community groups need to be on board and supportive. There is no point in schools trying to deliver these interventions and not telling anybody else what's going on because they're worried that somebody might object. Learning on child sexual abuse and child sexual

Page 22

and not just a one-off event. Educationalists talk

about this as a spiral of learning, one that spirals

exploitation needs to be reinforced over time in schools

member.

The motivations of callers who are concerned about their own behaviour are discussed and people are encouraged through agreed actions to develop a life in which their needs are met positively without children being sexually abused. This approach follows the good lines model of working with sex offenders. There has been evaluation in the UK, Ireland and the Netherlands which shows that some actual and potential child sex offenders are willing to make contact and there's been positive feedback from service users interviewed.

Similar approaches targeting those concerned about their abusive tendencies are reported in other countries, such as Sweden and Germany.

If I can just summarise the key messages on primary prevention. At the cultural level, education, social marketing and media approaches are all helpful, but approaches need to address demand as well as focusing on children's protective capacities, and need to involve a broader range of audiences.

At the structural level, tackling systemic factors such as privacy issues and opportunities for abuse in organisations is likely to be the most immediately effective response.

It is also really important that preventative

Page 24

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

1 interventions are underpinned by accessible services for 1 and involving those communities and parents in those 2 2 those who disclose. positive, proactive community responses would be 3 At the financial level, we need much more evidence 3 a helpful thing to do. 4 on the costs of prevention. 4 So looking at the structural factors in relation to 5 Finally, at the professional and political level, we 5 identification and reporting in particular, we know that 6 6 discover that professional guidance, leadership and legislation and the organisational policies can have an 7 7 training are all key effective prevention strategies. impact on how sexual abuse and sexual exploitation are 8 So we are going to turn back to Lorraine now. 8 identified and reported by organisations, and some have 9 Presentation by PROFESSOR LORRAINE RADFORD 9 argued for the introduction of mandatory reporting to 10 PROF RADFORD: I am going to now move on to look at the 10 overcome the institutional and professional reluctance 11 second theme of our review, which was looking at 11 to refer cases to child protection services and to the 12 improving identification, disclosure and reporting and 12 13 the immediate child protection response across the range 13 Unfortunately, the research messages on mandatory 14 of organisations that we considered. 14 reporting are still very mixed, so the research in 15 We know it is well known that identifying child 15 Australia looks specifically at the impact on reports of 16 sexual abuse and sexual exploitation is difficult. 16 child sexual abuse and the experts' opinion is still 17 17 very divided. It was found that it did lead to an There are many barriers to identification and also to 18 18 children's disclosure. increase in reporting of cases, but it also led to an 19 One of the key findings, though, from the review was 19 increase of unsubstantiated cases, and opinion is 20 that proactive approaches to identification that move 20 divided about what the impact is of having that dual 21 organisations beyond relying solely on children's 21 result. 22 22 disclosure and equip organisations to pick up on other So views are mixed as to whether it is helpful to 23 indicators and to work in a more relationship-building 23 have unsubstantiated cases reported because it may be 24 way with young people is likely to increase 24 helpful if it means that the child and the family gets 25 identification. It increases reporting rates, arrests, 25 access to support at an earlier stage. There is also Page 25 Page 27 1 prosecution and convictions, and there is some good 1 the view that it could be harmful, in that families and 2 research evidence to support that. 2 children are referred into a system where they don't get 3 Cultural barriers to reporting and identification 3 a helpful response, and that has a damaging impact on 4 can also be improved if communities can become involved 4 their willingness to talk again, and also on the 5 in child protection. From research in Australia, it's 5 relationships in their family, and that's an unhelpful 6 been found from programmes such as the Reset programme, 6 referral. So further work is needed, really, to for instance, that features of a successful community 7 7 consider some of those issues. 8 involvement included professionals having a positive 8 Unfortunately, as has been said, in relation to 9 outreach with communities in order to build 9 identification and reporting, we found little evidence 10 relationships, to build trust, to encourage engagement 10 on the financial aspects and the costs of different 11 and also to build capacity. 11 approaches, and further work is needed to develop this. 12 So to also take a holistic approach to community 12 The research from Australia and from other countries on 13 problems and not just focus solely on child sexual abuse 13 mandatory reporting shows that if this is going to be 14 and sexual exploitation in isolation from the other 14 introduced, then additional resources would be required. 15 issues and difficulties that a community might be 15 The costs will, of course, vary depending on the nature 16 facing. 16 of the particular approach that's taken, and this is 17 I would say that we need to build on work from 17 something that could be tested, maybe, at different 18 communities to include the range of different economic 18 community levels. 19 and social conditions within different communities, 19 We also know that providing resources for additional 20 where sexual abuse and sexual exploitation happens, but 20 training and producing resources for practitioners, like 2.1 also being mindful of the fact that sexual abuse and 21 tool kits and guidance on interviewing and assessment 22 sexual exploitation doesn't just happen in poor 22 methods, can be very helpful, but they are not going to 23 communities, but maybe addressing the issues in the 23 be effective if organisations lack the resources and the 24 range of communities that are likely to experience that 24 sufficient capacity to respond. 25 issue would be very helpful in moving on our thinking 25 We found a number of messages from this part of

Page 26

the research that are aligned with the inquiry's concerns about professional issues. The research supports the general conclusion that professionals need to have training so that they can identify and be aware of the barriers that children have in reporting sexual abuse and sexual exploitation, and also training that would equip them to also take a more proactive approach to identification that would move them beyond relying solely on disclosure.

If disclosed at all, disclosure of an abusive experience often doesn't happen until a considerable time after the experience, and sometimes telling might be limited towards other indicators, like problematical behaviour or self-harm.

So a proactive approach means equipping professionals in organisations so that it can build a safe and trusting relationship with a child so that they are able to notice other indicators of abuse, able to ask about the abuse sensitively and on more than one occasion.

From Australia and the USA, we know that producing specialist mobile teams and task forces that bring together expertise from law enforcement, from health and from child protection services to go into specific communities to work with them to develop expertise and

have an impact on improving investigation and assessment, so methods -- there is some promising research on methodologies like telemedicine, which improve identification, and assessment methods using video links directly with specialists to local teams working in sexual health clinics, for example, can help to improve access to those specialist skills for identification.

Also in healthcare, we know that in sexual health clinics proactive identification methods, for instance, inviting young people back for a second assessment, can improve the rates of identification, and also start to build relationships between healthcare professionals and young people who are experiencing sexual exploitation in particular.

A systematic review of screening in health services found that, at the moment, there is very poor evidence to support screening for child sexual abuse in health. This suggests that interventions that -- you know, introducing screening at the moment are probably premature and that we need to have a little bit more research on that area before we take that further.

Most of the identification literature in health focuses on cases where children are already showing signs and symptoms. Very few of those studies that look

Page 29

practice can have a positive impact.

Again, in relation to addressing the professional issues, organisations need to address the organisational barriers that exist. The research found that there are five organisational and systemic barriers to identification and reporting, and addressing these five particular factors would be a very helpful thing that organisations could be doing. So these five factors are: having rigid, hierarchical and closed organisations; not being open to scrutiny and having poor accountability; failing to implement child protection policies and procedures; having poor supervision within organisations; and, finally, failing to provide a safe space or a safe environment in which children are able to talk about experiences of abuse -children, and indeed the adults that work within organisations. So tackling some of those factors would be a helpful response.

We also know that responsibilities for safeguarding need to be recognised and implemented across the range of organisations working with children and young people, so moving beyond statutory services, to cover the voluntary sector and the range of leisure organisations, and so on, that work with children.

Page 30

Within healthcare, we know that new technologies can

Page 31

at early identification in health are looking specifically at sexual abuse and sexual exploitation, so that's an area that could be developed quite helpfully.

We found several research studies, and so I would say there is pretty good evidence that suggests that child-friendly interviewing guided by protocol and supported by trained professionals produce better evidence for assessment and also for court processes.

For our case study, we wanted -- the Children's House or Barnahus has relevance to the structural, the cultural and also the professional concerns of the inquiry. Although the research at the moment about the Barnahus and the Children's House is currently at the level of what you would call promising research, we think that this is an area that requires some further research interest.

The Barnahus models are one-stop models of providing a holistic service for children, so they bring together professionals working in the area of health, in law enforcement, in therapeutic services, child protection services, and in support and aftercare, to work in a holistic and coordinated way on a single site with children so that they provide care for the child and the child's family through the process from the immediate identification and reporting, through the court process,

3

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

15

1 to aftercare and support. A particularly interesting 2 feature of the Barnahus, which now exists in Iceland and 3 several European countries, in Scandinavia, and is 4 currently being piloted and rolled out in Africa and 5 Eastern Europe, is the approach to investigation for the 6 courts, where they bring together specialist forensic 7 interviews who have a direct link to and are observed by 8 the judge, the prosecution and the defence, who are 9 involved in the court processes, so that they limit the 10 number of interviews that the child has to undergo 11 through that process. 12 We know that the Barnahus model is currently being 13

piloted in England, and so it is hoped that research evidence will build on how that is going to work in an adversarial context like the United Kingdom.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moving on to look at structural changes and also some of the key messages from this part of our review, the key message regards cultural changes is that proactive approaches and community engagements can improve reporting, especially where cultural barriers exist, so working proactively with communities and drawing on some of the experience that's been developed on how we can do that where resistance might be great in communities would be a helpful area to explore.

In relation to the legislative and the structural Page 33

framework for organisations, we know that mandatory reporting can increase rates of reporting for sexual abuse, but evidence on the benefits remain mixed. The most important structural changes for organisations would be to address the five structural barriers that we identified earlier in this presentation.

Regards financial aspects, I'm sorry to say again that we need further work in that area. We need more evidence on the cost of different approaches and different methods of working and the level of resources that are needed.

For the professional and political messages, we know that training is required to ensure that all the great work that's being done on producing guidance, different assessment models and trying to promote positive practice working with sexually abused and sexually exploited children, that resources are required to support that so that professionals are able to overcome some of those barriers to reporting, but also to acting, and resources that enable them to act in the communities in which they are working are a very important part of improving the professional response.

That's the end of our presentation. Thank you. MR HILL: Many thanks to both Professor Radford and Professor Stanley for their presentation.

Page 34

I now invite questions from our invited participants 2

about any points of clarification on the research and

the presentation that you have just heard. I would ask

4 that you restrict yourself at this stage just to

5 questions on those points of clarification. There will

6 be an opportunity subsequently to raise wider points and

7 to take the debate forward. Could I ask anybody who

8 does have a question to indicate? Linda, yes, please,

9 thank you.

Points of clarification

MS DOMINGUEZ: The financial implications that you looked at in your research, what did those financial parts cover? Very broad financial -- was that drug and alcohol, was that the judicial system, was that people in care? What

15 were those financial implications?

> PROF RADFORD: Looking at any research which includes information on costs is very limited. It should cover

18 all of those things, it should cover the cost and

19 benefits, so looking at the broader social costs, as

20 well as the immediate costs of implementing a programme,

21 but the literature on any of that was very limited, I'm

22 afraid, and also not comparable. The area where it 23

seemed to be best is probably in relation to this

afternoon's presentation in relation to working with sex

offenders, where they have tested out different

Page 35

1 approaches to see whether or not it is cheaper to

electronically tag somebody or send them to prison. So

things like that, there is some costing.

4 But in terms of preventative or different approaches

to identification or training or working with

communities, very little on costs, I'm afraid.

7 MS DOMINGUEZ: Thank you. Can I just ask one more question

in relation to that? You have just mentioned training.

9 In the training that you looked at in your research,

10 were professionals actually provided with training on

11 identifying/dealing with sexual abuse as part of their

12 training? Because my understanding is, in the UK, most

13 professionals don't get very much training on that area

14 within their professional qualification.

PROF RADFORD: Coming from a social work department, I would

16 agree with you there, that a lot of social workers don't

17 get enough training on some of these things. But the

18 research studies that we looked at -- we were

19 specifically looking at what was the impact of providing

20 training and also resources. So some of the studies

21 that we looked at included training in the context of

22 having access to a specialist task force who provided 23 that ongoing support, so giving training -- we know that

24 practice won't change unless the training is reinforced,

25

and so having mentoring schemes and having access to

	11G5/1 Dest 1 faction	c Over	3043 Ochimiai 12 71pm 201
1	those specialist teams so they can develop their	1	research, do you draw any conclusions about the ways in
2	practice is what is needed. Those are the studies that	2	which particular public attention and concern has driven
3	we looked at that seemed to be more effective in terms	3	learning or prompted greater examination of what works
4	of the sustainability of knowledge and practice. But	4	and what doesn't work? It seems to me that is a very
5	how they measure that, of course, is, again, a sticky	5	important context for us here, but I would be interested
6	issue, because does that mean it is better for children?	6	to see whether you drew any conclusions from that from
7	A professional will say, "I think I will do things	7	your wider study?
8	better", but looking at it from the child's point of	8	PROF RADFORD: I can say that some of the similar concerns
9	view, which is obviously the most important thing, a lot	9	that we have had about, you know, child abuse concerns
10	of the studies don't include the outcome for the child.	10	in the public domain, particularly in the media, we have
11	I think increasingly that's an area that is coming into	11	found that that was quite similar, in terms of prompting
12	the research literature as being important, you know,	12	the policy responses and the organisational responses in
13	asking a professional's clients whether or not they	13	the jurisdictions we looked at. We included actually,
14	think the service that they got was good.	14	I forgot to say, 36 similar jurisdictions in the review,
15	MS DOMINGUEZ: I do have some other points of clarification,	15	but most of the literature tended to come from the USA
16	but I don't want to hog it. So I will leave it to	16	and from some European countries, Australia, Canada and
17	others.	17	New Zealand. So in a way, I suppose it is a little bit
18	MR BEARD: You spoke a lot about the whole-school approach	18	limited because we don't always have access to the
19	and the importance of that. I wondered if, within the	19	literature that's published in languages other than
20	research in the UK, we have quite a target-driven	20	English.
21	education system that's driven on results. I wonder if	21	I think, actually, the Swedish example is
22	the research in any way indicated where there was	22	interesting because of their efforts to tackle the
23	a balance between that, but also the importance of	23	demand side for sexual violence in general. So making
24	driving forward the PSC areas around relationship and	24	the connections between violence to adults and violence
25	sex education?	25	to children and young people and trying to tackle the
	Page 37		Page 39
1	PROF STANLEY: I think what everybody would really like in	1	demand side through their legislative changes is
2	the way of outcome research is research that shows that	2	interesting, because my impression and I can't say
3	delivering these preventative programmes in schools	3	that I know because the Swedish literature, you are
4	improves learning outcomes, improves attainment. That	4	relying on the summaries of the research in English, but
5	would be the golden egg, really.	5	the Swedish literature and the commentaries on the
6	As yet, there isn't any research of that sort, and	6	Swedish approach give the impression that it was linked
7	that's because, at the moment, these programmes tend to	7	in to other work that they were doing on a more global
8	be delivered in quite a sort of a patchy, inconsistent	8	basis in responding to sexual exploitation and sexual
9	way in schools. What we would really hope is that, with	9	abuse that was informing their children's rights
-		1 1	and the same of th

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 the shift to sexual relationship education becoming 11 a statutory part of the curriculum, that we would see 12 wider, more consistent delivery of these sorts of 13 programmes, which would make it more feasible to look 14 for those types of links. That would be fantastic 15 evidence if that was available, but it isn't, as yet. 16 MR ASHCROFT: I was interested in the question of your 17 review of the promising areas of research, acknowledging 18 the difficulties that we have all struggled with in this 19 area and how that relates to how we then implement 20 practice on the ground, which is, I think, where some of 21 the discussion may go later. 22 I am very conscious that in the UK we have been 23 responding to a number of high-profile cases, concerns,

context, if I put it in that broader sense. In looking

at other jurisdictions and looking at the promising

Page 38

approach in that particular context. So I actually do think that, actually, a children's rights approach to dealing with sexual abuse and sexual exploitation in the UK would be very helpful and we could draw on most messages because it gives an immediate framework for implementing responses. MR ASHCROFT: That was going to be my supplementary question, because it seems to me that -- you commented on the degree to which so much of this is single-actionor single-intervention-based research and yet we are dealing with a system and a context, and the fundamental approach which you take to that context, which I would argue should be a children's rights approach, I think is really important that we try to draw from practice in those other places. PROF RADFORD: I do think we need a particular caution,

Page 40

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

though, because looking at some of the global work on implementing children's rights, perspectives on child protection, which is another area where I have been doing some work, the literature looks at preventing child abuse and neglect, and the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation aspects tend to fall off the agenda in some of those debates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

1

2

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

So keeping a specific focus and remembering that sexual abuse and sexual exploitation are problems that might affect children and their families in different ways to things like neglect or physical abuse is very important, I think.

It is related to children's rights in general, but remembering to keep a specific focus on sexual abuse and sexual exploitation is important, I think, to stop that sort of merging of issues and trying to generalise responses which inevitably policy makers do because you want to simplify.

PROF BEECH: Just really following on from David's first

campaign, you know, they have big documents on stuff.

question, really, about -- a very interesting report, 21 obviously, and I thought something that you could have 22 gone into a bit more detail, and sometimes you 23 tantalisingly talk about some of the stuff from the 24 Council of Europe, basically, and the One in Five

primary prevention issues and on identification and reporting, where communities may be distrustful of child protection services and distrustful of the police and the court systems.

So there is some evidence on those areas of work in the research report, but mostly they are talking about specific projects and how they manage to engage with communities. So they are looking at how things can be done and models of working with communities. So in a way, I would say that they build on what a lot of people might already know about participatory methods of working, if they have worked in community engagement methods, but I think what's of interest is that looking at that specifically in relation to sexual abuse and sexual exploitation is very interesting because some of the barriers that we think might exist aren't there.

So, for instance, the work that looked at, you know, preventative work with parents of younger children found that involving parents in those primary prevention projects with pre-schoolchildren on sexual abuse was actually quite effective and that that could be done successfully so that children had better understanding and awareness of risks and dangers and self-protection and where they would go to for help, even for pre-schoolchildren and it could be delivered in an

Page 41

I didn't see much of that in the summary report and

I think they obviously do some really good stuff there.

3 I just wondered why that didn't make its way into your 4 5 PROF RADFORD: Some of that is in the full report, actually, 6 on the awareness work related to One in Five, but the summary report, we had to pare it down because so many 7 8 different studies were included. I think participation 9

in those global and international networks has been very beneficial in terms of improving and enabling cultural change at the national level and also at the level of specific organisations.

13 PROF BEECH: Well, yes, the Council of Europe is 47 14 countries. I look forward to reading it. 15

MS PRAKASH: I know you mentioned drivers of demand for abuse can affect this gap, but can you shed some light on, was there anything that you identified in terms of drivers of abuse within institutions or outside of it? Or is it a complete gap?

20 PROF RADFORD: The literature we found mostly came from 21

Canada, America, Australia and some research on --22 possibly from the Netherlands and Germany that were

23 looking at diversity issues, and they were mostly 24 projects that were looking at how you overcome the 25 barriers to working with communities and particularly on

Page 42

Page 43

1 effective way. So there are some promising messages 2 from the research, I would say, but it is an area that

3 certainly requires a lot more work.

4 MS EGGLESTON: I just wondered, obviously we have not seen

5 the whole report until this morning, but in terms of the clarification around the involvement and engagement 6

7 of non-state organisations in the report, in terms of 8 the research and how that informed your kind of 9 conclusions, if you could tell us a little bit more

about that?

11 PROF RADFORD: I think it is well known that a lot of 12 the pioneering work has been done in voluntary

13 organisations and in non-state organisations. So in the 14 report we categorised the organisational responses

15 according to the specific sectors. Sometimes it's very 16 difficult to put an organisation under a particular

17 heading, particularly if you are looking at the 18 voluntary sector, because in relation to sexual violence

19 prevention, they have always worked in perhaps 20 a multi-sector, cross-agency way. For work with

21 perpetrators, for instance, a lot of the work had been 22 developed in the voluntary sector but then moved into

23 the criminal justice sector. So it is difficult to know 24 which heading to put that under.

25 I think I would acknowledge that the voluntary

1	sector has played a huge role because of being aware of	1	that we found on organisational responses, there was
2	the issues, because they are working directly with	2	very little that talked about whistleblower protection.
3	people affected and they are usually able to be very	3	There was a lot that talked about the difficulties of
4	responsive. But the other sectors have become involved	4	speaking within organisations for professionals.
5	and have resourced that work subsequently. So our	5	MR HILL: I think whistleblowing is a matter that I would
6	perpetrator work we put under the legal arena, even	6	like to return to when we have our discussion around the
7	though we are talking about a range of different	7	table, as it were.
8	projects involved and a lot of the work being developed	8	DR BIRD: I'm happy to join in.
9	initially amongst these independent organisations, who	9	MR HILL: For now, we have reached 11.30 am, which is the
10	often continue to contribute but aren't necessarily well	10	time that is scheduled for a break. If we could come
11	resourced to do so.	11	back and reconvene in 15 minutes, and we will then begin
12	MR HILL: Let me just follow up on that. Is it easier to	12	our discussion with our invited participants around the
13	measure an outcome when it is a programme which has been	13	table. But may I, for now, on everybody's behalf, thank
14	provided by a state actor rather than a non-state actor	14	Professor Stanley and Professor Radford for their work
15	and, if so, how do you try to address that balance in	15	and their presentation.
16	the research, or how should we try to address it?	16	(11.30 am)
17	PROF RADFORD: I would say yes, that is the case, yes,	17	(A short break)
18	because, although child protection services aren't well	18	(11.45 am)
19	known for measuring the impact of their work with	19	Comments by THE FACILITATOR
20	children, so technically, it should be easier to	20	MR HILL: We now move to the discussion among our invited
21	measure, so you can measure things like whether or not	21	participants, and it may be helpful for me to make just
22	somebody is reconvicted if they are a sex offender. You	22	a couple of points beforehand. First of all, could
23	can measure things like whether or not a child gets	23	I remind you all to turn the microphones on and speak
24	re-referred into child protection services for the same	24	into them when you have a point to make?
25	experiences, abuse, they are originally referred in for.	25	Secondly, I should previously have introduced
	Page 45		Page 47
	** 4: 19 4 . 1 4 4		
1	You can measure things like that, because they have the	1	Steve Webster the inquiry's head of research who is
_	. 1		Steve Webster, the inquiry's head of research, who is
2	resources to do so.	2	sitting here to my right.
3	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is	2 3	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief
3 4	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work	2 3 4	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course,
3 4 5	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and	2 3 4 5	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and
3 4 5 6	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are	2 3 4 5 6	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to
3 4 5 6 7	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really	2 3 4 5 6 7	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try
3 4 5 6 7 8	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection, which is something that seems to prevent people from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test certain approaches, but that is further down the line.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection, which is something that seems to prevent people from speaking out about problems within institutions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test certain approaches, but that is further down the line. For now, we just seek to try to understand the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection, which is something that seems to prevent people from speaking out about problems within institutions? PROF RADFORD: No. Unfortunately, no, we didn't. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test certain approaches, but that is further down the line. For now, we just seek to try to understand the parameters of the topics that we are discussing, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection, which is something that seems to prevent people from speaking out about problems within institutions? PROF RADFORD: No. Unfortunately, no, we didn't. We couldn't find any research on that. We did do specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test certain approaches, but that is further down the line. For now, we just seek to try to understand the parameters of the topics that we are discussing, and I suspect that everybody would agree that that is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection, which is something that seems to prevent people from speaking out about problems within institutions? PROF RADFORD: No. Unfortunately, no, we didn't. We couldn't find any research on that. We did do specific searches using specific search terms for different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test certain approaches, but that is further down the line. For now, we just seek to try to understand the parameters of the topics that we are discussing, and I suspect that everybody would agree that that is sufficiently ambitious for today.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection, which is something that seems to prevent people from speaking out about problems within institutions? PROF RADFORD: No. Unfortunately, no, we didn't. We couldn't find any research on that. We did do specific searches using specific search terms for different areas. We didn't search specifically for whistleblowing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test certain approaches, but that is further down the line. For now, we just seek to try to understand the parameters of the topics that we are discussing, and I suspect that everybody would agree that that is sufficiently ambitious for today. A final point: as the chair has said, there will be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection, which is something that seems to prevent people from speaking out about problems within institutions? PROF RADFORD: No. Unfortunately, no, we didn't. We couldn't find any research on that. We did do specific searches using specific search terms for different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test certain approaches, but that is further down the line. For now, we just seek to try to understand the parameters of the topics that we are discussing, and I suspect that everybody would agree that that is sufficiently ambitious for today.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In the voluntary sector, the resource issue is a barrier, although I would say that a lot of this work has been pushed forward by the voluntary sector, and they have made some very good efforts. So there are a lot of organisations in this area who have really pioneered some of the work, and that's the same for the UK and the organisations in some of the other jurisdictions that we looked at. So particularly in America, in Canada and actually even in some of the European countries that we looked at. MR HILL: Unless anybody has one final question? Jon, you do, yes? DR BIRD: Thank you. In connection with the discretion or mandatory reporting and I understand the difficulties around that, did you look at all or find any evidence around the effectiveness of whistleblower protection, which is something that seems to prevent people from speaking out about problems within institutions? PROF RADFORD: No. Unfortunately, no, we didn't. We couldn't find any research on that. We did do specific searches using specific search terms for different areas. We didn't search specifically for whistleblowing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sitting here to my right. In terms of the discussion, I have got four brief points. The first is that these are, of course, extremely broad topics and we have limited time and a number of contributors. So I would ask you all to bear that in mind and, indeed, to bear with me as I try to guide us through. Second, and related, the discussion is to help inform the inquiry's work; it is not the end of the process, it is part of that process. There will be an opportunity outside of this seminar to make points and to raise evidence, and, again, I would ask that that is kept in mind. Thirdly, the intention of the discussion is not to put anybody on the spot or to force them to justify a position that they or their organisation have taken. There may come a time when the inquiry wishes to test certain approaches, but that is further down the line. For now, we just seek to try to understand the parameters of the topics that we are discussing, and I suspect that everybody would agree that that is sufficiently ambitious for today. A final point: as the chair has said, there will be

1 2			
2	provision of support services for victims and survivors.	1	secondly, parents in getting involved?
	Now, that is, of course, a critically important topic	2	MS DOMINGUEZ: What happens is, by word of mouth now,
3	and that is why it is being given a dedicated seminar.	3	because we have made a difference within that area in
4	It is bound to overlap with many of the issues that we	4	which we work, that locality, I should say, what we do
5	are discussing today. Where you think it is germane to	5	is we go into schools and we offer a number of free
6	the point that you are making, please do identify that	6	sessions. It is not all about sexual abuse. It can
7	this is an issue that needs to be returned to, but	7	just be about behaviours that, you know, you can
8	I would ask that perhaps we leave the detail of that	8	identify that they are on the road to sexual
9	discussion until we get to the July seminar.	9	exploitation, daring to do things that they wouldn't
10	Discussion	10	normally do, or you can see that, actually, they have
11	MR HILL: With those points in mind, if we could begin with	11	got all the characteristics of a child that might be
12	the question of reducing risks and vulnerabilities and	12	being sexually abused, and, let's face it, most sexual
13	the issue of school programmes. Linda, if I could turn	13	abuse is within the family environment, or that's our
14	to you first, because I know this is an area that	14	data at One in Four.
15	One in Four have been doing some work in, in going into	15	So by offering those first free sessions and the
16	schools and providing the training. From your	16	difference it makes to how that child behaves, the
17	perspective, where can we draw on the research and the	17	schools then go, "Ooh, actually, we want you to do a bit
18	practice overseas in what we are doing in England and	18	more", and then we say, "Well, actually, you know, we do
19	Wales?	19	need to charge you", and we don't charge very much,
20	MS DOMINGUEZ: I'm not sure I can answer that in full. All	20	actually, it is minimal, because, actually, it is not
21	I can tell you is that we work in schools within	21	about the money, it is about making a difference, and
22	Southeast London, and it is deprived areas within	22	then, once we are in a school, generally they keep us
23	Southeast London. All we know is that we make	23	there and we do days for the parents, days for the
24	a difference by going into schools and training the	24	teachers, obviously groups, group work with the kids,
25	teachers, the domestic help, parents, about safe	25	and one-to-one stuff, and they keep a tracker in the
	Page 49		Page 51
	1 age 47		1 age 31
1	practice and handling disclosures and, for the children,	1	schools and they can tell you that, you know, "X started
	practice and handling disclosures and, for the children, we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have	1 2	schools and they can tell you that, you know, "X started off at this level and has now improved to that level in
2	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have		off at this level and has now improved to that level in
	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and	2	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education".
2 3	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have	2 3	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and,
2 3 4	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know	2 3 4	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead.
2 3 4 5	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of	2 3 4 5	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and,
2 3 4 5 6	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups.	2 3 4 5 6	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of
2 3 4 5 6 7	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know,	2 3 4 5 6 7	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't unknow what you know. So if you know something isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this country, what we have to recognise is that it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't unknow what you know. So if you know something isn't right or you know, actually, you should check that out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this country, what we have to recognise is that it is a subject matter that's very complicated and very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't unknow what you know. So if you know something isn't right or you know, actually, you should check that out with somebody else, is this okay, then hopefully they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this country, what we have to recognise is that it is a subject matter that's very complicated and very complex, as we heard from Lorraine and her team earlier,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't unknow what you know. So if you know something isn't right or you know, actually, you should check that out with somebody else, is this okay, then hopefully they won't be our clients of the future, or at least they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this country, what we have to recognise is that it is a subject matter that's very complicated and very complex, as we heard from Lorraine and her team earlier, and teachers are really worried about addressing this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't unknow what you know. So if you know something isn't right or you know, actually, you should check that out with somebody else, is this okay, then hopefully they won't be our clients of the future, or at least they will have a better understanding and the capacity to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this country, what we have to recognise is that it is a subject matter that's very complicated and very complex, as we heard from Lorraine and her team earlier, and teachers are really worried about addressing this because it is so complex. They don't feel skilled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't unknow what you know. So if you know something isn't right or you know, actually, you should check that out with somebody else, is this okay, then hopefully they won't be our clients of the future, or at least they will have a better understanding and the capacity to say, "I want to do this" or "I don't want to do it".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this country, what we have to recognise is that it is a subject matter that's very complicated and very complex, as we heard from Lorraine and her team earlier, and teachers are really worried about addressing this because it is so complex. They don't feel skilled. They don't feel able to raise all the right points.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't unknow what you know. So if you know something isn't right or you know, actually, you should check that out with somebody else, is this okay, then hopefully they won't be our clients of the future, or at least they will have a better understanding and the capacity to say, "I want to do this" or "I don't want to do it". MR HILL: In terms of the work that you are conducting, how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this country, what we have to recognise is that it is a subject matter that's very complicated and very complex, as we heard from Lorraine and her team earlier, and teachers are really worried about addressing this because it is so complex. They don't feel skilled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we talk about what is safe practice, and you don't have to have peer abuse and be forced into initiations and things that make you feel uncomfortable, and all we know from teachers and head teachers is that it makes a difference to the behaviour and the attitudes of the children and their peer groups. I don't think this is happening enough. You know, child sexual abuse and child sexual exploitation is an academic. It is a bit like the elephant in the room. How do you eat an elephant in small chunks? We are going in and doing that in Southeast London. We would like to do it everywhere. Education is really important. We see adults within One in Four and these adults have disclosed for the first time maybe. So what we are trying to do is get at the young people so that they don't become our clients of the future. You can't unknow what you know. So if you know something isn't right or you know, actually, you should check that out with somebody else, is this okay, then hopefully they won't be our clients of the future, or at least they will have a better understanding and the capacity to say, "I want to do this" or "I don't want to do it".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	off at this level and has now improved to that level in the way they are receiving their education". MR HILL: Can I turn to others around the table, and, Sheila, I see you indicating. Please go ahead. MS TAYLOR: Thank you. It is probably worth looking at the Canadian Mounted Police. They have had quite a bit of contact with us in so much as they wanted to use the campaign material that we utilise across the UK, which is community and educational material. I know they have taken it and supplemented what they are doing out there, and I feel sure that that probably will have been evaluated quite robustly because they are very clear about what works. I couldn't give you contact details here, but we could probably give you details of people we have been in touch with there. I think, when you talk about schools in this country, what we have to recognise is that it is a subject matter that's very complicated and very complex, as we heard from Lorraine and her team earlier, and teachers are really worried about addressing this because it is so complex. They don't feel skilled. They don't feel able to raise all the right points. They feel quite vulnerable in delivering the messages.

1	about raising concerns with young people and getting	1	local authority to have a plan for how they will
2	disclosures and then not having anywhere for those young	2	respond to safeguarding concerns.
3	people to go to. So I think, before we start rolling	3	We have been hearing this morning both from the
4	out education and saying, "This has to happen, and it	4	research and some of the questions how important that
5	goes like this", we have to be sure that there is	5	multi-agency, multi-sectoral involvement is with
6	somewhere for those children and the teachers to go to	6	community, voluntary, faith and other groups, and that's
7	so that they can progress it quite successfully.	7	been I think a real success of work that many LSCBs have
8	MR HILL: I can see a lot of nodding heads around the table,	8	done around the country, keeping it as part of a wider
9	and David, I think, in particular, wishes to come in	9	network. I do think we have a risk going forward that
10	here.	10	that will be undermined and weakened, which is
11	MR ASHCROFT: Thank you, yes. I think there are a number of	11	a concern.
12	really positive examples of support in schools,	12	MR HILL: Namita, you have a point to make there?
13	engagement with schools, that we have got around the	13	MS PRAKASH: Primary prevention in programmes in schools are
14	country. But I think that is in the context of an	14	most welcome and they are a great resource. However,
15	increasingly fragmented education system where the	15	there is a word of caution that I want to bring in, and
16	responsibilities, despite the inclusion of PSHE and the	16	that's towards not putting too much onus on disclosure
17	new curriculum requirements, are very varied, and the	17	on young people and children, because, as we know from
18	engagement that we get from schools, thinking of them as	18	our own experience Linda has mentioned that in the
19	partners within an LSCB, can be very, very varied, and	19	other session it takes years before children can
20	where we have got good and effective head teachers and	20	disclose and, even after years, most of them would not.
21	other leaders within the school, that can be really	21	So, though it is a very good and effective programme and
22	important, but we have a system that doesn't require	22	it has worked in different parts of the country and
23	schools to be fully part of a multi-agency safeguarding	23	elsewhere, we need to be very careful and not put the
24	system within which we address the particular challenges	24	whole onus on hoping that the children will disclose,
25	for CSE and CSA. I think that is a real risk in making	25	because no matter what we do, there will be children who
	Page 53		Page 55
1	sure that some of the good examples we have got are	1	will not disclose and we need to bring in the element of
2	actually consistently supported, and I echo Sheila's	2	others working, the professionals working with the
3	concern about, one can develop initiatives within	3	children at identifying the signs or symptoms and hoping
4	school, one can support teachers and other staff to work	4	to help them. Again, even if they do, they are not
5	with children effectively and to work with parents, but	5	going to say, "Yes, it has happened to me". It is about
6	it's got to be part of a much wider support network,		
7		1 6	supporting them in an effective way so that, hopefully.
	it's got to link to, how does your referral and early	6 7	supporting them in an effective way so that, hopefully, it can be stopped. So it is really important to just
8	it's got to link to, how does your referral and early help system work within that locality, how does it link	7	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just
8	help system work within that locality, how does it link	7 8	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out.
9	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are	7	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see
9 10	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving	7 8 9 10	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as
9 10 11	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied,	7 8 9 10 11	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well?
9 10 11 12	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent	7 8 9 10	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as
9 10 11 12 13	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country.	7 8 9 10 11 12	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and
9 10 11 12	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing
9 10 11 12 13 14	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in place for 10 years, has grown and developed and it has a multi-agency partnership bringing partners together	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior management and governors in preparing the school to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in place for 10 years, has grown and developed and it has a multi-agency partnership bringing partners together both at a strategic level but, as importantly, at an	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior management and governors in preparing the school to address these concerns. I think if you speak to young
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in place for 10 years, has grown and developed and it has a multi-agency partnership bringing partners together both at a strategic level but, as importantly, at an operational level through the framework of a local	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior management and governors in preparing the school to address these concerns. I think if you speak to young people in the language with which they are familiar and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in place for 10 years, has grown and developed and it has a multi-agency partnership bringing partners together both at a strategic level but, as importantly, at an operational level through the framework of a local safeguarding children's board. The current legislation	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior management and governors in preparing the school to address these concerns. I think if you speak to young people in the language with which they are familiar and which is online stuff, it draws into a focus all of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in place for 10 years, has grown and developed and it has a multi-agency partnership bringing partners together both at a strategic level but, as importantly, at an operational level through the framework of a local	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior management and governors in preparing the school to address these concerns. I think if you speak to young people in the language with which they are familiar and which is online stuff, it draws into a focus all of the other concerns that we are aware of, and I think
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in place for 10 years, has grown and developed and it has a multi-agency partnership bringing partners together both at a strategic level but, as importantly, at an operational level through the framework of a local safeguarding children's board. The current legislation going through parliament will remove that requirement to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior management and governors in preparing the school to address these concerns. I think if you speak to young people in the language with which they are familiar and which is online stuff, it draws into a focus all of the other concerns that we are aware of, and I think young people who grow up in dangerous and unsupportive
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in place for 10 years, has grown and developed and it has a multi-agency partnership bringing partners together both at a strategic level but, as importantly, at an operational level through the framework of a local safeguarding children's board. The current legislation going through parliament will remove that requirement to have an LSCB and will substitute it with an injunction on three statutory partners police, health and the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior management and governors in preparing the school to address these concerns. I think if you speak to young people in the language with which they are familiar and which is online stuff, it draws into a focus all of the other concerns that we are aware of, and I think young people who grow up in dangerous and unsupportive home environments may not know that what is happening to them at home is wrong, and any way in which we can help
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	help system work within that locality, how does it link to formal child protection processes where those are required. I am afraid that we are in danger of moving towards a system where that will become more varied, more fragmented, rather than that we have a consistent arrangement across the country. MR HILL: Can I just ask you to expand on that danger, and where that danger is coming from? MR ASHCROFT: You would expect me to say it, as chair of the LSCBs, but we do have a framework that's been in place for 10 years, has grown and developed and it has a multi-agency partnership bringing partners together both at a strategic level but, as importantly, at an operational level through the framework of a local safeguarding children's board. The current legislation going through parliament will remove that requirement to have an LSCB and will substitute it with an injunction	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it can be stopped. So it is really important to just point that out. MR HILL: I think, again, it is a point which I can see agreement with. Jon, I think you wanted to come in as well? DR BIRD: Yes. I spent 10 years as a classroom teacher and about 10 years ago I did the CEOP ambassador training. There is quite a good model there that includes not only, you know, online sort of concerns and informing young people about those concerns, but together with that you get training in how to support senior management and governors in preparing the school to address these concerns. I think if you speak to young people in the language with which they are familiar and which is online stuff, it draws into a focus all of the other concerns that we are aware of, and I think young people who grow up in dangerous and unsupportive home environments may not know that what is happening to

