1	Tuesday, 30 April 2019	1	focus moves to consider issues around reporting child
2	(10.00 am)	2	sexual abuse and key features of models of mandatory
3	Welcome and opening remarks by THE CHAIR	3	reporting.
4	THE CHAIR: Thank you and good morning and welcome to	4	As it was yesterday, the seminar will be facilitated
5	everyone on the second day of our seminar on mandatory	5	by Ms Riel Karmy-Jones Queen's Counsel and will be live
6	reporting of child sexual abuse.	6	streamed on the inquiry's website with a short delay.
7	For the benefit of anyone who was not here	7	Ms Karmy-Jones?
8	yesterday, I'm Alexis Jay and, as you will know, I'm the	8	Opening remarks by MS RIEL KARMY-JONES
9	chair of the independent inquiry into child sexual	9	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, chair. I'm going to echo what
10	abuse.	10	the chair has said and welcome back all the participants
11	May I also introduce the other panel members,	11	we have here today. We have one change, and it was
12	Ivor Frank, Professor Sir Malcolm Evans and	12	an expected change. We're grateful to Rachel Dickinson
13	Drusilla Sharpling.	13	who has attended today in place of Stuart Gallimore.
14	I'm pleased to welcome again all of those who have	14	Rachel is the current president of the Association of
15	agreed to take part in this seminar and to see those of	15	Directors of Children's Services, Stuart was the past
16	you who have returned to the public gallery and also	16	president. So thank you very much for coming.
17	some new faces in the public gallery. Thank you all for	17	I'd also like to welcome back everyone in the public
18	joining us today.	18	gallery again.
19	I'm not going to repeat my opening remarks of	19	Now, I introduced myself and my role in the seminar
20	yesterday, a transcript is available on the inquiry's	20	yesterday. I'm not going to go over every detail of
21	website and a video will be available of yesterday's	21	that, but I do want to introduce Lara McCaffrey, junior
22	proceedings.	22	counsel, who assists me, Bethany Atkinson, who sits to
23	Yesterday's presentations and discussions were very	23	my right, and Jack Gray, who