1 then to understand that has swong and that there is, 2 if they choose, a place to go to where they can report and he treact with respect, that would be massively helpful. 5 MR HILL: Drawing on the colline point, Susic, I think you wish to cover in on that? 6 MR HILL: Drawing on the colline point, Susic, I think you wish to cover in on that? 7 MS HARGREAVES. Yes. Lipit waterdo mention in relation to building young people's resilience and online safety, generate that, there's a long, long journey to go, I think, in terms of safety, of which I'm a board member, which is a multi-saleholder group but also the UK Safer Internet 12 Centre, Safer Internet Day, you mentioned "Parents 12 Centre, Safer Internet Day, you mentioned "Parents 12 Issien to what children and young people will be wanting 14 number of resources and support available on the full on the knowledge and experiment that with the sets time and opportunity to consume the safety of which is made to a constitution of work going on in achook, South West Grid for 1 Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childnet 17 about earlier on, and I'm not here to talk about a bulk of the work directly into schools. Jisot think is 18 Barmardo's schools particularly, but there are some well-evidenced pieces of work that have gone on in the north-work of the digital world, and there were some interesting presentations from a range of organisations about 2 north-water and parents, and I'm 2 about a bulk of the digital world, and there were some interesting presentations from a range of organisations about 2 north-water and so of different initiatives going on in the digital world, and the all the issues and parents, and I'm 2 about a bulk of the thing has the previous safeguarding issues up to - work their way funcing labely they don't know have to work their way funcing labely they don't know have to work their way funcing labely to a bulk of the digital world with a capacity to deal with, whether it is, you have come as economy to the proportion of the presenta				
and be treated with respect, that would be massively helpful. MR HILL: Drawing on the online point, Susie, I think you wish to come in or that? MS HARGRAVES: Yes: I just warned to mention in relation to building young people's resilience and online safety, of course there's the UK council for Child helment. Sufety, of which I'm a board member, which is a multi-stakeholder group but also the UK Suffer internet. Sufety, of which I'm a board member, which is a multi-stakeholder group but also the UK Suffer internet. Matter i'm the Suste, "Parent Zone," there are a huge the number of resources and spoport available on the full sort of online safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of or fower going on in schools, Sunth West fird for the work directly into schools. I just link it is least the protection of the work directly into schools. I just link it is least the protection of the work directly into schools. I just link it is least the protection of the work of continuing and protection of the work of continuing the internet of those into it is a particularly interested in this idea of continuing development, teachers heing able to go back to these development, teachers heing able to go back to these development, teachers heing able to go back to these development, teachers heing able to go back to these development, teachers heing able to go back to these development, teachers heing able to go back to these development, teachers heing able to go back to these development, teachers heing able to go back to the early of the particularly interested in this idea of continuing development, teachers heing able to go back to these development, teachers heing able to go back to the per development, teachers heing able to go back to the per development, teachers heing able to go back to the per development, teachers heing able to go back to the per development teachers, it is turned to the per development teachers, it is turned to the per development teachers heing able to go back to the per development teachers and p	1	them to understand that that is wrong and that there is,	1	targets, which is a massive driver for schools, as we
4 helpful. 5 MR HILL. Drawing on the online point, Sunie, I think you wish to come in on flast? 7 MS HARGREAVES. Yes, I just wanted to mention in relation to building young people's resilience and online safety, of course there's the UK Council for Child Internet 10 Safety, of which I'm a board member, which is 11 a multi-stakeholder group but also the UK Safer Internet 2 Course, Safer Internet Day, you mentioned "Parents 11 sort of contine safety secreture. Sair nements amount of work going on in schools, South West Grid for 12 Learning have a helpline for professionals. South West Grid for 12 Learning have a helpline for professionals and prints, and have a directly into schools. Just think it is 18 really important to recognise there is a lung amount on 20 the wider online safety area available in the UK. 21 MR HILL. How are you finding the takeup of hose kind of 22 resources, both by teaches and parents, and 1m 23 particularly interested in this idea of continuing 24 development, teachers being able tog p back to these 25 resources throughout their cancer, not just the one off 24 resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also work was a professional save little and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also but the training of resources they end at that particular time. Which is why people like 2 South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the 2 size often is about the training of teachers and a calcularly their ability to deal with it, but and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. 4 meant 12 particular time. Which is why people like 3 with sexting I fyoul look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the page is on the teacher's 12 page and 12 page				
MR HILL: Drawing on the online point, Susie, I think you wish to come in on that? MS HARGREAVES; Yes: Just wanted to mention in relation to building young people's resilience and online safety, of course there's the Uc Countie for Child Internet. Safety, of which I'm a board member, which is a multi-adulted by purply to also the UK Safet Internet Day, you mentioned 'Parents. Matter' in the Stakes, 'Parent Zone', there are a huge and a support available on the full sort of conline safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of of work going on in schools, South West Grif for It. Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childnet take work directly into schools. I just think it is really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the work or noise safety are available in the UK. MR HILL: Drawing professionals, Childnet take work directly into schools. I just think it is really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the work or noise safety are available in the UK. MR HILL: Drawing professionals have the professionals of the professionals and the professional in the UK. MR HILL: Drawing professionals have the professionals of the professionals and the professionals are considered professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well. There is some faintatist work going on around in that. I was at a conference a couple of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding the study of the professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well, and then all the issues are professionals as well and the professionals as well and the all the issues are professi				
6 hugely important, but let's not underestimate that, there's a long, long journey to go, I think, in terms of so building young people's resilience and online safety, of course there's the UK Council for Child Internet 10 Safety, of which I'm a hourd member, which is a multi-stakeholder group but also the UK Safer Internet 20; you mentioused "Parents 11 Centre, Safer Internet Day, you mentioused "Parents 12 Learning have a helpline for professionals as Will and the safety of work going on in schools, South West Grid for 12 Learning have a helpline for professionals. Or his safety spectrum. So an common amount of work going on in schools. Fouth West Grid for 12 Learning have a helpline for professionals. Childnet 18 take work directly into schools. I just think it is 18 Barnardo's schools particularly, but there are some well-evidenced pieces of work that have gone on in the not here to talk about a carbier on, and I'm not here to talk about 21 particularly interested in this idea of continuing 24 development, teachers being able to go back to these 25 resources throughout their career, not just the one-off 25 resources, there is to much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also to build the training. Decause, without exception, the 1 save often is about entraining of teachers and 21 actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you 31 know, very serious safeguarding issues up to 24 obitied the graining, because, without exception, the 1 search of a sole of a gae actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you 32 know, very serious safeguarding issues up to 24 obitied the training of teachers and 25 capacity to deal with, whether it is, you 32 know, very serious safeguarding issues up to 24 obitied deep age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with, whether it is, you 33 know, very serious safeguarding issues up to 24 obitied deep age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with, whether it is, you 48 particularly and the capa		-		
MS HARGREAVES: Yes I just wanted to mention in relation to building young people's resilicence and online safety, of corner there's he UK Council for Child Internet. Safety, of which I'm a board member, which is a minimal related before group but also the UK Safet Internet. Centre, Safer Internet Day, you mentioned "Parents. Mattle" in the States, "Parent Zone", there are a huge the unbert of resources and upport available to the full sort of online safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of which the states of the safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of which the safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of which the safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of which the safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of which the safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of which the safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of which the safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of which the safety area available in the UK. It is many important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety area available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of hone kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm particularly interested in this idea of continuing and the safety area available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm particularly interested in this idea of continuing and the safety area available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm particularly interested in this idea of continuing and the safety area available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also the secondary should be added to a secondary should be added to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is with people is the safe people don't want a consessing when the proposition is the country is dealing with secting.				<u>.</u>
states to building young people's resilience and online safety, of course there's the UK Council for Child Internet 10 Safety, of which I'm a board member, which is a multi-stakeholder group but also the UK Safer Internet 11 Centre, Safer Internet Day, you menitoned "Parents 13 Matter" in the States, "Parent Zone", there are a huge number of resources and support available on the full soft of colline safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of work going on in schools, South West Grid for Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childher take work directly into schools. Jipust think it is really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety area available in the UK. 12 MR HIIL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety area available in the UK. 12 mean that the safety area available in the UK. 13 must be a self-state to the self-state of resources, both by teachers and parents, and the safety area available in the UK. 14 must be a self-state to the self-state of the self-sta				
of course there's the UK Council for Child Internet Safety, of which I'm a board member, which is a multi-stakeholder group but also the UK Safer Internet Centre, Safer Internet Day, you mentioned "Parents I Matter" in the States. "Parent Zone", there are a huge number of resources and support available on the full sort of online safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of owk going on in schools. South West Grid for Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childhet take work directly into schools. Just 1 Whith it is really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety area available in the UK. MR IHLI: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm resources introughout their career, not just the one-off page 57 I training. Page 57 I training. Page 57 I training. Page 59 I training. Page 57 I training. Page 59 I in the digital world, and there were some interesting presentations from a range of organisations about trained the digital world. There is some fantatistic work going on around in that. I was at a conference a couple of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding substance. Page 59 I in the digital world, and there were some interesting presentations from a range of or				
Safety, of which I'm a board member, which is a multi-stakeholder group but also the UK Safer Internet 12 Centre, Safer Internet Day, you mentioned "Parents 13 Mater" in the States. "Parent Zone", there are a huge 14 number of resources and support available on the full 15 sort of orline safety spectrum. So an enormous amount 16 or work going on in schools, South West Grid for 17 Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childred 18 take work directly into schools. I just think it is 19 really important to recognise there is a huge amount on 20 the wider online safety area available in the UK. 21 MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of 22 resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm 23 particularly interested in this idea of continuing 24 development, teachers being able to go back to these 25 resources throughout their career, not just the one-off Page 57 1 training. 2 MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the 3 feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough 4 resources, there is too much and they don't know how to 3 work their way through it a lot of the time, but also 4 that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be 4 able to go to the type of resources they need at that 5 particular time. Which is why people like 9 South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to 2 build the training, because, without exception, the 11 is such search and parents, and I'm 2 particular time. Which is why people like 9 South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to 2 build the training, because, without exception, the 11 is such search and parents, and I'm 2 particularly their ability to deal with, whether it is, you 2 actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you 3 know, very serious safeguarding issues up to - 4 obviously, every single school in the country is dealing 4 with sexting. I'You look at child secural balabee from 4 the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's 5 capacity to deal with it and then, as yo				
a multi-stakeholder group but also the LIK Safer Internet Centre, Safer Internet Day, you mentioned "Parents Matter" in the States, "Parent Zone", there are a huge number of resources and support available on the full sort of foline safety spectrum. So an encomous amount of work going on in schools, South West Grid for Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childnet late work directly into schools. I just this it is really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety area available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm 22 particularly interested in this idea of continuing development, teachers being able to go back to these resources throughout their career, not just the one-oil? Page 57 I training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot off the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the siscue often is about the training of teachers and actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you how, very serious safeguarding issues up to — obviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the new row of the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the new row of the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal				
Centre, Safer Internet Day, you mentioned "Parents Matter" in the States, "Parent Zone", there are a huge more of resources and support available on the full sort of online safety spectrum. So an enomous amount of work going on in schools, South West Grid for Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childhert take work directly into schools. Just think it is more properly in the water of work going on in schools, South West Grid for Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childhert take work directly into schools. Just think it is more a wailable in the UK. The wider online safety area available in the UK. The wider on and I'm not here to talk about the north-west of England, a resource safe about adal the sissues of proceases that the safe and the digital world. There is some fantastic work going on around in that. It was at a conference a couple of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding presentations from a range of organisations about resources that they have that — you know, we need to be with the propried of of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around				
Matter" in the States, "Parent Zone", there are a huge number of resources and support available on the full sort of offine safety spectrum. So an encomous amount of off online safety spectrum. So an encomous amount of or work going on in schools, South West Grid for Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childnet 17 Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childnet 18 take work directly into schools. I just think it is 18 really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety area available in the UK. 21 MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm 22 particularly interested in this idea of continuing 24 development, teachers being able to go back to these 25 resources throughout their career, not just the one-off 26 metabolity of the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough 27 resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that particular time. Which is why people like 28 South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the 11 issue often is about the training of teachers and 28 know, very single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from 29 this REARD. Really, just to build on that point, I don't 18 lake about arrive and a charge garding issues up to - obviously, every single school in the country is dealing 18 lake about in the room today. My colleage talked about earlier on, and I'm not here to talk about and there are a lot of work that have gone on in the north-west of England, a resource called Real Love north-west of England, a resource and the digital world. There is some fantastic work going on an around the digital world. There is one fanta				-
number of resources and support available on the full sort of online safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of or don't going on in schools, South West Grid for Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childret take work directly into schools. I just think it is really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety area available in the UK. If we half. How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm particularly interested in this idea of continuing and development, teachers being able to go back to these resources throughout their career, not just the one-off page 57 I training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like south West Grid do 360-degree training of teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and the degral world, and there were some interesting presentations from a range of organisations about resources that they have that — you know, we need to be building on that module and experience now. MS HGGLESTON: Just following on from that, really, I think there are a lot of different initiatives going on in the south of the different initiatives going on in the resources that there is a lot of the things came out in the report is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data. So neo of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or deviating the probability of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or deviating the probability in the ority of the work of civilence in terms of data col				
sort of online safety spectrum. So an enormous amount of vork going on in schools, South West Grid for take work directly into schools. I just think it is really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety are available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm my particularly interested in this idea of continuing development, teachers being able to go back to these resources throughout their career, not just the one-off messures, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also the particular time. Which is why people like particular time. Which is why people like south West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the sissue often is about the training of teachers and shout earlier on, and I'm not here to talk about able to go to the type age on in the north-west of fingland, a resource called Real Love Rocks, which is resources for schools for education and for professionals as well, and then all he issues around the digital world. There is some fantastic work going on around in that. I was at a conference a couple of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding presentations from a range of organisations about resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also the people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like south West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is not an evidence base in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things, th		_		
16 of work going on in schools, South West Grid for 17 Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childnet 18 take work directly into schools. I just think it is 19 really important to recognise there is a huge amount on 20 the wider online safety area available in the UK. 21 MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of 22 resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm 23 particularly interested in this idea of continuing 24 development, teachers being able to go back to these 25 resources throughout their career, not just the one-off 26 mS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the 27 feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough 28 feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough 29 resources, there is too much and they don't know how to 29 work their way through it a lot of the time, but also 29 that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be 29 able to go to the type of resources they need at that 29 particular time. Which is why people like 29 South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to 20 build the training because, without exception, the 21 issue often is about the training of teachers and 22 actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you 23 around the digital world, and there were some interesting 24 presentations from a range of organisations about 25 resources, there is to much and they don't know how to 26 work their way through it a lot of the time, but also 27 that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be 28 aparticular time. Which is why people like 29 South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to 30 build the training because, without exception, the 31 issue often is about the training of teachers and 32 actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you 33 know, very scrious safeguarding issues up to — 34 development, teacher by 35 development, teacher by 36 development, teacher by 37 and there is on the teacher's 38 one of the things that we have been looking at, in 39 terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interve				
Learning have a helpline for professionals, Childnet take work directly into schools. I just think it is take work directly into schools. I just think it is take work directly into schools. I just think it is take work directly into schools. I just think it is take work directly into schools. I just think it is take work directly into schools. I just think it is take work directly into schools. I just think it is take work directly into schools. I just think it is take work directly into schools. I just think it is take on the wider online safety area available in the UK. 21 MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of 22 resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm 22 morth-west of England, a resource called Real Love 14 Rocks, which is resources of schools for education and for professionals as well, and then all the issues around the digital world. There is some fantastic work 24 going on around in that. I was at a conference a couple of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding presentations from a range of organisations about resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it all of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like a particular time. Which is w				
take work directly into schools. I just think it is really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety are available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and Im particularly interested in this idea of continuing 23 around the digital world. There is some fantastic work a development, teachers being able to go back to these resources throughout their career, not just the one-off Page 57 I training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the low years of the obviously, every single school in the country is dealing with secting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually their ability to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: Davis and parents, and Im everyone gets, the feedback from teachers by the development, teachers and a catually their ability to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HELRD: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR HELR: Davis there is a huge amount on the teacher's became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I many it is too believe on them to deliver on educational 25 going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
really important to recognise there is a huge amount on the wider online safety area available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and Im particularly interested in this idea of continuing development, teachers being able to go back to these resources throughout their career, not just the one-off Page 57 training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the solving late that actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you is know, very serious safeguarding issues up to obviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the doled age, actually the gar is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, It in the you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. It is massive pressure on them to deliver on educational				·
the wider online safety area available in the UK. MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and Im particularly interested in this idea of continuing development, teachers being able to go back to these resources throughout their career, not just the one-off Page 57 I training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources through be to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you a capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HELL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR HELL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR HELL: David, I think you wish to come in there. I massive pressure on them to deliver on educational				
21 MR HILL: How are you finding the takeup of those kind of resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm 23 particularly interested in this idea of continuing 24 development, teachers being able to go back to these 25 resources throughout their career, not just the one-off 25 resources throughout their career, not just the one-off 26 going on around in that. I was at a conference a couple of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding 27 Page 59 1 training. 2 MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough 4 resources, there is too much and they don't know how to 25 work their way through it a lot of the time, but also 26 that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be 36 to go to the type of resources they need at that 37 particular time. Which is why people like 38 South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to 38 build the training, because, without exception, the 38 is use often is about the training of teachers and 39 So one of the things that we have been looking at, in 39 through that policy to deal with, whether it is, you 30 know, very serious safeguarding issues up to -30 through the digital world. There is some fantastic work 30 going on around in that. I was at a conference a couple of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding 30 resources that there is not an evidence were, around safeguarding 30 resources that they have that - you know, we need to be 30 building on that module and experience now. 30 MS EGGLESTON: Just following on from that, really, I think there are a lot of different initiatives going on in 41 this country. One of the things tata we have been looking at, in 30 time there is not an evidence base in terms of data. 30 so one of the things that we have been looking at, in 30 terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 30 terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 30 terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 30 terms of Rape Crisis going into schools a				
22 resources, both by teachers and parents, and I'm 23 particularly interested in this idea of continuing 24 development, teachers being able to go back to these 25 resources throughout their career, not just the one-off 26 Page 57 1 training. 2 MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough 4 resources, there is too much and they don't know how to 5 work their way through it a lot of the time, but also 6 that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be 7 able to go to the type of resources they need at that 8 particular time. Which is why people like 9 South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to 10 build the training, because, without exception, the 11 issue often is about the training of teachers and 12 actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you 13 know, very serious safeguarding issues up to - 14 obviously, every single school in the country is dealing 15 with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from 16 the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's 17 capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the 18 resources are the next level. 19 MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. 20 MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't 21 think I personally realised until one of my daughters 22 became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the 23 load there is on teachers within that environment. 24 I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that 25 massive pressure on them to deliver on educational				
page 57 training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there is not and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training, because, without exception, the with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually their ability to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR BEARD. Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is no teachers within that environment. 23 around the digital world. There is some fantastic work going on around in that. I was at a conference a couple of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding				
development, teachers being able to go back to these resources throughout their career, not just the one-off Page 57 Training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want at one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you show, very serious safeguarding issues up to				
Page 57 I training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and Annov very serious safeguarding issues up to doviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's to make the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. 25 of weeks ago, and maybe others were, around safeguarding presentations from a range of organisations about presenting presentations from a range of organisations about resources that they lave that – you know, we need to be building on that module and experience now. MS EGGLESTON: Just following on from that, really, I think there are a lot of different initiatives going on in this country. One of the things came out in the report is that there is no an evidence base in terms of data. So one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. 17 capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. 18 make HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. 29 MR BEARD: Really, jus	24			
training. 1 training. 2 MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the 3 feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough 4 resources, there is too much and they don't know how to 5 work their way through it a lot of the time, but also 6 that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be 6 able to go to the type of resources they need at that 7 building on that module and experience now. MS EGGLESTON: Just following on from that, really, I think there are a lot of different initiatives going on in 6 this country. One of the things came out in the report 6 sis that there is not an evidence base in terms of data. 7 So one of the things that we have been looking at, in 7 terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 8 work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 8 work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 9 work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 9 work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 9 work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 10 work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 11 work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention 12 data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not 13 sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we 14 sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the ou	25			
training. MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like sound the training, because, without exception, the lissue often is about the training of teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the lower yery serious safeguarding issues up to— MR SEGGLESTON: Just following on from that, really, I think there are a lot of different initiatives going on in this country. One of the things came out in the report is that there is not an evidence base in terms of data. So one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of a data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that there is that there are alto the different initiatives going on to the building on that module and experience now. MS EGGLESTON: Just following on from that, really, I think there is not an evidence base in terms of data. So one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sex				
MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the second build the training, because, without exception, the know, very serious safeguarding issues up to actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you know, very serious safeguarding issues up to boviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR HILL: David, I think you wish t		Page 57		Page 59
feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and know, very serious safeguarding issues up to - obviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources, there is too much and they don't know how to so work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that there are a lot of different initiatives going on in this country. One of the things came out in the report is that there is not an evidence base in terms of data. So one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't	1	training.	1	in the digital world, and there were some interesting
resources, there is too much and they don't know how to work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and know, very serious safeguarding issues up to the older age, actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that MS EGGLESTON: Just following on from that, really, I think there are a lot of different initiatives going on in this country. One of the things came out in the report is that there is not an evidence base in terms of data. So one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no	2	MS HARGREAVES: The feedback that everyone gets, the	2	presentations from a range of organisations about
work their way through it a lot of the time, but also that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and know, very serious safeguarding issues up to- the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is not an evidence base in terms of data. So one of the things that we have been looking at, in the terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at	3	feedback from teachers, is that there isn't enough	3	resources that they have that you know, we need to be
that people don't want a one-stop shop, they want to be able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the sisue often is about the training of teachers and actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you show, very serious safeguarding issues up to obviously, every single school in the country is dealing the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the massive pressure on them to deliver on educational there are a lot of different initiatives going on in this country. One of the things came out in the report is that there is not an evidence base in terms of data. So one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at	4	resources, there is too much and they don't know how to	4	building on that module and experience now.
able to go to the type of resources they need at that particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you know, very serious safeguarding issues up to doviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is not an evidence base in terms of data. So one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack collection around sexting, or there may be lack, in te	5	work their way through it a lot of the time, but also	5	MS EGGLESTON: Just following on from that, really, I think
particular time. Which is why people like South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the issue often is about the training of teachers and actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you know, very serious safeguarding issues up to doviously, every single school in the country is dealing the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. In man, I taught earlier on. I think there is that so one of the things that we have been looking at, in terms of Rape Crisis going into schools and prevention work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of eautactions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at	6		6	there are a lot of different initiatives going on in
South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to build the training, because, without exception, the loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of the terms of the interment for, say, reporting bullying but not loud there is a lack of evidence in terms of the terms of the training for teachers of the terms of the terms of the terms of evaluating the out	7	able to go to the type of resources they need at that	7	
build the training, because, without exception, the susue often is about the training of teachers and security to deal with, whether it is, you show, very serious safeguarding issues up to obviously, every single school in the country is dealing swith sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's to apacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't to think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the land them, as you fall the presentation without provision, and from our experience of massive pressure on them to deliver on educational services are data collection around sexting, or there may be data collection around sexting, or there may be a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we sexual bullying. I think one of the tings that we sexual bullying. I think one of the tings that we sexual bullying. I think one of the tings that we sexual bullying. I think one of the tings that we sexual bullying. I think one of the tings that we sexual bullying. I think one of the tings that we sexual bullying. I think one of the tings that we sexual bullying. I think one of the tings that we lack of evidence in terms of the tings that we lack of evidence in terms of the tings that there is a lack of leadure of the tings that there is a lack of leadure of the tings th	8	particular time. Which is why people like	8	is that there is not an evidence base in terms of data.
issue often is about the training of teachers and actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you know, very serious safeguarding issues up to doviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational work, is that there is a lack of evidence in terms of data collection around sexting, or there may be a lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at		South West Grid do 360-degree training for teachers to	_	So one of the things that we have been looking at, in
actually their ability to deal with, whether it is, you know, very serious safeguarding issues up to doviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't Mr Hill personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
know, very serious safeguarding issues up to 13 a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not 14 obviously, every single school in the country is dealing 15 with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from 16 the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's 17 capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the 18 resources are the next level. 19 MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. 19 MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't 20 MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't 21 think I personally realised until one of my daughters 22 became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the 23 load there is on teachers within that environment. 24 I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that 25 massive pressure on them to deliver on educational 13 a requirement for, say, reporting bullying but not 24 sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we 15 lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of 26 the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. 17 I really liked it in the presentation when you 28 talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout 29 a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually 20 linked to funding, there may be a drive from 21 a particular school or something has happened that's 22 made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part 23 of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no 24 prevention without provision, and from our experience of 25 going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
obviously, every single school in the country is dealing with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational sexual bullying. I think one of the things that we lack, in terms of evaluating the outcomes of the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				<u>.</u>
with sexting. If you look at child sexual abuse from the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational I mean, I taught earlier on the eacher's the interventions, is that kind of robust data set. I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
the older age, actually the gap is on the teacher's capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational I mean, I taught earlier on the eacher's I really liked it in the presentation when you talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
17 capacity to deal with it and then, as you say, the 18 resources are the next level. 19 MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. 20 MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't 21 think I personally realised until one of my daughters 22 became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the 23 load there is on teachers within that environment. 24 I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that 25 massive pressure on them to deliver on educational 17 I really liked it in the presentation when you 18 talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout 29 a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually 20 linked to funding, there may be a drive from 21 a particular school or something has happened that's 22 made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part 23 of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no 24 prevention without provision, and from our experience of 25 going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
resources are the next level. MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational 18 talked about the spiral, as well, of learning throughout a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
MR HILL: David, I think you wish to come in there. MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational 19 a child's life. Because what we have found is, usually linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
MR BEARD: Really, just to build on that point, I don't think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational linked to funding, there may be a drive from a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at				
think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational 21 a particular school or something has happened that's made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at		•		
became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that massive pressure on them to deliver on educational made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at	20	MD DEADD. Deally instanted build on the toroist I deal	1 20	miked to funding, there may be a drive from
23 load there is on teachers within that environment. 24 I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that 25 massive pressure on them to deliver on educational 26 going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at	2.1			a particular cohool or comothing has home 1 41-41-
24 I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that 25 massive pressure on them to deliver on educational 26 prevention without provision, and from our experience of going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at		think I personally realised until one of my daughters	21	
25 massive pressure on them to deliver on educational 25 going into schools, often disclosures don't happen at	22	think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the	21 22	made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part
	22 23	think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment.	21 22 23	made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no
Page 58 Page 60	22 23 24	think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that	21 22 23 24	made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of
	22 23 24	think I personally realised until one of my daughters became a secondary schoolteacher the real effect and the load there is on teachers within that environment. I mean, I taught earlier on. I think there is that	21 22 23 24	made them focus in. It is not ongoing. It is not part of the work. Our kind of mantra, really, is there is no prevention without provision, and from our experience of

1	the time that you are in the school, they may happen	1	course. David Ashcroft?
2	a year later. So I think it is it has to be more	2	MR ASHCROFT: Thank you. I think obviously I'm a clear
3	embedded in the whole kind of school structure.	3	supporter of making sure we do have good, research-based
4	The other thing is around the nonschool settings	4	outcome measures of what we are trying to do, but let's
5	that are often linked to schools. We have seen in	5	also remember we also have an awful lot of practice,
6	breakfast clubs and other kind of activities that are	6	experience and knowledge in people doing these jobs and
7	linked to schools, but not necessarily in schools, are	7	seeking to apply that knowledge to how they work.
8	also opportunities for raising awareness and prevention	8	I think we have almost got caught in a loop of seeking
9	that get overlooked.	9	the ultimate data set, seeking the ultimate validated
10	MR HILL: If we could just build on that and turn it to the	10	research project, when actually we don't always give
11	wider community involvement, we heard of a research	11	enough credit to practitioners on the ground who
12	particularly from Australia, the Reset research, about	12	actually do really good work, know that it works, may
13	trying to involve not just statutory agencies, but	13	not be able to produce you a reference document for it,
14	a wider cohort of the population, including parents.	14	but actually can bring that knowledge and that
15	What can we, in England and Wales, learn from overseas	15	experience into multi-agency cooperation. I think it is
16	practice in this area? I turn, I think, to Pam, who is	16	making sure we support that and we draw on that.
17	looking in this direction. I'm not sure whether she is	17	LSCBs have a requirement to audit section 11 duties
18	looking with intent or not.	18	under the Children Act. One of the things that's
19	MS MILLER: Actually, I wanted to go back on the education	19	developed over the last 10 years is, rather than that
20	point, just really briefly.	20	being a self-assessment of, what are you doing on CSE in
21	MR HILL: Please do.	21	a sort of paper exercise, is actually to sit down with
22	MS MILLER: Just to highlight that in Northern Ireland we	22	the different organisations who make up a local
23	have a project that's a long-running RCT where we are	23	partnership and test and debate, what have you learnt
24	actually developing a whole-school approach to	24	from this, what's worked here, how is that tied into
25	prevention, and so it's still in early stages of	25	your approach with domestic violence, for example, pose
	Page 61		Page 63
1	development, but it would be useful for us to kind of	1	some of those questions and draw out the local knowledge
2	communicate with you and share that information with	2	and experience. I think we have got to think much more
3	you. So we are trying to build some evidence based	3	coherently and much more collaboratively with those of
4	around that.	4	us who are here today about how we can do better to pool
5	MR HILL: Can I just ask in very broad terms, how are you	5	and use some of that knowledge, because I think we don't
6	building that evidence base? Because, as Lee said, it	6	always make the maximum advantage from that.
7	is a real problem in trying to evaluate the	7	MR HILL: Again, I can see a lot of heads nodding around the
8	effectiveness of these	8	table. Sheila has been waiting patiently to make
9	MS MILLER: It is a six-year project funded by the	9	a point, if I could turn to you now, please.
10	Department of Education in Northern Ireland, and it is	10	MS TAYLOR: I would like to echo that, because I think
11	actually they are developing a curriculum for	11	that's exactly what our network is, is people who are
12	a whole-school approach and they are doing an RCT. So	12	delivering on the ground, and they probably haven't
13	they're trying to do that kind of really detailed	13	evaluated what they're doing, but it is very clearly
14	evidence base approach. So it can be done, but it's	14	working and it very clearly contributes to the overall
15	extremely expensive and takes a long time.	15	protection of children and families, because I think in
16	Then we also have our primary school work that we	16	protecting children we have also got to strengthen
17	do, the "Stay Safe, Speak Out" programme that we are	17	family units so they don't fall to pieces when child
18	working on, providing evidence about the impact of that	18	sexual exploitation hits the ground. So I echo that and
19	programme. But, again, what Lee is saying is so	19	if you want to look at some examples within our network
20	absolutely true: we just don't have the detailed	20	of really good practice, then I am happy to show those
21	evidence about the different types of bullying or	21	to you, and there are some really, really innovative
22	actually pulling bullying statistics out of DfE is	22	projects working very, very well at the moment.
23	difficult.	23	So that would be that point.
24	MR HILL: I hope that might be something we could ask for	24	The talk about the education in schools, I think
25	further information, written information, on in due	25	it's we talk about education in schools when we talk
	,		
	Page 62		Page 64

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

predominantly about secondary schools, and I would be really keen to make sure that we incorporated primary schools into that, because we were working on a case the other day, and it is a nine-year-old and a ten-year-old, and I think it's -- we really have to recognise that the age is dropping and that secondary school education is not just enough.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

14

20

25

make?

Then the third point would be about research. Professor Andrew Rowland has just -- either just completing or it's been released, I can't remember at what stage it is, which is about language and communicating with young people, and I think that will be a really, really important piece of research for this because how we communicate with children that have been let down, don't trust the system, systematically raped on many, many occasions, makes it very, very difficult for them to talk to people in authority, very afraid to talk to people in authority, so how we use language, some of that would be very interesting. MR HILL: Thank you for that. Again, I would invite you and others who have identified areas of practice or research that you think they be of interest to us to set those

me that some of the international landscape is missing in relation to online child sexual abuse and some of the evidence in that area.

I don't think we should lose sight of the fact that actually the UK leads the world in this. We are the world leader in tackling online child sexual abuse, so we are held up as the world model. One of the issues, particularly in relation to the hotline, is that we are not regulated, we are self-regulatory, and we are a multi-stakeholder environment. You can't also separate out the influence of the internet industry. Actually, the internet industry is an absolute key player in this. So when you say you need to engage with people, you need to engage with the providers as well, if we are going to actually hit the issues around demand, not just the supply. So it is absolutely essential it is not just governments, it is not just police, but it is industry and it is the civil society

Then I will sort of finish, really, as well. It is also really important that an awful lot of the work that happens in removing of images, blocking, all that stuff, is not done by law enforcement, is not done by government, it is done by independent organisations like ourselves, it is done by a whole range of

Page 65

out in writing and to provide them to us in due course.

Susie, I think there was a point that you wished to

MS HARGREAVES: I wanted to talk about evidence on the internet. Obviously my comments are about online child sexual abuse. One of the issues we find repeatedly is

there's a disparity between the amount of time academic research takes to be completed and the speed of

the internet. Actually, we regularly engage with

academic institutions, but actually the research and the trends and the patterns change so fast that the research

to some extent can't keep up with it. So we 10 consistently find that if you want the evidence,

11 up-to-date evidence, it will be in our annual report and 12 you might not find it in an academic research project. 13 That doesn't mean that that evidence should be somehow

not valued as much, because that is up to date. So actually, I think it is really important that the

15 16 practice of hotlines, of people actually working in the 17 field, is really looked at alongside the academic

18 evidence, and I really want to stress that because, you 19

know, it can take two or three years to do a detailed study, whereas we might have -- you know, if you can

21 tell me what the internet is going to look like in three 22 years, that will be really fantastic. So I wanted to

23 talk about that, but I also wanted to talk about the 24 fact that -- thank you very much for the report,

I obviously haven't read the report, but it appears to

Page 66

multi-stakeholders. So it is absolutely essential that

they are brought to the table and engaged with on this. That is all I want to say. Thank you.

Page 67

4 Also, some great practice from the American hotline, 5 the Canadian hotline, there is good practice from around

6 the world and please don't forget INHOPE, which is the 7 international umbrella organisation of 52 hotlines in

48 countries. Thank you.

MR HILL: I'm afraid I'm not going to let you off the hook that easily. I would like to follow up on a couple of those points. As came out in the research, the UK is at the forefront of this kind of work.

To ask a rather simplistic question, how have you got there? What is working and how important is the international cooperation to what you are doing?

MS HARGREAVES: The UK, for over 20 years, when we started, 18 per cent of known child sexual abuse was hosted in

the UK. Since 2004, it has been less than half

a per cent and last year was 0.1 per cent. We are the most hostile territory in the world to host online child

21 sexual abuse and that's because we have an absolute 22 zero-tolerance approach to hosting it. I'm not saying

23 people don't look at it. That is a completely different 24 thing. If we find content hosted in the UK, it is

25 removed in less than two hours, and that is because all

Page 68

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

		_	
1	of industry signs up to a sort of, in effect, voluntary	1	fundamental questions that I think we have actually
2	code of practice. We have no powers. Everybody works	2	highlighted in some of the contributions just now.
3	with us on a voluntary basis. They do it on the basis	3	MR HILL: If I can flag up just in advance, and I will come
4	that it is an important priority for the government.	4	to Linda in a second, I hope this afternoon to talk
5	One of the reasons we are so effective is that the	5	a little about proactive prevention and questions on
6	government have consistently kept on at industry and	6	what may be termed coldly the demand side, but it is
7	made sure that they held them to account for what they	7	helpful, I think, to flag those issues up now, but
8	are hosting. David Cameron got personally involved in	8	perhaps return to them later.
9	this issue when he set up the We Protect initiative as	9	Linda, sorry, you wanted to come in?
10	an international initiative so other countries could	10	MS DOMINGUEZ: Thank you. This might come under proactive
11	benefit from what happens here. But, really, because we	11	prevention, actually. I was interested to hear from the
12	all work incredibly closely together. So we are	12	research that there are sort of five indicators where
13	independent of law enforcement and government, we	13	safe practice won't happen. It went through my mind,
14	receive no money from them, we are funded by the	14	because of you saying about people are not forced to
15	internet industry in the EU, but actually we all work	15	follow the code of practice for internet, but everybody
16	together. So we haven't we get in a room together,	16	subscribes to it. It occurs to me that safeguarding
17	the police, ourselves, and we all understand where we	17	policies exist, "Safe From Harm", 1993, the Home Office
18	sit within the value chain. So, for instance, we would	18	publication, gave 13 recommendations to voluntary
19	work with Lucy Faithfull, who I'm on the Stop It Now!	19	organisations which said, "Follow these
20	advisory group that, actually, we would talk to them	20	13 recommendations, my policy around this, and you will
21	and say, "How do people access child sexual abuse on the	21	be safe". The standards of those policies vary
22	open internet?" They would talk to perpetrators, they	22	throughout, I have to tell you, but I'm just wondering
23	would feed that back to us and we'd look at ways that we	23	what evaluation has been done on organisational policies
24	can then target our resources effectively to target	24	to ensure safe practice so that people work safely with
25	those people to stop them viewing in the first place.	25	their beneficiaries and the beneficiaries know there's
	Page 69		Page 71
1	I just think the landscape is very clear in the UK	1	a safeguarding policy and how to whistleblow.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in a way that it just isn't in other countries. People envy our position. In the US, you have to go to court to get a court order to take the content down. We can have it removed in under two hours. I just think we have some fantastic models here and we should actually be building on them. MR HILL: David Ashcroft, that seems to chime somewhat with what you have been saying about multiagency approaches? MR ASHCROFT: Yes, and the power of that to be effective. I think there is a challenge, though, in making sure that the kind of work that Susie has just outlined feeds into and is applied at a local -- particularly with statutory agencies and how they work, both police and social services, because we have a context in too much of our approach to safeguarding within which I am including CSE, which is about how we manage the demand on statutory agencies rather than the kinds of discussions we have been having more broadly this morning, which I think is much healthier and much more useful and much more likely to have an impact, we are still conditioned by, how do we stop more referrals to social care, how do the police manage the workload that

they have? So we are managing and trying to administer

demand rather than addressing some of the more

Page 70

MR HILL: Before we turning to whistleblowing, I look towards David Beard as a possible source of an answer to Linda's question about how we evaluate the general policies. MR BEARD: I'm not sure it is going to be an answer. I probably don't agree with her, to be frank. Actually, as soon as you said it, you start then reflecting on what's happening within your own organisation and how you respond. I mean, I have just taken responsibility for reviewing Barnardo's safeguarding policies and to run those through our trustee board, who ultimately sign them off, and I guess the question about, does that make Barnardo's safer as an organisation? Well, I have no evidence to indicate one way or the other than, I guess, "I hope so" and, secondly, in terms of issues that get escalated through my organisation would indicate, there's a good awareness of practice. But that's not the answer to the point that Linda made in any shape or I mean, policies are there to protect professionals and for professionals to work within them. That's the

fundamental part about them. It is moving on to the next stage, about how that then affects practice, which

Page 72

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 is the critical part of the question, I suspect. 1 we generate money from and helps fund the board. But we 2 2 MR HILL: I think that is absolutely the critical part of deal with the community and voluntary sector. It not 3 the question. Just before we turn to that, and 3 only provides training at basic levels for a wide 4 whistleblowing, if I could just ask you for your views 4 variety of community, local, sports, leisure 5 on the five themes that were identified in the research, 5 organisations who wouldn't otherwise be able to access 6 which were, forgive me for summarising them, firstly --6 these at very cheap rates, but also we do quite a lot of 7 7 these are organisational barriers: firstly, the rigid, work about validating policies. So we actually provide 8 hierarchical, closed organisation; secondly, a lack of 8 a validation with the sort of badge of the Norfolk 9 accountability or openness to scrutiny; thirdly, 9 safeguarding board saying, "We have looked through your 10 a failure to implement existing policies; fourthly, poor 10 policies, we have given you advice". They match the 11 supervision; and, fifthly, failure to provide a safe 11 kind of expectations we have nationally in terms of 12 space or an environment for disclosure. 12 policy, and "You should be able to operate with these 13 Are they matters that you recognise and that chime 13 effectively". They don't remove these barriers, but 14 with you and your experience and knowledge as being 14 they give those organisations as much space as they can 15 problems? 15 to begin to tackle them in a proactive way. 16 MR BEARD: They are, but I think we also have to 16 MR HILL: Sheila, I see you want to raise a point? 17 contextualise this. I think I'm probably entering my 17 MS TAYLOR: I just want -- David just mentioned the sports 18 40th year in social care, so I think we have to accept 18 arena and that wider -- so that wider education outside 19 the fact that things have moved forward and they have 19 schools into the community, but not just the community. 20 changed a lot in that space of time. 20 An awful lot of people are independent professionals 21 There is an increased amount of openness and a lack 21 running sports clubs, drama clubs, child minders, 22 of rigidity in a number of organisations, but we know 22 fashion, photography, a whole host of things, where they 23 that some organisations are more defensive about their 23 are not monitored, they are not regulated, and it gives 24 practice, but there have been some really good examples. 24 access, and that has to be part of that bigger community 25 25 I mean, the Methodist Church openness and their inquiry, work, but also there's some systematic and quite Page 73 Page 75 1 probably two or three years ago now, into multiple 1 organised aspects of it sometimes, so it needs to be 2 failings within their organisation I think is a really 2 looked at. I think you might benefit from looking at the 3 good example of an organisation that was previously 3 4 quite closed in terms of its practice, quite closed down 4 prevalence study done by Germany -- in Germany, by the 5 in terms of its disclosure, but actually was very open 5 University -- German University of Sport in Cologne. in terms of the report that it presented in respect of They have done a prevalence study on abuse in sport. 6 6 7 that, and has moved on significantly in terms of its 7 That's Professor Bettina Rulofs. I think that is 8 practice. 8 probably the only piece of real prevalence done in that. 9 g So I think that is quite a good example of Then also, while I have the opportunity, I would 10 a faith-based organisation, one that I actually grew up 10 maybe flag up Fier Fryslan and Ineke Van Buren in 11 in, in terms of that was my family's faith at that 11 Holland. They have a very, very good programme for 12 period of time, who have really moved on. When 12 young people, a scientifically validated programme of 13 colleagues were articulating those kind of five 13 therapeutic care, because what I'm not hearing about, 14 14 barriers, I don't think anybody in the room would argue and maybe it's the wrong environment, is that we are 15 against them. I think they are all very current and 15 talking about prevention, but when young people have 16 have enormous room for improvement. 16 gone through the court process and everything else, it 17 MR HILL: Again I see some nodding. David Ashcroft? 17 just stops dead and they have quite a good process. MR HILL: That's something that I hope to turn to this 18 MR ASHCROFT: I think it is about having a number of levels 18 19 at which you can approach and tackle these challenges. 19 afternoon. 20 I agree, I think in a sense they are self-evident. Just 20 MS TAYLOR: Okay. 21 to give one practical example of my board in Norfolk, we 21 MR HILL: So if we could come back to that and make a mental 22 22 run what we call our Safer programme which is for the note of it. 23 community and voluntary sector. It is not part of our 23 Just on the sport point, I turn to Pam from the 24 statutory obligation to provide multi-agency training on 24 NSPCC, because an area of research identified again of 25 safeguarding as a board, it is actually a programme that 25 good practice, good current -- and I stress current --Page 74 Page 76

1	practice in the UK is in the realm of sport and the	1	MR HILL: I might look around the table and see if anybody
2	Child Protection in Sport Unit, which I believe the	2	wishes to take this forward. The researchers were clear
3	NSPCC has been involved in. I wonder if you could tell	3	that they haven't been able to find specific data and
4	us a little about that and what you have found to be	4	evidence and studies which would assist on this issue,
5	effective?	5	but, Sheila, can you help?
6	MS MILLER: The Child Protection in Sport Unit is part of	6	MS TAYLOR: I think, as an organisation, we have taken calls
7	the NSPCC and we work with a number of the sports	7	and worked with professionals who have tried to
8	governing bodies to help them put into place policies	8	whistleblow and have faced quite difficult personal
9	and procedures, guidelines, about how to safeguard	9	difficulties with it. So I think if you particularly
10	children effectively in sport. So it is all voluntary	10	wanted to speak to somebody, we could approach people
11	as far as which sport bodies work with us, and it does	11	that might talk about their experience of trying to
12	filter down to the grass roots level, and I can say that	12	whistleblow in this current climate and that it's been
13	because I'm a welfare officer, I have been a welfare	13	problematic for them and in some cases left them to
14	officer for a grass roots football club and for an	14	leave their job, in other cases they have felt quite
15	athletics club and it does filter down in different ways	15	disempowered in the role that they have got.
16	for different sports bodies, but safeguarding is a huge	16	MR HILL: Linda, yes, please?
17	issue. So that's work that we currently do.	17	MS DOMINGUEZ: It just occurs to me that one of the research
18	It is hard for us to do anything to say, "This is	18	elements was how media works really well in getting
19	what's happening across sport in England and Wales",	19	messages across. Now I think whistleblowing and
20	simply because all the sports bodies have different	20	acceptable and people accepting it is about changing
21	reporting procedures that they use to gather data about	21	people's mind-set, and about education around why we
22	what comes up to the national level, as far as child	22	whistleblow: it is about the protection of a vulnerable
23	protection issues.	23	child or a vulnerable adult, it is not about you
24	But there are some reports I can't remember the	24	personally. You know, if you have put yourself in
25	researcher's name, but he studied at Brunel who	25	a circumstance where somebody can raise a concern, that
	,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 77		Page 79
1	looked at some of the case studies from different	1	is more about your behaviour. I am just wondering if
2	sporting bodies. I could also in the written stuff	2	there is any way a media campaign could address the
3	I will provide you give you references to that also.	3	whistleblowing issues.
4	MR HILL: We would be grateful for that. Thank you.	4	MR HILL: Sorry, David, did you wish to make
5	If I could turn to whistleblowing, and, Jon, this	5	MR ASHCROFT: Sorry, very briefly. It has been interesting
6	was a matter that you raised earlier with our	6	that I think a number of safeguarding boards I can't
7	researchers. If I could just invite you to discuss	7	quantify this, but are certainly anecdotally receiving
8	further the concerns or the issues or the points of	8	more, not necessarily formal or, you know,
9	practice that you think we need to address?	9	whistleblowers in a formal sense, but certainly more
10	DR BIRD: Yes. For us, it is just sort of a big-picture	10	complaints, more concerns, more questions being raised.
11	concern, really. There has, over the years, I think, we	11	I think it does leave the question about at least
12	have seen evidence to support a kind of a view that	12	LSCBs are an independent partnership separate from the
13	often agencies are protecting their own reputation about	13	statutory agencies. I think that is one of the reasons
14	protecting children. I think that is a big theme across	14	why my colleagues are having complaints, enquiries, in
15	all of the work of the inquiry.	15	some cases actual whistleblowing events, coming through
16	Whistleblowing protection has obviously come up in	16	to them. We don't have clear powers or responsibilities
17	all sorts of other contexts, like health and safety,	17	for how we respond to those, which I think is a gap in
	et cetera, and the implications of somebody making	18	
18 19	public a concern not only sort of within that	19	the current process: but I think we need to think a bit about how that doesn't get just sucked back into the
20	organisation, but then subsequently in their	20	individual statutory agencies who are our major
20		21	employers in this area, but we actually provide some
22	professional career they may not get a job because some future employer is going to think, "Oh, dear, dodgy,	22	independent route that can allow people to raise
23	this one". So, yes, my concern is just the bigger	23	concerns at a number of different levels, including
23	picture on that. I wouldn't want to go into individual	24	allegations of the work of the LADO but also
25	cases, for obvious reasons.	25	whistleblowing in a more defined sense.
_ <i>LJ</i>			WILDERDOWING III A HIVIE WEITHER SCHOOL
	cases, for obvious reasons.		······································
	Page 78		Page 80

1	MD HIII - Assis descensed as aldered so aldered	,	The section of Contract and the second of the section of
1	MR HILL: Again, there are nods around the table, and these	1	I'm not sure if we have got another opportunity to ask
2	all seem helpful and useful ideas, but am I right in	2	the evaluators.
3	thinking that nobody here can identify a particular model or a particular study which helps to show what is	3	MR HILL: It is perhaps something that I might discuss with
4 5		4 5	them over lunch. MS EGGLESTON: Okay.
	an effective whistleblowing procedure or process?	6	•
6 7	Again, I see heads being shaken. MR ASHCROFT: I don't think there is one answer. I think	7	MR HILL: We will see if there is a possibility to come back on that. But it does seem to be a point which is coming
8	one needs a number of mechanisms.	8	up repeatedly around the table about how you measure the
9	MS EGGLESTON: It is good to say that, currently, a London	9	effect of things, the extent to which one relies solely
10	radio station, in conjunction with the Mayor's office,	10	on academic models and how much one relies on anecdotal
11	is running a whistleblowing campaign on the radio as an	11	evidence and how much one relies on experience on the
12	advert, and it is a very effective, powerful advert.	12	ground, how you mould those things together.
13	But I don't know who's evaluating that. It is running	13	I think I will talk to our researchers over lunch
14	currently.	14	about that. There may be no easy solution. I imagine
15	MR HILL: Thank you. I think that's an area which we may	15	they are, at the moment, cursing my name for saying
16	wish to seek further work in.	16	that. But I notice that Tony Beech wishes to come from
17	MS EGGLESTON: I just wanted to add, though, if it is all	17	the academic side.
18	right, and it kind of ties in with the position of	18	PROF BEECH: That's right. I was just going to defend the
19	power, because in terms of the identification of	19	academic side, that you don't do things quickly enough.
20	the five areas of concern I know I'm going back	20	The problem is, universities are really driven by trying
21	a bit, but I wanted to kind of add it in was that	21	to get money. I will probably get the sack for saying
22	that would be something we would add into those five, is	22	this. But to do something quickly I mean, you can do
23	around positions of power in the closed organisation,	23	it with a student, that's really, really good. There
24	the accountability. Because if you are trying to	24	was some evaluation of Circles of Support, for example,
25	influence policy or if you are trying to influence	25	a three-year project that people have got a bunch of
	and the system of the system o		and the first of t
	Page 81		Page 83
1	change where you sit around the table, it has a massive	1	money to do and then Lucy Faithfull said, "We want it
1 2	change where you sit around the table, it has a massive effect. So one of the things that I think we would	1 2	money to do and then Lucy Faithfull said, "We want it done in three months". We did it in three months
	effect. So one of the things that I think we would		
2		2	done in three months". We did it in three months
2 3	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic	2 3	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research
2 3 4	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed,	2 3 4	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are
2 3 4 5	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the	2 3 4 5	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real
2 3 4 5 6	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact	2 3 4 5 6	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So
2 3 4 5 6 7	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on?	2 3 4 5 6 7	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of closed organisations, et cetera, but they have been open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory reporting. We know that there is a government
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of closed organisations, et cetera, but they have been open enough to say, "We need to review our policies, our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory reporting. We know that there is a government consultation exercise that has taken place. We know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of closed organisations, et cetera, but they have been open enough to say, "We need to review our policies, our whistleblowing, our practice". But actually, when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory reporting. We know that there is a government consultation exercise that has taken place. We know that at some stage the outcome of that will be published
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of closed organisations, et cetera, but they have been open enough to say, "We need to review our policies, our whistleblowing, our practice". But actually, when you try to influence that change, it is so significant in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory reporting. We know that there is a government consultation exercise that has taken place. We know that at some stage the outcome of that will be published and a full debate is going to follow. For now, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of closed organisations, et cetera, but they have been open enough to say, "We need to review our policies, our whistleblowing, our practice". But actually, when you try to influence that change, it is so significant in terms of affecting that whole institution, it might take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory reporting. We know that there is a government consultation exercise that has taken place. We know that at some stage the outcome of that will be published and a full debate is going to follow. For now, for today's purposes, I would just like to try to get an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of closed organisations, et cetera, but they have been open enough to say, "We need to review our policies, our whistleblowing, our practice". But actually, when you try to influence that change, it is so significant in terms of affecting that whole institution, it might take years and years to implement. Does that make sense?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory reporting. We know that there is a government consultation exercise that has taken place. We know that at some stage the outcome of that will be published and a full debate is going to follow. For now, for today's purposes, I would just like to try to get an outline of people's views and the range of views on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of closed organisations, et cetera, but they have been open enough to say, "We need to review our policies, our whistleblowing, our practice". But actually, when you try to influence that change, it is so significant in terms of affecting that whole institution, it might take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory reporting. We know that there is a government consultation exercise that has taken place. We know that at some stage the outcome of that will be published and a full debate is going to follow. For now, for today's purposes, I would just like to try to get an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	effect. So one of the things that I think we would also have concerns around going down an academic evaluation all of the time, has already been discussed, but I think it is around how you scrutinise the effectiveness of policy, how do you measure the impact by talking to the people it's impacted on? I would be interested in kind of the evaluation that you did on what the learning was from that, how that influences change, because it is really difficult to see from we haven't had time to properly read it, but when you identified those five things, how do you make it different? How do you influence those? Just recently, we have done a piece of work we have done a few pieces of work, quite discrete, one with the military and one with Universities, where we have been looking at their internal policies and procedures. You could say they meet all of those five criteria of closed organisations, et cetera, but they have been open enough to say, "We need to review our policies, our whistleblowing, our practice". But actually, when you try to influence that change, it is so significant in terms of affecting that whole institution, it might take years and years to implement. Does that make sense?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	done in three months". We did it in three months because we did a quick, short, sharp piece of research but these things normally grind on because people are trying to get money all the time and that's a real driver at universities, unfortunately, these days. So that's why things can take a long while. But I would suggest to people who want to do things very quickly is approach your nearest university because there is bound to be a department that has loads of students who want to do research. So there are loads of people who can do things for nothing for you. So there you are. Holding up the university side of things. MR HILL: Thank you, Tony. We will be turning to Circles of Support this afternoon, and we will come back to that. For now, I am conscious that time is pressing on, and I would like to turn relatively briefly, because this is a seminar topic in itself, to the question of mandatory reporting. We know that there is a government consultation exercise that has taken place. We know that at some stage the outcome of that will be published and a full debate is going to follow. For now, for today's purposes, I would just like to try to get an outline of people's views and the range of views on

1	Again, we are not here to ask anybody to justify or	1	MR HILL: Sheila, I see you are anxious to raise a point?
2	to test their view, but simply to understand the range	2	MS TAYLOR: Yes. What we have got a fundamental problem
3	of responses that are available on this topic.	3	with is information sharing, and where does
4	Namita, could I perhaps turn to you first and the	4	information sharing and mandatory reporting and
5	Survivors Trust and your view on mandatory reporting?	5	whistleblowing, what are the defining lines between all
6	MS PRAKASH: Survivors Trust supports mandatory reporting.	6	of those? What is it that we have the mandatory
7	We believe that because of various reasons, different	7	reporting against and what is information sharing? The
8	professionals are not in a position sometimes when they	8	information sharing is the fundamental problem, and
9	get to know about something, they don't report it.	9	I think if you had an inquiry, you have a serious case
10	Also, it is going back to the onus of children being	10	review, you have a piece of research, you go in and do
11	able to disclose. It should be the responsibility of	11	a health check, you do a review at HMRC, all of those,
12	the professionals working with them to be able to report	12	you can almost guarantee in most places that one of
13	or to raise the issue, because, in our experience, it	13	the fundamental problems will be information sharing.
14	does get reported, it is not that it doesn't get	14	I don't believe that people don't share information.
15	reported, of course, we all know that, but in a lot of	15	I believe they do share information. I believe they
16	instances where there is small information, it is not	16	share information in a way that doesn't always make it
17	huge, and it doesn't get reported, it doesn't add up to	17	onto somebody else's system, and that's the disconnect,
18	the whole picture, and you might know a little bit but	18	in a number of places.
19	the other person might know a little bit more and it is	19	I feel quite strongly that we have to start to
20	adding up to the whole picture. Also, bringing the onus	20	repair that and make that system complete and
21	back not putting the onus on children and young	21	comprehensive to know whether we actually need to go
22	people, because it is very difficult for them to	22	down the route of other avenues and evaluate that.
23	actually go to anybody and say, "Yes, this has happened	23	MR HILL: David Ashcroft?
24	and I want to do something about it". It is about them	24	MR ASHCROFT: We have taken the view as an association that
25	saying something, you know, a line or two lines,	25	we don't support mandatory reporting because we think it
	Page 85		Page 87
1	drawing years acqually that comothing had have and	1	is mouth, monocived as a massic hullet which describ
	dropping very casually that something had happened	1	is partly perceived as a magic bullet which doesn't
2 3	rather than actually, you know, putting it in a way that	2	solve the challenges that we have just heard about in
4	we adults talk. So it is really important. It comes from that perspective.	3 4	terms of the contributions. I think this question of getting a range of robust mechanisms for whistleblowing
5	MR HILL: Thank you. Jon, if I could ask for NAPAC's view	5	and raising concerns and tackling the culture as well as
6	on this as well?	6	the protocols and policies around information sharing
7	DR BIRD: Thank you. NAPAC put its name to the mandatory	7	are actually where we need to address our attention, and
8	reporting call going back a few years now, I think,	8	we came to the conclusion after quite a wide
9	Survivors Trust and Tom Perry. I understand the	9	consultation with our members that, actually, the
10	complexities of the discussion. I understand the	10	proposals around mandatory reporting that were put
11	findings from the Australian experience. Yes, false	11	forward were likely to be unhelpful in tackling those
12	allegations are sometimes made. I think, you know,	12	issues. So I agree with my colleagues, but we came, as
13	police forces around the world will be aware of that.	13	an association, to a slightly different position in
14	I am also aware of another criticism that comes from	14	terms of the proposals that the Home Office put out and
15	police who say, "How do we enforce this?", you know,	15	we wait yet it is another one of these issues, which
16	"How can you prove that somebody knew something years	16	is floating somewhere in the ether, while we are still
17	ago and didn't say anything?" There are all sorts of	17	trying to manage the consequences for children in
18	concerns around this. That is really why I put the	18	practical terms.
19	point about whistleblower protection. I think the two	19	MR HILL: Sheila, yes, please?
20	are inseparable. I don't know where the government has	20	MS TAYLOR: Just to build on that, I think David is
21	got to in its analysis of this suggestion, but I think	20	absolutely right. I would add one extension to that:
22	that would be a helpful sort of add-on to the whole	22	policies and procedures do need to reflect it, but
23	discussion, but, really, what we want is a culture where	23	actually people need the mechanism. They need the
24	the abuse of children is not condoned or accepted in any	24	practical mechanism to share information.
25	way, and it is quite simple.	25	There is an area, a small county, that is doing some
20	THE T. WHEN IT IS CHIEF SHIPPIC.	_ <i>J</i>	There is an area, a sman county, that is doing some
	Page 86		Page 88

1 really good work around that and I'm happy to share that 1 Rape Crisis around the infrastructure needed to make 2 with you away from here. I wouldn't want to bring 2 this work and I agree with the points that have been 3 scrutiny down on them without having that conversation. 3 raised. 4 But they seem to have started a mechanism that helps 4 We took our viewpoint, really, from Ireland, where 5 them to share information that's accessible to 5 the mandatory reporting had been brought in under a duty 6 everybody. It is small nuggets of information, which we 6 to act. One of the things, a consequence of that, was 7 know are the crucial bits to building a bigger picture. 7 the safe spaces reduced because of confidentiality 8 We can write all the paper we want on policies and 8 issues, particularly for individuals contacting 9 procedures. Unless people actually have the way of 9 Rape Crisis centres in Ireland. So we would urge you to 10 sharing it that is the same language of everybody else, 10 look at that kind of evidence when you are considering 11 then that's where we need to crack the nut, really. 11 the position. 12 MR BEARD: I guess the conversation we are having on this is 12 MR HILL: Thank you. I think I am going to move on from 13 just a really good articulation of the difficulties of 13 mandatory reporting. It is important to understand the 14 this whole area and quite appropriate view -- different 14 outline of the debate, but I think there is inevitably 15 and opposing views people hold about it. I guess the 15 going to be more to come on this, but those research, all the studies kind of vindicate those 16 16 contributions have been very helpful, so thank you. 17 positions to some extent, because even in jurisdictions 17 I would like to turn to an example of good practice 18 where mandatory reporting has been a function for 18 that was highlighted in the research, and that's the 19 a lengthy period, there is still no strong evidence one 19 Children's House or the Barnahus. I am conscious that 20 way or the other. So therein lies the question. 20 this is something that is being piloted at the moment in 21 So organisationally, we didn't support mandatory 21 the UK, but it is under review and so there may be 22 reporting. I guess one of the things for us was, we 22 a limit on what can be said about it. 23 weren't clear there was necessarily a strong enough 23 But I would invite anybody from around the table to 24 evidence base on serious underreporting at the moment in 24 give their views on how effective they can see this kind 25 any case, and I still think that that is a major 25 of one-stop shop may be in assisting in disclosures and Page 89 Page 91 1 question. The point that David said about, this cannot 1 reporting? Pam? 2 be the single -- this isn't the golden bullet, it isn't 2 MS MILLER: As you said, I can't say much about what we are 3 3 absolutely going to be doing that. The duty to act, doing around Children's House right now, but what I can 4 however, may have some merit and that may be something 4 say is we have been looking at how it could be applied 5 that is worthy of some further consideration. 5 and organised here in the UK, and hopefully soon we will 6 I think Sheila's point about information sharing is 6 be able to give you a bit more detail than that. 7 really, really important in this. Often, and I think 7 We and other groups have been looking at how it can 8 the experience I have had from other serious case 8 be effectively done in the UK. 9 9 reviews and their equivalent in Wales, is exactly that: MR HILL: I look towards David. Is it the kind of area 10 often, it is the difficulties due to relatively simple 10 where, again, you have spoken, as have others, about the 11 11 things like structural changes in organisations that need for multi-agency links and ties. Is this the kind 12 create the difficulties of people making the referral 12 of practical example that you think may be of 13 that they think they're making, because things happen, 13 assistance? 14 things change. How the referral is received within the 14 MR ASHCROFT: I think it is, and what I know of 15 receiving authority may change. They may have 15 the initiative, I think personally and professionally 16 a triage-type system, somebody thinks they are actually 16 I'm very supportive of what it may deliver. 17 making a referral to a social worker and they are not. 17 I think it illustrates, though, and perhaps the 18 Unless there is that internal communication that is 18 hesitancy of what can be discussed now illustrates the 19 19 going on, then those are the kind of -- they should be difficulty of a very fragmented national picture of how 20 a quite quick fix but we know they are not and those are 20 we commission good ideas. To put it crudely, money 2.1 the areas that cause difficulties. So information 21 doled out to different people at different times to do 22 22 sharing is such a massive issue in relation to this. good things almost irrespective of their value, and then 23 MR HILL: Lee, I think you want to come in here? 23 other parts of the country, other agencies, wanting to 24 MS EGGLESTON: Yes. I think in terms of the report, I think 24 learn from those good examples, particularly in this 25 it highlighted some of the concerns that we had at 25 area of multi-agency working and holistic and

Page 90

1	consolidated working with children and families, but not	1	research is in Swedish, but they all speak English. So
2	having the resources to do it.	2	we could talk to them and learn a lot more that way.
3	You know, we have the government trumpeting	3	MR HILL: Sheila, yes?
4	a massive innovation project and investment in	4	MS TAYLOR: I think, just adding to that, I think it is
5	children's services, but it's been going out in very	5	totally right, but they don't have quite the same
6	separate amounts, and that leaves the question of	6	diversity as well. That is one of the other significant
7	consistency and investment across the whole of our	7	issues. If you have got a similar sort of place in the
8	national service for child protection and safeguarding,	8	centre of London or Birmingham or Manchester or some of
9	I think, as a real challenge for us, which is one	9	those other areas, then it offers additional
10	I think we need collectively to begin to air and	10	complexities that you would have to work through.
11	address, because it does feel that that means that good	11	MR HILL: Tony, I think you wish to make a point?
12	examples that you know, we have had cited all through	12	PROF BEECH: It was just a point of information, really,
13	this morning, you know, how do you pick them up? When	13	from the Guobrandsson chapter in the Council of Europe
14	you have got evidence that they work, how do you begin	14	stuff. There are actually seven Children's Houses in
15	to implement them in your own local area? Too much of	15	Norway. There is one in Finland and one somewhere else
16	that is dependent on spot funding or I was going to	16	as well. So it is not just in Iceland. There are
17	say arbitrary, which is probably not quite fair, but	17	things around in other parts. So it might be worth
18	targeted monies available to allow agencies to do that.	18	having a look at this chapter, actually, I could leave
19	MR HILL: Again, I see nodding around the table. Lee,	19	with you, if that would be useful.
20	I know this is a point that you wish to make.	20	MR HILL: That would be. Thank you very much.
21	MS EGGLESTON: One of the questions that I had, so I'm not	21	One of the other points that came up from the
22	sure what the differences are with this and sexual	22	research was the use of technology in helping to
23	assault and referral centres that work with children	23	identify and report and disclose. The example was given
24	currently and have got huge investment across the	24	of telemedicine facilitating remote consultations with
25	country. So I would be interested to know why	25	experts that may assist in helping to disclose and
	Page 93		Page 95
		T	
1	I mean, from my knowledge of it, it was a recommendation	1	identify potential signs of abuse.
2	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations	2	Is that something that anybody feels that we could
2 3	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was	2 3	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country?
2 3 4	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite	2 3 4	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit
2 3 4 5	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good	2 3 4 5	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child
2 3 4 5 6	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice.	2 3 4 5 6	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police,
2 3 4 5 6 7	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what	2 3 4 5 6 7	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of the differences between SARCs is because it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other disciplines around and think about it from a 360-degree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and one of the — there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s — again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of the differences between SARCs is because it is residential and it is much easier to achieve with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other disciplines around and think about it from a 360-degree angle, then you get a different response to a case. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of the differences between SARCs is because it is residential and it is much easier to achieve with a small population and the direction of those resources	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other disciplines around and think about it from a 360-degree angle, then you get a different response to a case. So that is the work of the response unit now and that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and one of the — there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s — again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of the differences between SARCs is because it is residential and it is much easier to achieve with a small population and the direction of those resources which, in this country, go all over the place and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other disciplines around and think about it from a 360-degree angle, then you get a different response to a case. So that is the work of the response unit now and that is ongoing, so it may be that you want to look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of the differences between SARCs is because it is residential and it is much easier to achieve with a small population and the direction of those resources which, in this country, go all over the place and they don't have any choice but to do it that way. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other disciplines around and think about it from a 360-degree angle, then you get a different response to a case. So that is the work of the response unit now and that is ongoing, so it may be that you want to look at the response unit and how it is working and have that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of the differences between SARCs is because it is residential and it is much easier to achieve with a small population and the direction of those resources which, in this country, go all over the place and they don't have any choice but to do it that way. But I think if research is going ahead you know, all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other disciplines around and think about it from a 360-degree angle, then you get a different response to a case. So that is the work of the response unit now and that is ongoing, so it may be that you want to look at the response unit and how it is working and have that conversation with some of the cases which obviously are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of the differences between SARCs is because it is residential and it is much easier to achieve with a small population and the direction of those resources which, in this country, go all over the place and they don't have any choice but to do it that way. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other disciplines around and think about it from a 360-degree angle, then you get a different response to a case. So that is the work of the response unit now and that is ongoing, so it may be that you want to look at the response unit and how it is working and have that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and one of the there were about 20 recommendations from the Children Commission's report. This one was implemented. It had huge investment. But I'm not quite sure what the differences are of what makes it good practice. MR HILL: I think we are going to have to wait to see what the outcome of that is, but I think it is a pertinent question to have raised now and to consider again. Jon, I think you wish to make a point on that? DR BIRD: Yes. There is just a bit of a back story to all of this Icelandic approach. Iceland is a very small population. It is much easier to deliver these services, and perhaps there isn't an alternative but to do it in the one-stop shop. I happen to know, from living in Denmark many years ago, that they developed a model on this back in the early 1980s again, a small population. It is, you know, I think one of the differences between SARCs is because it is residential and it is much easier to achieve with a small population and the direction of those resources which, in this country, go all over the place and they don't have any choice but to do it that way. But I think if research is going ahead you know, all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that something that anybody feels that we could be taking forward further in this country? MS TAYLOR: That is predominantly with the response unit now. That is our task, running the National Child Sexual Exploitation Response Unit is a team of police, health, safeguarding, education, community, parent engagement and youth participation, that's that collective team, and the neighbourhood community regeneration. That's that whole team. We receive calls all the time on strategy, developing strategy, action plans and cases. So, "We have got this case, we don't know how to move forward, we have tried this, we have tried that", and the whole team will work together, because, actually, if you present a case so perhaps if I can give you an example. If a police officer comes with a case and they're looking at it predominantly from the police perspective, and then you sit all those other disciplines around and think about it from a 360-degree angle, then you get a different response to a case. So that is the work of the response unit now and that is ongoing, so it may be that you want to look at the response unit and how it is working and have that conversation with some of the cases which obviously are

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

24

25

3

1 for understanding in this inquiry as well. It's perhaps 2 something we have to do somewhere else. 3 MR HILL: Thank you. I realise I have overrun with the 4 time. I want to turn to the public gallery, and to do 5 so before lunch. Forgive me for not keeping a close 6 enough eye on the clock. 7 But the panel and the chair are very keen for those 8 who have taken the time to attend today, for which we 9 are very grateful, to also have an opportunity to 10 contribute to the discussion that has taken place this 11 morning. I would invite anybody who wishes to do so to 12 make any observations now. I would ask you just to wait

make any observations now. I would ask you just to wait until the microphone gets to you, also, to identify yourself and, if relevant, any organisation. As I am sure everybody realises, we are concentrating on what we can learn from overseas and implement in England and Wales, and we can't discuss individual cases.

18 MS COATES: It is just an observation, really, linked to the 19 research. It is not really about overseas, but I think 20 it is a concern -- I'm not sure if this is happening 21 overseas, I don't know if you noticed it at all, but you 22 did allude to something, which was, in the UK currently, 23 there is a myriad of activity in local areas regarding

CSE, and statutory partnerships abound around CSE and
 the CSA is often lost. In your presentation you

MR HILL: Can I see if anybody wishes to take forward the

2 points that have been raised there? One thing that

3 I would say is that, in terms of the post reporting and

4 support that is provided for those who have reported,

5 that is a topic we are going to be coming back to

in July. A great deal of emphasis will be placed on itthen.

But in terms of the wider points that have been made, does anybody wish to comment or take any of that forward?

Is there anybody else from the public gallery who wishes to contribute?

13 MS LUDLOW: Just with regards to mandatory reporting --

14 MR HILL: Could I ask for your name?

MS LUDLOW: Dianne Ludlow, One in Four. Just a point in relation to mandatory reporting and whistleblowing protection. I know the Australian research, et cetera,

I think you acknowledged reluctance of professionals to
 disclose here, and I think there is -- they are

20 inextricably linked. So I think by whatever model that

21 came in, the mandatory reporting and the whistleblowing

protection combined provides almost a safe space for
 professionals to actually act on their professional

duties, which at the moment there seems to be some

reluctance in some areas. That's it, really.

Page 97

highlighted that this can happen when national policy is fragmented or simplified. I don't know if that is

3 happening overseas.

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A children's right approach should always include CSAs and LSBs, social care, schools, et cetera, need more robust policies around CSA. I would like for us to be mindful that the report -- when you gave your information earlier, I looked at the summary. I hadn't seen the whole report. There is a lot of talking about reporting. There is a lot of emphasis on reporting. I think we have spoken a lot today about reporting and the initiatives that encourage reporting.

But focusing on reporting in isolation actually misses the needs of a lot of children and their families, so we also need to look in the round pre reporting, possible reporting, post reporting. Children and families have all that need, not just

quick, one-stop-shop-type things, one-stop-shop
 initiatives that are funded just to focus on reporting.

Even though it is really important, it is bigger than.So it is an observation, really, more than a question.

MR HILL: Can I just ask so we have it on the record for your name, please.

MS COATES: I'm Sheila, I'm from the VSCP, the Victims and
 Survivors Consultative Panel with IICSA.

Page 98

Page 99

1 MR HILL: Thank you. Jon, I think that's closely allied to 2 the point that you were making there as well.

the point that you were making there as well.

DR BIRD: Absolutely. Yes, I completely agree. I share

that point. The experiences that they're hearing at
 One in Four and what NAPAC hear on our support line all

6 the time, continuously we're hearing people giving us

evidence that things should have been said ages ago,and, yes, it does all tie in with the wider discussion

about support for adult survivors. You know, the people who are going to be presenting to the inquiry were

who are going to be presenting to the inquiry were children then, they're adults now, and I look forward to

that further discussion.

13 MR HILL: Thank you.14 MS LUDLOW: But it is also about support for the

professionals, which I think can be underlooked.
 MR HILL: It is a good point, and one that, again, I

MR HILL: It is a good point, and one that, again, I think we may wish to think about in our July seminar as well.

MS COATES: Just a quick comment around whistleblowing.

I think we need to be mindful when we are looking at how
we gather the evidence about whistleblowing, which there

seems to be not very much of, that victims and survivors also are part of the whistleblowing focus. We focus

a lot on professionals, and that's really important, but
 victims and survivors and their families often try to

25 whistleblow, but they are not actually engaged in the

1	processes that we might develop around whistleblowing	1	(2.00 pm)
2	and they mustn't be forgotten.	2	Comments by THE FACILITATOR
3	My final comment would be around when we talk about	3	MR HILL: Welcome back, everybody. We are now moving to the
4	local safeguarding children's rules or multiagency	4	topic of what can we learn from practice overseas about
5	partnerships and how important they are locally, often	5	the control and management of perpetrators. There will
6	voluntary sector and third sector organisations are not	6	be a presentation from Dr Christine Barter. We will
7	equal partners in those forums, so to have an impact in	7	follow the same pattern as this morning: presentation,
8	those forums can sometimes be very difficult and we need	8	points of clarification, the discussion amongst the
9	to think about that when we are setting up forums.	9	invited participants and then observations from the
10	MR HILL: Sheila, yes, please, I think you wish to come back	10	public as well. The same ground rules will apply to the
11	on that?	11	contributions, and we retain the same invited
12	MS TAYLOR: I think Sheila has made a very good point there.	12	participants as this morning, I am happy to say. There
13	It is good often a parent or a young person that is the	13	is no need for any reintroductions. So I will hand you
14	catalyst to realising that there's something wrong and	14	straight over to Dr Barter.
15	then they have to go outside the structures. I think	15	Presentation by DR CHRISTINE BARTER
16	where they go to perhaps gets missed out in	16	DR BARTER: This afternoon's session will look at the
17	whistleblowing in terms of they might not know	17	control and the management of adult perpetrators of
18	a structure to follow to formally whistleblow. But	18	sexual abuse and also young people exhibiting sexually
19	certainly parents and young people themselves need to be	19	harmful behaviour.
20	considered when you're looking at that.	20	The purpose and approach is the same as this
21	MR HILL: Thank you very much.	21	morning. The findings presented this afternoon will use
22	MR ASHCROFT: Just to recognise the point you have made	22	the method and approach to appraising research evidence
23	about the fact that not all boards have been as	23	as summarised this morning by Lorraine. This
24	welcoming or as inclusive as they should be, I am very	24	afternoon's session presents rapid assessment evidence
25	clear, as the national chair, that absolutely they	25	on what can be learnt from overseas practice on the
	Page 101		Page 103
1	should be and they need to work, but we are often	1	control and management of perpetrators to ensure they do
2	working against some of the views of partners.	2	not reoffend. I should say the emphasis on this
3	I just wanted to highlight what struck me as a theme	3	afternoon's presentation is on stopping re-offending.
4	right through this morning, actually, the last line of	4	Evidence is set against the context of the four
5	the report or summary report:	5	inquiry themes as outlined this morning: cultural;
6	"Cross-government and multi-sector working	6	structural; financial; professional and political.
7	structures at national and local levels are crucial to	7	Findings. The control and management of
8	preventing conflicting policy responses"	8	perpetrators has involved stopping an offender from
9	Which I think you implied by this question of, where	9	committing further offences and, ideally, rehabilitation
10	do we put CSE and CSA together.	10	to reduce the risk of offending in the future. Most
11	" and completing objectives."	11	management of sexual offenders has been within the
12	I felt that was an important summary of what we	12	criminal justice system and also specialist treatment
13	learned from the research, that it's fed into a whole	13	services. Prosecution, sexual offender treatment,
14	series of comments that we have had from the floor and	14	surveillance and management in the community are the
15	from us here.	15	most common criminal justice responses to child sexual
16	MR HILL: Thank you very much. I turn to the chair with	16	offenders in high-income countries.
17	apologies for overrunning, and would ask that you	17	There is a vast amount of research on sexual
18	indicate when you would like us all back?	18	offenders and this area of the review included the
19	THE CHAIR: If everyone is content to do so, we should	19	greatest number of publications, although few originated
20	return at 2.00 pm as planned, because there is much to	20	from Europe.
21	get through this afternoon.	21	Community involvement can broaden the scope of
22	MR HILL: May I apologise to everybody for shortening their	22	disruption strategies beyond law and child protection
23	lunch.	23	services to include a wider range of organisations in
24	(1.10 pm)	24	the community, such as hotel, transport and leisure
25	(The short adjournment)	25	facilities.
	Page 102		Page 104
L	O -		0 .

The review found promising evidence on engaging wider community organisations and disruption actions from other jurisdictions, including Australia and North America. However, we need to remember that treatment responses for adults are not necessarily relevant for young people with sexually harmful behaviour. Where culturally appropriate, community-based treatment services may be more effective, especially those involving family and care givers. This has been found in New Zealand and Australia and, later on, I will provide a case study which examines these types of services for young people.

q

Structural factors. The UK has the strongest legislation and policy for sexual offenders, recording rates and prosecutions have grown steadily, but little evidence was found on the effectiveness of civil orders.

Resources and monitoring has mainly focused on high-risk, convicted male sexual offenders. Despite the growth in recording and prosecution rates, there is evidence that much sexual offending goes undetected and the majority of perpetrators of child sexual abuse are not subject to investigation, prosecution or conviction, particularly child sexual abuse within the home environment.

D--- 10E

There is growing research suggesting that sexual

Page 105

offenders vary in their motivations and in the crimes they commit. Yet most of the research and treatment approaches have been with convicted and incarcerated sexual offenders.

Therefore, further work is needed on managing and responding to different types of sexual offenders, particularly those not convicted. In the UK, Germany and Sweden, attention is now shifting to look at offenders at lower levels of assessed risk, including those not convicted. We have already heard about the Stop It Now! programme where helpline services are for adults in the community who, themselves, are worried about other people's behaviour or their own behaviour and, therefore, assessing risk at a much lower level than those in relation to the high-risk, convicted offenders.

It is, however, important to note that research findings on policy on some sexual offender interventions in other jurisdictions have not always been in harmony. For example, policies on sexual offender registration, public notification schemes and residency restrictions, which can be perceived as punitive and also popular, especially in North America, have shown to have limited impact on reducing re-offending and may work against rehabilitation of the offender in the communities, for

Page 106

example, due to vigilante behaviour and severe restrictions imposed on where an offender can live, making the possibility of finding employment and reintegration in the community extremely limited.

Further structural issues. There exists a fairly extensive body of research into sexual offender treatment responses, but this shows mixed results on re-offending across a wide range of jurisdictions.

Sexual offender treatment outcomes are more likely to be effective if they take a risk-need-responsivity -- known as the RNR -- approach. This means addressing the type of offence, the level of risk, the offender's criminogenic needs, learning style and abilities or responsivity. Criminogenic needs refers to characteristics, traits or problems that are associated with an individual's likelihood to reoffend. These can be static, for example, unchanging factors such as age at first offence, or dynamic, for example, use of substances.

A meta analysis of 23 studies using the RNR approach found, compared to comparison groups, a reduction in re-offending rates for those adult male perpetrators using it. However, although 23 studies were included in this meta analysis, only five reached the good standard of research we are using within this practice

Page 107

assessment.

The RNR approach has currently been tested with online offenders in England and Wales. Emerging findings from the evaluation showed improvement in socio-affective functioning and reduction in pro-offending attitudes. However, again, this study did not reach the quality control for the review, but it is promising.

There exists research in adult sexual treatment models that draw on restorative justice principles such as the Circles of Support and Accountability in Australia, Canada and the USA. Similar programmes are in use in the UK, and the evidence and impact is likely to grow.

This approach was developed in Canada in the 1990s, with a faith community as response to concerns about public planning over sexual offenders. It involves volunteers providing community support and practical help to high-risk sexual offenders released into the community, to reduce their social isolation and rate of offending. Evaluations in Canada and the US show promising results, although these are limited by a lack of information on those who took part and how they were assessed as eligible. Also, attrition rates are relatively high and some show some evidence that victims

Page 108

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

1 and survivors are not always adequately supported in 1 prevention responses to intimate partner violence within 2 2 feeling safe within those communities. adolescent relationships, including online forms of 3 3 Overall, there was very little evidence on treatment abuse. 4 programmes for female sexual offenders. 4 The case study presents a treatment programme for 5 Further work is needed on effective structural 5 young people who exhibit sexually harmful behaviour responses for health, education and social work, based on a programme from New Zealand, and in-depth 6 6 7 7 managing peer abusers, improving prosecution and use of interviews with sexually abusive youths and their 8 appropriate sanctions for sexual offenders in 8 caregivers at three community treatment programmes in 9 organisations such as churches, faith groups and sport. 9 New Zealand were undertaken. They found that good 10 Education services have a role in perpetrator 10 pre-entry information reduced barriers to participation 11 rehabilitation within the criminal justice system and 11 in treatment. 12 prisons. We found no research-based publications on 12 Positive engagement was also facilitated by the 13 managing sexual offenders from educational 13 quality of the client/therapist relationship, family 14 establishments, although clearly an important area to 14 involvement, using creative and physical activities and 15 consider. 15 ensuring culturally appropriate communication. For 16 There is literature that describes vetting and 16 example, measures of family functioning improved if at 17 barring procedures. However, as outlined in the 17 least one of the client's parents was involved and could 18 presentation this morning, the impact on preventing 18 attend therapy. Issues of cultural differences should 19 sexual offenders is minimal as it only achieves barring 19 be recognised by ensuring that cultural services for 20 for those people who have already been convicted of 20 ethnic minorities are integrated into all levels of 21 a sexual offence against a child. Therefore, it is 21 the programme delivery. 22 22 ineffective in reducing overall prevalence rates for However, the evaluation did not evaluate the impact 23 those who have not already been through the criminal 23 on re-offending for young people. An evaluation of 24 justice system. 24 community-based treatment in Australia which did look at 25 As previously mentioned, the treatment practice 25 re-offending found that the highest rates for subsequent Page 109 Page 111 1 developed for adults will not necessarily be effective 1 sexual offending for young people were amongst those who 2 for young people who exhibit sexually harmful behaviour 2 dropped out of the treatment programme. 3 as the majority of these people will not go on to be 3 So the overall key message in relation to the four 4 adult perpetrators. It is still too soon in the 4 structural foundations were: 5 evidence to draw any conclusions about which risk 5 Cultural: community involvement can strengthen factors might predict further sexual offending among 6 6 disruption strategies within the community. Cultural 7 young people with sexually harmful behaviour, making 7 and age-specific responses are needed for young people 8 allocation of treatment on the basis of risk a problem. 8 with harmful sexual behaviours. 9 We found more evidence supporting the use of 9 Structural: focus on high-risk, convicted offenders 10 multisystemic therapeutic approaches which recognise 10 have predominated. Evidence on treatment is mixed but 11 that all areas in a young person's life require 11 more effective responses address offender risk, needs 12 attention and treatment -- for example, their homes and 12 and responsivity. 13 families, schools and teachers, neighbourhoods and 13 Financial: as we heard in this morning's 14 friends -- than the more widely used cognitive 14 presentation, there is little work around the financial 15 behavioural treatment-based approaches, which are 15 implications of different treatment programmes, and we designed to change attitudes and distorted cognitions 16 16 have very little information on treatment programmes for associated with harmful sexual behaviours 17 17 young people in this area. 18 Emerging evidence suggests that treatment is more 18 Lastly, professional and political: more research on 19 effective when parents/caregivers are involved in the 19 effective responses to peer abuse is required. 20 treatment programme. More research on the management of 20 Thank you. 21 young people's sexually harmful behaviour within the 21 MR HILL: Thank you very much. I would now turn to the 22 education system is needed. 22 questions on clarification of the research, and, again, 23 While peer sexual abuse is a common experience, the 23 I would ask everyone to keep in mind the fact that we 24 review found little evidence on effective responses to 24 will be moving on to a broader discussion of some of 25 the problem. Though there is some research on effective 25 the issues raised subsequently. Does anybody have any Page 110 Page 112

1	points of clarification on the research?	1	of restorative justice models for working with sexual
2	Points of clarification	2	offenders for adults and also for young people. So it
3	MS EGGLESTON: Just one, really, on the cultural one, where	3	depends a little bit on the type of programme and the
4	you have identified culturally and age-specific. We	4	type of approach.
5	wondered around gender-specific interventions as well,	5	Some of the studies that we looked at, well, they
6	whether that was part of your research?	6	just didn't break down that information to that level of
7	PROF RADFORD: In relation to young people?	7	detail, I'm afraid.
8	MS EGGLESTON: Yes.	8	MR HILL: Tony, I think you had raised your hand?
9	PROF RADFORD: Most of the work has been done with males, so	9	PROF BEECH: I had. I was just going to add to the
10	there is very little on the gender aspects there. So,	10	restorative justice stuff. I mean, there are RJs in
11	no, I'm afraid we have found very little.	11	this country who won't do stuff on sex offenders, will
12	There is very little research on working with adult	12	they? They think it is very problematic, actually, to
13	female sex offenders as well. There is some, and it	13	RJ stuff in this country.
14	seems to be growing a little bit, but it is quite	14	I was just going to talk to the point about young
15	limited.	15	people going on and there is a paper, if you look
16	MS EGGLESTON: Thank you.	16	across life histories, there is a peak at the age of 13
17	MS HARGREAVES: I just wanted to ask a question in relation	17	about verbal sexual offences are committed by young
18	to young people not going on to be offenders as adults.	18	people aged 13, as you say, but some are more generally
19	Was there any research in terms of the breakdown of	19	pro criminal, don't carry on you know, might be
20	the crimes when they were young people? So was there	20	committing gag offences, et cetera, but then you do have
21	any link, for instance, to sexting or child sexual abuse	21	a group, the more kind of paedophilic one, who will
22	images, whether it is contact abuse or not? Did you do	22	carry on. So that's the two groups you can see with
23	any breakdown on that?	23	young people.
24	PROF RADFORD: The studies we looked at didn't break it down	24	Can I add one more point: people, in terms of
25	that specifically. Most of the studies look at	25	exhibiting harmful sexual behaviour, where does that
			omioning name of all tour, where uses that
	Page 113		Page 115
1	re-offending rates. The problem with these studies on	1	C 0.74
1	re-offending rates. The problem with these studies on		
2			come from? It worries me, in a way, to involve the
2	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or	2	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out
3	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will	2 3	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of
3 4	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account	2 3 4	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works
3 4 5	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates,	2 3 4 5	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if
3 4 5 6	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific	2 3 4 5 6	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be
3 4 5 6 7	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction.	2 3 4 5 6 7	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to
3 4 5 6 7 8	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range. I just wanted to know whether it was intrafamilial or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become almost performing as they have been taught, because what
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range. I just wanted to know whether it was intrafamilial or was it outside of the family, and also the types of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become almost performing as they have been taught, because what we are seeing is the transition of children and young
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range. I just wanted to know whether it was intrafamilial or was it outside of the family, and also the types of abuse that were covered within this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become almost performing as they have been taught, because what we are seeing is the transition of children and young people that are sexually exploited past 18 who are then
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range. I just wanted to know whether it was intrafamilial or was it outside of the family, and also the types of abuse that were covered within this. PROF RADFORD: Again, the literature that we looked at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become almost performing as they have been taught, because what we are seeing is the transition of children and young people that are sexually exploited past 18 who are then criminalised for all sorts of things when it is an exit
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range. I just wanted to know whether it was intrafamilial or was it outside of the family, and also the types of abuse that were covered within this. PROF RADFORD: Again, the literature that we looked at didn't necessarily break that down in relation to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become almost performing as they have been taught, because what we are seeing is the transition of children and young people that are sexually exploited past 18 who are then criminalised for all sorts of things when it is an exit strategy. I don't know if I have put that carefully
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range. I just wanted to know whether it was intrafamilial or was it outside of the family, and also the types of abuse that were covered within this. PROF RADFORD: Again, the literature that we looked at didn't necessarily break that down in relation to the restorative justice programmes. The restorative justice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become almost performing as they have been taught, because what we are seeing is the transition of children and young people that are sexually exploited past 18 who are then criminalised for all sorts of things when it is an exit strategy. I don't know if I have put that carefully enough, but I am very keen to say that I don't for one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range. I just wanted to know whether it was intrafamilial or was it outside of the family, and also the types of abuse that were covered within this. PROF RADFORD: Again, the literature that we looked at didn't necessarily break that down in relation to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become almost performing as they have been taught, because what we are seeing is the transition of children and young people that are sexually exploited past 18 who are then criminalised for all sorts of things when it is an exit strategy. I don't know if I have put that carefully
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sexual offending is that they count recidivism or re-offending in different ways. So some of them will count increased reports, some of them will account rearrests, some of them will count reconviction rates, and sometimes they don't break down what the specific offence is for reconviction. Where they do break that down, from the literature that we looked at and there may be others here that know this area much better than us from the literature that we reviewed, for young offenders, when you have re-offending, it's general re-offending. So not necessarily sexual offending. And distinguishing between those that reoffend in general and the smaller proportion that have sexual re-offending for sexual crimes could be an area that we need to be looking at. MS PRAKASH: With regards to control and management structure, you talk about some concerns from a range. I just wanted to know whether it was intrafamilial or was it outside of the family, and also the types of abuse that were covered within this. PROF RADFORD: Again, the literature that we looked at didn't necessarily break that down in relation to the restorative justice programmes. The restorative justice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	family. Where does it come from? They are acting out stuff that's in the family. You can see in terms of things that you know, more systemic therapy works really well, but I think we have to be really careful if we are including a family where there could well be a perpetrator within the family. It is something to think about. PROF RADFORD: When we are talking about a family, it doesn't always need to be the offending parent, does it? PROF BEECH: No. MS TAYLOR: I want to be really careful with this question, because my intention is not to say that people that have been abused go on to offend later on. This is not what I am saying. But what I am asking, does the research offer any clarity about how many of those people offending were abused in earlier life or subject to pornography, and things like that, where it's become almost performing as they have been taught, because what we are seeing is the transition of children and young people that are sexually exploited past 18 who are then criminalised for all sorts of things when it is an exit strategy. I don't know if I have put that carefully enough, but I am very keen to say that I don't for one

1	offend, but I'm asking if there is any correlation to	1	MR BEARD: The former, primary prevention.
2	previous abuse?	2	PROF RADFORD: That was the topic of this morning's
3	PROF RADFORD: Actually, when we were doing the search, we	3	presentation. So there is some work that we covered in
4	came across a massive amount of literature that's	4	this morning's presentation and is also discussed in the
5	looking at the backgrounds and categorising offenders	5	research report on primary prevention work, you know, to
6	into different categories, looking at their past	6	prevent sex offending, but it's quite limited, I'm
7	experiences and also their pathways into crime and the	7	afraid, because it's mostly in that context of
8	trajectories into crime, and there are also cohort	8	preventing relationship abuse for young people and the
9	studies that have tracked through young people.	9	sexual violence aspects added on to that, or negotiating
10	But unfortunately, we discounted those studies	10	safe relationships type of education programme.
11	because what they were looking at was different types of	11	So the evidence that we found was quite limited,
12	offenders, not what organisations can do. I know that,	12	really, in terms of primary prevention for sexual abuse
13	in a way, working the argument that we made this	13	and sexual exploitation amongst young people.
14	morning, and we are making hopefully throughout the	14	MR HILL: I think primary prevention and proactive
15	whole of these sessions, is that you need to be focused	15	involvement and management of offenders and potential
16	specifically at the particular issues in relation to the	16	offenders is something that we are going to come on to
17	offence that's happening, and that can be variable, and	17	discuss I hope in some detail this afternoon, and
18	know that that's important.	18	although we have separated it into morning and afternoon
19	But because there is so much literature, we had to	19	sessions, I would hope that that is an area of
20	discount it. So the basic message was that we need	20	discussion which cuts across both. I think that seems
21	different methods of working with people, and	21	to be a logical approach.
22	particularly different methods of working with young	22	Does anybody else have any questions about points of
23	offenders in relation to adult offenders, and need to	23	clarification? I see Sheila and Lee. I will turn to
24	take into consideration the research literature that	24	Sheila first and then come to you, Lee, in a second.
25	shows that there might be different factors that	25	MS TAYLOR: Mine is a very quick one. There is quite a bit
	-		
	Page 117		Page 119
1	increase the vulnerabilities of some young people for	1	of research in the USA about hebephiles as opposed to
2	continued lifetime persistence, you know, that would	2	paedophiles, and has that been linked in?
3	inform the work that we are doing with them that we	3	PROF RADFORD: Yes, that was part of the research looked at,
4	would have to take into consideration. So I think	4	sorting offenders into different groups. So there is
5	that's all I can say in response to that question,	5	all of that research on hebephiles and also how you can
6	actually.	6	
7	There have been organisations that have looked at		nring that into programme responses, but less on whether
,			bring that into programme responses, but less on whether
8		7	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're
8	their case records to look at what were the patterns of	7 8	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors
9	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young	7 8 9	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we
9	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful	7 8 9 10	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment.
9 10 11	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that,	7 8 9 10 11	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is
9 10 11 12	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that	7 8 9 10 11 12	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent
9 10 11 12 13	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse.
9 10 11 12 13 14	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular politically, to some extent. I wonder whether you	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the Canadian studies, which are looking at the Circles of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular politically, to some extent. I wonder whether you established whether there is any learning from other	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the Canadian studies, which are looking at the Circles of Support and Accountability models, applying restorative
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular politically, to some extent. I wonder whether you established whether there is any learning from other jurisdictions about how to do effective prevention work	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the Canadian studies, which are looking at the Circles of Support and Accountability models, applying restorative justice techniques for working mostly with young sexual
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular politically, to some extent. I wonder whether you established whether there is any learning from other jurisdictions about how to do effective prevention work on perpetrators in a context such as perhaps we have in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the Canadian studies, which are looking at the Circles of Support and Accountability models, applying restorative justice techniques for working mostly with young sexual offenders, are the ones that we were most interested in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular politically, to some extent. I wonder whether you established whether there is any learning from other jurisdictions about how to do effective prevention work on perpetrators in a context such as perhaps we have in this country, that cultural/political context?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the Canadian studies, which are looking at the Circles of Support and Accountability models, applying restorative justice techniques for working mostly with young sexual offenders, are the ones that we were most interested in looking at. The outcomes are mostly focusing on the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular politically, to some extent. I wonder whether you established whether there is any learning from other jurisdictions about how to do effective prevention work on perpetrators in a context such as perhaps we have in this country, that cultural/political context? PROF RADFORD: So you're looking at prevention? At primary	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the Canadian studies, which are looking at the Circles of Support and Accountability models, applying restorative justice techniques for working mostly with young sexual offenders, are the ones that we were most interested in looking at. The outcomes are mostly focusing on the young offender and also the young offender's caregiver
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular politically, to some extent. I wonder whether you established whether there is any learning from other jurisdictions about how to do effective prevention work on perpetrators in a context such as perhaps we have in this country, that cultural/political context?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the Canadian studies, which are looking at the Circles of Support and Accountability models, applying restorative justice techniques for working mostly with young sexual offenders, are the ones that we were most interested in looking at. The outcomes are mostly focusing on the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	their case records to look at what were the patterns of pre-offending and the subsequent trajectories of young people after they had been through a specialist harmful sexual behaviour programme, and they tend to show that, actually, it is a small number that persist with that behaviour into adulthood. So being very careful about how we work with young people is a strong message, I think. MR BEARD: Talking about providing support to potential perpetrators is not an easy thing to talk about in our current culture, and probably not even that popular politically, to some extent. I wonder whether you established whether there is any learning from other jurisdictions about how to do effective prevention work on perpetrators in a context such as perhaps we have in this country, that cultural/political context? PROF RADFORD: So you're looking at prevention? At primary	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	taking that approach works yet, because I think they're still trying to explore how those different risk factors and different offending patterns might influence what we have to do in treatment. MS TAYLOR: Just for clarification, a paedophile is a prepubescent abuse and a hebephile is postpubescent abuse. MS EGGLESTON: Just going back to restorative justice, in terms of the promising results, could you clarify whether they were for the perpetrator? PROF RADFORD: Yes. That's one of the issues, that most of well, I think the Australian studies and the Canadian studies, which are looking at the Circles of Support and Accountability models, applying restorative justice techniques for working mostly with young sexual offenders, are the ones that we were most interested in looking at. The outcomes are mostly focusing on the young offender and also the young offender's caregiver

		I	
1	also, in some cases, whether or not there are any	1	see the connection, sorry. Can you disabuse me of that?
2	documented cases of further offending. The victims'	2	PROF RADFORD: I'm not saying they are connected. The point
3	voice, and I think it is particularly problematical if	3	I am making is that they are employing some similar
4	it is violence between brother and sister, for instance,	4	principles, in that part of working with offenders is
5	so sexual abuse of a brother and sister, I have	5	their rehabilitation in communities. So that's the only
6	absolutely no idea what they do in the restorative	6	connection that we are making. We are not arguing that
7	justice programmes or in Circles of Support and	7	restorative justice, which did develop from the work in
8	Accountability to respond appropriately to the needs of	8	Australia and New Zealand, and actually was based on
9	the victim in those circumstances.	9	Maori approaches to justice initially, wasn't it, and
10	MS EGGLESTON: Thank you. I think it is just a concern,	10	had a particular philosophy behind it and has been
11	really, that if it I mean, it is clear for here in	11	applied to lots of different scenarios, is different to
12	this presentation what you mean, but in terms of some of	12	Circles of Support and Accountability, yes. Restorative
13	the things you were discussing this morning, if people	13	justice was meant to divert people from the criminal
14	read things like "promising results" and it is not clear	14	justice system, particularly marginalised offenders,
15	it is about perpetrators, it could be assumed that it	15	whereas Circles of Support and Accountability are
16	victims too.	16	looking more at rehabilitation and community, you're
17	PROF RADFORD: Sorry, I should clarify a little bit, Daly's	17	quite right.
18	research did look at that. She did look at the impact	18	But in relation to young offenders, part of
19	on victims and she did find that there were high levels	19	the argument is that actually looking at the
20	of victim satisfaction.	20	rehabilitation of young offenders in their communities
21	MS EGGLESTON: In those countries?	21	and possibly with their families is an area that might
22	PROF RADFORD: With restorative justice. It was	22	be appropriate to be looking at.
23	Kathleen Daly's research, where she had the initial	23	MR HILL: Unless there are further points of clarification,
24	study that was looking at restorative justice programmes	24	I think we will move on now to the wider discussion to
25	and then she also did I think it was a five-year	25	follow up on the presentation.
	Page 121		Page 123
1	follow-up looking at it again.	1	Just on a practical point, I am going to move seats
2	MS EGGLESTON: In America?	2	so that I can see everybody in the room.
3	PROF RADFORD: Daly was Australia, I think.	3	The same ground rules as this morning apply. If
4	MS PRAKASH: Just to go back to that point, I think it is	4	I could just remind you all as well to speak into the
5	really important again, going back to the point you	5	microphones and turn them on when you make your points.
6	raised, whether it is intrafamilial or not. A lot of	6	Discussion
7	times, you can get victims saying, yes, the response is	7	MR HILL: I would like to begin with the question of what
8	because they have a vested interest in family members.	8	are sometimes referred to as punitive regimes and
9	It is really important to have clarification about what	9	regimes that require registration or residency
10	kind of abuse, whether it is intrafamilial or not. That	10	restrictions or public notification.
11	makes a huge difference. I just want to echo Lee's	11	We have heard from the University of Central
12	concern. It is very concerning when you say words. It	12	Lancashire team that the research doesn't seem to be
13	just needs to be explained properly.	13	positive about such regimes. Tony, if I could turn to
14	MR HILL: We are going to come on to restorative justice in	14	you, is that a view with which you would concur, and
15	the discussion as well, but Tony, I think, would raise	15	indeed can you take this matter forward?
16	a point now.	16	PROF BEECH: Yes, I totally agree on the punitive stuff,
17	PROF BEECH: Yes, just a point of information. You seem to	17	whether it be boot camps or scared straight or anything
18	be concatenating restorative justice with circles, but	18	like that, has very little success with offenders
19	to me they are two different things.	19	generally.
20	PROF RADFORD: Yes, they are.	20	In the United States, someone registering
21	PROF BEECH: Circles typically happen when someone comes out	21	restrictions means that some sex offenders have to live
22	of prison and it gives them a bit of a social life.	22	under bridges, and stuff like that. That's not a way to
23	Whereas restorative justice, you know, it is mediation,	23	reintegrate people back into the community at all. So
24	sometimes you meet a victim I mean, in the UK, it is	24	punitive stuff doesn't work, definitely.
25	sort of done often with different victims. So I don't	25	MR HILL: When you say it doesn't work, as Namita and Lee
	Dago 122		Dago 124
	Page 122		Page 124
			31 (Pages 121 to 124)

1	have pointed out, it is very important for us all to be	1	treatment from a very high-risk sex offender? I would
2	clear what we mean by "It does work" and "It doesn't	2	say no, for example. So you don't see that many
3	work" and for whom it doesn't work. Could you just	3	randomised control trials. When you do get them, which
4	explain a little further what you mean by that?	4	is in the meta analysis, it is normally on treatment
5	PROF BEECH: The example I was giving was just in the	5	that's not very good, that doesn't ascribe to the three
6	general offender field, the sort of programmes where	6	"what works" principles that were mentioned in the talk:
7	saying that people are scared straight or boot camps,	7	risk, need and responsivity. We have to look at other
8	there is a higher rate of recidivism than people who had	8	ways and see where the treatment works.
9	no treatment at all, actually, if I remember correctly.	9	Sorry, I have given you a very loquacious answer.
10	So those kind of regimes, very punitive regimes, don't	10	Sorry about that.
11	reduce recidivism, basically.	11	MR HILL: Not at all. I'm grateful for it.
12	What I am saying, if there is a lot of restrictions	12	Looking around the rest of the table, specifically
13	to where people actually live and what have you, and	13	on this issue of punitive regimes, is there anybody here
14	getting jobs, how are they going to there was mention	14	who takes a different view from Tony about the
15	of good lives this morning, and how can people lead	15	effectiveness of such regimes? I will take that as
16	a more fulfilling life, and so less likely to offend	16	a "no", I think, from everybody.
17	because they have other things in their life, how is it	17	If we turn, then, to rehabilitation and Circles of
18	going to be less likely they will offend when there	18	Support, Tony, you raised this a moment ago, and I would
19	aren't other things in their life because they are	19	like you, if you would, to just expand a little more on
20	living under a bridge, basically?	20	what Circles of Support are and how effective they have
21	MR HILL: You mentioned employment there and getting jobs.	21	proven to be and where they are being used?
22	Is that a significant factor in recidivism?	22	PROF BEECH: A colleague of myself did a meta analysis on
23	PROF BEECH: Oh, yes. There is a study, a very long study,	23	not very large samples. It is difficult to say. The
24	that took place in the United States. I think they	24	data is not really there to say whether it is effective
25	followed people up for about 30-odd years. The three	25	or not. But we calculated from the small meta analysis
	Page 125		Page 127
1	his things for morals again sowers offending is:	1	wa did that it would ago the original justice cretom
1	big things for people again, general offending is:	1 2	we did that it would save the criminal justice system
2	work; being in the army, because this actually happened	2	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle
2 3	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in	2 3	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are
2 3 4	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are	2 3 4	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the
2 3 4 5	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective	2 3 4 5	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment.
2 3 4 5 6	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that	2 3 4 5 6	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end
2 3 4 5 6 7	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders,	2 3 4 5 6 7	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is — you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is — you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is — you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical epidemiologist, but he works in numbers which are in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they established?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical epidemiologist, but he works in numbers which are in kind of millions, basically, for a lot of the big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is — you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they established? PROF BEECH: The Lucy Faithfull Foundation runs Circles, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical epidemiologist, but he works in numbers which are in kind of millions, basically, for a lot of the big studies he actually does. Randomised control trials in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is — you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they established? PROF BEECH: The Lucy Faithfull Foundation runs Circles, and we have some students that volunteered to be involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical epidemiologist, but he works in numbers which are in kind of millions, basically, for a lot of the big studies he actually does. Randomised control trials in the medical field are much bigger numbers than you could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is — you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they established? PROF BEECH: The Lucy Faithfull Foundation runs Circles, and we have some students that volunteered to be involved in the circle. I don't know the nuts and bolts of it, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical epidemiologist, but he works in numbers which are in kind of millions, basically, for a lot of the big studies he actually does. Randomised control trials in the medical field are much bigger numbers than you could ever get in the sex offender treatment, for example.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they established? PROF BEECH: The Lucy Faithfull Foundation runs Circles, and we have some students that volunteered to be involved in the circle. I don't know the nuts and bolts of it, as such. They then meet with someone who has come out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical epidemiologist, but he works in numbers which are in kind of millions, basically, for a lot of the big studies he actually does. Randomised control trials in the medical field are much bigger numbers than you could ever get in the sex offender treatment, for example. There are whole problems in doing randomised control	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they established? PROF BEECH: The Lucy Faithfull Foundation runs Circles, and we have some students that volunteered to be involved in the circle. I don't know the nuts and bolts of it, as such. They then meet with someone who has come out of prison, I don't know, weekly probably, and provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical epidemiologist, but he works in numbers which are in kind of millions, basically, for a lot of the big studies he actually does. Randomised control trials in the medical field are much bigger numbers than you could ever get in the sex offender treatment, for example.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they established? PROF BEECH: The Lucy Faithfull Foundation runs Circles, and we have some students that volunteered to be involved in the circle. I don't know the nuts and bolts of it, as such. They then meet with someone who has come out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	work; being in the army, because this actually happened in the States quite a long while ago; and being in a relationship. For general offenders, they are really they are factors which were protective factors, basically. So I see no reason why that wouldn't be the same for other types of offenders, basically. MR HILL: If you look at that research body that you have spoken of there, how robust do you think the conclusions that you have drawn from it how robust do you consider them to be? PROF BEECH: It was a study that went on for years and years and years. It was a big sample. So I think pretty good I mean, some people say everything is down to randomised control trials, but I think, unless you have massive samples, then randomised control trials don't really work for me that well. I work with a medical epidemiologist, but he works in numbers which are in kind of millions, basically, for a lot of the big studies he actually does. Randomised control trials in the medical field are much bigger numbers than you could ever get in the sex offender treatment, for example. There are whole problems in doing randomised control	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	quite a lot of money by somebody going through a circle and subsequently not re-offending. But the numbers are very small to really make any strong arguments at the moment. But I just don't think it is you know, at the end of the day, we are talking about a better society, and the fact that people should reintegrate, and they are more likely to reintegrate if they actually get out of prison and they have some support networks, basically. That is going to really help. We have to keep going forward on these kind of initiatives. As the data gets bigger, we could make some more firm conclusions. There was a study on it, which Steve probably knows about anyway. MR HILL: In terms of how you put those Circles of Support together, particularly for somebody who is leaving prison, potentially after a lengthy period, how are they established? PROF BEECH: The Lucy Faithfull Foundation runs Circles, and we have some students that volunteered to be involved in the circle. I don't know the nuts and bolts of it, as such. They then meet with someone who has come out of prison, I don't know, weekly probably, and provide

1	exact nuts and bolts there. I could get back to you on	1	volunteer's choice whether they continue that
2	that.	2	conversation or not, but in either case, we refer to
3	MR HILL: I would be grateful if you could, thank you.	3	Stop It Now!.
4	Just finally on this question now, before I turn to	4	Stop It Now!, we have been working together with for
5	others, what is it that is stopping the conversation	5	a very long time, I think since they started. Every so
6	about reintegration and a wider acceptance of Circles of	6	often I have to say to the CEO of Stop It Now!, "Please
7	Support and reintegration in England and Wales at the	7	stop sending your people to us", because some of our
8	moment?	8	volunteers are deeply offended by hearing that kind of
9	PROF BEECH: I was thinking about this. I was just thinking	9	thing. Now, personally, if I take that call, I'm
10	about some of the stuff that was talked about earlier on	10	prepared to engage with it. But we do, as I say, in
11	about media. To give an example, in Germany there is	11	training say to our volunteers, you know, "You are not
12	something called the Dunkenfeld Project which, again	12	there to take any kind of abuse, be it racial or
13	I mean, they have a different system in Germany where	13	whatever, but, also, you are not there to listen to
14	there isn't you know, if you are working with an	14	people who are describing a temptation to commit crimes
15	offender, there wouldn't be mandatory reporting of that	15	against children".
16	offender. But they have a lot of media stuff, they have	16	However, all of our resources on our website are
17	stuff on the TV, they have posters, so it is a bit like	17	very relevant, and they are used in sex offender
18	Stop It Now! but it goes a bit further than that. I was	18	treatment programmes, in the most secure units across
19	thinking, if they had posters like that in the UK, they	19	the secure state. So we recognise that they face
20	would last about 10 minutes before they were ripped	20	similar problems in addressing their temptations and
21	down. So there is a very different attitude.	21	their personal healing, but we are not prepared to
22	Partially, the attitude comes from the press, to be	22	engage with it ourselves for two main reasons: one,
23	quite honest. If you look at if you just look at	23	there is very little support for survivors who are not
24	what happens in something like The Sun or the	24	abusing, those are the people we want to support; and
25	Daily Mail, just the attitudes that come across on	25	there are other organisations who are better resourced
23	Daily Mail, just the attitudes that come across on	23	there are other organisations who are better resourced
	Page 129		Page 131
1	a day-to-day basis, that's really influencing how people	1	than us to do that work. So that's where we stand on
2	think. Until you can get to the press and say, "Come	2	it.
3	on, let's be more realistic in the kind of stories you	3	On the wider question, I think it would be remiss of
4	run", there is still going to be that mind-set, to be	4	me not to say, if Pete Saunders were here, he would be
5	quite honest, in the UK, about how people think of sex	5	saying the sentences are far too short and the messaging
6	offenders.	6	more widely, having taken into account what has been
7	MR HILL: I think this is bringing us towards the discussion	7	said about the attitude of the "red top" media, the
8	about proactive management, both of offenders and of	8	demonisation, all of that stuff, it's very difficult for
9	potential offenders, and I am anxious to ensure that the	9	a survivor who has gone through that whole difficult
10	voice of victims and survivors is heard at the start of	10	process of being a witness and cross-examined and see
11	that debate.	11	the defendant convicted and sent down and is then
12	If I look to in particular the four representatives	12	released after serving half of their sentence and moves
13	that we have of the groups, is there anybody who would	13	in three doors down the road and is looking over the
14	like to talk about attitudes towards proactive	14	fence at that defendant's children. That's very
15	management?	15	difficult. So we completely understand where the "red
16		16	tops" are coming from. I hope that helps.
17	DR BIRD: In very general terms, the way we work with survivors, and we train our volunteers to run our	17	MR HILL: It does. Thank you very much.
	survivors, and we train our volunteers to run our support line, is that we don't work with people who have	18	
18		19	If I look to the other three groups as well, to see if they wish to contribute anything at this stage?
19	themselves become abusers of children in adulthood.	20	
20	However, having said that, we do get calls to our	20 21	Linda, please. MS DOMINICHEZ: One in Four will work with a perpetrator if
21	support line from people who generally start the		MS DOMINGUEZ: One in Four will work with a perpetrator if
22	conversation by talking about their own experiences of	22	they have been a victim of abuse in the past. It is
23	abuse in childhood and then disclose that they may have,	23	a very fine line.
24	for example, seen inappropriate images or have	24	I think we have to remember that everybody that we
25	a temptation to look at that. We leave it at the	25	see in One in Four has probably been abused within the
	Page 130		Page 132
	U		U

1 family, and, therefore, they probably forgive their 1 go after the perpetrators. 2 abusers. They may even love them still. You might all 2 MR HILL: Fully understood, and this is, as I said before, 3 a debate which straddles both this morning and this be shocked by that, but that is the truth of the matter. 3 4 So if a perpetrator comes forward who has been 4 afternoon. In terms of the work that you have been 5 a victim themselves as a child and they are seeking to 5 doing with the Lucy Faithfull Foundation and others, 6 reintegrate themselves and they think that therapy and 6 there is one aspect of understanding who is 7 talking about why they did what they did and the impact 7 a predominant or a large group creating demand, and then 8 it's had on them is helpful, then we will give it a go, 8 there is another element, which is why that demand 9 because that gives us a better understanding of also 9 exists in the first place, which I know is a fantastic 10 working with the other side of it, who are the victims. 10 and difficult question. Are you doing any work in that 11 Demonisation, I mean, that's what sells papers. 11 area or, indeed, do you know of any other work that is 12 MR HILL: Susie, if I could turn to you, because this 12 being done? 13 morning you were talking about how RwF have done some 13 MS HARGREAVES: There's been quite a lot of international 14 work in this area and drew upon the question of demand, 14 research, particularly stuff in Germany, about people's 15 put in those cold terms. I wonder if you could expand 15 proclivities and behaviour. I mean, what we do know -on that, not just in respect of convicted offenders, but 16 16 our research is more in -- 80 per cent of what we see --17 also potential offenders as well. 17 actually, it's gone up. 90 per cent of what we see is 18 MS HARGREAVES: So I need to be clear that the RwF's job is 18 free. So only 10 per cent of what we see is behind 19 to remove content, it is not our job to go after 19 payment barriers, which is an organised crime issue. So 20 perpetrators, that's the police's job. We are very 20 the majority of what we can do is look at where people 21 clear that's not something that we work on. In fact, we 21 find the content, we can look at how they share the 22 actively encourage people to report to us anonymously. 22 content and we can share that information with groups. 23 The police estimate there could be as many as 100,000 23 So we can say, "Well, we know that, because it's free, 24 people at any one time looking at child sexual abuse. 24 it's about behaviour, it's about sharing, we know where 25 So we want people to report it to us so we can remove 25 these groups meet, we know the chat rooms that they Page 133 Page 135 1 meet", and we can pass that information on to the 1 it 2 What we do have is, on our blocking list, a splash 2 relevant groups who are looking at the behaviour. But, 3 3 you know, fundamentally, our job is really just to page which gives people information if they try and hit 4 4 a web page that we have blocked. It gives them remove the content. MR HILL: Understood. Thank you. Lee, yes, please? 5 information about why it's been blocked, where to go for 5 6 MS EGGLESTON: I think the majority of victims and survivors 6 help, which is Stop It Now!, and also what the that use our services, the perpetrator is never 7 7 implications could be of their actions in looking at 8 child sexual abuse, that they could go to prison, they 8 convicted, so they don't end up on any kind of 9 programme. So that's a big issue for us. 9 could lose their job. 10 In terms of where we would work is on the kind of 10 But I think what I would like to say is, what feeds 11 disrupting the content and using technology to stop 11 into those "red tops", or those kind -- is the myths and 12 12 facts and some of the broad kind of comments around people having access to that content. So that's very 13 much where our focus is. So we work with the 13 offenders or sex offenders. Without identifying who the 14 victims are, like we have said earlier, about whether it 14 technology, but in terms of the work helping 15 perpetrators, very much that's the other area. What we 15 is family or known, in our case, or not known, stranger, 16 I think that the myths and facts that we are dealing 16 will do is talk to people who do work with perpetrators 17 with on a daily basis is the -- the root of those comes 17 so we know where to put our efforts, basically, to try 18 18 from some of those misconceptions about who is the sex to stop them having access. For example, 19 Lucy Faithfull, the research that they did for us showed 19 offender 20 that the most likely group to stumble on to child sexual 20 MR HILL: How do we get beyond those myths about who is the 21 abuse -- we say "stumble" -- for the first time are 21 sex offender? MS EGGLESTON: That's the 64-million-dollar question. 22 young men aged 16 to 24 and least likely to report it. 22 23 23 MR HILL: It is, yes. The first time they might engage with that would be on 24 24 MS EGGLESTON: I think it is a really good question, but the public web. So we know that's where we need to 25 25 I think it is about us really articulating what we mean target some of our energy, but it's the police's job to

Page 136

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 by, "Who is a sex offender?", what relationship to the 2 victim/survivor they have and who is on the treatment 3 programme. My understanding with Circles is I thought 4 it was an independent organisation, I don't know much 5 about them. I know they have just recently got an award 6 from Lloyds to start quite a big programme in 7 West Yorkshire, so that's something that you could look 8 at, in terms of their plans, but I think it's about, 9 actually, to get rid of the myths and facts, we have to 10 articulate who we are talking about. 11 MR HILL: Yes. 12 MS HARGREAVES: Sorry, I should just say, obviously in 13 relation to offender behaviour on Julia Davidson's work and Ethel Quayle's work and all the work that's 14 15 happening here, there is a lot of work in relation to 16 what we are seeing. 17 The other thing I would just like to mention, and 18 I don't know if you are going to pick it up, is that the 19 other end of the spectrum of the self-generated content, 20 where you have young people producing sexual abuse

content themselves, for whatever reason, you know,

whether they are coerced or whether they are sharing

pictures, and actually that is a whole different type of

behaviour that needs to be dealt with completely

Page 137

1 MR HILL: I was going to come to that after the break, if we 2 may. But, yes, it is a very important point. 3 Before we get to the break, is there anybody else 4 who would like to comment on proactive management of 5 offenders or potential offenders, particularly perhaps 6 with reference to the Stop It Now! campaign, and the 7 general question of how this is communicated more widely 8 to the public? 9 MS PRAKASH: I just want to reiterate the point that others 10 have made, the voices of victims and survivors. It is 11 really important when you are talking about offender 12 management or reintegrating them in society. Also, with 13 regards to Stop It Now!, I'm not sure of the box that's 14 there in the brief today, whether it was just offenders' 15 response to whatever the questionnaire was in terms of 16 their feedback or victims and survivors responses have 17 been also taken into account, because it brings us back 18 to that question of, whose interest is it in? If I'm an 19 offender, I would of course want my best interests. If 20 I'm the victim, I would want my best interest. So it is 21 really important to, I think, desegregate that, you 22 know, segregate that, and have a specific example so we 23 can understand whether it is effective or not effective 24 and, if it is effective, for whom it is more effective, 25 whether the offender or the survivor.

Page 138

the narrow focus that we have addressed in this first

Page 139

MR HILL: We are hoping that Professor Radford might agree

Is there anyone else who would like to say anything

MR ASHCROFT: If I may, it is an observation. It is not an

perpetrators", yet we are talking about those who have

been convicted of an offence. I think when you look at

the work that's done with both perpetrators and victims

of domestic violence and other areas of abuse, there are

some really important correlations here. Why we need to retain an understanding of the particular complexities

and challenges of sexual violence and sexual abuse, for

many families, particularly in the intrafamilial

context, I think we need to place this in a slightly

broader context of other forms of abuse that are

perpetrated but which may never reach an offending

stage, but may involve involvement and statutory -- or

statutory and voluntary and community support. So the

whole question about what works and for whom I think has

a slightly wider and more complex dimension than perhaps

area that -- I'm learning more than I'm contributing

this afternoon. But I just think, reflecting on the

discussion, the thing is entitled "Management of

to come back at the end of the session. That will be

one of the issues we will perhaps ask her to address

about proactive management?

3 MR HILL: I agree. In part, it is just trying to manage the 4 debate. But it is something that cuts across both 5 sides. I think it is important to point out that the 6 University of Central Lancashire team addressed it in 7 the preventative section rather than the offender 8 section, although it has resonance for both. Sheila, 9

I think you wanted to come in?

MS TAYLOR: It is an observation, really, because we have talked about people that have got offender status. But in quite a lot of marginalised communities where the social norms are different and the acceptability and it is subjective what fits into abuse doesn't then get reported against and doesn't get investigated, so therefore you don't get a prosecution. So we have quite a lot in marginalised communities that we need to explore.

I don't want to particularly pick any one marginalised community out publicly, but we could have a conversation about some of the difficulties in some of those marginalised communities, that we don't consider at all, we tend to think of the sort of key categories around marginalised communities, but there are some others there that we really need to address because they

Page 140

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

21

22

23

24

25

differently.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very, very rarely come into the court procedures. They 2 sit outside of normal social circles and, therefore, our 3 regulations and what have you. So I think it is a gap 4 only because they are not reported and they are not --5 you have been tasked specifically to look at offender status, and we have got offenders that are never 6 7 prosecuted because of the difference in social norms. 8 So I think it is a gap and it is how we don't miss that, 9 because that's actually really important. 10 MR HILL: David Beard? 11 MR BEARD: Just a comment, more than anything else. The 12 conversation in the last 15 or 20 minutes has talked 13 a lot about adult perpetrators, I guess, so just to 14 think a little bit about young hypersexual behaviour 15 perpetrators. That's where the linkage back to this 16 morning in particular and the prevention agenda very 17 much comes into play. 18 Very often, once they are criminalised, if they are 19 put on the sex offenders register, their own life 20 chances are hampered in terms of finding work. So it is 21 the issue about that kind of early intervention to young 22 offenders. There is a lot of work being done around --23 it is very popular with the Welsh Government -- adverse 24 childhood experiences and the effect of that as it goes

which was the kind of linkage between particularly the conversation we had this morning around prevention and the fact that, once young harmful sexual behaviour perpetrators enter into the criminal justice system and are perhaps put on the sex offender register, their life chances are hampered, et cetera.

The issue I was raising at that point was around the linkage between the prevention agenda -- the commentary I made in respect of what the Welsh Government are particularly focused on was some work which I think was led on behalf of Public Health Wales, and I can't remember the name of the researcher, but it is fairly current, which is on the effect of adverse childhood experiences and which I believe is going to be fashioning quite a lot of the early intervention in the wider sense. That's programmes in Wales. That is probably something that perhaps the researcher would want to look at in some further detail.

I was actually trying to find vesterday the link to the researcher, because I knew I wanted to say something about this today. Tony might be able to comment because he mentioned to me at lunchtime that he's done some work I think alongside a -- it is a Barnardo's service, but it is multifunded, which operates in South Wales called Taith. It is quite a longstanding service, which is

Page 141

on through young people's experiences which links into

1 that prevention agenda. I think the crossover between 2 the morning and the afternoon is very real. 3 MR HILL: Can I ask you perhaps to hold that thought, 4 because after the break I was hoping to turn 5 specifically to the question of what can be done about 6 younger offenders and younger people exhibiting elements 7 of harmful sexual behaviour. If I could ask perhaps to 8 come back to that exact point, David, and ask you to 9 expand perhaps a little more on the work that is being 10 done there. 11

I turn to the chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. We will break now for 15 minutes. (3.00 pm)

(A short break)

15 (3.15 pm)

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

MR HILL: We are into the last session now, and we have about half an hour. I would like to dedicate this, if we may, to the question of younger offenders, younger potential offenders, and peer-to-peer abuse and potential abuse.

David Beard, you were talking just before the break about the work that is being done particularly with the Welsh Government on these kind of issues. I wonder if you could just develop that for us a little, please?

MR BEARD: Yes. Just to reiterate the point I was making,

Page 142

Page 143

funded by around six local authorities and also, I think, the police authority in South Wales as well. It has worked for a long time with young people, either at risk of engaging in harmful sexual behaviour or indeed are in the criminal system. So on a twofold approach, both in terms of providing an assessment which may or may not enter into the kind of criminal process, depending on where that young person is at, and then the potential for a treatment programme after that.

Now, in times of austerity, I have to say that the desire to pay for a treatment programme is publicly reducing over periods of time unless the assessment is strongly indicative of some positive outcomes. Now, that's, I guess, a sign of our financial times, but that I think is a kind of a good example of a piece of work that's sought to provide both early assessment but also -- early prevention and identification and assessment of risk and then a treatment strategy thereafter. Tony might want to comment more on that. He is perhaps a little closer to it recently than me. PROF BEECH: I have only just started with Taith, so I have got to do an annual report for them. I think, as I said to you at lunchtime, my wife, who is a clinical psychologist, has provided input to the service for a number of years. I have only just started. But she

Page 144

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

is incredibly impressed with the service, as am I. 1 heard it in the Northern Ireland inquiry and various 1 2 2 You talked about adverse childhood experiences. other places, that there is commercial gain, but it is 3 3 not being uncovered in investigations, so I think that's There is a sort of list, basically, of 10, and if you 4 score more than 6 you're likely to live 20 years less, 4 an important part. 5 5 To get back to this afternoon's session: young you're more likely to get a lot of physical illnesses, 6 offenders. I really struggle with this, because we see 6 you're more likely, as a young person, to have -- you 7 7 so many young people transitioning from 16 to 18, out of know, if you've got 4, have 50 or more sexual 8 18 into adult services; the whole exit strategy they are 8 encounters. It is a lot more likely for teenage 9 9 trying to perform to get out of what's happening to pregnancy. You see a lot of this in young people who do 10 exhibit sexually harmful behaviour. 10 them, and then being seen as facilitators, and it is very complex. They don't just become offenders post 18. 11 It is doing more basic prevention, really, isn't it, 11 12 because that list of risk factors are things like sexual 12 I think one of the things we are missing is, an 13 13 abuse, physical abuse, having somebody in the family in awful lot of these young people fit into the definition 14 prison, someone in the family who is a drug user, 14 of trafficking. You don't need to have crossed a border 15 et cetera. It's basic primary prevention. If you can 15 or water for trafficking. 16 16 Every social worker, everybody in the health get in there early on, then hopefully people won't carry 17 on and commit sexually harmful behaviour or any other 17 service, everybody in the police are all frontline 18 responders, first responders, to the NRM, which is the 18 harmful behaviour. So that's the stuff I'm really kind 19 of interested in, in the general criminology field, 19 National Referral Mechanism. There is very little 20 knowledge among professionals about the NRM and what it 20 really. 21 MR HILL: Sheila, if we could turn to you, please? 21 22 It brings a whole raft of legislation which is --22 MS TAYLOR: Can I just nip back to before the break, just to give you (a) a piece of research which I think you 23 23 I'm not saying it is easy, but it is easier to prove, 24 probably need to look at, which was commissioned by 24 because you only have to prove the intent to rape, not 25 25 the rape. So, therefore, you've got a whole wealth of Corinne Dettmeijer, who is the Dutch rapporteur, and she Page 145 Page 147 had a whole study on offenders that were in prison and 1 1 legislation that really supports, but the most important 2 they conducted that piece of research. So it is 2 bit is, if you have got somebody who has been 3 3 probably about three years old now, but it was quite criminalised and is identified as trafficking, there is 4 4 comprehensive, so it's probably got some good findings option to travel down route to get them decriminalised. 5 5 If those crimes are related to the trafficking 6 Just to follow on from that, I think it is really 6 situation, then there is option to get those crimes 7 7 important to recognise that all of the court processes decriminalised and their records straight again. 8 that we have seen, all of those major stories that we 8 We have got a case example of where we have managed Q 9 have seen in the media, and everything else, have pulled to do that, and I think that is actually really 10 in leaders of groups of people who have perpetrated 10 important, because we have heard that young people are 11 against children, and because quite often in those 11 criminalised. I would hate to think what I would do or 12 extended groups it's too many people to bring to the 12 my children would do to get out of being raped 13 court, the investigation tends to put parameters around 13 systematically. I mean, we have heard of some young 14 14 people that have been raped 500 times. What would you and to investigate the sort of main players in that 15 crime, there is an awful lot down the chain that are 15 do to get out of that? You may commit a crime to get 16 part of that demand that never come to the court. 16 out of that. It doesn't make you a criminal. 17 Their crimes are still horrendous, but they are not 17 I think that we have to explore how we get a better 18 leaders, and we are not seeing all of the chain 18 recognition of the NRM. The latest stats of the NRM 19 investigated properly; we are seeing leaders of groups 19 show -- I think it is a massive increase, I think it is 20 prosecuted. I think that whole chain investigation 20 more than a 100 per cent increase, on children that are 21 21 referred into the NRM. UK children for sex crimes is really needs to happen. 22 But also, alongside it, we really need to see the 22 the highest category now, so we are getting better but 23 23 commercial gain for those groups as well investigated, we are nowhere near. 24 which we are not seeing at the moment. There is 24 If you go to a conference and ask frontline 25 25 commercial gain. So many young people tell us that. We practitioners if they know what the NRM is and what it Page 146 Page 148

1	can do for them, half a dozen will put their hand up.	1	have two 17-year-olds who are over the age of consent
2	It is a massive gap in being able to tackle the issue	2	but are taking pictures, and then they are technically
3	but decriminalise children at the same time.	3	child sexual abuse images, so if they are distributed
4	MR HILL: I saw some nodding of heads.	4	and shared, then they are liable for prosecution.
5	David Beard, you are in agreement, I think?	5	Although the police are increasingly much more you
6	MR BEARD: Particularly around the lack of knowledge,	6	know, they are saying that the last thing they want to
7	I think this is absolutely entirely right. I'm not sure	7	do is put a 17-year-old who took a picture onto a sex
8	why that is. That's a question in itself, I think, why	8	offenders register.
9	there is that lack of knowledge. But, yes, you're	9	So at that end of the spectrum, you have those
10	absolutely right. It is a very impassioned comment	10	images to deal with and people treat it as normal
11	that's to be thoroughly supported.	11	behaviour. It is not as if they think they have done
12	MR HILL: If I can take a sideways step from that to the	12	anything crazy or weird. I mean, I had one young person
13	question of how we identify younger people who are,	13	say to me, "What's your problem? It's fun, it's sexy,
14	themselves, being victims of abuse and those who are	14	it's exciting, you know, back off", sort of thing.
15	engaging in what may seem to an older generation to be	15	At the other end of the spectrum, we are seeing
16	different sexual behaviour or dangerous sexual	16	younger and younger children on webcams, who clearly
17	behaviour, but may now simply be normative.	17	have been coerced at the other end of the webcam,
18	I turn particularly to Susie on that, and just this	18	actually engaging in very serious sexual abuse acts, and
19	I think phenomenally difficult question of attitudes	19	that's another issue for us, about ensuring but
20	towards electronic recording of sexual behaviour amongst	20	fundamentally it comes down to education. So ensuring
21	younger children, and how from the outside we seek to	21	that children are not left unsupervised in their
22	distinguish that which is criminal and abusive from that	22	bedrooms, and this is not just vulnerable children. We
23	which is not?	23	are seeing bedrooms of very smart houses and kids from
24	MS HARGREAVES: Thank you.	24	all sorts of areas and different backgrounds who are
25	Something we are seeing, interestingly enough, the	25	actually engaging in very highly risky behaviour, and
	Page 149	-	Page 151
1	age of our children we saw in images in 2016 went up	1	clearly are coerced and too young to know what they are
2	from 2015, and we put that down largely to the increased	2	doing.
3	amount of self-generated content.	3	But we need to have more of an approach to
4	One of the things that's very difficult for us to	4	understanding that they should have supervised access if
5	take action on is anybody who is over the age of about	5	they have a webcam and an internet-enabled device. So
6	14 or 15, because we can't tell if they are under 18.	6	we need to go from both ends. We are seeing very young
7	So one of the things we are working with the NSPCC on is	7	children, sometimes 5/6-year-olds, doing some of
8	looking for some kind of mechanism whereby young people	8	the stuff.
9	maybe in the 16/17-year age bracket could self refer and	9	Actually, we just need to ensure that the whole
10	their age could be verified so that we could then	10	education piece hits them really early on and that they
11	develop a list of those images.	11	understand what is appropriate and what is safe. At the
12	We have a thing called a hash list, which is a list	12	other end of the spectrum, for the older age group, we
13	of digital fingerprints of known images which, once we	13	need to find a way, a mechanism hopefully we will get
14	have it, industry can use to ensure those images aren't	14	there with the NSPCC for those young people who have
15	uploaded and we can go out and search for those	15	taken pictures to find a way to get those removed and to
16	duplicates.	16	protect themselves and for their lives not to be ruined
17	At the moment, there is no real place for those	17	by it.
18	young people of the sort of 16/17-year-olds to have	18	MR HILL: Pam, if I could turn to you from the NSPCC, what
19	their images removed because we can't verify their age	19	kind of work are you involved in and what are you
20	and they won't go to the police to get their age	20	finding are the problems that are arising with it?
21	verified. So we need some authority to verify their	21	MS MILLER: I'm not sure I can add on the image stuff. That
22	age. So that is a big issue for us.	22	is obviously something we have been working with RwF on.
23	So the whole area of self-generated content, in	23	We do have a reporting function through Childline for it
24	itself, is very complex, because you have people who	24	to go directly to RwF if a child wants to report.
25	will willingly take pictures of each other. You might	25	We have a lot of work that we have been doing
23	willings was pictures of each other. Tou inight	23	TO have a for or work that we have been doing
	Page 150		Page 152
			<u> </u>

1	campaigning-wise around Share Aware, Net Aware,	1	you start to work with parents in schools, and what have
2	basically, where parents, professionals and actual young	2	you, they put an awful lot of those parental guards and
3	people rate different applications and games and	3	those restrictions on the computer in their lounge, but
4	websites on how safe they are, how easy it is to get	4	very few of them understand that they need to do it on
5	into dangerous contact, and that's all available through	5	the phone and their gaming stations and lots and lots of
6	our website. So we are doing a lot of general online	6	other things. I think that often gets missed out.
7	safety work.	7	I can see nodding. That often gets missed out of
8	MR HILL: It brings us back slightly to where we began with	8	the conversations, and they think they have done it. So
9	you, Linda, and talking about the work that you are	9	I think there are some lessons there.
10	doing within the schools.	10	I think also you might benefit from looking at the
11	Is this an area that One in Four is finding is	11	research and forgive me I can't remember whether it
12	worrying and, if so, what is it that can be done to try	12	is the University of Plymouth or Portsmouth. I should
13	to educate those in schools about it?	13	remember and I can't. It is looking at pornography and
14	MS DOMINGUEZ: I think for us it is not so much about the	14	young people accessing pornography and the influence
15	internet. That obviously influences behaviours of young	15	that that has. Certainly one section of it recognises
16	people. There are far better people than us and better	16	that young people who are LGBT questioning don't get
17	programmes CEOP and the NSPCC run fantastic campaigns	17	sexual health relationship in school, so where do they
18	around internet safety.	18	go? They don't want to tell anybody, so they access
19	What we see is the impact of them going online and	19	pornography and they look at some of that.
20	then copying, basically. They're looking for role	20	So they start off with a concept that's not real,
21	models. They see these people doing all this risky	21	that's fabricated, and, you know, designed for something
22	stuff, and we all know that children go through that	22	completely different, so they start off in the kind of
23	brain phase of risky behaviour, and they will copy it.	23	wrong place, and it doesn't get rectified easily.
24	So what we do is work with, "What's that going to	24	I think that's a piece of research that might help you,
25	feel like in 10 years' time, when you're trying to find	25	to look at some of that as well. I am happy to pass it
	Page 153		Page 155
1	a job and somebody else goes online and looks at your	1	to you. I'm sorry, I just can't remember at the moment.
2	social media picture, because your print is still	2	MR HILL: Thank you very much. It is not a memory test, but
3	there?", and we try to get them to think about the	3	if you could provide us with the details, that would be
4	impact of their behaviour today and the impact of what	4	very helpful.
5	it might be in the future, and that's really all you can	5	MR ASHCROFT: I just think it is an issue here not that we
6	do, because they have to make the choices themselves, we	6	don't have a great deal of information, extremely well
7	can't impose it, but we can educate them, or try to.	7	presented, targeted at a number of different audiences,
8	MR HILL: What about the teachers?	8	you know, boards, different associations, different
9	Are you working with them to try to give them the	9	agencies, which are seeking to make use of it; it is how
10	tools to have these kinds of conversations as well?	10	we sustain it rather than we lack the means.
11	MS DOMINGUEZ: There is a programme called ThinkUKnow	11	We have got some really good material, but it is
12	somebody else will be better able to answer this than I,	12	making sure that it is something that is persistently,
13	actually and some of the other programmes through	13	consistently sustained over time; that teachers have the
14	CEOP.	14	continuing support, not just for a particular initiative
15	You become ambassadors, and many teachers are	15	around this area of safety or abuse, and that they can
16	ambassadors through that programme and will deliver that	16	maintain it.
17	within the schools. So our focus is not really that,	17	I think we do need to think much more about how
18	because that's not our area of expertise. Our area of	18	these things are built into the work we do with
19	expertise is about people's behaviours as they grow	19	families, in schools, in communities, in a continuing
20	through from the cradle to the grave, but for school	20	way, rather than as a sort of high-profile initiative.
21	age you know, we will deal with infants from 4 to	21	I mean, that has its use, but it has a decay factor, and
22	when they leave school at 18.	22	I think that is often the challenge: how do you make
23	MR HILL: Sheila, yes?	23	sure that is maintained?
24	MS TAYLOR: I think there's a huge amount of information for	24	MR HILL: I think the phrase earlier was a "spiralling of
25	parents on how they safeguard children. I think when	25	education", which was picked up I think by you, Lee, at
	•		
	Page 154		Page 156

1	one stage and it came from the research as well; the	1	to. So it is just a general comment, really.
2	need to keep reinforcing both for the child and, as you	2	The other thing is that Rape Crisis centres are more
3	have said, for the teachers as well.	3	and more being contacted through social workers and
4	MR ASHCROFT: We ran a very successful programme in two of	4	teachers around potential perpetrators, rather than any
5	my boards, where we introduced "Chelsea's Choice",	5	young person that's been convicted, and the lack of
6	a drama production dealing with sexual abuse. It was	6	dedicated support for those individuals.
7	one of a number of really good products. We reached	7	Part of a frontline service, what we find in our
8	pretty well every year 9 pupil in those two local areas.	8	area is, if a child has gone through a criminal justice
9	But we did it one year. We funded it as a board. We	9	process and has been given a conviction, they may have
10	made a commitment we would do that. There isn't the	10	access to support. However, if that case isn't pursued
11	funding unless those schools particularly choose to	11	or it is NFAed, there is no intervention for that child.
12	repeat it for the next year 9 or the year after that.	12	So teachers often will contact us to say, "We are not
13	That's a reality.	13	sure how to cope with this. Will you see the child?",
14	So everybody said, "This is a great programme,	14	and I know you are going to do it in July, but in terms
15	really useful, we can put in support for the teachers	15	of the direction of travel of commissioners is asking
16	and the parents behind it", but what will happen next	16	more and more for victims and survivor agencies to work
17	year?	17	with potential perpetrators, or what we would describe
18	MR HILL: Sheila, yes?	18	as people with dual status, so being both perpetrator
19	MS TAYLOR: I think David is quite right: it does have to be	19	and a victim.
20	drip fed. It can't be one piece of information that's	20	MR HILL: I think that is a topic that we will be picking up
21	given once a year. This has to be continually drip fed.	21	in July.
22	It has to be in a number of ways: visual, written,	22	Namita, I think you wanted to make a point. After
23	heard, you know, a range of learning methods for young	23	Namita has done that, I think I am going to ask
24	people.	24	Professor Radford to come back, and we will just finish
25	But it always has to take into account as well those	25	with a few points from her.
20	Dut to all rays has to take into account as well those	23	with a few points from her.
	Page 157		Page 159
١,	1 1 1 1 1 1 7 777	١,	MCDDAWACH I (' ' C I I ' ' C
1	young people who have learning difficulties. We are	1	MS PRAKASH: Just continuing from Lee's point, one of
2	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young	2	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of
2 3	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't	2 3	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers,
2 3 4	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand.	2 3 4	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is
2 3 4 5	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work:	2 3 4 5	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with.
2 3 4 5 6	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at	2 3 4 5 6	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it
2 3 4 5 6 7	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work	2 3 4 5 6 7	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in? MS EGGLESTON: I think my feeling about today generally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what do the parents do? They don't have any clue about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in? MS EGGLESTON: I think my feeling about today generally that when we are talking around management of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what do the parents do? They don't have any clue about what to do, what not to do, whether to go to the social
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in? MS EGGLESTON: I think my feeling about today generally that when we are talking around management of perpetrators, or any of the wording that we are using,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what do the parents do? They don't have any clue about what to do, what not to do, whether to go to the social services, to the police, not to go. It's their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in? MS EGGLESTON: I think my feeling about today generally that when we are talking around management of perpetrators, or any of the wording that we are using, I think it came out really clearly in the research that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what do the parents do? They don't have any clue about what to do, what not to do, whether to go to the social services, to the police, not to go. It's their decision. It is an anonymous call. We can't do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in? MS EGGLESTON: I think my feeling about today generally that when we are talking around management of perpetrators, or any of the wording that we are using, I think it came out really clearly in the research that it has to be age appropriate, and it feels like we could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what do the parents do? They don't have any clue about what to do, what not to do, whether to go to the social services, to the police, not to go. It's their decision. It is an anonymous call. We can't do anything about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in? MS EGGLESTON: I think my feeling about today generally that when we are talking around management of perpetrators, or any of the wording that we are using, I think it came out really clearly in the research that it has to be age appropriate, and it feels like we could drift into talking about programmes when we are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what do the parents do? They don't have any clue about what to do, what not to do, whether to go to the social services, to the police, not to go. It's their decision. It is an anonymous call. We can't do anything about it. Secondly, a lot of these cases where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in? MS EGGLESTON: I think my feeling about today generally that when we are talking around management of perpetrators, or any of the wording that we are using, I think it came out really clearly in the research that it has to be age appropriate, and it feels like we could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what do the parents do? They don't have any clue about what to do, what not to do, whether to go to the social services, to the police, not to go. It's their decision. It is an anonymous call. We can't do anything about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	seeing an awful lot of resources put out, and young people just don't understand it because they just don't have that capacity to understand. I take you to four areas to have a look at work: I think Dana Basson; the WestCoast Children's Clinic at Oakland, California has done some really good work around young people; Tatiana Byranina from La Strada in Moldova is another one; and Children of the Night in the USA has done a huge amount of work with young people. All of those are worth following. I think when you're talking about that commitment to keep looking at the subject and keep developing, then the Anna Freud Centre and Dickon Bevington, they have the AMBIT programme, which is worth a look at in terms of that continual kind of professional development so that you can help people to look at it all. MR HILL: Lee, I think you wanted to come in? MS EGGLESTON: I think my feeling about today generally that when we are talking around management of perpetrators, or any of the wording that we are using, I think it came out really clearly in the research that it has to be age appropriate, and it feels like we could drift into talking about programmes when we are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the biggest groups that we have come across in terms of child sexual abuse is sibling abuse, so it is brothers, cousins or stepbrothers, sisters sometimes, and it is a very difficult group to work with. The first thing is, it happens across so it doesn't matter whether you are coming from a low income group or have certain vulnerabilities, so that is a really important point to note. Secondly, a lot of what we find is parents don't go to authorities because it is their own children, two children, and it is something that is the biggest number and how do you deal with that, because there is some potential of perpetrator management there, as well, happening. Also, the age of the child. I will give you an example of a case where we had a 9-year-old or 10-year-old abusing his sister who was 6 or 7. So what do the parents do? They don't have any clue about what to do, what not to do, whether to go to the social services, to the police, not to go. It's their decision. It is an anonymous call. We can't do anything about it. Secondly, a lot of these cases where the

1 young children. It doesn't go through the criminal 1 the judge, the prosecution counsel and the defence 2 2 justice system. So what options are available to manage counsel, for instance, who are then able to ask 3 3 that? Secondly, also, it is about what tools or questions, so that they cut down the number of times 4 resources are available for parents to be able to manage 4 that the child has to be interviewed and also remove the 5 that situation. And, finally, why are they doing that? 5 likelihood that the child will have to go through brutal 6 Have they been exposed to something that's 6 cross-examination processes in the court. So the video 7 7 inappropriate? Have they been abused? That is another evidence and the interview is accepted in court. 8 8 question that needs to be investigated, or looked into, I think that's the message that we really want to 9 9 at least. But my experience is that never happens, look at, and is an example of good practice from other 10 unfortunately. Nobody is interested in knowing why is 10 jurisdictions, from the Barnahus. So it is not saying 11 this child behaving in this way. 11 it is just the one-stop shop idea. 12 Then only you can find a way to solve that issue or 12 There is a lot of research from a number of 13 to make sure that the family continues to function in 13 different countries that suggests, yes, getting those 14 a way that's effective, that's useful for the whole 14 professionals together is a great thing to be doing, but 15 family, rather than one social services worker coming in 15 specifically I think reducing the harm caused to 16 and saying, "You have to remove that child from the 16 victims, especially child victims, in the court process 17 family", and you have to find somewhere else where that 17 would be a fantastic thing to do. 18 18 child needs to go. Is it going to be effective? The other area was in relation to what the report 19 Probably not. 19 says in terms of acknowledging the work in the UK, 20 MR HILL: Thank you very much. I am going to turn back, if 20 particularly on tackling the problem of online 21 I may, to Professor Radford, just briefly to bring the 21 offending. Hopefully, if people are able to read the 22 22 discussion to a close, before we turn to the report, we do make the point very strongly that the UK 23 contributions from the public. 23 has been at the forefront of leading some of those 24 First of all, I think there have been a couple of 24 initiatives through the work of the Internet Watch 25 occasions when both members of the public and people 25 Foundation, Interpol, other agencies, CEOP National Page 161 Page 163 1 from the panel have asked whether or not you have 1 Crime Agency in terms of responding very proactively to 2 considered certain points in the research. 2 online offending, and also that international 3 3 Obviously, the first thing to say is, you were given collaboration to prevent sexual crimes against children. 4 a relatively short period of time and a very broad 4 Initiatives like WePROTECT of course was very much 5 brief, so inevitably it was never going to be 5 a UK initiative, and I think a message maybe that we 6 comprehensive. But, also, the full report has only been 6 could take from that work might be that that particular 7 available for a limited period of time. I wondered if 7 framework from the WePROTECT approach for child sexual 8 there were certain elements of the full report that you 8 abuse and sexual exploitation could be something that 9 g would like to draw to people's attention? could be used in other areas, because there's a whole 10 PROF RADFORD: Well, there are two issues that were raised 10 package of approaches from legislation to training to 11 11 earlier in the day. One is looking at what was the key organisational change that has some relevance to other 12 message about the Children's House for England and 12 areas of work. 13 Wales, given that we already have SARCs as services that 13 A third issue that was raised this afternoon was in 14 have been developed over a long period of time, and some 14 relation to the studies on offenders and whether or not 15 of them have worked directly with children and young 15 those studies distinguish between abuse that happens in 16 people -- in fact, all of the SARCs have had a high 16 the family and abuse that happens elsewhere. 17 proportion of the young people they work with being 17 Unfortunately, a lot of them don't. 18 under the age of 18. 18 So when they're looking at outcomes of some of 19 19 I think the crucial point we wanted to draw out of the programmes that we have discussed, like Circles of 20 that, in terms of the learning from other jurisdictions, 20 Support, or CoSA, or working with harmful sexual 2.1 was the impact on the process for interviewing children 21 behaviour of young people, very few of those studies 22 22 have identified in their outcomes what that means for for subsequent legal cases and further assessment. So 23 the fact that the child is interviewed by an 23 the victims. So we went back and we had another check. 24 appropriately trained forensic interviewer who is in 24 So it might just be that that literature is there. 25 direct contact through headphones and also observed by 25 There is the risk literature that we mentioned that

Page 164

Page 162

g

we weren't able to include in the review, and there are some findings, so there was one study that we included which was a meta analysis and systematic review that looked at recidivism rates and levels of risk for young people with harmful sexual behaviour, and they found that the highest levels of risk, from the meta analysis, were for cases where the victim was a stranger, where the victim was in an extra-familial relationship with the young person, where the victim was a pre-pubertal male victim, and that those were the factors that were more likely to influence whether or not the young person re-offended.

But, again, it then raises questions about, how do

1 2

Q

But, again, it then raises questions about, how do we measure recidivism, and is it the case that, for familial abuse of children, once the familial offender gets caught, does it then mean that nobody is going to speak out again about the abuse if it reoccurs, particularly if the offender is reunited in the family home?

I just wanted to make those three points, really, to clarify some of the areas that were raised in the morning's discussion.

MR HILL: Thank you very much for doing so.

If I could just ask you to address one final topic as well. There was some discussion earlier about the

on and develop working with practitioners is where we really ought to be focusing our energies a bit, because it is going to take us a long time before we get to the position where we have got a good body of evidence that says, "Well, we know all of these things definitely work".

We can't wait that long, really, can we, to try to stop sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children?

MR HILL: Thank you very much for that. I am now going to turn to the public gallery and, again, I reiterate that the same ground rules apply: If anybody wishes to contribute to the discussion that we have had this afternoon, I would ask them to identify themselves when the microphone gets to them and to make their observations now. Thank you.

MS COATES: This is a statement, really, again, just a quick one. I have written all that, but I won't say it all that.

Sheila from the Victims and Survivors Consultative Panel. I think this won't surprise anyone, but I wanted to say it, that victims and survivors consistently say that sentencing is inadequate. They are saying that because they look in the press, and wherever else, and look at the comparison between sentencing for crimes against property, drugs, theft, and to them there

Page 165

merits and the limits of an academic approach to

evaluation of projects. If I could just ask you for your comments on that and the use of objective evaluation and the limits of it?

PROF RADFORD: It certainly has its use, yes. I think, for practitioners, because of the process of producing academic research, and this was said earlier, that it seems to be a long process, and if you want a good, well-designed study, it takes a lot of resources and it takes time, that that often creates some exasperation because the issues are moving on very quickly.

What we did try to do in this study was to include within our remit the promising research studies, because it is in the non-peer reviewed academic publications that you see these earlier findings emerging, particularly in relation to things like online sex offending and working with victims or with offenders. So we tried to take that into consideration.

But I think also it raises big questions about how practitioners use evidence and how academics work with practitioners, and there are a lot of organisations who are wanting to develop the evidence that they are collecting.

So looking at the question of what is good enough evidence and what is the type of evidence we can build

Page 167

doesn't seem to be a fair comparison. So we are giving out messages as a society if we leave the situation the way it is.

4 MR HILL: Thank you. Is there anybody else who would like to contribute?

In that case, if I may thank, first of all, those who have taken the time to attend today -- we are very grateful to you for doing so -- and also all of our contributors, and for the amount of effort that you have put in to prepare for this seminar, which made my job a great deal easier, so thank you very much. And, of course, to Professor Radford and her team for the presentations and the research.

Of course, the research has now been published, and we would invite everybody to read it in their time, and if they do have observations that they wish to make from it, then we would be grateful to receive them.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr Hill. I think you have covered all the thanks that I intended to make, but that is fine

Of course, I would also like to say how much we, as a panel, have appreciated all the contributions today. It has given us a very interesting range of views, and that's been particularly helpful for all of the panel to hear

Page 168

Page 166

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

```
1
          The inquiry will carefully consider everything we
 2
        have heard. A full transcript of today's seminar will
 3
        be available on the inquiry website this evening, and
 4
        a video of the broadcast will also be available in the
 5
        same place in a few days' time.
 6
          Finally, a report summarising the discussion today
 7
        will be posted on the website in the coming weeks. So
 8
        thank you very much, all, for your attendance. Thank
 9
10
      (4.00 pm)
11
               (The hearing concluded)
12
                   INDEX
13
14
      Opening remarks by THE CHAIR .....1
15
16
      Opening remarks by THE FACILITATOR ......3
17
18
      Introductions .....4
19
20
      Presentation by PROFESSOR LORRAINE ......7
21
           RADFORD
22
23
      Presentation by PROFESSOR NICKY ......16
24
           STANLEY
25
                      Page 169
      Presentation by PROFESSOR LORRAINE ......25
 1
 2
           RADFORD
 3
 4
      Points of clarification .......35
 5
 6
      Comments by THE FACILITATOR .....47
 7
 8
      Discussion ......49
 9
10
      Comments by THE FACILITATOR .....103
11
12
      Presentation by DR CHRISTINE BARTER .....103
13
14
      Points of clarification ......113
15
16
      17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                      Page 170
```

A A 107.12
abilities 107:13 ability 15:5,16
58:12
able 2:15 12:10
13:24 14:10 22:6
29:18,18 30:15
34:18 45:3 52:23
57:24 58:7 63:13
75:5,12 79:3
85:11,12 92:6 143:21 149:2
154:12 161:4
163:2,21 165:1
abound 97:24
abroad 4:7
absolute 67:12
68:21
absolutely 62:20
67:16 68:1 73:2 88:21 90:3 100:3
101:25 121:6
149:7,10
abuse 1:8,22 2:9
4:1,4 5:18 6:3,3
8:9,13 9:13 11:10
12:1,3,23 13:1,4
13:15,17,19,20 14:20 15:24 16:1
16:5,7 17:1,9,12
17:24 18:3,5,20
19:13 21:3,24
22:22 23:17 24:22
25:16 26:13,20,21
27:7,16 29:6,18
29:19 30:15 31:18
32:2 34:3 36:11 39:9 40:9,12 41:5
41:5,9,11,14
42:16,18 43:14,20
45:25 50:3,9 51:6
51:13 58:15 66:3
67:2,6 68:17,21
69:21 76:6 86:24
96:1 103:18

105:21,23 110:23 111:3 112:19 113:21,22 114:21 117:2 119:8,12 120:12,13 121:5 122:10 130:23 131:12 132:22 133:24 134:8,21 137:20 139:14,17 139:20 140:14 142:19,20 145:13 145:13 149:14 151:3,18 156:15 157:6 160:3,3 164:8,15,16 165:15,17 167:8 abused 5:14 24:6 34:16 51:12 116:14,17,25 132:25 161:7 abusers 109:7 130:19 133:2 abusing 131:24 160:18 abusive 24:13 29:10 111:7
149:22
academic 9:5 50:10 66:4,7,12,17 82:3 83:10,17,19 166:1
166:7,14
academics 166:20
academies 22:8
accept 73:18
acceptability
140:13
acceptable 79:20
acceptance 129:6
accepted 6:19
86:24 163:7
accepting 79:20 access 27:25 31:7
36:22,25 39:18
69:21 75:5,24
134:12,18 152:4
137.12,10 132.7

155:18 159:10
accessibility 17:16
accessible 21:18
25:1 89:5
accessing 155:14
account 69:7 114:4
132:6 138:17
157:25
accountability
30:11 73:9 81:24
108:11 120:20
121:8 123:12,15 achieve 18:7 94:20
achieved 17:24
achieves 109:19
acknowledge 44:25
acknowledged
99:18
acknowledging
38:17 163:19
act 13:9 34:20
63:18 90:3 91:6
99:23
acting 34:19 116:2
action 96:11 150:5
actions 15:10 24:4
105:2 134:7
actively 133:22
activities 61:6
111:14
activity 97:23
actor 45:14,14
acts 151:18
actual 24:9 80:15
153:2
add 81:17,21,22
85:17 88:21 115:9
115:24 152:21
add-on 86:22
added 119:9
adding 85:20 95:4 addition 10:12,19
11:21
additional 10:14,20
28:14,19 95:9
20.11,17 70.7

- 11 2.7 0 2.22
address 2:7,9 3:22
7:8 8:6 11:7,25
13:24 17:15 24:18
30:3 34:5 45:15
45:16 53:24 56:19
78:9 80:2 88:7
93:11 112:11
139:3 140:25
165:24
addressed 9:9
140:1,6
addresses 17:1
addressing 14:4
17:8 26:23 30:2,6
52:21 70:25
107:11 131:20
adequate 2:6
adequately 13:12
21:17 109:1
adjournment
102:25
administer 70:24
adolescent 111:2
adopted 3:24
adult 13:11 79:23
100:9 103:17
107:22 108:9
110:4 113:12
117:23 141:13
147:8
adulthood 118:13
130:19
adults 23:23 30:16
39:24 50:14,15
86:3 100:11 105:5
106:12 110:1
113:18 115:2
advance 7:12 71:3
advantage 64:6
adversarial 33:15
adverse 141:23
143:13 145:2
advert 81:12,12
advice 75:10
advisory 69:20

```
affect 41:10 42:16
afraid 21:11 35:22
 36:6 54:10 65:17
 68:9 113:11 115:7
 119.7
Africa 33:4
African 17:3
aftercare 32:21
 33:1
afternoon 71:4
 76:19 84:15
 102:21 103:21
 119:17,18 135:4
 139:9 142:2
 164:13 167:13
afternoon's 35:24
 103:16,24 104:3
 147:5
age 14:4 21:22
 58:16 65:6 107:17
 115:16 150:1,5,9
 150:10,19,20,22
 151:1 152:12
 154:21 158:23
 160:16 162:18
age-specific 112:7
 113:4
aged 115:18 134:22
agencies 15:11
 20:11 61:13 70:14
 70:18 78:13 80:13
 80:20 92:23 93:18
 156:9 159:16
 163:25
Agency 20:7 164:1
agenda 41:6 141:16
 142:1 143:8
ages 100:7 158:25
ago 56:13 59:25
 74:1 86:17 94:16
 100:7 126:3
 127:18
agree 36:16 48:22
 72:7 74:20 88:12
 91:2 100:3 124:16
```

	1	<u> </u>	1	1
139:1 140:3	127:22,25 165:3,6	14:1,9 17:14	135:11 139:8	159:15
agreed 2:11 9:17	analyst 5:11	22:10 24:6 26:12	150:23 153:11	aspect 135:6
10:2,18 24:4	Andrew 65:9	28:16 29:7,15	154:18,18 156:15	aspects 16:11 28:10
agreement 56:10	anecdotal 83:10	33:5 37:18 40:6	159:8 163:18	34:7 41:6 76:1
149:5	anecdotally 80:7	40:10,12,21,22	areas 3:2,23 14:23	113:10 119:9
ahead 52:5 94:24	angle 96:20	61:24 62:12,14	37:24 38:17 43:5	assault 93:23
AIDS 17:2	Anna 158:14	63:25 68:22 70:16	46:24 49:22 65:21	assess 8:17
aim 17:6,11 18:5,23	announced 11:4	74:19 79:10 84:9	81:20 90:21 95:9	assessed 10:23
aimed 23:20	announcement	94:12 98:4 103:20	97:23 99:25	106:9 108:24
air 93:10	22:7	103:22 107:11,20	110:11 139:14	assessing 106:14
alcohol 35:13	annual 66:11	108:2,15 115:4	151:24 157:8	assessment 2:2
Alexis 1:6	144:22	119:21 120:7	158:5 164:9,12	7:16 8:5,14,21 9:6
align 11:20	anonymous 160:22	144:6 152:3 164:7	165:21	9:11,18 10:1,3,18
aligned 29:1	anonymously	166:1	arena 45:6 75:18	11:23 28:21 31:2
allegations 80:24	133:22	approaches 13:13	argue 40:22 74:14	31:4,11 32:8
86:12	answer 9:19 49:20	15:2 21:5 23:4	argued 27:9	34:15 103:24
Alliance 20:7	72:3,6,20 81:7	24:12,17,18 25:20	arguing 123:6	108:1 144:6,12,16
allied 100:1	127:9 154:12	28:11 33:19 34:9	argument 117:13	144:18 162:22
allocation 110:8	Anthony 5:22	36:1,4 48:19 70:9	123:19	assessments 10:4
allow 80:22 93:18	anxious 87:1 130:9	106:3 110:10,15	arguments 128:4	21:15
allude 97:22	anybody 3:12	123:9 164:10	arising 6:23 152:20	assist 6:14 79:4
alongside 17:2	22:20 35:7 46:13	appropriate 14:5	army 126:2	95:25
66:17 143:23	48:16 74:14 79:1	21:23 89:14 105:7	arrangement 54:13	assistance 6:16
146:22	85:1,23 91:23	109:8 111:15	arrangements	92:13
alternative 94:14	96:2 97:11 99:1,9	123:22 152:11	20:11,15	assisting 23:20
ambassador 56:13	99:11 112:25	158:23	arrests 25:25	91:25
59:5	119:22 127:13	appropriately	arrive 1:13	associated 107:15
ambassadors	130:13 138:3	121:8 162:24	articles 10:6	110:17
154:15,16	150:5 155:18	April 1:1	articulate 137:10	association 4:24
AMBIT 158:15	167:11 168:4	arbitrary 93:17	articulating 74:13	5:13 87:24 88:13
ambitious 48:23	anyway 128:15	area 5:23 9:12	136:25	associations 156:8
Ambulance 4:22	apologies 102:17	12:10,17 14:21	articulation 89:13	assume 12:19 15:3
America 42:21	apologise 1:11	23:18 31:22 32:3	ascribe 127:5	assumed 121:15
46:11 105:4	102:22	32:15,19 33:24	Ashcroft 4:23,23	assuming 14:6
106:23 122:2	appears 18:15	34:8 35:22 36:13	38:16 40:16 53:11	athletics 77:15
American 17:19	66:25	37:11 38:19 41:3	54:16 63:1,2 70:8	attainment 38:4
68:4	applications 153:3	44:2 46:7 49:14	70:10 74:17,18	attend 2:14 6:11,20
amount 7:25 57:15	applied 70:13 92:4	51:3 57:20 61:16	80:5 81:7 87:23	7:21 97:8 111:18
57:19 66:4 73:21	123:11	67:3 76:24 80:21	87:24 92:14	168:7
104:17 117:4	apply 63:7 103:10	81:15 88:25 89:14	101:22 139:7	attendance 169:8
150:3 154:24	124:3 167:11	92:9,25 93:15	156:5 157:4	attending 6:5
158:10 168:9	applying 120:20	104:18 109:14	asked 8:6 9:7 10:13	attention 39:2 88:7
amounts 93:6	appraising 103:22	112:17 114:10,16	162:1	106:8 110:12
analysis 86:21	appreciated 168:22	119:19 123:21	asking 37:13	162:9
107:20,24 127:4	approach 3:19 7:23	133:14 134:15	116:15 117:1	attitude 129:21,22

132:7	31:11 47:11 57:24	basic 75:3 117:20	145:10,17,18	95:8
attitudes 11:8 17:8	61:19 69:23 76:21	145:11,15	149:16,17,20	bit 7:9 31:21 39:17
50:6 108:6 110:16	80:19 81:20 83:6	basically 9:22	151:11,25 153:23	41:22 44:9 50:10
129:25 130:14	84:15 85:10,21	14:24 41:24	154:4 164:21	51:17 52:7 80:18
149:19	86:8 94:11,17	125:11,20 126:6,8	165:5	81:21 82:25 85:18
attrition 108:24	99:5 101:10	126:20 128:10	behavioural 110:15	85:19 92:6 94:11
audiences 18:10	102:18 103:3	134:17 145:3	behaviours 11:8	113:14 115:3
24:20 156:7	120:14 122:4,5	153:2,20	51:7 110:17 112:8	119:25 121:17
audit 63:17	124:23 129:1	basis 11:24 40:8	153:15 154:19	122:22 129:17,18
austerity 144:10	138:17 139:2	69:3,3 110:8	believe 77:2 85:7	141:14 148:2
Australia 13:5	141:15 142:8	130:1 136:17	87:14,15,15	167:2
15:17 16:23 23:13	145:22 147:5	Basson 158:6	143:14	bits 89:7
23:22 26:5 27:15	151:14 153:8	bear 48:7,7	beneficial 42:10	blocked 134:4,5
28:12 29:21 39:16	159:24 161:20	Beard 5:7,7 37:18	beneficiaries 71:25	blocking 20:3
42:21 61:12 105:3	164:23	58:20 72:3,6	71:25	67:22 134:2
105:10 108:12	backed 21:17	73:16 89:12	benefit 69:11 76:3	board 4:25 5:10,14
111:24 122:3	background 11:1	118:16 119:1	155:10	22:18 54:22 57:10
123:8	backgrounds 117:5	141:10,11 142:21	benefits 34:3 35:19	72:13 74:21,25
Australian 86:11	151:24	142:25 149:5,6	best 1:23 2:23 8:11	75:1,9 157:9
99:17 120:18	badge 75:8	becoming 38:10	35:23 138:19,20	boards 20:16 80:6
authorities 144:1	balance 37:23	bedrooms 151:22	better 15:17 32:7	101:23 156:8
160:11	45:15	151:23	37:6,8 43:22	157:5
authority 55:1	Barnahus 32:10,13	Beech 5:22,22	50:22 64:4 114:10	bodies 23:15 77:8
65:17,18 90:15	32:17 33:2,12	41:19 42:13 83:16	128:7 131:25	77:11,16,20 78:2
144:2 150:21	91:19 163:10	83:18 95:12 115:9	133:9 148:17,22	body 107:6 126:9
available 38:15	Barnardo's 5:8	116:11 122:17,21	153:16,16 154:12	167:4
57:14,20 85:3	59:18 72:12,15	124:16 125:5,23	Bettina 76:7	bolts 128:22 129:1
93:18 153:5 161:2	143:23	126:13 127:22	Bevington 158:14	boot 124:17 125:7
161:4 162:7 169:3	barrier 46:4	128:20 129:9	beyond 22:13 23:10	border 147:14
169:4	barriers 16:10	144:21	25:21 29:8 30:22	bound 49:4 84:10
avenues 87:22	25:17 26:3 29:5	began 153:8	104:22 136:20	box 138:13
award 137:5	30:4,5 33:20 34:5	behalf 47:13	big 41:25 78:14	boys 16:11 18:10
aware 29:4 45:1	34:19 42:25 43:16	143:11	126:1,14,20 136:9	bracket 150:9
56:22 86:13,14	73:7 74:14 75:13	behaves 51:16	137:6 150:22	brain 153:23
153:1,1	111:10 135:19	behaving 161:11	166:19	breadth 11:22
awareness 17:23	barring 20:18,22	behaviour 22:14	big-picture 78:10	break 47:10,17
23:5,17 42:6	109:17,19	23:24 24:3 29:14	bigger 75:24 78:23	113:24 114:6,8,23
43:23 61:8 72:19	barrister 3:9	50:6 80:1 103:19	89:7 98:20 126:22	115:6 138:1,3
awful 63:5 67:21	Barter 6:25 7:20	105:6 106:13,13	128:13	142:4,12,14,21
75:20 146:15	103:6,14,15,16	107:1 110:2,7,21	biggest 160:2,12	145:22
147:13 155:2	170:12	111:5 115:25	Bird 5:13,13 46:15	breakdown 113:19
158:2	base 60:8 62:6,14	118:11,13 135:15	47:8 56:12 78:10	113:23
	89:24	135:24 136:2	86:7 94:11 100:3	breakfast 61:6
<u>B</u>	based 62:3 111:6	137:13,24 141:14	130:16	bridge 125:20
back 19:8 25:8	123:8	142:7 143:3 144:4	Birmingham 5:23	bridges 124:22

brief 7:22 48:3
138:14 162:5
briefly 3:19 61:20
80:5 84:17 161:21
bring 2:22 29:22
32:18 33:6 55:15
56:1 63:14 89:2
120:6 146:12
161:21
bringing 54:19
85:20 130:7
brings 138:17
147:22 153:8
broad 3:18,23 8:19
8:21 35:13 48:5
62:5 136:12 162:4
broadcast 7:6
169:4
broaden 104:21
broader 24:20
35:19 38:24
112:24 139:20
broadly 70:19
broke 11:23
brother 121:4,5
brothers 160:3
brought 68:2 91:5
Brunel 77:25
brutal 163:5
build 26:9,10,11,17
29:16 31:13 33:14
43:10 58:10,20
59:14 61:10 62:3
88:20 166:25
building 57:8 60:4
62:6 70:7 89:7
built 156:18
bullet 88:1 90:2
bullying 60:13,14
62:21,22
bunch 83:25
bundle 15:10
Buren 76:10
Byranina 158:8
Lyramma 150.0

<u> </u>
calculated 127:25
California 158:7
call 32:14 74:22
86:8 131:9 160:22
called 19:1 59:20
129:12 143:24
150:12 154:11
callers 24:2
calls 79:6 96:10
130:20
Cameron 69:8
campaign 16:25
18:20 41:25 52:9
80:2 81:11 138:6
campaigning-wise
153:1
campaigns 18:5,6
153:17
camps 124:17
125:7
Canada 13:5 23:13
23:22 39:16 42:21
46:11 108:12,15
108:21
Canadian 17:20
52:7 68:5 120:19
capacities 24:19
capacity 17:12
26:11 28:24 50:22 58:17 158:4
care 32:23 35:14 70:23 73:18 76:13
98:5 105:9
career 23:1 57:25
78:21
careful 55:23 116:5
116:12 118:13
carefully 116:23
169:1
caregiver 120:24
caregivers 18:23
111:8
carry 115:19,22
145:16

Page 174
Page 174 4:1,4,4 5:4,18 6:3 8:9,13 11:9 12:3,6 12:23 13:1,4,15 14:16 15:2,24 17:1,1,17 19:13 20:1,11,13,15 21:24 22:22,22 23:5,16 24:9 25:13,15 26:5,13 27:11,16,24 29:17 29:24 30:11 31:18 32:20,23 33:10 37:10 39:9 41:2,5 43:2 45:18,23,24 50:9,9 51:11,16 54:9 57:9 58:15 64:17 66:2 67:2,6 68:17,20 69:21 75:21 77:2,6,22 79:23 93:8 96:5 104:15,22 105:21 105:23 109:21 113:21 133:5,24 134:8,20 151:3 152:24 157:2 159:8,11,13 160:3 160:16 161:11,16 161:18 162:23 163:4,5,16 164:7
child's 23:1 32:24
37:8 60:19
child-friendly 32:6 childhood 5:14
130:23 141:24
143:13 145:2
Childline 152:23
Childnet 57:17
children 13:10 16:1
16:4,11 17:10
18:8 20:21 21:2
21:25 22:3 23:10
23:25 24:5 28:2
29:5 30:15,16,21
30:24 31:24 32:18
32:23 34:17 37:6
52.25 5 1.17 57.0

39:25 41:10 43:18	127:20 128:16,20	club 77:14,15	138:1 139:2 140:9	110:23
43:22 45:20 50:1	129:6 137:3 141:2	clubs 61:6 75:21,21	141:1 142:8	commonly 18:6
50:7 53:6 54:5	164:19	clue 160:19	146:16 158:18	communicate 62:2
55:17,19,24,25	circumstance 79:25	COATES 97:18	159:24 160:2	65:14
56:3 59:12 63:18	circumstances	98:24 100:18	comes 16:22 77:22	communicated
64:15,16 65:14	121:9	167:16	86:3,14 96:16	138:7
77:10 78:14 85:10	cited 93:12	code 69:2 71:15	122:21 129:22	communicating
85:21 86:24 88:17	civil 67:18 105:16	codes 23:5	133:4 136:17	65:12
93:1,23 94:3	clarification 4:11	coerced 137:22	141:17 151:20	communication
98:14,17 100:11	35:2,5,10 37:15	151:17 152:1	coming 1:17 36:15	18:24 90:18
116:20 130:19	44:6 103:8 112:22	cognitions 110:16	37:11 54:15 80:15	111:15
131:15 132:14	113:1,2 119:23	cognitive 110:14	83:7 99:5 132:16	communities 15:12
146:11 148:12,20	120:11 122:9	coherently 64:3	160:7 161:15	22:1 26:4,9,18,19
148:21 149:3,21	123:23 170:4,14	cohort 61:14 117:8	169:7	26:23,24 27:1
150:1 151:16,21	clarify 120:15	cold 133:15	comment 99:9	29:25 33:21,24
151:22 152:7	121:17 165:21	coldly 71:6	100:18 101:3	34:20 36:6 42:25
153:22 154:25	clarity 11:18	collaboration 20:5	138:4 141:11	43:2,8,9 106:25
158:9 160:11,12	116:16	164:3	143:21 144:19	109:2 123:5,20
161:1 162:15,21	classes 22:13	collaborations 20:8	149:10 159:1	140:12,17,22,24
164:3 165:15	classroom 56:12	collaborative 23:3	commentaries 40:5	156:19
167:8	clear 52:13 63:2	collaboratively	commentary 143:8	community 22:18
children's 5:9 6:18	70:1 79:2 80:16	64:3	commented 40:17	26:7,12,15 27:2
14:10 17:23 18:3	89:23 101:25	colleague 12:16	comments 47:19	28:18 33:19 43:12
23:10 24:19 25:18	121:11,14 125:2	16:13 59:16	66:2 102:14 103:2	52:10 55:6 61:11
25:21 32:9,13	133:18,21	127:22	136:12 166:3	74:23 75:2,4,19
40:9,11,22 41:2	clearly 10:22 64:13	colleagues 1:25	170:6,10	75:19,24 96:7,9
41:13 54:22 91:19	64:14 109:14	74:13 80:14 88:12	commercial 146:23	104:14,21,24
92:3 93:5 95:14	151:16 152:1	collect 7:24	146:25 147:2	105:2 106:12
98:4 101:4 158:6	158:22	collected 8:25 9:1	commission 92:20	107:4 108:16,18
162:12	client's 111:17	collecting 166:23	Commission's 94:3	108:20 111:8
chime 70:8 73:13	client/therapist	collection 60:12	commissioned 7:17	112:5,6 123:16
choice 94:23 131:1	111:13	collective 96:9	8:4 145:24	124:23 139:23
157:5	clients 37:13 50:17	collectively 93:10	Commissioner 6:18	140:20
choices 154:6	50:21	Cologne 76:5	commissioners	community-based
choose 57:2 157:11	climate 79:12	combined 99:22	159:15	105:7 111:24
Christine 6:25 7:20	Clinic 158:6	come 7:6 9:10 17:4	commit 106:2	community-focu
103:6,15 170:12	clinical 144:23	39:15 47:10 48:18		15:13
chunks 50:11	clinics 31:6,10	53:9 56:10 57:6	148:15	comparable 35:22
Church 73:25	clock 97:6	58:19 71:3,9,10	commitment	comparative 20:10
churches 109:9	close 97:5 161:22	76:21 78:16 83:6	157:10 158:12	20:14
circle 128:2,22	closed 30:9 73:8	83:16 84:15 90:23	committed 115:17	compare 15:5
circles 83:24 84:14	74:4,4 81:23	91:15 101:10	committing 104:9	compared 15:17
108:11 120:19	82:19	116:1,2 119:16,24	- C	107:21
121:7 122:18,21	closely 69:12 100:1	122:14 128:23	common 14:15	comparison 19:20
123:12,15 127:17	closer 144:20	129:25 130:2	16:5 104:15	107:21 167:24
	1	ı	I	1

	Í	İ	Í	Í
168:1	114:18	162:2	continuously 100:6	Corinne 145:25
compensation 16:9	concluded 169:11	considering 9:18	contribute 6:15	corporate 5:8
competitive 8:3	conclusion 29:3	11:8 12:13 91:10	45:10 97:10 99:12	correctly 125:9
complaints 80:10	88:8	consistency 93:7	132:19 167:12	correlation 117:1
80:14	conclusions 39:1,6	consistent 38:12	168:5	correlations 139:15
complete 42:19	44:9 110:5 126:10	54:12	contributes 64:14	CoSA 164:20
87:20	128:14	consistently 54:2	contributing 12:8	cost 16:12 21:13
completed 66:5	concur 124:14	66:10 69:6 156:13	139:8	34:9 35:18
completely 68:23	conditioned 70:22	167:21	contribution 1:21	costing 36:3
100:3 132:15	conditions 26:19	consolidated 93:1	contributions 71:2	costs 11:14 21:14
137:24 155:22	condoned 86:24	consultation 84:20	88:3 91:16 103:11	25:4 28:10,15
completing 65:10	conduct 8:5 23:6	88:9	161:23 168:22	35:17,19,20 36:6
102:11	conducted 2:3 9:17	consultations 95:24	contributors 3:16	Council 41:24
complex 52:20,22	146:2	Consultative 98:25	48:6 168:9	42:13 57:9 95:13
139:25 147:11	conducting 50:24	167:19	control 6:25 12:8	counsel 2:21 163:1
150:24	conference 59:24	contact 21:1 24:10	103:5,17 104:1,7	163:2
complexities 86:10	148:24	52:8,14 113:22	108:7 114:17	counselling 4:20
95:10 139:16	confidential 23:23	153:5 159:12	126:16,17,21,24	count 114:2,4,5
complicated 52:19	96:25	162:25	127:3	countries 13:5
comprehensive	confidentiality	contacted 159:3	conversation 89:3	16:22 17:3,4
13:13 87:21 146:4	91:7	contacting 91:8	89:12 96:24 129:5	23:14 24:14 28:12
162:6	conflicting 102:8	content 68:24 70:4	130:22 131:2	33:3 39:16 42:14
compulsory 22:8	conjunction 81:10	102:19 133:19	140:21 141:12	46:12 68:8 69:10
computer 155:3	connected 123:2	134:11,12 135:21	143:2	70:2 104:16
concatenating	connection 46:15	135:22 136:4	conversations	121:21 163:13
122:18	123:1,6	137:19,21 150:3	154:10 155:8	country 52:18
concentrating	connections 39:24	150:23	converse 16:2	53:14 54:13 55:8
97:15	conscious 38:22	context 10:25 11:3	convey 18:17	55:22 58:14 60:7
concept 155:20	84:16 91:19	13:19 14:11 17:16	convicted 20:23	92:23 93:25 94:22
conceptual 11:18	consent 151:1	20:4 33:15 36:21	105:18 106:3,7,10	96:3 115:11,13
concern 39:2 54:3	consequence 91:6	38:24 39:5 40:10	106:15 109:20	118:23
55:11 78:11,19,23	consequences	40:20,21 53:14	112:9 132:11	county 88:25
79:25 81:20 97:20	13:10,22 88:17	70:15 104:4	133:16 136:8	couple 12:14 47:22
121:10 122:12	consider 6:15 7:7	118:22,23 119:7	139:12 159:5	59:24 68:10
concerned 23:24,25	28:7 94:9 109:15	139:19,20	conviction 105:22	161:24
24:2,12	126:12 140:22	contexts 78:17	159:9	course 28:15 37:5
concerning 48:25	169:1	contextualise 73:17	convictions 26:1	48:4 49:2 57:9
122:12	considerable 29:11	continual 158:16	cooperation 63:15	63:1 65:23 85:15
concerns 29:2	consideration 14:3	continually 157:21	68:15	138:19 164:4
32:11 38:23 39:8	90:5 117:24 118:4	continue 45:10	coordinated 13:8	168:12,14,21
39:9 53:1 55:2	166:18	131:1	13:13 32:22	court 32:8,25 33:9
56:15,16,19,22	considerations	continued 118:2	cope 159:13	43:4 70:3,4 76:16
78:8 80:10,23	6:12 11:13	continues 161:13	copy 153:23	141:1 146:7,13,16
82:3 86:18 88:5	considered 12:10	continuing 57:23	copying 153:20	163:6,7,16
90:25 108:16	21:5 25:14 101:20	156:14,19 160:1	Core 2:13	courts 33:6
		•		•

cousins 160:4
cover 3:17 30:22
35:12,17,18
covered 2:8 8:23
114:21 119:3
168:19
crack 89:11
cradle 154:20
crazy 151:12
create 15:25 90:12
creates 166:10
creating 135:7
creative 111:14
credit 63:11
crime 20:6 117:7,8
135:19 146:15
148:15 164:1
crimes 106:1
113:20 114:16
131:14 146:17
148:5,6,21 164:3
167:24
criminal 44:23
104:12,15 109:11
109:23 115:19
123:13 128:1
143:4 144:5,7
148:16 149:22
159:8 161:1
criminalised
116:22 141:18
148:3,11
criminogenic
107:13,14
criminology 145:19
Crisis 5:19,21
60:10 91:1,9
159:2
criteria 10:3,18
82:18
critical 2:6 73:1,2
critically 49:2
criticism 86:14
cross-agency 44:20
cross-examination

163:6
cross-examine 3:12 cross-examined
132:10
Cross-government
102:6
crossed 147:14
crossover 142:1
crucial 89:7 102:7
162:19
crudely 92:20
CSA 22:10 53:25
97:25 98:6 102:10
CSAs 98:5
CSE 22:10 53:25
63:20 70:17 97:24
97:24 102:10
cultural 11:7 16:19
17:18 24:16 26:3
32:11 33:18,20
42:10 104:5 111:18,19 112:5,6
111.18,19 112.3,0
cultural/political
118:23
culturally 105:7
111:15 113:4
culture 86:23 88:5
118:18
current 6:12 54:22
74:15 76:25,25
79:12 80:18
118:18 143:13
currently 23:7
32:13 33:4,12
77:17 81:9,14
93:24 97:22 108:2
curriculum 22:13 38:11 53:17 62:11
cursing 83:15
cut 163:3
cuts 119:20 140:4
D
D 169:12

```
daily 129:25 136:17
Daly 122:3
Daly's 121:17,23
damaging 28:3
Dana 158:6
danger 54:10,14,15
dangerous 56:23
 149:16 153:5
dangers 43:23
Danish 94:25,25
daring 51:9
data 15:18 21:14
 51:14 60:8,12,16
 63:9 77:21 79:3
 127:24 128:13
date 66:14
daughters 58:21
David 4:23 5:7 53:9
 58:19 63:1 69:8
 70:8 72:3 74:17
 75:17 80:4 87:23
 88:20 90:1 92:9
 141:10 142:8,21
 149:5 157:19
David's 41:19
Davidson's 137:13
day 57:12 65:4
 128:7 162:11
day-to-day 130:1
days 51:23,23 84:6
days' 169:5
dead 76:17
deal 58:12,17 75:2
 99:6 151:10
 154:21 156:6
 160:13 168:11
dealing 7:4 14:5
 40:12,20 58:14
 136:16 157:6
dealt 137:24
dear 78:22
debate 35:7 63:23
 84:22 91:14
 130:11 135:3
 140:4
```

daha4aa 41.7
debates 41:7
decay 156:21
December 1:18
11:4
decision 160:22
declined 6:14
decriminalise
149:3
decriminalised
148:4,7
dedicate 142:17
dedicated 48:25
49:3 159:6
deeply 131:8
defence 33:8 163:1
defend 83:18
defendant 132:11
defendant's 132:14
defensive 73:23
defined 80:25
defining 87:5
definitely 124:24
167:5
definition 147:13
degree 40:18
delay 2:12 7:5
delayed 1:3,11
deliver 22:19 58:25
92:16 94:13
154:16
delivered 17:22
38:8 43:25
delivering 22:7
38:3 52:24 64:12
delivery 5:15 38:12
111:21
demand 15:23,25
17:6 24:18 39:23
40:1 42:15 67:16
70:17,25 71:6
133:14 135:7,8
146:16
demands 59:2
demonisation
132:8 133:11

Denmark 94:16
department 6:10
36:15 62:10 84:10
dependent 93:16
depending 28:15
144:8
depends 115:3
deprived 49:22
describe 23:19
159:17
described 10:25
18:15 20:19
describes 109:16
describing 131:14
descriptive 20:13
desegregate 138:21
designed 18:9
110:16 155:21
desire 144:11
desk-based 8:14
despite 53:16
105:18
detail 8:1 12:12,16
41:22 49:8 92:6
115:7 119:17
143:18
detailed 1:18 14:13
62:13,20 66:19
details 9:15 52:14
52:15 156:3
Dettmeijer 145:25
develop 24:4 28:11
29:25 37:1 54:3
59:4 101:1 123:7
142:24 150:11
166:22 167:1
developed 20:20
32:3 33:22 44:22
45:8 54:18 63:19
94:16 108:15
110:1 162:14
developing 9:12
61:24 62:11 96:11
158:13
development 19:6

	ı	ı	1	1
57:24 62:1 158:16	79:9 89:13 90:10	164:19	doing 15:16 30:8	17:9 42:15,18
developmentally	90:12,21 140:21	discussing 48:21	40:7 41:4 49:15	driving 37:24
14:5 21:22	158:1	49:5 121:13	49:18 50:12 52:11	dropped 112:2
device 152:5	difficulty 92:19	discussion 2:17	62:12 63:6,20	dropping 65:6 86:1
DfE 59:10 62:22	digital 59:23 60:1	3:11,13 4:13 6:11	64:13 68:15 88:25	drug 35:13 145:14
diagrammatic 9:22	150:13	6:21,24 7:2,10	90:3 92:3 117:3	drugs 167:25
Dianne 99:15	dimension 139:25	10:20 38:21 47:6	118:3 126:24	Drusilla 1:10
Dickon 158:14	direct 33:7 162:25	47:12,20 48:3,9	135:5,10 145:11	dual 27:20 159:18
difference 15:12	direction 61:17	48:15 49:9,10	152:2,7,25 153:6	due 62:25 65:23
49:24 50:6 51:3	94:21 159:15	86:10,23 97:10	153:10,21 161:5	90:10 107:1
51:16,21 122:11	directly 31:5 45:2	100:8,12 103:8	163:14 165:23	Dunkenfeld 129:12
141:7	57:18 152:24	112:24 119:20	168:8	duplicates 150:16
differences 93:22	162:15	122:15 123:24	doled 92:21	Dutch 145:25
94:5,19 111:18	director 4:19	124:6 130:7	domain 39:10	duties 63:17 99:24
different 9:6 11:1	directorate 5:12	139:10 161:22	domestic 49:25	duty 90:3 91:5
14:3 15:1,5 16:12	disabled 22:3	165:22,25 167:12	63:25 139:14	dynamic 107:18
20:10 26:18,19	disabuse 123:1	169:6 170:8,16	Dominguez 4:19,19	
28:10,17 34:9,10	disciplines 96:19	discussions 3:1	35:11 36:7 37:15	E
34:14 35:25 36:4	disclose 17:13	70:19	49:20 51:2 71:10	E 169:12
41:10 42:8 45:7	21:18 25:2 55:20	disempowered	79:17 132:21	earlier 27:25 34:6
46:23 55:22 60:6	55:24 56:1 85:11	79:15	153:14 154:11	52:20 58:24 59:17
62:21 63:22 68:23	95:23,25 99:19	disparity 66:4	doors 132:13	78:6 98:8 116:17
77:15,16,20 78:1	130:23	disrupting 134:11	dozen 149:1	129:10 136:14
80:23 82:13 85:7	disclosed 29:10	disruption 104:22	Dr 5:13 6:25 46:15	156:24 162:11
88:13 89:14 92:21	50:15	105:2 112:6	47:8 56:12 78:10	165:25 166:7,15
92:21 96:20 106:6	disclosing 17:24	distinction 14:7	86:7 94:11 100:3	early 19:22 21:20
112:15 114:3,25	disclosure 4:3 12:6	distinguish 149:22	103:6,14,15,16	32:1 54:7 61:25
117:6,11,21,22,25	16:10 20:18 25:12	164:15	130:16 170:12	94:17 141:21
120:4,8,9 122:19	25:18,22 29:9,10	distinguished	drama 75:21 157:6	143:15 144:16,17
122:25 123:11,11	55:16 73:12 74:5	10:22	dramas 18:17	145:16 152:10
127:14 129:13,21	disclosures 50:1	distinguishing	draw 39:1 40:14,23	easier 45:12,20
137:23 140:13	53:2 60:25 91:25	114:13	49:17 63:16 64:1	94:13,20 147:23
149:16 151:24	disconnect 87:17	distorted 110:16	108:10 110:5	168:11
153:3 155:22	discount 117:20	distributed 151:3	162:9,19	easily 68:10 155:23
156:7,8,8 163:13	discounted 117:10	distrustful 43:2,3	drawing 33:22 57:5	Eastern 33:5
differently 137:25	discourages 19:13	diverse 21:25	drawn 126:11	easy 13:25 83:14
difficult 15:20	discover 25:6	diversity 13:24	draws 56:21	118:17 147:23
25:16 44:16,23	discovered 18:4	42:23 95:6	drew 39:6 133:14	153:4
62:23 65:16 79:8	discrete 82:15	divert 123:13	drift 158:24	eat 50:11
82:10 85:22 101:8	discretion 46:15	divided 27:17,20	drip 157:20,21	echo 54:2 64:10,18
127:23 132:8,9,15	discuss 12:16 78:7	document 63:13	drive 60:20	122:11
135:10 149:19	83:3 97:17 119:17	documented 13:6	driven 37:21 39:2	economic 26:18
150:4 160:5	discussed 2:20	121:2	83:20	ECPAT 20:22
difficulties 26:15	10:22 12:11 24:3	documents 41:25	driver 59:1 84:6	educate 153:13
38:18 46:16 47:3	82:4 92:18 119:4	dodgy 78:22	drivers 15:23 16:3	154:7

				rage 173
education 6:10	39:22 46:6 134:17	67:14 131:10,22	equipping 29:15	everybody 38:1
18:4 21:23 22:12	egg 38:5	134:23	equivalent 90:9	48:22 69:2 71:15
23:5 24:16 37:21	Eggleston 5:19,19	engaged 22:15 68:2	escalated 72:18	89:6,10 97:15
37:25 38:10 50:13	44:4 60:5 81:9,17	100:25	especially 33:20	102:22 103:3
52:3 53:4,15	83:5 90:24 93:21	engagement 26:10	105:8 106:23	124:2 127:16
59:10,21 61:19	113:3,8,16 120:14	43:12 44:6 53:13	163:16	132:24 147:16,17
62:10 64:24,25	121:10,21 122:2	53:18 96:8 111:12	essential 67:17 68:1	157:14 168:15
65:6 75:18 79:21	,			
	136:6,22,24	engagements 33:19	established 118:20	everybody's 47:13
96:7 109:6,10	158:19	engaging 105:1	128:19	everyone's 3:5
110:22 119:10	either 65:9 131:2	144:4 149:15	establishments	evidence 2:2,19
151:20 152:10	144:3	151:18,25	109:14	5:12 7:16 8:5,11
156:25	electronic 149:20	England 4:8 5:5,20	estimate 133:23	8:14,20 9:6 10:16
educational 52:10	electronically 36:2	5:21 6:13,19 8:8	et 78:18 82:19 98:5	10:23 11:23 13:4
58:25 109:13	element 56:1 135:8	15:17 19:20 33:13	99:17 115:20	18:2 19:17 20:2
Educationalists	elements 79:18	49:18 59:20 61:15	143:6 145:15	23:7 25:3 26:2
22:24	142:6 162:8	77:19 97:16 108:3	Ethel 137:14	28:9 31:17 32:5,8
edutainment 18:15	elephant 50:10,11	129:7 162:12	ether 88:16	33:14 34:3,9
18:16	eligible 108:24	English 39:20 40:4	ethical 23:15	38:15 43:5 46:17
effect 58:22 69:1	else's 87:17	95:1	ethically 9:21	48:13 60:8,11
82:2 83:9 141:24	embedded 22:11	enormous 57:15	126:25	62:3,6,14,18,21
143:13	61:3	74:16	ethnic 111:20	66:1,10,11,13,18
effective 12:25	emerging 108:3	enquiries 80:14	EU 18:6 69:15	67:3 72:16 78:12
13:14,23 16:6	110:18 166:15	ensure 2:6 34:13	Europe 20:20,21	79:4 83:11 89:19
20:16 21:4,10,24	emphasis 98:10	71:24 104:1 130:9	33:5 41:24 42:13	89:24 91:10 93:14
24:24 25:7 28:23	99:6 104:2	150:14 152:9	95:13 104:20	100:7,20 103:22
37:3 43:21 44:1	employer 78:22	ensuring 12:9	European 33:3	103:24 104:4
53:20 55:21 56:6	employers 80:21	111:15,19 151:19	39:16 46:12	105:1,16,20
69:5 70:10 77:5	employing 123:3	151:20	evaluate 62:7 72:4	108:13,25 109:3
81:5,12 91:24	employment 107:3	enter 143:4 144:7	87:22 111:22	110:5,9,18,24
105:8 107:10	125:21	entering 73:17	evaluated 16:25	112:10 119:11
109:5 110:1,19,24	enable 34:20	entirely 149:7	18:11 52:13 64:13	163:7 166:20,22
110:25 112:11,19	enabling 42:10	entitled 139:10	evaluating 15:8	166:25,25 167:4
118:21 127:20,24	encounters 145:8	environment 19:12	60:15 81:13	exact 129:1 142:8
138:23,23,24,24	encourage 26:10	30:14 51:13 58:23	evaluation 24:8	exactly 64:11 90:9
161:14,18	98:12 133:22	67:10 73:12 76:14	71:23 82:4,8	examination 39:3
effectively 54:5	encouraged 24:4	105:24	83:24 108:4	examines 105:11
69:24 75:13 77:10	ended 10:9	environmental	111:22,23 166:2,4	example 13:6 16:24
92:8	ends 152:6	17:16	evaluations 15:14	16:25 17:20 18:10
effectiveness 10:11	energies 167:2	environments	17:19 18:22 19:3	18:18,25 22:3
16:12 20:10,14	energy 134:25	56:24	108:21 114:25	31:6 39:21 63:25
21:13 46:18 62:8	enforce 86:15	envy 70:3	evaluators 83:2	74:3,9,21 83:24
82:6 105:16	enforcement 29:23	epidemiologist	Evans 1:9	91:17 92:12 95:23
127:15	32:20 67:23 69:13	126:19	evening 169:3	96:16 106:20
effort 168:9	engage 22:6 43:7	equal 101:7	event 22:24	107:1,17,18
efforts 12:2 19:22	59:14 66:6 67:13	equip 25:22 29:7	events 80:15	110:12 111:16
2110103 12.2 17.22			STORES OU. LU	110.12 111.10
	l	l	l	<u> </u>

125:5 126:23	experienced 13:17	extremely 6:6 48:5	109:9	93:11 153:25
127:2 129:11	experiences 14:4,9	62:15 107:4 156:6	faith-based 74:10	feeling 109:2
130:24 134:18	30:15 45:25 100:4	eye 97:6	Faithfull 69:19	158:19
138:22 144:15	117:7 120:25		84:1 128:20	feels 96:2 158:23
148:8 160:17	130:22 141:24,25	F	134:19 135:5	felt 79:14 102:12
163:9	143:14 145:2	fabricated 155:21	fall 41:6 64:17	female 109:4
examples 12:18	experiencing 31:14	face 51:12 131:19	false 86:11	113:13
13:7 23:14 53:12	expertise 29:23,25	faced 79:8	familial 165:15,15	fence 132:14
54:1 64:19 73:24	154:18,19	facilitate 3:11	familiar 56:20	field 66:17 125:6
92:24 93:12	experts 95:25	facilitated 2:20	families 28:1 41:10	126:22 145:19
exasperation	experts' 27:16	111:12	64:15 93:1 98:15	Fier 76:10
166:10	explain 125:4	facilitating 95:24	98:17 100:24	fifthly 73:11
exception 58:10	explained 7:15 8:1	FACILITATOR	110:13 123:21	filter 77:12,15
exceptions 16:24	9:15 12:11 22:16	3:7 47:19 103:2	139:18 156:19	final 46:13 48:24
exciting 151:14	122:13	169:16 170:6,10	family 23:25 27:24	101:3 165:24
exclude 9:14	explaining 7:23	facilitators 147:10	28:5 32:24 51:13	finally 25:5 30:13
exclusion 10:2,7	exploit 16:1	facilities 104:25	64:17 105:9	129:4 161:5 169:6
executive 5:16	exploitation 4:2,5	facing 26:16	111:13,16 114:20	financial 11:13
exercise 8:3 9:16	8:10 12:1,4,24	fact 15:7,18 26:21	116:2,3,6,7,9	16:11,19 21:9
10:3 63:21 84:20	13:15 14:20 15:24	66:24 67:4 73:19	122:8 133:1	25:3 28:10 34:7
exhibit 110:2 111:5	16:7 17:2 20:1	101:23 112:23	136:15 145:13,14	35:11,12,13,15
145:10	22:23 23:5 25:16	128:8 133:21	161:13,15,17	104:6 112:13,14
exhibiting 59:8	26:14,20,22 27:7	143:3 162:16,23	164:16 165:18	144:14
103:18 115:25	29:6 31:14 32:2	factor 125:22	family's 74:11	find 13:25 15:20
142:6	40:8,13 41:6,9,15	156:21	fantastic 38:14	46:17,22 66:3,10
exist 30:4 33:21	43:15 50:9 51:9	factors 11:10 13:21	59:23 66:22 70:6	66:12 68:24 79:3
43:16 71:17	64:18 96:6 119:13	15:25 16:4 17:15	135:9 153:17	121:19 135:21
existing 73:10	164:8 167:8	19:4,5 21:1 24:21	163:17	143:19 152:13,15
exists 33:2 107:5	exploited 34:17	27:4 30:7,8,17	far 77:11,22 132:5	153:25 159:7
108:9 135:9	116:21	105:13 107:17	153:16	160:10 161:12,17
exit 116:22 147:8	explore 33:24	110:6 117:25	fashion 75:22	finding 50:25 57:21
expand 54:14	120:8 140:18	120:8 126:5,6	fashioning 143:15	107:3 141:20
127:19 133:15	148:17	145:12 165:10	fast 66:8	152:20 153:11
142:9	exportation 5:5	facts 136:12,16	fears 18:3	findings 2:2,4 7:16
expect 54:16	exposed 161:6	137:9	feasible 38:13	11:2,19 12:17
expectations 75:11	expressed 6:13	failing 30:11,13	feature 33:2	14:13 16:15,20
expensive 62:15	extended 146:12	failings 74:2	features 26:7	17:19 21:12 23:3
experience 2:23	extending 23:8	failure 15:1 73:10	fed 102:13 157:20	25:19 86:11
16:6 26:24 29:11	extension 88:21	73:11	157:21	103:21 104:7
29:12 33:22 55:18	extensive 107:6	failures 13:9	feed 7:5 69:23	106:18 108:4
59:15 60:4,24	extent 66:9 83:9	fair 93:17 168:1	feedback 24:11	146:4 165:2
63:6,15 64:2	89:17 118:19	fairly 8:19 107:5	58:2,3 138:16	166:15
73:14 79:11 83:11	extra-familial	143:12	feeds 70:12 136:10	fine 132:23 168:20
85:13 86:11 90:8	165:8	faith 21:7 22:17	feel 50:4 52:12,22	fingerprints 150:13
110:23 161:9	extreme 7:6	55:6 74:11 108:16	52:23,24 87:19	finish 67:20 159:24
	1	1	1	1

				1490 101
Finland 16:23	167:2	27:17 28:9,25	functioning 108:5	genders 158:25
95:15	follow 2:15 45:12	30:4 31:17 32:4	111:16	general 12:14,17
firm 128:14	68:10 71:15,19	39:11 42:20 43:18	fund 75:1	13:1,2 14:17 29:3
first 1:14 3:23 7:11	84:22 101:18	47:1 60:19 77:4	fundamental 40:20	39:23 41:13 72:4
12:4,16 17:18	103:7 123:25	105:1,9,16 107:21	71:1 72:24 87:2,8	114:12,14 125:6
41:19 47:22 48:4	146:6	109:12 110:9,24	87:13	126:1,4 130:16
49:14 50:15 51:15	follow-up 122:1	111:9,25 113:11	fundamentally	138:7 145:19
69:25 85:4 107:18	followed 7:1	119:11 165:5	136:3 151:20	153:6 159:1
119:24 134:21,23	125:25	Foundation 5:17	funded 62:9 69:14	generalise 41:16
135:9 140:1	following 4:9 6:21	128:20 135:5	98:19 144:1 157:9	generally 51:22
147:18 160:6	8:3 10:17 41:19	163:25	funding 60:20	115:18 124:19
161:24 162:3	60:5 158:11	foundations 112:4	93:16 157:11	130:21 158:19
168:6	follows 24:6	four 4:19 11:5,21	further 2:8 3:3	
firstly 11:7 17:6	football 77:14	11:24 12:1 16:17	6:24 11:22 28:6	generate 75:1
50:25 59:11 73:6	force 36:22 48:16	48:3 49:15 50:14	28:11 31:22 32:15	generation 149:15 German 76:5
73:7 fiscal 21:14	forced 50:3 71:14	51:14 99:15 100:5	34:8 48:19 62:25	germane 49:5
	forces 29:22 86:13	104:4 112:3	78:8 81:16 90:5	Germany 13:6
fit 147:13	forefront 19:21	130:12 132:21,25	96:3 100:12 104:9	24:14 42:22 76:4
fits 140:14	68:12 163:23	153:11 158:5	106:5 107:5 109:5	76:4 106:7 129:11
five 30:5,6,8 34:5	forensic 33:6	fourth 12:10	110:6 121:2	129:13 135:14
41:24 42:6 71:12	162:24	fourthly 73:10	123:23 125:4	getting 51:1 53:1
73:5 74:13 81:20	forget 68:6	fragmented 53:15	129:18 143:18	79:18 88:4 125:14
81:22 82:12,18	forgive 73:6 97:5	54:12 92:19 98:2	162:22	125:21 148:22
107:24	133:1 155:11	framework 11:11	future 6:17 50:17	163:13
five-minute 7:5	forgot 39:14	34:1 40:15 54:17	50:21 78:22	give 11:1 40:6
five-year 121:25	forgotten 101:2	54:21 164:7	104:10 154:5	52:14,15 63:10
fix 13:25 90:20	form 9:22 72:21	frameworks 11:12	G	74:21 75:14 78:3
flag 12:14 71:3,7	formal 2:19 54:9	frank 1:9 72:7		91:24 92:6 96:16
76:10	80:8,9	free 23:23 51:5,15	gag 115:20	129:11 133:8
flip 52:25	formally 101:18	135:18,23	gain 146:23,25	145:23 154:9
floating 88:16	former 119:1	Freud 158:14	147:2	160:16
floor 102:14	forms 111:2 139:20		gallery 1:16 6:23	given 2:5 22:17
focus 4:6 8:20,21	forum 2:19	friendly 3:13	97:4 99:11 167:10	49:3 75:10 95:23
17:10,18 26:13	forums 101:7,8,9	friends 110:14	games 153:3	127:9 157:21
41:8,14 56:21	forward 2:16 35:7	frontline 147:17	gaming 155:5	159:9 162:3,13
60:22 98:19	37:24 42:14 46:5	148:24 159:7	gap 20:17 42:16,19	168:23
100:22,22 112:9	55:9 73:19 79:2	Fryslan 76:10	58:16 80:17 141:3	givers 105:9
134:13 140:1	88:11 96:3,13	fulfilling 125:16	141:8 149:2	gives 9:22 40:14
154:17	99:1,10 100:11	full 10:6 21:6 42:5	gaps 14:19,24 16:8	75:23 122:22
focused 1:23	124:15 128:12	49:20 57:14 84:22	gather 2:18 77:21	133:9 134:3,4
105:17 117:15	133:4	162:6,8 169:2	100:20	giving 36:23 100:6
143:10	Foster 7:21	fully 53:23 135:2	gathered 9:21	125:5 168:1
focuses 31:24	found 7:25 14:25	fun 151:13	gender 113:10	global 15:22 20:7
focusing 24:18	16:21,24 19:23	function 89:18	gender-specific	40:7 41:1 42:9
98:13 120:23	21:10,21 26:6	152:23 161:13	113:5	go 7:25 19:8 29:24
	•	•	•	•

38:21 43:24 51:5	golden 38:5 90:2	Grid 57:16 58:9	143:6	head 4:21 5:7 48:1
51:17 52:5 53:3,6	good 1:6 4:23 5:7	grind 84:4	hand 3:5 7:10	50:5 53:20
57:2,24 58:7 59:7	7:15 10:10,23	ground 3:17 38:20	12:15 16:13	heading 44:17,24
61:19 70:3 78:24	12:18 18:18 21:22	63:11 64:12,18	103:13 115:8	headphones 162:25
85:23 87:10,21	23:8 24:6 26:1	83:12 103:10	149:1	heads 53:8 64:7
94:22 101:15,16	32:5 37:14 42:2	124:3 167:11	handling 50:1	81:6 149:4
110:3 116:14,25	46:6 53:20 54:1	group 22:4 51:24	happen 26:22	healing 131:21
122:4 133:8,19	55:21 56:14 63:3	57:11 69:20	29:11 53:4 60:25	health 6:10 17:2
134:5,8 135:1	63:12 64:20 68:5	115:21 134:20	61:1 71:13 90:13	29:23 31:6,9,16
148:24 150:15,20	72:19 73:24 74:3	135:7 152:12	94:15 98:1 122:21	31:18,23 32:1,19
152:6,24 153:22	74:9 76:11,17,25	160:5,8	146:21 157:16	54:25 78:17 87:11
155:18 160:10,20	76:25 81:9 83:23	groups 21:7,8 22:2	happened 56:5	96:7 109:6 143:11
160:21 161:1,18	89:1,13 91:17	22:18 23:9 50:7	60:21 85:23 86:1	147:16 155:17
163:5	92:20,22,24 93:11	51:24 55:6 92:7	126:2	healthcare 30:25
goes 53:5 105:20	94:5 100:16	107:21 109:9	happening 12:4	31:9,13
129:18 141:24	101:12,13 107:24	115:22 120:4	50:8 56:24 72:9	healthier 70:20
154:1	111:9 125:15	130:13 132:18	77:19 97:20 98:3	hear 3:15 71:11
going 3:15,19 4:9	126:15 127:5	135:22,25 136:2	117:17 137:15	100:5 168:25
4:15,16 7:22,25	136:24 144:15	146:10,12,19,23	147:9 160:15	heard 35:3 52:20
11:20 12:14 13:25	146:4 156:11	160:2	happens 26:20 51:2	59:16 61:11 88:2
15:3,20 16:13,14	157:7 158:7 163:9	grow 56:23 108:14	67:22 69:11	106:10 112:13
16:17 21:11 22:20	166:8,24 167:4	154:19	129:24 160:6	124:11 130:10
23:19 25:8,10	governance 20:12	growing 105:25	161:9 164:15,16	147:1 148:10,13
28:13,22 33:14	governing 77:8	113:14	happy 47:8 64:20	157:23 169:2
40:16 49:15,24	government 6:11	grown 54:18	89:1 103:12	hearing 55:3 76:13
50:12 54:23 55:9	67:24 69:4,6,13	105:15	155:25	100:4,6 131:8
56:5 57:16 59:24	84:19 86:20 93:3	growth 105:19	hard 77:18	169:11
60:6,10,25 66:21	141:23 142:23	guarantee 87:12	Hargreaves 5:16	hebephile 120:12
67:15 68:9 72:6	143:9	guards 155:2	5:16 57:7 58:2	hebephiles 120:1,5
78:22 81:20 82:3	governments 67:17	guess 5:23 72:14,16	66:1 68:16 113:17	held 67:7 69:7
83:18 84:22 85:10	governors 56:18	89:12,15,22	133:18 135:13	Helen 7:21
86:8 90:3,19	grabbed 14:21	141:13 144:14	137:12 149:24	Hello 16:17
91:12,15 93:5,16	grass 77:12,14	guidance 23:15	harm 71:17 163:15	help 17:13 31:6
94:7,24 99:5	grateful 6:6 78:4	25:6 28:21 34:14	harmful 13:9,21	43:24 48:9 49:25
100:10 113:18	97:9 127:11 129:3	guide 48:8	28:1 103:19 105:6	54:8 56:4,25 77:8
115:9,14,15	168:8,17	guided 32:6	110:2,7,17,21	79:5 108:19
119:16 120:14	grave 154:20	guidelines 77:9	111:5 112:8	128:11 134:6
122:5,14 124:1	great 18:7 33:23	guilty 15:2	115:25 118:10	155:24 158:17
125:14,18 128:2	34:13 55:14 68:4	Guobrandsson	142:7 143:3 144:4	helpful 3:18 15:4
128:11,11 130:4	99:6 156:6 157:14	95:13	145:10,17,18	24:17 26:25 27:3
137:18 138:1	163:14 168:11	Н	164:20 165:5	27:22,24 28:3,22
143:14 153:19,24	greater 39:3		harmony 106:19	30:7,18 33:24
159:14,23 161:18	greatest 104:19	half 68:18 132:12	hash 150:12	40:13 47:21 57:4
161:20 162:5	grew 74:10	142:17 149:1	hat 4:20,21	71:7 81:2 86:22
165:16 167:3,9	grey 9:3,3	hampered 141:20	hate 148:11	91:16 133:8 156:4

168:24	84:14 86:5 87:1	hope 38:9 59:10	25:17,20,25 26:3	111:22 121:18
helpfully 32:3	87:23 88:19 90:23	62:24 71:4 72:17	27:5 28:9 29:8	133:7 153:19
helping 95:22,25	91:12 92:9 93:19	76:18 119:17,19	30:6 31:4,8,10,12	154:4,4 162:21
134:14	94:7 95:3,11,20	132:16	31:23 32:1,25	impact-focused
helpline 23:23	97:3 98:22 99:1	hoped 33:13	36:5 43:1 81:19	15:13
57:17 106:11	99:14 100:1,13,16	hopefully 50:20	144:17	impacted 82:7
helps 75:1 81:4	101:10,21 102:16	56:6 92:5 117:14	identified 9:24	impacted 62.7
89:4 132:16	102:22 103:3	145:16 152:13	10:15,19,22 23:13	149:10
hesitancy 92:18	112:21 115:8	163:21	27:8 34:6 42:17	implement 30:11
hierarchical 30:9	119:14 122:14	hoping 55:24 56:3	65:21 73:5 76:24	38:19 73:10 82:24
73:8	123:23 124:7,25	139:1 142:4	82:12 113:4 148:3	93:15 97:16
high 9:11 108:25	125:21 126:9	horrendous 146:17	164:22	implementation
121:19 162:16	127:11 128:16	host 68:20 75:22	identifies 19:4	10:25
high-income 16:22	129:3 130:7	hosted 68:17,24	identify 3:2 8:16	implemented 30:20
17:4 104:16	132:17 133:12	hostile 68:20	17:12 29:4 49:6	94:4
high-profile 38:23	135:2 136:5,20,23	hosting 68:22 69:8	51:8 81:3 95:23	implementing
156:20	137:11 138:1	hotel 104:24	96:1 97:13 149:13	35:20 40:15 41:2
high-quality 10:9	139:1 140:3	hotline 5:17 67:8	167:13	implications 35:11
10:17	141:10 142:3,16	68:4,5	identifying 8:12	35:15 78:18
high-risk 105:18	145:21 149:4,12	hotlines 66:16 68:7	25:15 56:3 136:13	112:15 134:7
106:15 108:19	152:18 153:8	hour 142:17	identifying/dealing	implied 102:9
112:9 127:1	154:8,23 156:2,24	hours 68:25 70:5	36:11	importance 2:5
high-standard	157:18 158:18	House 32:10,13	ignore 10:8	37:19,23
10:11	159:20 161:20	91:19 92:3 162:12	IICSA 98:25	important 1:21
higher 22:1 125:8	165:23 167:9	houses 95:14	illnesses 145:5	2:17,20 14:14
highest 111:25	168:4,18	151:23	illustrates 92:17,18	19:14 24:25 34:4
148:22 165:6	histories 115:16	huge 7:25 45:1	image 20:3 152:21	34:21 37:9,12
highlight 61:22	hit 67:15 134:3	57:13,19 77:16	images 67:22	39:5 40:23 41:12
102:3	hits 64:18 152:10	85:17 93:24 94:4	113:22 130:24	41:15 49:2 50:14
highlighted 71:2	HMRC 87:11	122:11 154:24	150:1,11,13,14,19	53:22 55:4 56:7
90:25 91:18 98:1	hog 37:16	158:10	151:3,10	57:19 59:6 65:13
highly 151:25	hold 89:15 142:3	hugely 59:6	imagination 14:22	66:15 67:21 68:14
Hill 2:21 3:6,8 6:4	Holding 84:13	hypersexual	imagine 83:14	69:4 86:3 90:7
34:24 45:12 46:13	holistic 26:12 32:18	141:14	immediate 12:6	91:13 98:20
47:5,9,20 49:11	32:22 92:25		25:13 32:24 35:20	100:23 101:5
50:24 52:4 53:8	Holland 76:11	I	40:15	102:12 106:17
54:14 55:12 56:9	Hollyoaks 18:21	Iceland 33:2 94:12	immediately 21:4	109:14 117:18
57:5,21 58:19	home 6:9 18:19	95:16	24:23	122:5,9 125:1
61:10,21 62:5,24	56:24,25 71:17	Icelandic 94:12	impact 2:9 15:1,21	138:2,11,21
64:7 65:20 68:9	88:14 105:23	idea 3:13 57:23	19:14 20:1 27:7	139:15 140:5
70:8 71:3 72:2	165:19	121:6 163:11	27:15,20 28:3	141:9 146:7 147:4
73:2 74:17 75:16	homes 21:8 110:12	ideally 104:9	30:1 31:1 36:19	148:1,10 160:9
76:18,21 78:4	honest 129:23	ideas 81:2 92:20	45:19 62:18 70:21	importantly 54:20
79:1,16 80:4 81:1	130:5	identification 4:3	82:6 101:7 106:24	impose 154:7
81:15 83:3,6	hook 68:9	12:5 19:22 25:12	108:13 109:18	imposed 107:2
ĺ				
	I	I	l .	l ————————————————————————————————————

impressed 145:1
impression 40:2,6
improve 12:5 17:22
18:24 31:4,7,12
33:20
improved 26:4 52:2
. 111:16
improvement
74:16 108:4
improves 38:4,4
improving 25:12
31:1 34:22 42:10
109:7
in-depth 111:6
inadequate 167:22
inappropriate
130:24 161:7
incarcerated 106:3
incidence 15:19
include 9:3,7 26:18
37:10 98:4 104:23
165:1 166:12
included 1:19 9:2
10:10,19 26:8
36:21 39:13 42:8
104:18 107:23
165:2
includes 35:16
56:14
including 21:6
61:14 70:17 80:23
105:3 106:9 111:2
116:6
inclusion 10:2
53:16
inclusive 101:24
income 160:7
inconsistent 38:8
incorporated 65:2
increase 17:23
25:24 27:18,19
34:2 118:1 148:19
148:20
increased 73:21
114:4 150:2
1

increases 18:3 25:25
increasing 17:12
increasingly 37:11
53:15 151:5
incredibly 69:12
145:1
independent 1:7 4:24 45:9 67:24
69:13 75:20 80:12
80:22 137:4
indicate 35:8 72:16
72:18 102:18
indicated 37:22
indicating 52:5 indicative 144:13
indicators 25:23
29:13,18 71:12
individual 78:24
80:20 97:17
individual's 107:16 individuals 59:3
91:8 159:6
industry 67:11,12
67:18 69:1,6,15
150:14
ineffective 109:22
Ineke 76:10
inevitably 41:17 91:14 162:5
inextricably 99:20
infants 154:21
influence 67:11
81:25,25 82:13,22
120:9 155:14
165:11 influences 82:10
153:15
influencing 130:1
inform 3:1 7:17 8:5
19:6 21:14 48:10
118:3
information 13:7 16:21 17:4 21:10
21:22 35:17 62:2
-12 33.17 02.2

62:25,25 85:16 87:3,4,7,8,13,14 87:15,16 88:6,24 89:5,6 90:6,21 95:12 98:8 108:23 111:10 112:16 115:6 122:17 134:3,5 135:22 136:1 154:24 156:6 157:20 informed 44:8 informing 40:9
56:15
infrastructure 91:1
INHOPE 68:6
initial 121:23
initially 45:9 123:9
initiations 50:3
initiative 69:9,10
92:15 156:14,20
164:5
initiatives 19:6,16
19:18 54:3 60:6
98:12,19 128:12
163:24 164:4
injunction 54:24
innovation 93:4
innovative 64:21
input 144:24
inquiries 13:3,4
inquiry 1:7,19 2:3
3:2,10 6:14 7:4,17
8:2,6 11:4,5,20,21
16:18 32:12 48:18
73:25 78:15 87:9
97:1 100:10 104:5
147:1 169:1,3
inquiry's 1:20 2:13
2:21 11:5 29:1
48:1,10
inseparable 86:20
instance 26:7 31:10
43:17 44:21 59:5
69:18 113:21
121:4 163:2

instances 85:16
institution 82:23
institution 82.23
27:10
institutions 8:8,11
11:9,13,17 21:2
42:18 46:20 66:7
instructed 3:10
integrated 111:20
intended 168:19
intent 61:18 147:24
intention 48:15
116:13
interactions 15:10
interest 3:1 32:16
43:13 65:22 122:8
138:18,20
interested 38:16
39:5 57:23 71:11
82:8 93:25 120:22
145:19 161:10
interesting 33:1
39:22 40:2 41:20
43:15 60:1 65:19
80:5 168:23
interestingly 149:25
interests 138:19
internal 82:17
90:18
international 20:5
20:8 23:12 42:9
67:1 68:7,15
69:10 135:13
164:2
internet 2:12 5:17
57:9,11,12 66:2,6
66:21 67:11,12
69:15,22 71:15
153:15,18 163:24
internet-enabled
152:5
Interpol 163:25
intervention 21:19
21:20 141:21

	143:15 159:11
	interventions 15:8
3	15:15 16:7,20,22
,	
	17:15 18:14,23
	21:16 22:2,20
	25:1 31:19 60:16
7	106:18 113:5
	interview 163:7
	interviewed 24:11
	162:23 163:4
4	interviewer 162:24
	interviewing 28:21
	32:6 162:21
)	interviews 33:7,10
	111:7
:8	intimate 111:1
.0	intrafamilial
	114:19 122:6,10
ı	139:18
22	
22	introduce 1:8 4:15
	introduced 28:14
	47:25 157:5
)	introducing 31:20
)	introduction 7:22
	27:9
	Introductions 4:18
	169:18
	investigate 146:14
	investigated 140:15
	146:19,23 161:8
,	investigation 31:1
	33:5 105:22
	146:13,20
	investigations 2:13
	147:3
	investigator 7:18
6	investment 93:4,7
	93:24 94:4
	invitation 6:15,19
4	invite 6:22 35:1
	65:20 78:7 91:23
	97:11 168:15
	invited 4:10,14 6:8
)	6:21 35:1 47:12
•	47:20 103:9,11
	17.20 103.7,11

				1490 100
inviting 31:11	48:25 49:4 58:13	114:24 115:1,10	knew 86:16 143:20	knowing 161:10
involve 18:16 24:19	59:22 66:3 67:7	120:14,21 121:7	know 12:24,25	knowledge 1:21
61:13 116:1	67:15 71:7 72:17	121:22,24 122:14	13:12,14 14:17	2:23 14:18 17:25
139:22	77:23 78:8 80:3	122:18,23 123:7,9	15:9,23 16:2,3,6	37:4 59:15 63:6,7
involved 3:14 13:18	88:12,15 91:8	123:13,14 128:1	17:18 19:9,12	63:14 64:1,5
20:8 23:9 26:4	95:7 107:5 111:18	143:4 159:8 161:2	20:14,15,24 23:17	73:14 94:1 147:20
33:9 45:4,8 51:1	112:25 117:16	justify 48:16 85:1	25:15 27:5 28:19	149:6,9
69:8 77:3 104:8	120:17 139:3		29:21 30:19,25	known 14:18 16:5
110:19 111:17	142:23 162:10	K	31:9,19 33:12	22:4 25:15 44:11
128:21 152:19	166:11	Kathleen 121:23	34:1,12 36:23	45:19 68:17
involvement 26:8	Ivor 1:9	keen 2:25 65:2 97:7	37:12 39:9 40:3	107:10 136:15,15
44:6 55:5 61:11		116:24	41:25 42:15 43:11	150:13
104:21 111:14	J	keep 41:14 51:22	43:17 44:23 49:14	knows 128:15
112:5 119:15	Jay 1:6 3:8,9	51:25 66:9 112:23	49:23 50:4,8,18	
139:22	job 78:21 79:14	128:11 157:2	50:18,19 51:7,18	L
involves 22:10	133:18,19,20	158:13,13	52:1,10 55:17	La 158:8
108:17	134:9,25 136:3	keeping 41:8 55:8	56:15,24 58:4,13	lack 15:18 21:2
involving 27:1	154:1 168:10	97:5	59:2 60:3 63:12	28:23 60:11,15
43:19 105:9	jobs 63:6 125:14,21	kept 48:14 69:6	66:19,20 71:25	73:8,21 108:22
Ireland 5:5 13:5	John 4:21	key 1:12 16:17	73:22 79:24 80:8	149:6,9 156:10
23:22 24:8 61:22	join 6:11 47:8	24:15 25:7,19	81:13,20 82:25	159:5
62:10 91:4,9	Jon 5:13 46:13	33:17,18 67:12	84:19,20 85:9,15	LADO 80:24
147:1	56:10 59:3 78:5	96:25 112:3	85:18,19,25 86:2	Lancashire 2:1
irrespective 92:22	86:5 94:10 100:1	140:23 162:11	86:12,15,20 87:21	3:22 8:4 124:12
isolation 26:14	journals 9:5	kids 51:24 151:23	89:7 90:20 92:14	140:6
59:11 98:13	journey 59:7	kind 44:8 57:21	93:3,12,13,20,25	landscape 67:1
108:20	judge 33:8 163:1	60:16,23 61:3,6	94:15,18,24 96:13	70:1
issue 5:4 14:14 22:9	judicial 35:14	62:1,13 68:12	97:21 98:2 99:17	language 18:7
26:25 37:6 46:3	Julia 137:13	70:12 74:13 75:11	100:9 101:17	56:20 65:11,18
49:7,13 58:11	July 2:8 12:12	78:12 81:18,21	114:10,19 115:19	89:10
69:9 77:17 79:4	48:25 49:9 99:6	82:8 89:16 90:19	116:4,23 117:12	languages 39:19
85:13 90:22	100:17 159:14,21	91:10,24 92:9,11	117:18 118:2	large 9:24 127:23
127:13 135:19	jurisdiction 12:20	115:21 122:10	119:5 122:23	135:7
136:9 141:21	jurisdictions 3:25	125:10 126:20	128:6,22,24	largely 150:2
143:7 149:2	4:3 8:7 10:12	128:12 130:3	129:14 131:11	lasting 13:11
150:22 151:19	11:1,12 12:19	131:8,12 134:10	134:17,24 135:9	Lastly 112:18
156:5 161:12	14:1 15:6 19:21	136:8,11,12	135:11,15,23,24	latest 148:18
164:13	38:25 39:13,14	141:21 142:23	135:25 136:3	law 29:23 32:19
issues 2:20 3:14	46:10 89:17 105:3	143:1 144:7,15	137:4,5,18,21	67:23 69:13
4:13 6:23 11:7,14	106:19 107:8	145:18 150:8	138:22 145:7	104:22
11:15,16 12:22	118:21 162:20	152:19 155:22	148:25 151:6,14	laws 19:23
13:16 18:25 24:22	163:10	158:16	152:1 153:22	lead 4:15 27:17
26:15,23 28:7	justice 6:9 44:23	kinds 70:18 154:10	154:21 155:21	125:15
29:2 30:3 41:16	104:12,15 108:10	Kingdom 33:15	156:8 157:23	leader 67:6
42:23 43:1 45:2	109:11,24 114:24	kits 28:21	159:14 167:5	leaders 53:21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	I

146:10,18,19	let's 19:8 51:12	line 48:19 85:25	125:13 145:4	143:18 145:24
leadership 11:15	59:6 63:4 130:3	100:5 102:4	lively 2:16	155:19,25 158:5
23:15 25:6 59:8	level 15:9,21,22,22	130:18,21 132:23	lives 13:11 14:12	158:15,17 163:9
leading 163:23	17:18 19:11 20:9	lines 18:17 24:7	125:15 152:16	167:23,24
leads 67:5	21:9,21 23:2	85:25 87:5	living 94:16 125:20	looked 14:16 15:7
learn 4:7 18:1	24:16,21 25:3,5	link 20:2 33:7 54:7	Lloyds 137:6	35:11 36:9,18,21
61:15 92:24 95:2	32:14 34:10 42:11	54:8 113:21	load 58:23	37:3 39:13 43:17
97:16 103:4	42:11 52:2,2	143:19	loads 84:10,11	46:10,12 66:17
learned 3:25 4:2	54:20,21 58:18	linkage 141:15	local 15:9,21 20:15	75:9 76:2 78:1
59:4 102:13	77:12,22 106:14	143:1,8	23:14 31:5 54:21	98:8 113:24 114:9
learning 1:23 22:22	107:12 115:6	linked 40:6 59:2	55:1 63:22 64:1	114:22 115:5
22:25 38:4 39:3	levels 20:19 22:6	60:20 61:5,7	70:13 75:4 93:15	118:7 120:3 161:8
57:17 60:18 82:9	28:18 74:18 75:3	97:18 99:20 120:2	97:23 101:4 102:7	165:4
107:13 118:20	80:23 102:7 106:9	links 21:19 31:5	144:1 157:8	looking 2:16 10:4,5
139:8 157:23	111:20 121:19	38:14 92:11	locality 51:4 54:8	25:11 27:4 32:1
158:1 162:20	165:4,6	141:25	locally 101:5	35:16,19 36:19
learnt 6:19 8:7	LGBT 155:16	list 134:2 145:3,12	location 13:20	37:8 38:24,25
63:23 103:25	liable 151:4	150:11,12,12	logical 119:21	41:1 42:23,24
leave 37:16 49:8	lies 89:20	listen 59:12 131:13	London 49:22,23	43:8,13 44:17
79:14 80:11 95:18	life 24:4 60:19	listening 2:25	50:12 81:9 95:8	52:6 60:9 61:17
130:25 154:22	110:11 115:16	literature 8:25 9:2	long 59:7,7 62:15	61:18 76:3 82:17
168:2	116:17 122:22	9:3,4,4,10 10:13	84:7 125:23 126:3	92:4,7 96:17
leaves 93:6	125:16,17,19	10:21 14:18,25	131:5 144:3	100:19 101:20
leaving 128:17	141:19 143:5	15:6 16:9 31:23	162:14 166:8	114:16 117:5,6,11
led 27:18 143:11	lifetime 118:2	35:21 37:12 39:15	167:3,7	118:24 120:19,23
Lee 5:19 62:6,19	light 42:16	39:19 40:3,5 41:4	long-running 61:23	121:24 122:1
90:23 93:19	liked 60:17	42:20 46:25,25	longer 14:22	123:16,19,22
119:23,24 124:25	likelihood 17:23	109:16 114:8,11	longstanding	127:12 132:13
136:5 156:25	107:16 163:5	114:22 117:4,19	143:25	133:24 134:7
158:18	limit 33:9 91:22	117:24 164:24,25	look 10:14 13:3	136:2 150:8
Lee's 122:11 160:1	limitations 8:22	little 7:9 16:6 21:10	15:1 25:10 31:25	153:20 155:10,13
left 79:13 151:21	14:15,18	28:9 31:21 36:6	33:16 38:13 42:14	158:13 162:11
legal 45:6 162:22	limited 14:25 15:7	39:17 44:9 47:2	46:17 58:15 64:19	164:18 166:24
legislation 11:11	15:18 20:23 23:7	71:5 77:4 85:18	66:21 68:23 69:23	looks 27:15 41:4
19:12,14 27:6	29:13 35:17,21	85:19 105:15	72:2 79:1 91:10	154:1
54:22 105:14	39:18 48:5 106:23	109:3 110:24	92:9 95:18 96:22	loop 63:8
147:22 148:1	107:4 108:22	112:14,16 113:10	98:15 100:11	loquacious 127:9
164:10	113:15 119:6,11	113:11,12,14	103:16 106:8	Lorraine 7:14 25:8
legislative 6:12	162:7	115:3 121:17	111:24 113:25	25:9 52:20 103:23
33:25 40:1	limits 8:22 166:1,4	124:18 125:4	115:15 118:8	169:20 170:1
leisure 21:7 30:23	Linda 4:17,19 35:8	127:19 131:23	121:18,18 126:9	lose 67:4 134:9
75:4 104:24	49:13 55:18 71:4	141:14 142:9,24	127:7 129:23,23	lost 97:25
lengthy 89:19	71:9 72:20 79:16	144:20 147:19	130:12,25 132:18	lot 3:17 10:24
128:18	132:20 153:9	live 2:12 7:5 14:11	135:20,21 137:7	12:18,24 13:16,20
lessons 155:9	Linda's 72:4	107:2 124:21	139:12 141:5	14:15 20:14 36:16

37:9,18 43:10	major 80:20 89:25	140:20,22,24	88:24 89:4 147:19	127:4,22,25 165:3
44:3,11,21 45:8	146:8	marketing 18:5	150:8 152:13	165:6
46:4,7 47:3 53:8	majority 20:24	24:17	mechanisms 81:8	method 103:22
58:5 60:6 63:5	105:21 110:3	massive 58:25 59:1	88:4	Methodist 73:25
64:7 67:21 73:20	135:20 136:6	82:1 90:22 93:4	media 16:25 18:7	methodologies 9:17
75:6,20 85:15	makers 41:17	117:4 126:17	24:17 39:10 79:18	31:3
95:2 98:9,10,11	making 39:23 49:6	148:19 149:2	80:2 129:11,16	methodology 3:24
98:14 100:23	51:21 53:25 63:3	massively 57:3	132:7 146:9 154:2	methods 8:16 28:22
122:6 125:12	63:16 70:11 78:18	match 75:10	mediation 122:23	31:2,4,10 34:10
126:20 128:2	90:12,13,17 100:2	material 9:13 11:22	medical 126:18,22	43:11,13 117:21
120.20 128.2	107:3 110:7	11:24 52:9,10	meet 10:16 82:18	117:22 157:23
137:15 140:12,17	117:14 123:3,6	156:11	122:24 128:23	microphone 97:13
141:13,22 143:15	142:25 156:12	materials 7:24 8:17	135:25 136:1	167:14
145:5,8,9 146:15	Malcolm 1:9	8:24	member 5:9 6:2	microphones 47:23
147:13 152:25	male 105:18 107:22	matter 7:6 19:1	18:6 24:1 57:10	124:5
153:6 155:2 158:2	165:10	47:5 52:19 55:25	members 1:8 2:14	military 82:16
160:10,24 163:12	males 113:9	57:13 78:6 124:15	7:19 8:2 11:19	Miller 5:11,11
160.10,24 163.12	manage 11:22 43:7	133:3 160:7	88:9 122:8 161:25	61:19,22 62:9
lots 123:11 155:5,5	70:17,23 88:17	matters 7:4 73:13	memory 156:2	77:6 92:2 152:21
lounge 155:3	140:3 161:2,4	Matthew 2:21 3:6	men 134:22	millions 126:20
love 59:20 133:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		mental 76:21	
	managed 148:8	maximise 11:18		mind 48:7,14 49:11 71:13 112:23
low 160:7	management 7:1 12:8 22:15 56:18	maximum 64:6	mention 57:7	
lower 106:9,14		Mayor's 81:10	125:14 137:17	mind-set 79:21
LSBs 98:5	103:5,17 104:1,7	mean 37:6 58:24	mentioned 36:8	130:4
LSCB 4:24 53:19	104:11,14 110:20	66:13 72:11,22	42:15 55:18 57:12	minders 75:21
54:24	114:17 119:15	73:25 83:22 94:1	75:17 109:25	mindful 26:21 98:7
LSCBs 54:17 55:7	130:8,15 138:4,12	114:25 115:10	125:21 127:6	100:19
63:17 80:12	139:6,10 158:20	121:11,12 122:24	143:22 164:25	Mine 119:25
Lucy 69:19 84:1	160:14	125:2,4 126:15	mentoring 36:25	minimal 51:20
128:20 134:19	managing 5:15	129:13 133:11	merging 41:16	109:19
135:5	70:24 106:5 109:7	135:15 136:25	merit 90:4	Ministry 6:9
Ludlow 99:13,15	109:13	148:13 151:12	merits 166:1	minorities 111:20
99:15 100:14	Manchester 5:1	156:21 165:16	message 12:17	minute 116:25
lunch 6:24 83:4,13	95:8	means 27:24 29:15	33:18 112:3	minutes 47:11
97:5 102:23	mandatory 27:9,13	93:11 107:11	117:20 118:14	129:20 141:12
lunchtime 143:22	28:13 34:1 46:16	124:21 156:10	162:12 163:8	142:12
144:23	84:18,25 85:5,6	164:22	164:5	misconceptions
M	86:7 87:4,6,25	meant 123:13	messages 12:15	136:18
magic 88:1	88:10 89:18,21	measure 37:5 45:13	18:18 24:15 27:13	missed 19:7 101:16
Mail 129:25	91:5,13 99:13,16	45:21,21,23 46:1	28:25 33:17 34:12	155:6,7
main 17:5 131:22	99:21 129:15	82:6 83:8 165:14	40:14 44:1 52:24	misses 98:14
146:14	mantra 60:23	measures 63:4	79:19 168:2	missing 20:21 67:1
maintain 156:16	Maori 123:9	111:16	messaging 132:5	147:12
maintained 156:23	marginalised	measuring 45:19	met 24:5	mixed 27:14,22
maintaineu 130.23	123:14 140:12,17	mechanism 88:23	meta 107:20,24	34:3 107:7 112:10

	·	1	i	<u> </u>
mobile 29:22	Mounted 52:7	NAPAC's 86:5	91:1 106:5 109:5	Norfolk 4:25 74:21
model 24:7 33:12	mouth 51:2	narrow 8:20 140:1	110:22 112:7	75:8
56:14 67:7 81:4	move 14:13 19:10	national 4:24 5:13	needs 22:12,23	normal 141:2
94:17 99:20	25:10,20 29:8	6:1 15:22 19:17	24:5 49:7 59:11	151:10
models 32:17,17	47:20 59:10 91:12	20:6 23:14 42:11	76:1 81:8 98:14	normally 51:10
34:15 43:9 70:6	96:13 123:24	77:22 92:19 93:8	107:13,14 112:11	84:4 127:4
83:10 108:10	124:1	96:5 98:1 101:25	121:8 122:13	normative 149:17
115:1 120:20	moved 44:22 73:19	102:7 147:19	137:24 146:21	norms 17:8 19:15
153:21	74:7,12	163:25	161:8,18	19:15 140:13
module 60:4	moves 132:12	nationally 75:11	neglect 41:5,11	141:7
Moldova 158:9	moving 19:7 26:25	nature 7:10 9:8	negotiating 119:9	North 5:9 17:19
moment 18:12,19	30:22 33:16 54:10	13:16 28:15	neighbourhood	105:3 106:23
20:12 31:17,20	72:24 103:3	near 148:23	96:9	north-west 59:20
32:12 38:7 64:22	112:24 166:11	nearest 84:9	neighbourhoods	Northern 5:5 61:22
83:15 89:24 91:20	multi-agency 53:23	necessarily 45:10	110:13	62:10 147:1
99:24 127:18	54:19 55:5 63:15	61:7 80:8 89:23	Net 153:1	Norway 16:23
128:5 129:8	74:24 92:11,25	105:5 110:1	Netherlands 23:13	19:23 95:15
146:24 150:17	multi-sector 13:13	114:13,23	23:22 24:8 42:22	notable 16:8
156:1	15:13 44:20 102:6	need 14:1,9 15:11	network 5:3,3,20	note 76:22 106:17
money 51:21 69:14	multi-sectoral 55:5	19:3 20:9 21:5,13	54:6 55:9 64:11	160:9
75:1 83:21 84:1,5	multi-stakeholder	21:17,25 22:2,5	64:19 128:25	notice 29:18 83:16
92:20 128:2	57:11 67:10	22:15,16,18 24:18	networks 42:9	noticed 97:21
monies 93:18	multi-stakeholders	24:19 25:3 26:17	128:10	notification 106:21
monitor 15:19	68:1	29:3 30:3,20	never 136:7 139:21	124:10
monitored 75:23	multiagency 70:9	31:21 34:8,8	141:6 146:16	NRM 147:18,20
monitoring 105:17	101:4	40:25 51:19 55:23	161:9 162:5	148:18,18,21,25
months 84:2,2	multifunded	56:1 58:7 60:3	new 30:25 39:17	NSPCC 5:12 76:24
morning 1:6,11	143:24	67:13,14 78:9	53:17 105:10	77:3,7 150:7
3:20,23 4:23 5:7	multiple 74:1	80:18 82:20 87:21	111:6,9 123:8	152:14,18 153:17
6:20 7:15 44:5	multisystemic	88:7,22,23,23	newer 9:13	nuggets 89:6
55:3 70:20 93:13	110:10	89:11 92:11 93:10	NFAed 159:11	number 9:25 17:3
97:11 102:4 103:7	mustn't 101:2	98:5,15,17 100:19	Nicky 3:21 7:19	28:25 33:10 38:23
103:12,21,23	myriad 97:23	101:8,19 102:1	12:16 16:14,16	48:6 51:5 53:11
104:5 109:18	myths 136:11,16,20	103:13 105:4	169:23	57:14 73:22 74:18
117:14 119:18	137:9	114:16 116:10	Night 158:9	77:7 80:6,23 81:8
121:13 124:3		117:15,20,23	nine-year-old 65:4	87:18 104:19
125:15 133:13	N	127:7 133:18	nip 145:22	118:12 144:25
135:3 141:16	N 169:12	134:24 139:15,19	nodding 53:8 64:7	156:7 157:7,22
142:2 143:2	name 1:6 77:25	140:17,25 145:24	74:17 93:19 149:4	160:12 163:3,12
morning's 6:23	83:15 86:7 98:23	146:22 147:14	155:7	numbers 126:19,22
112:13 119:2,4	99:14 143:12	150:21 152:3,6,9	nods 81:1	128:3
165:22	Namita 5:25 55:12	152:13 155:4	non-peer 166:14	nut 89:11
motivations 17:7	85:4 124:25	156:17 157:2	non-state 44:7,13	nuts 128:22 129:1
24:2 106:1	159:22,23	needed 21:12 28:6	45:14	NWG 5:2
mould 83:12	NAPAC 86:7 100:5	28:11 34:11 37:2	nonschool 61:4	

0 Oakland 159.7
Oakland 158:7
object 22:21
objective 166:3 objectives 102:11
obligation 74:24
observation 97:18
98:21 139:7
140:10
observations 6:22
97:12 103:9
167:15 168:16
observed 33:7
162:25
obvious 78:25
obviously 37:9
41:21 42:2 44:4
51:24 58:14 63:2
66:2,25 78:16 96:24 137:12
152:22 153:15
162:3
occasion 29:20
occasions 65:16
161:25
occurs 13:19,20
71:16 79:17
offence 107:12,18
109:21 114:7
117:17 139:12
offences 20:23
104:9 115:17,20
offend 116:14
117:1 125:16,18
offended 131:8
offender 45:22
104:8,13 106:18
106:20,25 107:2,6
107:9 112:11
120:24 125:6 126:23 127:1
126:23 127:1
136:19,21 137:1
137:13 138:11,19
138:25 140:7,11
150.25 110.7,11
I

141.5 142.5
141:5 143:5 165:15,18
offender's 107:12
120:24
offenders 13:17
14:6 20:24 24:7
24:10 35:25
104:11,16,18
105:14,18 106:1,4
106:6,9,16 108:3 108:17,19 109:4,8
109:13,19 112:9
113:13,18 114:11
115:2,11 117:5,12
117:23,23 119:15
119:16 120:4,22
123:4,14,18,20
124:18,21 126:4,7
126:25 130:6,8,9
133:16,17 136:13 136:13 138:5,5
141:6,19,22 142:6
142:18,19 146:1
147:6,11 151:8
160:25 164:14
166:17
offenders' 138:14
offending 19:19
23:21 104:10 105:20 108:21
110:6 112:1 114:2
114:13 116:10,17
119:6 120:9 121:2
126:1 139:21
163:21 164:2
166:17
offer 51:5 116:16
offering 51:15 offers 21:3 23:21
95:9
office 6:9,18 71:17
81:10 88:14
Office's 18:19
officer 77:13,14
96:16

Oh 78:22 125:23 okay 50:20 76:20 83:5 old 146:3 older 58:16 149:15 152:12 once 51:22 141:18 143:3 150:13 157:21 165:15 one-off 22:24 57:25 one-stop 32:17 58:6 91:25 94:15 163:11 one-stop-shop 98:18 one-stop-shop-type
98:18
one-to-one 51:25
ones 120:22
ongoing 36:23 60:22 96:22
online 5:18 9:13
19:22 20:3,6
56:15,21 57:5,8
57:15,20 66:2
67:2,6 68:20
108:3 111:2 153:6
153:19 154:1
163:20 164:2 166:16
onus 55:16,24
85:10,20,21
Ooh 51:17
open 2:16 30:10
69:22 74:5 82:19
Opening 1:5 3:7
169:14,16
openness 21:2 73:9 73:21,25
operate 75:12
operates 143:24
operational 54:21
opinion 27:16,19
opportunities 21:3
23:8 24:22 59:3

61:8
opportunity 4:10
35:6 48:12 59:9
59:13 76:9 83:1
97:9
opposed 120:1
opposing 89:15
option 148:4,6
options 161:2
order 15:11 22:6
26:9 70:4
orders 105:16
organisation 6:1
20:12 44:16 48:17
68:7 72:9,15,18
73:8 74:2,3,10
78:20 79:6 81:23
97:14 137:4
organisational
11:11 13:9 14:2
27:6 30:3,5 39:12
44:14 47:1 71:23
73:7 164:11
organisationally
89:21
organisations 6:2
11:6,15,25 12:2,5
12:7 15:11 20:5
21:3,6,7 23:9
24:23 25:14,21,22
27:8 28:23 29:16
30:3,8,10,13,17
30:21,23 34:1,4
42:12 44:7,13,13
45:9 46:7,9 47:4
60:2 63:22 67:24
71:19 73:22,23
75:5,14 82:19
90:11 101:6
104:23 105:2
109:9 117:12
118:7 131:25
166:21
organised 76:1
92:5 135:19

originally 45:25 originated 19:1 104:19 **ought** 167:2 outcome 37:10 38:2 45:13 63:4 84:21 94:8 outcomes 38:4 60:15 107:9 120:23 144:13 164:18,22 outline 84:24 91:14 outlined 70:12 104:5 109:17 outlining 6:12 outreach 26:9 outset 2:18 outside 8:7 42:18 48:12 75:18 101:15 114:20 141:2 149:21 overall 64:14 109:3 109:22 112:3 overcome 27:10 34:18 42:24 overlap 49:4 overlooked 61:9 overrun 97:3 overrunning 102:17 overseas 1:24 2:24 49:18 61:15 97:16 97:19,21 98:3 103:4,25 ownership 18:9 22:17

P

package 164:10 paedophile 120:11 paedophiles 120:2 paedophilic 115:21 page 134:3,4 Pam 5:11 61:16 76:23 92:1 152:18

				1490 170
panel 1:8 2:10,16	43:11	pause 7:7	131:7,14,24	134:15,16 135:1
2:24 3:15 97:7	particular 15:15	pay 144:11	133:22,24,25	139:11,13 141:13
98:25 162:1	18:10 22:13 27:5	payment 135:19	134:3,12,16	141:15 143:4
167:20 168:22,24	28:16 30:7 31:15	peak 115:16	135:20 137:20	158:21 159:4,17
paper 63:21 89:8	39:2 40:10,25	peer 9:2 50:3,7	140:11 142:6	160:25
115:15	44:16 53:9,24	109:7 110:23	144:3 145:9,16	Perry 86:9
papers 133:11	58:8 60:21 81:3,4	112:19	146:10,12,25	persist 118:12
parameters 48:21	117:16 123:10	peer-on-peer 16:5	147:7,13 148:10	persistence 118:2
146:13	130:12 139:16	peer-reviewed 9:5	148:14 149:13	persistently 156:12
pare 42:7	141:16 156:14	peer-to-peer	150:8,18,24	person 2:15 85:19
parent 57:13 96:7	164:6	142:19	151:10 152:14	101:13 144:8
101:13 116:10	particularly 9:12	peers 16:8,8	153:3,16,16,21	145:6 151:12
parent/child 18:24	12:9 14:8 16:10	people 5:13 11:16	155:14,16 157:24	159:5 165:9,11
parental 155:2	22:4 33:1 39:10	13:10 16:2 18:8	158:1,3,8,10,17	person's 110:11
parents 18:23 19:1	42:25 44:17 46:10	23:10 24:3 25:24	159:18 161:25	personal 79:8
22:15 27:1 43:18	57:23 59:18 61:12	30:21 31:11,14	162:16,17 163:21	131:21
43:19 49:25 51:1	67:8 70:13 79:9	35:14 39:25 43:11	164:21 165:5	personally 58:21
51:23 54:5 57:12	91:8 92:24 105:23	45:3 46:19 50:16	people's 14:8 57:8	69:8 79:24 92:15
57:22 61:14	106:7 117:22	52:15 53:1,3	79:21 84:24	131:9
101:19 111:17	121:3 123:14	55:17 56:16,20,23	106:13 110:21	perspective 49:17
153:2 154:25	128:17 135:14	58:6,8 59:12 63:6	135:14 141:25	86:4 96:18
155:1 157:16	138:5 139:18	64:11 65:12,17,18	154:19 162:9	perspectives 41:2
160:10,19 161:4	140:19 142:22	66:16 67:14 68:23	perceived 88:1	perspectives 11.2
parents/caregivers	143:1,10 149:6,18	69:21,25 70:2	106:22	Pete 132:4
110:19	157:11 163:20	71:14,24 75:20	perform 147:9	phase 153:23
parliament 54:23	165:18 166:16	76:12,15 79:10,20	perform 147.5	phenomenally
part 2:11 18:2	168:24	80:22 82:7 83:25	performs 147:21	149:19
28:25 33:17 34:21	partly 88:1	84:4,8,12 85:22	period 8:23 14:22	philosophy 123:10
36:11 38:11 48:11	partner 111:1	87:14 88:23 89:9	74:12 89:19	phone 155:5
53:23 54:6 55:8	partners 53:19	89:15 90:12 92:21	128:18 162:4,7,14	photography 75:22
60:22 72:24 73:1	54:19,25 101:7	100:6,9 101:19	periods 144:12	phrase 156:24
73:2 74:23 75:24	102:2	103:18 105:6,12	perpetrated 139:21	physical 41:11
77:6 100:22	partnership 54:19	109:20 110:2,3,7	146:10	111:14 145:5,13
108:23 113:6	63:23 80:12	111:5,23 112:1,7	perpetrator 17:17	pick 25:22 93:13
120:3 123:4,18	partnerships 97:24	112:17 113:7,18	45:6 109:10 116:7	137:18 140:19
140:3 146:16	101:5	113:20 115:2,15	120:16 132:21	picked 156:25
147:4 159:7	parts 35:12 55:22	115:18,23,24	133:4 136:7	picking 159:20
Partially 129:22	92:23 95:17	116:13,16,21,25	159:18 160:14	picture 78:24 85:18
participants 1:12	pass 136:1 155:25	117:9,21 118:1,10	perpetrators 7:1	85:20 89:7 92:19
2:13,22 4:10,14	patchy 38:8	118:14 119:8,13	12:9 17:7 23:20	151:7 154:2
6:22 35:1 47:12	pathways 117:7	121:13 123:13	44:21 69:22 103:5	pictures 137:23
47:21 103:9,12	patiently 64:8	124:23 125:7,8,13	103:17 104:1,8	150:25 151:2
participation 3:4	pattern 103:7	125:15,25 126:1	105:21 107:22	152:15
42:8 96:8 111:10	patterns 66:8 118:8	126:15 128:8	110:4 118:17,22	piece 65:13 76:8
participatory	120:9	130:1,5,18,21	121:15 133:20	82:14 84:3 87:10
1 J				
	ı	ı	ı	ı

				1 dgC 131
144:15 145:23	64:23 65:8,24	75:12 81:25 82:6	potential 9:25	predominant 135:7
146:2 152:10	72:20 75:16 76:23	98:1 102:8 105:14	23:20 24:9 96:1	predominantly
155:24 157:20	83:7 86:19 87:1	106:18	118:16 119:15	65:1 96:4,17
pieces 59:19 64:17	90:1,6 93:20	political 11:14	130:9 133:17	predominated
82:15	94:10 95:11,12	16:19 21:21 23:2	138:5 142:19,20	112:10
piloted 33:4,13	99:15 100:2,4,16	25:5 34:12 104:6	144:9 159:4,17	pregnancy 145:9
91:20	101:12,22 115:14	112:18	160:14	premature 31:21
pioneered 46:8	115:24 122:4,5,16	politically 118:19	potentially 128:18	prepare 6:5 168:10
pioneering 44:12	122:17 123:2	pool 64:4	power 70:10 81:19	prepared 131:10
place 12:4 54:18	124:1 138:2,9	poor 13:7 26:22	81:23	131:21
57:2 69:25 77:8	140:5 142:8,25	30:11,12 31:17	powerful 81:12	preparing 56:18
84:20 94:22 95:7	143:7 159:22	73:10	powers 69:2 80:16	prepubescent
97:10 125:24	160:1,9 162:19	poorly 13:8	practical 74:21	120:12
135:9 139:19	163:22	popular 106:22	88:18,24 92:12	preschool 21:23
150:17 155:23	pointed 125:1	118:18 141:23	108:18 124:1	presence 3:5
169:5	points 4:11 35:2,5,6	population 22:1	practice 1:24 2:24	present 14:13
placed 59:2 99:6	35:10 37:15 47:22	61:14 94:13,18,21	8:11 11:16 12:19	16:14 96:15
places 40:24 87:12	48:4,12 49:11	pornography	23:16 30:1 34:16	presentation 3:20
87:18 147:2	52:23 68:11 78:8	116:18 155:13,14	36:24 37:2,4	4:6,9 6:25 7:11,14
plan 55:1	91:2 95:21 99:2,8	155:19	38:20 40:23 49:18	11:19,20 16:16
planned 102:20	103:8 113:1,2	Portsmouth 155:12	50:1,2 61:16 63:5	25:9 34:6,23,25
planning 108:17	119:22 123:23	pose 63:25	64:20 65:21 66:16	35:3,24 47:15
plans 19:17 96:12	124:5 159:25	position 48:17 70:3	68:4,5 69:2 71:13	60:17 97:25 103:6
137:8	162:2 165:20	81:18 85:8 88:13	71:15,24 72:19,25	103:7,15 104:3
play 141:17	170:4,14	91:11 167:4	73:24 74:4,8	109:18 112:14
played 45:1	police 27:12 43:3	positions 81:23	76:25 77:1 78:9	119:3,4 121:12
player 67:13	52:7 54:25 67:18	89:17	82:21 91:17 94:6	123:25 169:20,23
players 146:14	69:17 70:14,23	positive 24:11 26:8	103:4,25 107:25	170:1,12
please 4:16 10:8	86:13,15 96:6,16	27:2 30:1 34:15	109:25 163:9	presentations 60:2
35:8 49:6 52:5	96:18 133:23	53:12 111:12	practitioners 15:9	168:13
61:21 64:9 68:6	144:2 147:17	124:13 144:13	28:20 63:11	presented 74:6
79:16 88:19 98:23	150:20 151:5	positively 24:5	148:25 166:6,20	103:21 156:7
101:10 131:6	160:21	possibility 83:6	166:21 167:1	presenters 4:11
132:20 136:5	police's 133:20	107:3	Prakash 5:25,25	presenting 2:1,4
142:24 145:21	134:25	possible 72:3 98:16	42:15 55:13 85:6	7:20 100:10
pleased 1:14	policies 20:22 27:6	possibly 42:22	114:17 122:4	presents 103:24
plus 5:3	30:12 71:17,21,23	123:21	138:9 160:1	111:4
Plymouth 155:12	72:5,12,22 73:10	post 98:16 99:3	pre 98:16	press 129:22 130:2
pm 102:20,24	75:7,10 77:8	147:11	pre-entry 111:10	167:23
103:1 142:13,15	82:17,20 88:6,22	post-qualifying	pre-offending	pressing 84:16
169:10	89:8 98:6 106:20	22:6	118:9	pressure 58:25
point 22:19 37:8	policy 5:12 6:12	posted 169:7	pre-pubertal 165:9	pretty 32:5 126:14
47:24 48:24 49:6	10:25 11:11 14:21	posters 129:17,19	pre-schoolchildren	157:8
55:12 56:8,9 57:5	15:21 21:15 39:12	postpubescent	43:20,25	prevalence 15:19
58:20 61:20 64:9	41:17 71:20 72:1	120:12	predict 110:6	76:4,6,8 109:22
			_	
	<u>I</u>		ı	ı

				-
prevent 11:9 12:3	prisons 109:12	80:18 81:5 132:10	professionals 5:3	progress 53:7
18:5 46:19 119:6	privacy 24:22	144:7 159:9	26:8 29:3,16	prohibiting 19:23
164:3	pro 115:19	162:21 163:16	31:13 32:7,19	project 3:24 7:13
preventative 17:5	pro-offending	166:6,8	34:18 36:10,13	7:18 61:23 62:9
18:17 19:6 21:16	108:6	processes 32:8 33:9	47:4 56:2 57:17	63:10 66:12 83:25
24:25 36:4 38:3	proactive 25:20	54:9 101:1 146:7	59:22 72:22,23	93:4 129:12
43:18 140:7	27:2 29:7,15	163:6	75:20 79:7 85:8	projects 42:24 43:7
preventing 8:9,12	31:10 33:19 71:5	proclivities 135:15	85:12 99:18,23	43:20 45:8 64:22
23:4 41:4 102:8	71:10 75:15	produce 32:7 63:13	100:15,23 147:20	166:2
109:18 119:8	119:14 130:8,14	producing 28:20	153:2 163:14	promising 9:7
prevention 4:1 12:2	138:4 139:6	29:21 34:14	Professor 1:9,25	10:15,21 18:16,22
16:15 17:2 19:16	proactively 33:21	137:20 166:6	2:3 3:8,9,21,21	23:3 31:2 32:14
19:22 21:10,15,19	164:1	product 19:15	7:11,14 16:16	38:17,25 44:1
22:11 24:16 25:4	probably 18:12	production 157:6	25:9 34:24,25	105:1 108:8,22
25:7 43:1,19	31:20 35:23 52:6	products 157:7	47:14,14 65:9	120:15 121:14
44:19 55:13 60:10	52:12,15 64:12	PROF 5:22 7:15	76:7 139:1 159:24	166:13
60:24 61:8,25	72:7 73:17 74:1	16:17 25:10 35:16	161:21 168:12	promote 34:15
71:5,11 76:15	76:8 83:21 93:17	36:15 38:1 39:8	169:20,23 170:1	prompted 39:3
111:1 118:21,24	118:18 128:15,24	40:25 41:19 42:5	programme 1:19	prompting 39:11
118:25,25 119:1,5	132:25 133:1	42:13,20 44:11	1:20 17:20 18:2	properly 59:14
119:12,14 141:16	143:17 145:24	45:17 46:21 83:18	19:1 26:6 35:20	82:11 122:13
142:1 143:2,8	146:3,4 161:19	95:12 113:7,9,24	45:13 55:21 62:17	146:19
144:17 145:11,15	problem 13:24 14:6	114:22 115:9	62:19 74:22,25	property 167:25
preventive 16:21	62:7 83:20 87:2,8	116:9,11 117:3	76:11,12 106:11	proportion 114:15
19:18	110:8,25 114:1	118:24 119:2	110:20 111:4,6,21	162:17
previous 117:2	151:13 163:20	120:3,17 121:17	112:2 114:25	proposals 88:10,14
previously 47:25	problematic 79:13	121:22 122:3,17	115:3 118:11	prosecuted 141:7
74:3 109:25	115:12	122:20,21 123:2	119:10 120:6	146:20
primary 4:1 12:2	problematical	124:16 125:5,23	136:9 137:3,6	prosecution 26:1
16:15 19:21 21:9	29:13 121:3	126:13 127:22	144:9,11 154:11	33:8 104:13
21:19 24:15 43:1	problems 26:13	128:20 129:9	154:16 157:4,14	105:19,22 109:7
43:19 55:13 62:16	41:9 46:20 73:15	144:21 162:10	158:15	140:16 151:4
65:2 118:24 119:1	87:13 107:15	166:5	programmes 16:12	163:1
119:5,12,14	126:24 131:20	professional 11:14	17:20,22 19:3,9	prosecutions
145:15	152:20	11:16 16:19 21:21	19:16 21:24 22:7	105:15
principal 7:18	procedure 81:5	23:2 25:5,6 27:10	22:16,17 26:6	prostitution 19:25
principles 108:10	procedures 30:12	29:2 30:2 32:11	38:3,7,13 49:13	protect 69:9 72:22
123:4 127:6	77:9,21 82:17	34:12,22 36:14	55:13 108:12	152:16
print 154:2	88:22 89:9 109:17	37:7 78:21 99:23	109:4 111:8	protecting 64:16
prior 23:20	141:1	104:6 112:18	112:15,16 114:24	78:13,14
priority 69:4	proceedings 1:3	158:16	120:25 121:7,24	protection 12:6
prison 36:2 122:22	2:15	professional's	125:6 131:18	13:1 14:17 15:3
128:10,18,24	process 9:24 10:1	37:13	143:16 153:17	20:6,11,13 23:16
134:8 145:14	32:24,25 33:11	professionally	154:13 158:24	25:13 26:5 27:11
146:1	48:11,11 76:16,17	92:15	164:19	29:24 30:12 32:20

41:3 43:3 45:18
45:24 46:18,25
47:2 54:9 64:15
77:2,6,23 78:16
79:22 86:19 93:8
99:17,22 104:22
protective 16:4
19:4,5 24:19
126:5
protocol 32:6
protocols 88:6
prove 86:16 147:23
147:24
proven 127:21
provide 6:16 19:12
21:4 23:15 30:14
32:23 65:23 73:11
74:24 75:7 78:3
80:21 105:11
128:24 144:16
156:3
provided 36:10,22
45:14 99:4 144:24
providers 67:14
provides 75:3
99:22
providing 28:19
32:17 36:19 49:16
62:18 108:18
118:16 144:6
provision 49:1
60:24
PSC 37:24
PSHE 22:8 53:16
psychologist
144:24
public 1:16 2:14
6:23 7:5 18:4
23:17 39:2,10
78:19 97:4 99:11
103:10 106:21
108:17 124:10
134:24 138:8
143:11 161:23,25
167:10
107.10

publication 71:18 publications 10:24 104:19 109:12 166:14 publicly 140:20 144:11 published 9:4 39:19 84:21 168:14 **pulled** 146:9 pulling 62:22 punitive 106:22 124:8,16,24 125:10 127:13 **pupil** 157:8 purchase 19:24 purpose 2:18 7:23 103:20 purposes 84:23 **pursued** 159:10 pushed 46:5 put 7:13 11:2 38:24 44:16,24 45:6 48:16 55:23 77:8 79:24 86:7.18 88:10,14 92:20 102:10 116:23 128:16 133:15 134:17 141:19 143:5 146:13 149:1 150:2 151:7 155:2 157:15 158:2 168:10 **putting** 55:16 85:21 86:2

0 qualification 36:14 qualifying 22:5 quality 8:17 9:11 9:20,23 10:3,4 108:7 111:13 quantify 80:7 **Quayle's** 137:14 **question** 35:8 36:7

38:16 40:17 41:20 46:13 49:12 68:13 72:4,14 73:1,3 80:11 84:18 88:3 89:20 90:1 93:6 94:9 98:21 102:9 113:17 116:12 118:5 124:7 129:4 132:3 133:14 135:10 136:22,24 138:7,18 139:24 142:5,18 149:8,13 149:19 161:8 166:24 questioning 155:16 questionnaire 138:15 **questions** 4:11 7:2 8:7 9:8,18,20 35:1 35:5 55:4 64:1 71:1.5 80:10 93:21 112:22 119:22 163:3 165:13 166:19 auick 13:25 84:3 90:20 98:18 100:18 119:25 167:16 quickly 83:19,22 84:9 166:11 quite 32:3 37:20 38:8 39:11 43:21 52:7,13,24 53:7 56:14 74:4,4,9 75:6,25 76:17 79:8,14 82:15 86:25 87:19 88:8 89:14 90:20 93:17 94:4 95:5 113:14 119:6,11,25 123:17 126:3 128:2 129:23 130:5 135:13

143:15,25 146:3

146:11 157:19 R racial 131:12 **Radford** 1:25 2:3 3:21 7:11,14,15 25:9,10 34:24 35:16 36:15 39:8 40:25 42:5,20 44:11 45:17 46:21 47:14 113:7,9,24 114:22 116:9 117:3 118:24 119:2 120:3.17 121:17,22 122:3 122:20 123:2 139:1 159:24 161:21 162:10 166:5 168:12 169:21 170:2 radio 81:10,11 raft 147:22 raise 23:16 35:6 48:13 52:23 75:16 79:25 80:22 85:13 87:1 122:15 raised 4:14 78:6 80:10 91:3 94:9 99:2 112:25 115:8 122:6 127:18 162:10 164:13 165:21 raises 165:13 166:19 raising 53:1 61:8 88:5 143:7 ran 157:4 randomised 126:16 126:17,21,24 127:3 range 2:23 14:3 21:6,6 23:8 24:20 25:13 26:18,24 30:20,23 45:7 137:6 140:12,16

85:2 88:4 104:23 107:8 114:18 157:23 168:23 rape 5:19,21 6:3 60:10 91:1,9 147:24,25 159:2 raped 65:15 148:12 148:14 rapid 2:2 7:16 8:5 8:14,20 103:24 rapidly 9:12 rapporteur 145:25 rarely 141:1 rate 108:20 125:8 153:3 rates 25:25 31:12 34:2 75:6 105:15 105:19 107:22 108:24 109:22 111:25 114:1,5 165:4 **RCT** 61:23 62:12 re-offended 165:12 re-offending 104:3 106:24 107:8,22 111:23,25 114:1,3 114:12,12,15 118:25 128:3 re-referred 45:24 reach 18:7 108:7 139:21 reached 47:9 107:24 157:7 read 10:24 66:25 82:11 121:14 163:21 168:15 reading 42:14 real 53:25 55:7 58:22 59:20 62:7 76:8 84:5 93:9 142:2 150:17 155:20 realise 97:3 realised 58:21

realises 97:15

60:2 67:25 84:24

			1	l
realising 101:14	received 90:14	150:9	138:12	40:4
realistic 130:3	receiving 52:3 80:7	reference 63:13	reintegration 107:4	remain 34:3
reality 157:13	90:15	138:6	129:6,7	remarks 1:5 3:7
really 22:9 24:25	receptive 50:25	references 78:3	reintroductions	169:14,16
28:6 38:1,5,9	recidivism 114:2	referral 28:6 54:7	103:13	remember 63:5
40:23 41:19,20	125:8,11,22 165:4	90:12,14,17 93:23	reiterate 138:9	65:10 77:24 105:4
42:2,4 46:7 50:13	165:14	147:19	142:25 167:10	125:9 132:24
52:21 53:12,21	recognise 21:25	referrals 70:22	related 41:13 42:6	143:12 155:11,13
56:7 57:19 58:20	52:18 57:19 65:5	referred 28:2 45:25	48:9 148:5	156:1
60:5,17,23 61:20	73:13 101:22	124:8 148:21	relates 38:19	remembering 41:8
62:13 63:12 64:20	110:10 131:19	referring 158:25	relation 9:13 27:4	41:14
64:21,21 65:2,5	146:7	refers 107:14	28:8 30:2 33:25	remind 47:23 124:4
65:13,13 66:15,17	recognised 30:20	reflect 88:22	35:23,24 36:8	remiss 132:3
66:18,22 67:20,21	111:19	reflecting 72:8	43:14 44:18 57:7	remit 166:13
69:11 73:24 74:2	recognises 155:15	139:9	67:2,8 90:22	remote 95:24
74:12 78:11 79:18	recognition 148:18	regarding 23:3	99:16 106:15	remove 54:23
82:10 83:20,23,23	recommendation	97:23	112:3 113:7,17	75:13 133:19,25
86:3,18,23 89:1	94:1	regards 12:22	114:23 117:16,23	136:4 161:16
89:11,13 90:7,7	recommendations	33:18 34:7 99:13	123:18 137:13,15	163:4
91:4 95:12 96:25	11:6 71:18,20	114:17 138:13	163:18 164:14	removed 68:25
97:18,19 98:20,21	94:2	regeneration 96:10	166:16	70:5 150:19
99:25 100:23	reconvene 47:11	regimes 124:8,9,13	relationship 13:18	152:15
113:3 116:5,5,12	reconvicted 45:22	125:10,10 127:13	29:17 37:24 38:10	removing 5:18
119:12 121:11	reconviction 114:5	127:15	59:10 111:13	67:22
122:5,9 126:5,18	114:7	register 141:19	119:8 126:4 137:1	reoccurs 165:17
127:24 128:4,11	record 98:22	143:5 151:8	155:17 165:8	reoffend 12:10
130:1 136:3,24,25	recording 105:14	registering 124:20	relationship-buil	104:2 107:16
138:11,21 139:15	105:19 149:20	registration 106:20	25:23	114:14
140:10,25 141:9	records 9:25 118:8	124:9	relationships 22:12	repair 87:20
145:11,18,20	148:7	regularly 66:6	26:10 28:5 31:13	repeat 157:12
146:6,21,22 147:6	rectified 155:23	regulated 67:9	111:2 119:10	repeatedly 66:3
148:1,9 152:10	red 132:7,15	75:23	relatively 84:17	83:8
154:5,17 156:11	136:11	regulation 19:19	90:10 108:25	report 7:16 10:20
157:7,15 158:7,22	reduce 17:7,11	regulations 141:3	162:4	16:20 20:21 41:20
158:25 159:1	104:10 108:20	regulatory 20:20	released 65:10	42:1,4,5,7 43:6
160:9,25 163:8	125:11	rehabilitation	108:19 132:12	44:5,7,14 57:2
165:20 167:2,7,16	reduced 91:7	104:9 106:25	relevance 10:2	60:7 66:11,24,25
realm 77:1	111:10	109:11 123:5,16	32:10 164:11	74:6 85:9,12
rearrests 114:5	reducing 49:12	123:20 127:17	relevant 8:16 10:1	90:24 94:3 95:23
reason 126:6	106:24 109:22	reinforced 22:23	10:5 97:14 105:5	98:7,9 102:5,5
137:21	144:12 163:15	36:24	131:17 136:2	119:5 133:22,25
reasons 69:5 78:25	reduction 18:25	reinforcing 157:2	relies 83:9,10,11	134:22 144:22
80:13 85:7 131:22	19:25 107:21	reintegrate 124:23	reluctance 27:10	152:24 162:6,8
receive 69:14 96:10	108:5	128:8,9 133:6	99:18,25	163:18,22 169:6
168:17	refer 27:11 131:2	reintegrating	relying 25:21 29:8	reported 24:13
			·	
	1	1	1	1

				Tage 155
27:8,23 85:14,15	19:4 20:10,12,17	residency 106:21	105:5 107:7 109:6	165:1,3
85:17 99:4 140:15	26:2,5 27:13,14	124:9	110:24 111:1	reviewed 9:2 10:6
141:4	28:12 29:1,2 30:4	residential 21:8	112:7,11,19 120:6	
reporting 4:4 5:18	31:3,22 32:4,12	94:20	138:16	reviewing 72:12
12:6 25:12,25	32:14,16 33:13	resilience 57:8	responsibilities	reviews 8:19 17:21
26:3 27:5,9,14,18	35:2,12,16 36:9	resist 17:13	30:19 53:16 80:16	90:9
28:9,13 29:5 30:6	36:18 37:12,20,22	resistance 33:23	responsibility	Richardson 7:21
32:25 33:20 34:2	38:2,2,6,17 39:1	resonance 140:8	72:11 85:11	rid 137:9
34:2,19 43:2	40:4,19 42:21	resource 46:3	responsive 22:3	right 12:21 19:10
46:16 60:13 77:21	43:6 44:2,8 45:16	55:14 59:20	45:4	22:13 48:2 50:19
84:19,25 85:5,6	46:22 48:1 49:17	resourced 13:12	responsivity	52:23 81:2,18
86:8 87:4,7,25	55:4 61:11,12	21:17 45:5,11	107:14 112:12	83:18 88:21 92:3
88:10 89:18,22	63:10 65:8,13,21	131:25	127:7	95:5 98:4 102:4
91:5,13 92:1	66:5,7,8,12 68:11	resources 20:4	rest 127:12	123:17 149:7,10
98:10,10,11,12,13	71:12 73:5 76:24	21:11 28:14,19,20	restorative 108:10	157:19
98:16,16,16,19	79:17 84:3,11	28:23 34:10,17,20	114:24,24 115:1	rights 40:9,12,22
99:3,13,16,21	87:10 89:16 91:18	36:20 46:2 57:14	115:10 120:14,20	41:2,13
129:15 152:23	94:24,25 95:1,22	57:22,25 58:4,7	121:6,22,24	rigid 14:7 30:9 73:7
reports 4:5 8:2	97:19 99:17	58:18 59:21 60:3	122:14,18,23	rigidity 73:22
9:16 10:24 13:3	102:13 103:22	69:24 93:2 94:21	123:7,12	ripped 129:20
27:15 77:24 114:4	104:17 105:25	105:17 131:16	restrict 35:4	risk 13:21 18:25
representatives 6:8	106:2,17 107:6,25	158:2 161:4 166:9	restrictions 106:21	53:25 55:9 104:10
130:12	108:9 110:20,25	respect 57:3 74:6	107:2 124:10,21	106:9,14 107:12
representing 5:19	112:18,22 113:1,6	133:16 143:9	125:12 155:3	110:5,8 112:11
5:25 6:2	113:12,19 116:15	respectful 2:17	result 2:7 27:21	120:8 127:7 144:4
reputation 78:13	117:24 119:5	respond 14:10	resulted 19:24	144:18 145:12
require 53:22	120:1,3,5 121:18	28:24 55:2 72:10	results 9:23 18:22	164:25 165:4,6
110:11 124:9	121:23 124:12	80:17 121:8	37:21 107:7	risk-need-respon
required 21:14	126:9 134:19	responders 147:18	108:22 120:15	107:10
28:14 34:13,17	135:14,16 145:23	147:18	121:14	risks 17:11 22:1
54:10 112:19	146:2 155:11,24	responding 8:9,12	retain 103:11	43:23 49:12
requirement 54:23	157:1 158:22	38:23 40:8 106:6	139:16	risky 151:25
60:13 63:17	162:2 163:12	164:1	return 47:6 71:8	153:21,23
requirements	166:7,13 168:13	response 4:5 11:25	102:20	RJ 115:13
53:17	168:14	21:4 24:24 25:13	returned 49:7	RJs 115:10
requires 32:15 44:3	research-based	28:3 30:18 34:22	reunited 165:18	RNR 107:11,20
research 1:15 3:24	1:23 63:3 109:12	96:4,6,20,21,23	review 1:18 7:24	108:2
4:12 5:23 7:23	researcher 143:12	108:16 118:5	8:15,19,23 9:23	road 51:8 132:13
8:1,6,11,14,17,24	143:17,20	122:7 138:15	10:10 12:11 16:14	robust 17:19 19:3
9:2,7,10,15,19,19	researcher's 77:25	responses 12:7,23	23:12 25:11,19	20:2 60:16 88:4
9:25 10:9,11,13	researchers 14:16	13:23 14:2 17:6	31:16 33:17 38:17	98:6 126:10,11
10:14,15,17,18,20	15:7 78:7 79:2	17:10 21:13 27:2	39:14 82:20 87:10	robustly 16:25
10:21 11:2,19	83:13	39:12,12 40:15	87:11 91:21	52:13
12:15,17 14:15,22	researchers' 15:1	41:17 44:14 47:1	104:18 105:1	Rocks 59:21
15:6,14 16:9 18:1	Reset 26:6 61:12	85:3 102:8 104:15	108:7 110:24	role 3:10 8:8 45:1
		I		I

Page 196

79:15 109:10	96:7 101:4	22:23 38:3,9	sectors 23:4 44:15	2.9 11 19 2.11 4.7
153:20	safely 71:24	49:16,21,24 50:25	45:4	2:8,11,18 3:11 4:7 12:12 48:12,25
roles 22:17	safer 57:11,12	51:5,17 52:1,17	secure 131:18,19	49:3,9 84:18
rolled 33:4	72:15 74:22	53:12,13,18,23	see 9:24 36:1 38:11	100:17 168:10
	safety 20:3 23:6	55:13 57:16,18	39:6 42:1 50:14	169:2
rolling 53:3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
room 50:10 59:16	57:8,10,15,20	59:1,4,8,18,21	51:10 52:5 53:8	seminars 1:15 6:6 send 36:2
69:16 74:14,16 124:2	78:17 153:7,18 156:15	60:10,25 61:5,7,7	56:9 64:7 74:17	
rooms 135:25		64:24,25 65:1,3 75:19 98:5 110:13	75:16 79:1 81:6 82:10 83:6 87:1	sending 131:7 senior 5:11 56:17
root 136:17	sample 126:14 samples 126:17	153:10,13 154:17	91:24 93:19 94:7	sense 2:19 38:24
	127:23	155:1 156:19	99:1 115:22 116:3	
roots 77:12,14 round 98:15	sanctions 19:13	157:11	119:23 123:1	74:20 80:9,25 82:24 143:16
route 80:22 87:22	109:8	schoolteacher	124:2 126:6 127:2	
148:4	SARCs 94:19	58:22		sensitive 7:3,7
Rowland 65:9		scientifically 76:12	127:8 132:10,18 132:25 135:16,17	sensitively 29:19 sent 132:11
ruined 152:16	162:13,16 satisfaction 121:20	scope 8:18,22	135:18 145:9	sent 132.11 sentence 132:12
rules 101:4 103:10	Saunders 132:4	104:21	146:22 147:6	sentences 132.12
124:3 167:11	saunuers 132.4 save 128:1	score 145:4	153:19,21 155:7	sentences 132.3 sentencing 167:22
Rulofs 76:7	saw 149:4 150:1	Scotland 5:5	159:13 166:15	167:24
run 72:12 74:22	saw 149.4 130.1 saying 18:12 53:4	screening 31:16,18	seeing 96:25 116:20	separate 67:11
130:4,17 153:17	62:19 68:22 70:9	31:20	137:16 146:18,19	80:12 93:6
running 75:21	71:14 75:9 83:15	scrutinise 82:5	146:24 149:25	separated 119:18
81:11,13 96:5	83:21 85:25	scrutiny 30:10 73:9	151:15,23 152:6	series 1:15 102:14
runs 128:20	116:15 122:7	89:3	151.13,23 132.0	serious 58:13 87:9
RwF 133:13 152:22	123:2 125:7,12	search 9:23 46:23	seek 17:13 48:20	89:24 90:8 151:18
152:24	132:5 147:23	46:24 117:3	81:16 149:21	service 4:20 5:15
RwF's 133:18	151:6 161:16	150:15	seeking 63:7,8,9	20:18 24:11 32:18
KWI \$133.10	163:10 167:22	searches 10:14	133:5 156:9	37:14 93:8 143:23
S	says 163:19 167:5	46:23	seen 44:4 61:5	143:25 144:24
sack 83:21	Scandinavia 33:3	seats 124:1	78:12 98:9 130:24	145:1 147:17
safe 29:17 30:14,14	scared 124:17	second 3:24 25:11	146:8,9 147:10	159:7
49:25 50:2 62:17	125:7	31:11 48:9 71:4	segregate 138:22	services 2:4,7 19:24
71:13,17,21,24	scenarios 123:11	119:24	self 150:9	21:18 23:11,21
73:11 91:7 99:22	scheduled 47:10	secondary 58:22	self-assessment	25:1 27:11 29:24
109:2 119:10	schemes 16:9 36:25	65:1,6	63:20	30:22 31:16 32:20
152:11 153:4	106:21	secondly 8:10	self-evident 74:20	32:21 43:3 45:18
safeguard 77:9	school 23:1 49:13	11:10 17:10 47:25	self-generated	45:24 49:1 70:15
154:25	51:22 53:21 54:4	51:1 72:17 73:8	137:19 150:3,23	93:5 94:14 104:13
safeguarding 4:21	56:18 58:14 60:21	160:10,24 161:3	self-harm 29:14	104:23 105:8,12
5:8,9 20:16 23:9	61:1,3 62:16 65:6	section 63:17 140:7	self-protection	106:11 109:10
30:19 53:23 54:22	154:20,22 155:17	140:8 155:15	43:23	111:19 136:7
55:2 58:13 59:25	school's 22:14	sector 30:23 44:18	self-regulatory	147:8 160:21
70:16 71:16 72:1	school-based 21:23	44:22,23 45:1	67:9	161:15 162:13
72:12 74:25 75:9	schools 17:22 19:9	46:3,5 74:23 75:2	sells 133:11	serving 132:12
77:16 80:6 93:8	21:8 22:8,12,19	101:6,6	seminar 1:20,22	session 55:19
	l	l	l	l

				rage 197
103:16,24 139:2	43:14,15,20 44:18	135:24 137:22	signs 31:25 56:3	19:14,15 21:7
140:2 142:16	50:9,9 51:6,8,12		69:1 96:1	24:16 26:19 35:19
147:5	58:15 60:14 64:18	sharp 84:3	similar 12:22 13:7	36:15,16 70:15,23
		Sharpling 1:10		
sessions 51:6,15	66:3 67:2,6 68:17	shed 42:16	24:12 39:8,11,14	73:18 90:17 98:5
117:15 119:19	68:21 69:21 93:22	Sheila 5:2 52:5	95:7 108:12 123:3	108:20 109:6
set 1:18 3:18 60:16	96:6 103:18	64:8 75:16 79:5	131:20	122:22 140:13
63:9 65:22 69:9	104:11,13,15,17	87:1 88:19 95:3	simple 86:25 90:10	141:2,7 147:16
104:4	105:14,18,20,21	98:24 101:10,12	simplified 98:2	154:2 159:3
setting 101:9	105:23,25 106:4,6	119:23,24 140:8	simplify 41:18	160:20 161:15
settings 61:4	106:18,20 107:6,9	145:21 154:23	simplistic 68:13	society 67:18 128:7
seven 95:14	108:9,17,19 109:4	157:18 167:19	simply 77:20 85:2	138:12 168:2
severe 107:1	109:8,13,19,21	Sheila's 54:2 90:6	149:17	socio-affective
severely 14:25	110:6,17,23 112:1	shift 38:10	single 15:8,14	108:5
sex 22:11 24:7,9	112:8 113:21	shifting 106:8	32:22 58:14 90:2	solely 25:21 26:13
35:24 37:25 45:22	114:2,13,15,15	shocked 133:3	single-action-	29:9 83:9
59:10 113:13	115:1,17,25	shop 58:6 91:25	40:18	solution 83:14
115:11 119:6	118:11 119:9,12	94:15 163:11	single-interventi	solve 88:2 161:12
124:21 126:23,25	119:13 120:21	short 2:12 23:19	40:19	somebody 22:21
127:1 130:5	121:5 133:24	47:17 84:3 102:25	Sir 1:9	36:2 45:22 50:20
131:17 136:13,18	134:8,20 137:20	132:5 142:14	sister 121:4,5	78:18 79:10,25
136:21 137:1	139:17,17 142:7	162:4	160:18	86:16 87:17 90:16
141:19 143:5	143:3 144:4 145:7	shortening 102:22	sisters 160:4	128:2,17 145:13
148:21 151:7	145:12 149:16,16	show 8:11 15:20	sit 63:21 69:18 82:1	148:2 154:1,12
166:16	149:20 151:3,18	17:21 64:20 81:4	96:18 141:2	somewhat 70:8
sex-related 18:24	155:17 157:6	108:21,25 118:11	site 20:3 32:22	soon 72:8 92:5
sexting 58:15 60:12	160:3 164:3,7,8	148:19	sitting 48:2	110:4
113:21	164:20 165:5	showed 108:4	situation 148:6	sophisticated 14:1
sexual 1:8,22 2:9	167:8,8	134:19	161:5 168:2	sorely 15:6,18
4:1,2,4,4 5:4,18	sexually 16:1,1	showing 21:22	situational 13:21	sorry 10:6 19:9
6:3,3 8:9,10,13	24:6 34:16,16	31:24	17:15	34:7 71:9 80:4,5
11:9 12:1,1,3,3,23	51:12 103:18	shown 20:4 106:23	six 5:15 144:1	121:17 123:1
12:24 13:1,15	105:6 110:2,7,21	shows 24:9 28:13	six-year 62:9	127:9,10 137:12
14:19,20 15:24,24	111:5,7 116:21	38:2 107:7 117:25	skilled 52:22	156:1
16:7,7 17:1,1,2	145:10,17	sibling 160:3	skills 31:7 59:4	sort 9:20 38:6,8
18:3,25 19:13,19	sexy 151:13	side 39:23 40:1	skiis 31. / 39.4 slide 9:22 10:7	41:16 56:15 57:15
19:24 20:1 21:24	shaken 81:6	52:25 71:6 83:17	slightly 88:13	63:21 67:20 69:1
		83:19 84:13	0 0	
22:22,22 23:5	shape 72:20		139:19,25 153:8	71:12 75:8 78:10
25:16,16 26:13,14	share 62:2 87:14,15	133:10	small 50:11 85:16	78:19 86:22 95:7
26:20,20,21,22	87:16 88:24 89:1	sides 140:5	88:25 89:6 94:12	122:25 125:6
27:7,7,16 29:5,6	89:5 100:3 135:21	sideways 149:12	94:18,21 118:12	140:23 145:3
31:6,9,14,18 32:2	135:22 153:1	sight 67:4	127:25 128:4	146:14 150:18
32:2 34:2 36:11	shared 151:4	sign 72:13 144:14	smaller 114:14	151:14 156:20
38:10 39:23 40:8	sharing 13:8 87:3,4	significant 82:22	smart 151:23	sorting 120:4
40:8,12,12 41:5,5	87:7,8,13 88:6	95:6 125:22	soap 18:17,20	sorts 21:5 38:12
41:9,9,14,15	89:10 90:6,22	significantly 74:7	social 17:8 18:4	78:17 86:17

				1 490 170
116:22 151:24	76:23 77:1,2,6,10	status 140:11 141:6	stress 66:18 76:25	51:25 56:21 67:22
sought 144:16	77:11,19 109:9	159:18	strong 19:20 89:19	78:2 95:14 115:10
source 72:3	sporting 78:2	statutory 23:10	89:23 118:14	115:11,13 116:3
sources 9:4	sports 21:7 23:14	30:22 38:11 54:25	128:4	124:16,22,24
South 5:1 57:16	75:4,17,21 77:7	61:13 70:14,18	strongest 105:13	129:10,16,17
58:9 143:24 144:2	77:16,20	74:24 80:13,20	strongly 87:19	132:8 135:14
Southeast 49:22,23	spot 48:16 93:16	97:24 139:22,23	144:13 163:22	145:18 152:8,21
50:12	spread 22:12	· ·	struck 102:3	153:22
space 30:14 73:12	St 4:21	Stay 62:17 Staying 20:9	struck 102.3 structural 11:10	stumble 134:20,21
73:20 75:14 99:22	staff 54:4	staying 20.9 steadily 105:15	16:18 19:11 20:9	stulible 134.20,21 style 107:13
spaces 91:7	staff/student 22:14	step 149:12	24:21 27:4 32:10	subject 52:19
speak 47:23 56:19	stage 27:25 35:4	stepbrothers 160:4	33:16,25 34:4,5	105:22 116:17
62:17 79:10 95:1	65:11 72:25 84:21	Steve 48:1 128:14	90:11 104:6	158:13
124:4 165:17	132:19 139:22	Sticking 23:2	105:13 107:5	subjective 140:14
speaking 46:20	157:1	sticky 37:5	109:5 112:4,9	submissions 3:12
47:4	stages 61:25	stimulate 19:18	structure 61:3	subscribes 71:16
specialist 4:20	stand 132:1	stop 23:21 41:15	101:18 114:18	subsequent 21:18
29:22 31:7 33:6	standard 107:24	69:19,25 70:22	structures 101:15	111:25 118:9
36:22 37:1 104:12	standardised 21:13	106:11 129:18	102:7	162:22
118:10	standards 9:11	131:3,4,6,7 134:6	struggle 147:6	subsequently 35:6
specialists 31:5	10:17 11:16 23:6	134:11,18 138:6	struggled 12:22	45:5 78:20 112:25
specific 29:24 41:8	71:21	138:13 167:8	38:18	128:3
41:14 42:12 43:7	Stanley 3:21 7:19	stopped 56:7	student 83:23	substances 107:19
44:15 46:22,23	12:16 16:14,16,17	stopping 11:9	students 84:11	substitute 54:24
79:3 114:6 138:22	34:25 38:1 47:14	104:3,8 129:5	128:21	success 20:4 55:7
specifically 2:9	169:24	stops 76:17	studied 77:25	124:18
10:4 27:15 32:2	start 1:11 15:19	stories 130:3 146:8	studies 10:9,20	successful 26:7
36:19 43:14 46:24	31:12 53:3 72:8	story 18:17 94:11	31:25 32:4 36:18	157:4
113:25 117:16	87:19 130:10,21	Strada 158:8	36:20 37:2,10	successfully 43:22
127:12 141:5	137:6 155:1,20,22	straddles 135:3	42:8 78:1 79:4	53:7
142:5 163:15	started 52:1 68:16	straight 103:14	89:16 107:20,23	sucked 80:19
specifying 158:25	89:4 131:5 144:21	124:17 125:7	113:24,25 114:1	sufficient 28:24
spectrum 57:15	144:25	148:7	115:5 117:9,10	sufficiently 48:23
137:19 151:9,15	starting 4:16	stranger 136:15	120:18,19 126:21	suggest 84:8
152:12	state 2:17 45:14	165:7	164:14,15,21	suggesting 105:25
speed 66:5	131:19	strategic 54:20	166:13	suggestion 86:21
spent 56:12	statement 167:16	strategies 23:16	study 10:5 23:19	suggests 23:8 31:19
spiral 22:25 60:18	states 18:6 19:2	25:7 104:22 112:6	32:9 39:7 66:20	32:5 110:18
spiralling 156:24	57:13 124:20	strategy 5:12 96:11	76:4,6 81:4	163:13
spirals 22:25	125:24 126:3	96:11 116:23	105:11 108:6	summaries 40:4
splash 134:2	static 107:17	144:18 147:8	111:4 121:24	summarise 24:15
spoke 37:18	station 81:10	streamed 2:12	125:23,23 126:13	summarised
spoken 92:10 98:11	stations 155:5	street 19:25	128:14 146:1	103:23
126:10	statistics 62:22	strengthen 64:16	165:2 166:9,12	summarising 73:6
sport 23:17 76:5,6	stats 148:18	112:5	stuff 41:23,25 42:2	169:6
<u> </u>			ĺ	
	<u> </u>	ı	ı	1

				1 490 199	
summary 42:1,7	149:7 152:21	30:5 116:4	30:15 41:23 50:2	teacher's 58:16	
98:8 102:5,12	156:12,23 159:13	systems 20:13,17	52:17 59:17 64:24	teachers 22:5 49:25	
Sun 129:24	161:13	20:19 43:4	64:25,25 65:17,18	50:5,5 51:24	
supervised 152:4	surprise 167:20		66:1,23,23 69:20	52:21 53:6,20	
supervision 30:13	surveillance 104:14	T	69:22 71:4 79:11	54:4 57:22,24	
73:11	survivor 132:9	table 2:22 4:16	83:13 86:3 95:2	58:3,9,11,23	
supplementary	138:25 159:16	47:7,13 52:4 53:8	101:3 114:18	110:13 154:8,15	
40:16	survivors 2:5 6:1	64:8 68:2 79:1	115:14 118:17	156:13 157:3,15	
supplemented	49:1 85:5,6 86:9	81:1 82:1 83:8	127:6 130:14	159:4,12	
52:11	98:25 100:9,21,24	91:23 93:19	134:16	team 2:21 7:13,19	
supply 67:16	109:1 130:10,17	127:12	talked 47:2,3 59:4	52:20 96:6,9,10	
support 2:4,7 18:13	131:23 136:6	tackle 5:4 17:6	59:16 60:18	96:14 124:12	
19:16 26:2 27:25	138:10,16 167:19	39:22,25 74:19	129:10 140:11	140:6 168:12	
31:18 32:21 33:1	167:21	75:15 149:2	141:12 145:2	teams 29:22 31:5	
34:18 36:23 49:1	Susie 5:16 57:5	tackling 21:1 24:21	talking 14:8 43:6	37:1	
53:12 54:4,6	65:24 70:12	30:17 67:6 88:5	45:7 76:15 82:7	technically 45:20	
56:17 57:14 63:16	133:12 149:18	88:11 163:20	98:9 116:9 118:16	151:2	
78:12 83:24 84:15	suspect 48:22 73:1	tag 36:2	128:7 130:22	techniques 18:16	
87:25 89:21 99:4	sustain 156:10	Taith 143:25	133:7,13 137:10	120:21	
100:5,9,14 108:11	sustainability 37:4	144:21	138:11 139:11	technologies 30:25	
108:18 118:16	sustained 17:25	take 2:11 3:19 4:14	142:21 153:9	technology 95:22	
120:20 121:7	156:13	8:21 11:25 12:3	158:12,20,24	134:11,14	
123:12,15 127:18	Sweden 15:17	14:3 26:12 29:7	tantalisingly 41:23	teenage 145:8	
127:20 128:10,16	16:23 19:23 24:14	31:22 35:7 40:21	target 18:10 69:24	telemedicine 31:3	
128:25 129:7	106:8	57:18 66:19 70:4	69:24 134:25	95:24	
130:18,21 131:23	Swedish 39:21 40:3	79:2 82:23 84:7	target-driven 37:20	tell 17:21 44:9	
131:24 139:23	40:5,6 94:25 95:1	99:1,9 107:10	targeted 93:18	49:21 52:1 66:21	
156:14 157:15	symptoms 31:25	117:24 118:4	156:7	71:22 77:3 128:25	
159:6,10 164:20	56:3	124:15 127:15	targeting 24:12	146:25 150:6	
supported 32:7	synthesise 8:18	131:9,12 149:12	targets 59:1	155:18	
54:2 109:1 149:11	system 20:20 28:2	150:5,25 157:25	task 29:22 36:22	telling 22:20 29:12	
supporter 63:3	35:14 37:21 40:20	158:5 164:6	96:5	temptation 130:25	
supporting 56:6	53:15,22,24 54:8	166:18 167:3	tasked 141:5	131:14	
110:9	54:11 65:15 87:17	takedown 20:3	Tatiana 158:8	temptations 131:20	
supportive 22:18	87:20 90:16	taken 28:16 48:17	taught 58:24	ten 5:14	
92:16	104:12 109:11,24	52:11 72:11 79:6	116:19	ten-year-old 65:4	
supports 29:3 85:6	110:22 123:14	84:20 87:24 97:8	Taylor 5:2,2 52:6	tend 8:19 38:7 41:6	
148:1	128:1 129:13	97:10 132:6	64:10 75:17 76:20	118:11 140:23	
suppose 39:17	143:4 144:5 161:2	138:17 152:15	79:6 87:2 88:20	tended 39:15	
sure 49:20 52:12	systematic 8:15,15	168:7	95:4 96:4 101:12	tendencies 24:13	
53:5 54:1 61:17	8:19 17:21 31:16	takes 55:19 62:15 66:5 127:14 166:9	116:12 119:25	tender 8:3	
63:3,16 65:2 69:7	75:25 165:3		120:11 140:10	tends 8:21 20:13	
70:11 72:6 83:1	systematically	166:10	145:22 154:24	146:13	
93:22 94:5 97:15	65:15 148:13	takeup 57:21 talk 22:24 28:4	157:19	termed 71:6	
97:20 138:13	systemic 13:8 24:21	taik 22.24 20.4	teacher 56:12	terms 8:23,25 12:7	

13:16 21:9 36:4	168:4,6,11,18	40:22,25 41:12,15	139:9,12,19,24	50:15 58:5,8	
37:3 39:11 42:10	169:8,8	42:2,8 43:13,16	140:5,9,23 141:3	59:13 61:1 62:15	
42:17 44:5,7	thanks 34:24	44:11,25 47:5	141:8,14 142:1	66:4 73:20 74:12	
46:23 48:3 50:24	168:19	49:5 50:8 52:17	143:10,23 144:2	82:4,11 84:5,16	
59:7,9 60:8,10,11	theft 167:25	53:3,9,11,14,25	144:15,22 145:23	96:11 97:4,8	
60:15 62:5 72:17	theme 21:12 25:11	55:7,9 56:9,10,19	146:6,20 147:3,12	100:6 131:5	
74:4,5,6,7,11	78:14 102:3	56:22 57:5,18	148:9,11,17,19,19	133:24 134:21,23	
75:11 81:19 82:23	themes 11:5,21,24	58:19,21,24 59:7	149:5,7,8,19	144:3,12 149:3	
88:3,14,18 90:24	12:1 16:18,18	59:9 60:5,14 61:2	151:11 153:14	153:25 156:13	
99:3,8 101:17	73:5 104:5	61:16 63:2,8,15	154:3,24,25 155:6	162:4,7,14 166:10	
113:19 115:24	therapeutic 32:20	64:2,2,5,10,15,24	155:8,9,10,24	167:3 168:7,15	
116:3 119:12	76:13 110:10	65:5,12,22,24	156:5,17,17,22,24	169:5	
120:15 121:12	therapy 15:15	66:15 67:4 70:1,5	156:25 157:19	times 92:21 122:7	
128:16 130:16	111:18 116:4	70:11,20 71:1,7	158:6,12,18,19,22	144:10,14 148:14	
133:15 134:10,14	133:6	73:2,16,17,18	159:20,22,23	163:3	
135:4 137:8	thin 10:13	74:2,9,14,15,18	161:24 162:19	today 2:5,11 3:5,10	
138:15 141:20	thing 27:3 30:7	74:20 76:3,7 78:9	163:8,15 164:5	3:17 6:5,15 7:20	
144:6 158:15	37:9 61:4 68:24	78:11,14,22 79:6	166:5,19 167:20	12:13 48:23 49:5	
159:14 160:2	99:2 118:17 131:9	79:9,19 80:6,11	168:18	59:16 64:4 97:8	
162:20 163:19	137:17 139:10	80:13,17,18,18	thinking 14:2	98:11 138:14	
164:1	150:12 151:6,14	81:7,7,15 82:2,5	26:25 53:18 81:3	143:21 154:4	
terribly 18:11	159:2 160:6 162:3	83:13 86:8,12,19	129:9,9,19	158:19 168:7,22	
territory 68:20	163:14,17	86:21 87:9,25	thinks 90:16	169:6	
test 48:18 63:23	things 35:18 36:3	88:3,20 89:25	ThinkUKnow	today's 1:22 84:23	
85:2 156:2	36:17 37:7 41:11	90:6,7,13,23,24	154:11	169:2	
tested 28:17 35:25	43:8 45:21,23	90:24 91:12,14	third 4:2 65:8	Tom 86:9	
108:2	46:1 50:4 51:9	92:12,14,15,17	101:6 164:13	Tony 83:16 84:14	
text 10:6	60:7,9,14 63:18	93:9,10 94:7,8,10	thirdly 17:14 48:15	95:11 115:8	
thank 1:16 2:10 3:4	73:19 75:22 82:2	94:18,24 95:4,4	73:9	122:15 124:13	
3:8 6:4,4 7:12	82:12 83:9,12,19	95:11 96:19 97:19	thoroughly 149:11	127:14,18 143:21	
34:23 35:9 36:7	84:4,7,8,12,13	98:11 99:18,19,20	thought 3:18 9:9	144:19	
46:15 47:13 52:6	89:22 90:11,13,14	100:1,15,16,17,19	41:21 137:3 142:3	tool 28:21	
53:11 63:2 65:20	91:6 92:22 95:17	101:9,10,12,15	three 3:23 17:5	tools 154:10 161:3	
66:24 68:3,8	98:18 100:7 116:4	102:9 115:8,12	20:19 54:25 66:19	top 132:7	
71:10 78:4 81:15	116:18,22 121:13	116:5,8,25 118:4	66:21 74:1 84:2,2	topic 2:6 49:2	
84:14 86:5,7	121:14 122:19	118:15 119:14,20	111:8 125:25	84:18 85:3 99:5	
91:12,16 95:20	125:17,19 126:1	120:7,18 121:3,10	127:5 132:13,18	103:4 119:2	
97:3 100:1,13	145:12 147:12	121:25 122:3,4,15	146:3 165:20	159:20 165:24	
101:21 102:16	150:4,7 155:6	123:24 125:24	three-year 83:25	topics 3:18 48:5,21	
112:20,21 113:16	156:18 166:16	126:10,14,16	tie 100:8	tops 132:16 136:11	
121:10 129:3	167:5	127:16 128:6	tied 63:24	totally 95:5 124:16	
132:17 136:5	think 1:20 15:2	130:2,5,7 131:5	ties 81:18 92:11	touch 52:16	
142:12 149:24	19:7,10 32:15	132:3,24 133:6	time 2:6 6:5 8:23	tourism 23:4	
156:2 161:20	37:7,11,14 38:1	136:6,10,16,24,25	14:22 22:23 29:12	tracked 117:9	
165:23 167:9,15	38:20 39:21 40:11	137:8 138:21	47:10 48:5,18	tracker 51:25	
			•	•	

trafficking 147:14
147:15 148:3,5
train 130:17
trained 32:7 162:24
training 22:5 25:7
28:20 29:4,6
34:13 36:5,8,9,10
36:12,13,17,20,21
36:23,24 49:16,24
56:13,17 58:1,9
50.10,17 50.1,7
58:10,11 59:5
74:24 75:3 131:11
164:10
traits 107:15
trajectories 117:8
118:9
transcript 169:2
transition 116:20
transitioning 147:7
translated 4:8
transparent 8:16
transport 104:24
trauma 4:20
travel 23:4 148:4
159:15
treat 151:10
treated 57:3
treatment 104:12
104:13 105:4,7
104:13 103:4,7
100.4 107.7,7
108:9 109:3,25
110:8,12,18,20
111:4,8,11,24
112:2,10,15,16
120:10 125:9
126:23 127:1,4,8
131:18 137:2
144:9,11,18
treatment-based
110:15
trends 66:8
triage-type 90:16
trials 126:16,17,21
126:25 127:3
tried 79:7 96:13,14

166:18 true 62:20 trumpeting 93:3 trust 6:1 26:10
65:15 85:5,6 86:9
trustee 72:13
trustees 5:14
trusting 29:17
truth 133:3
try 11:18 40:23
45:15,16 48:7,20
82:22 84:23
100:24 134:3,17
153:12 154:3,7,9
166:12 167:7
trying 22:19 34:15
39:25 41:16 50:16
61:13 62:3,7,13
63:4 70:24 79:11
81:24,25 83:20
84:5 88:17 120:8
140:3 143:19
147:9 153:25
turn 4:12 25:8
47:23 49:13 52:4
61:10,16 64:9
73:3 76:18,23
78:5 84:17 85:4
91:17 97:4 102:16
112:21 119:23
124:5,13 127:17
129:4 133:12
142:4,11 145:21
149:18 152:18
161:20,22 167:10
turning 72:2 84:14
TV 18:16,20
129:17
two 8:6 14:7 66:19
68:25 70:5 74:1
85:25 86:19
115:22 122:19
131:22 151:1
157:4,8 160:11
162:10

twofold 144:5
Tyneside 5:1
type 8:25 10:5
11:24 13:17 58:7
107:11 115:3,4
119:10 137:23
166:25
types 17:5 38:14
62:21 105:11
106:6 114:20,25
117:11 126:7
typically 122:21
typo 10:7

U
UK 5:17 13:7 18:18
23:13,22 24:8
36:12 37:20 38:22
40:13 46:9 52:9
57:9,11,20 67:5
68:11,16,18,24
70:1 77:1 91:21
92:5,8 97:22
105:13 106:7
108:13 122:24
129:19 130:5
148:21 163:19,22
164:5
UK's 20:18
ultimate 63:9,9
ultimately 72:13
umbrella 6:1 68:7
unable 2:14 6:20
7:21
unchanging 107:17
uncomfortable
50:4
unconvicted 20:25
uncovered 11:23
147:3
underestimate 59:6
undergo 33:10
underlooked
100:15
underlying 14:10

15:25
undermined 55:10
underpin 21:15
underpinned 25:1
underreporting
89:24
understand 46:16
48:20 57:1 69:17
85:2 86:9,10
91:13 132:15
138:23 152:11
155:4 158:3,4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
understanding
1:22 19:5 36:12
43:22 50:22 97:1
133:9 135:6 137:3
139:16 152:4
understood 135:2
136:5
undertaken 23:12
111:9
undetected 105:20
undoubtedly 3:1
UNESCO 20:22
unfortunately
12:21 13:2 14:19
27:13 28:8 46:21
84:6 117:10
161:10 164:17
161:10 164:17 unhelpful 28:5
unhelpful 28:5 88:11
unhelpful 28:5 88:11
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18 universities 82:16
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18 universities 82:16 83:20 84:6
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18 universities 82:16 83:20 84:6 university 2:1 3:22
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18 universities 82:16 83:20 84:6 university 2:1 3:22 5:22 8:4 76:5,5
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18 universities 82:16 83:20 84:6 university 2:1 3:22 5:22 8:4 76:5,5 84:9,13 124:11
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18 universities 82:16 83:20 84:6 university 2:1 3:22 5:22 8:4 76:5,5 84:9,13 124:11 140:6 155:12
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18 universities 82:16 83:20 84:6 university 2:1 3:22 5:22 8:4 76:5,5 84:9,13 124:11
unhelpful 28:5 88:11 UNICEF 23:12 unit 77:2,6 96:4,6 96:21,23 United 33:15 124:20 125:24 units 64:17 131:18 universities 82:16 83:20 84:6 university 2:1 3:22 5:22 8:4 76:5,5 84:9,13 124:11 140:6 155:12

	1490 201
`	unsubstantiated
)	27:19,23
	unsupervised
	151:21
	unsupportive
	56:23
	up-to-date 66:11
7	uploaded 150:15
	urge 91:9
	urgent 22:9
	USA 13:5 16:23
	23:21 29:21 39:15
	108:12 120:1
	158:10
L	use 18:7,8 21:11
:3	52:8 64:5 65:18
	77:21 95:22
	103:21 107:18
	108:13 109:7
	110:9 136:7
	150:14 156:9,21
)	166:3,5,20
	useful 18:13 62:1
	70:21 81:2 95:19
	157:15 161:14
)	user 145:14
	users 24:11
	usually 45:3 60:19
	utilise 52:9
	V
	validated 63:9
	76:12
	validating 75:7
	validation 75:8
	value 69:18 92:22
3	valued 66:14
	values 11:8
	Van 76:10
2	variable 117:17
_	varied 13:15 53:17

53:19 54:11 variety 75:4

various 85:7 147:1 vary 13:16,21,22

20.17.71.21.22.2
28:15 71:21 106:1
vast 104:17
verbal 115:17
verified 150:10,21
verify 150:19,21
vested 122:8
vetting 20:22
109:16
victim 13:19 121:9
121:20 122:24
132:22 133:5
138:20 159:19
165:7,8,9,10
victim/survivor
137:2
victims 2:4 14:6
16:10 17:11 49:1
98:24 100:21,24
108:25 121:16,19
122:7,25 130:10
133:10 136:6,14
138:10,16 139:13
149:14 159:16
163:16,16 164:23
166:17 167:19,21
victims' 121:2
video 31:5 163:6
169:4
view 28:1 37:9
78:12 85:2,5 86:5
87:24 89:14
124:14 127:14
viewing 69:25
viewpoint 91:4
views 27:22 73:4
84:24,24 89:15
91:24 102:2
168:23
vigilante 107:1
vindicate 89:16
violence 39:23,24
39:24 44:18 63:25
111:1 119:9 121:4
139:14,17
visual 157:22

voice 121:3 130:10 voices 138:10 voluntary 30:23 44:12,18,22,25 46:3,5 55:6 69:1,3 71:18 74:23 75:2 77:10 101:6 139:23 volunteer's 131:1 volunteered 128:21 volunteering 11:17 volunteers 108:18 130:17 131:8,11 **VSCP** 98:24 vulnerabilities 14:11 17:11 49:12 118:1 160:8 vulnerable 22:4 52:24 79:22,23

\mathbf{W}

151:22

wait 88:15 94:7 97:12 167:7 waiting 1:12 64:8 Wales 4:8 5:6,20,21 6:13 8:8 19:20 49:19 61:15 77:19 90:9 97:17 108:3 129:7 143:11,16 143:24 144:2 162:13 **Wales'** 5:9 want 9:14 15:9 37:16 41:18 50:23 50:23 51:17 55:15 58:6,6 64:19 66:10,18 68:3 75:16,17 78:24 84:1,8,11 85:24 86:23 89:2,8 90:23 96:22 97:4 116:12 122:11 131:24 133:25 138:9,19,20

140:19 143:18 144:19 151:6 155:18 163:8 166:8 wanted 32:9 52:8 56:10 57:7 61:19 66:1,22,23 71:9 79:10 81:17,21 102:3 113:17 114:19 140:9 143:20 158:18 159:22 162:19 165:20 167:20 wanting 59:12 92:23 166:22 wants 152:24 wasn't 123:9 Watch 5:17 163:24 water 147:15 way 7:2 25:24 32:22 37:22 38:2 38:9 39:17 42:3 43:10 44:1,20 52:3 56:6,25 58:5 70:2 72:16 75:15 80:2 86:2.25 87:16 89:9,20 94:23 95:2 116:1 117:13 124:22 130:16 152:13,15 156:20 161:11.12 161:14 168:3 ways 14:5 39:1 41:11 69:23 77:15 114:3 127:8 157:22 we're 100:6 weakened 55:10 wealth 147:25 web 134:4,24 webcam 151:17 152.5 webcams 151:16 **website** 131:16

153:6 169:3,7

websites 153:4 Webster 48:1 Wednesday 1:1 weekly 128:24 weeks 59:25 169:7 weight-of-evidence 9:18 weird 151:12 welcome 1:14,16 55:14 103:3 welcoming 101:24 welfare 77:13,13 well-designed 166:9 well-evidenced 59:19 well-resourced 19:17 Welsh 6:10 141:23 142:23 143:9 went 10:1 71:13 126:13 150:1 164:23 WePROTECT 20:7 164:4.7 weren't 89:23 165:1 West 57:16 58:9 137:7 WestCoast 158:6 whistleblow 72:1 79:8,12,22 100:25 101:18 whistleblower 46:18 47:2 86:19 whistleblowers 80:9 whistleblowing 46:24 47:5 72:2 73:4 78:5,16 79:19 80:3,15,25 81:5,11 82:21 87:5 88:4 99:16 99:21 100:18,20

whole-school 22:10 37:18 61:24 62:12 **whoops** 19:7 wide 2:23 21:5 75:3 88:8 107:8 **widely** 17:14 110:14 132:6 138:7 wider 4:13 17:8 35:6 38:12 39:7 54:6 55:8 57:20 61:11,14 75:18,18 99:8 100:8 104:23 105:2 123:24 129:6 132:3 139:25 143:16 wife 144:23 **willing** 24:10 willingly 150:25 willingness 6:13 28:4 wish 3:15 57:6 58:19 80:4 81:16 93:20 94:10 95:11 99:9 100:17 101:10 132:19 168:16 wished 65:24 wishes 48:18 53:9 79:2 83:16 97:11 99:1,12 167:11 withhold 126:25 witness 132:10 wonder 37:21 77:3 118:19 133:15 142:23 wondered 37:19 42:3 44:4 113:5 162:7 wondering 71:22 80:1 word 51:2 55:15 **wording** 158:21 words 122:12 work 1:19 3:3 6:14

100:22 101:1,17

7.12 17 0.5 10.22	164.6 12 166.20	78:24 89:2 126:7	110.14 110.0 12	11 63:17	
7:12,17 8:5 10:23	164:6,12 166:20		118:14 119:8,13		
11:5 12:25 15:3	167:6	129:15	120:21,24,24	11.30 47:9,16	
21:12 25:23 26:17	worked 43:12	write 89:8	123:18,20 134:22	11.45 47:18	
28:6,11 29:25	44:19 55:22 63:24	writing 65:23	137:20 141:14,21	113 170:14	
30:16,24 32:21	79:7 144:3 162:15	written 62:25 78:2	141:25 143:3	12 1:1	
33:14 34:8,14	worker 90:17	157:22 167:17	144:3,8 145:6,9	124 170:16	
36:15 39:4 40:7	147:16 161:15	wrong 56:25 57:1	146:25 147:5,7,13	13 71:18,20 115:16	
41:1,4 42:6 43:5	workers 36:16	76:14 101:14	148:10,13 150:8	115:18	
43:17,18 44:3,12	159:3	155:23	150:18 151:12	13,000 5:3	
44:20,21 45:5,6,8	working 5:4 6:2	X	152:1,6,14 153:2	14 150:6	
45:19 46:4,8	11:17 13:8 15:12	-	153:15 155:14,16	145 6:2	
47:14 48:10 49:15	24:7 30:21 31:6	X 52:1 169:12	157:23 158:1,2,8	15 47:11 141:12	
49:21 50:24 51:4	32:19 33:21 34:10	Y	158:10 159:5	142:12 150:6	
51:24 54:4,5,8	34:16,21 35:24		161:1 162:15,17	16 134:22 147:7	
55:7 57:16,18	36:5 42:25 43:9	year 61:2 68:19	164:21 165:4,9,11	169:23	
58:5 59:11,19,23	43:12 45:2 56:2,2	73:18 157:8,9,12	younger 16:11	16/17-year 150:9	
60:11,23 62:16	62:18 64:14,22	157:12,17,21	43:18 142:6,6,18	16/17-year-olds	
63:7,12 67:21	65:3 66:16 68:14	years 5:14,15,24	142:18 149:13,21	150:18	
68:12 69:12,15,19	85:12 92:25 93:1	54:18 55:19,20	151:16,16	17-year-old 151:7	
70:12,14 71:24	96:23 102:2,6	56:12,13 63:19	youth 96:8	17-year-olds 151:1	
72:23 75:7,25	113:12 115:1	66:19,22 68:16	youths 111:7	18 68:17 116:21	
77:7,11,17 78:15	117:13,21,22	74:1 78:11 82:24		147:7,8,11 150:6	
80:24 81:16 82:14	120:21 123:4	82:24 86:8,16	Z	154:22 162:18	
82:15 89:1 91:2	129:14 131:4	94:16 125:25	Zealand 39:17	1980s 94:17	
93:14,23 95:10	133:10 150:7	126:13,13,14	105:10 111:6,9	1990s 108:15	
96:14,21 102:1	152:22 154:9	144:25 145:4	123:8	1993 71:17	
106:5,24 109:5,6	164:20 166:17	146:3	zero-tolerance		
112:14 113:9	167:1	years' 153:25	68:22	2	
118:3,14,21 119:3	workload 70:23	yesterday 143:19	Zone 57:13	2.00 102:20 103:1	
119:5 123:7	works 14:16,24	Yorkshire 137:7		20 68:16 94:2	
124:24,25 125:2,3	39:3 52:14 63:12	young 13:10 14:8	0	141:12 145:4	
125:3 126:2,18,18		16:1 18:8 23:10	0.1 68:19	2004 8:24 68:18	
130:16,18 132:1	120:7 126:19	25:24 30:21 31:11		2015 150:2	
132:21 133:14,21	127:6,8 139:24	31:14 39:25 50:16	1	2016 1:18 8:24 11:4	
134:10,13,14,16	world 59:23 60:1	53:1,2 55:17	1 169:14	20:21 150:1	
135:4,10,11	67:5,6,7 68:6,20	56:16,19,23 57:8	1.10 102:24	2017 1:1,20	
137:13,14,14,15	86:13	59:12 65:12 76:12	10 54:18 56:12,13	23 107:20,23	
139:13 141:20,22	worried 22:21	76:15 85:21	63:19 129:20	24 134:22	
142:9,22 143:10	52:21,25 106:12	101:13,19 103:18	135:18 145:3	25 170:1	
142.9,22 143.10	worries 116:1	105:6,12 110:2,7	153:25		
152:19,25 153:7,9	worrying 153:12	110:11,21 111:5	10-year-old 160:18	3	
152.19,25 155.7,9	worrying 133.12 worth 52:6 95:17	111:23 112:1,7,17	10.00 1:2	3 169:16	
156:18 158:5,7,10	158:11,15	113:7,18,20	10.10 1:4	3.00 142:13	
150.18 158.5,7,10	worthy 90:5	114:11 115:2,14	100 148:20	3.15 142:15	
162:17 163:19,24	worthy 90:3 wouldn't 51:9 75:5	115:17,23 116:20	100,000 133:23	30-odd 125:25	
104.1/103.19,44	wouldil to 1.7 /5.5	117:9,22 118:1,9	103 170:10,12	35 170:4	
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

Page 204

			1 4 9
36 39:14			
360-degree 58:9			
96:19			
4			
4 145:7 154:21			
169:18			
4.00 169:10			
40th 73:18			
47 42:13 170:6			
48 68:8			
483 10:6			
49 170:8			
5			
5/6-year-olds 152:7			
50 5:20 145:7			
500 148:14			
52 68:7			
32 00.7			
6			
6 145:4 160:18			
64-million-dollar			
136:22			
150.22			
7			
7 160:18 169:20			
8			
80 135:16			
88 10:9			
9			
9 157:8,12			
9-year-old 160:17			
90 10:20 135:17			
70 10.20 133.17			
	ı	I .	1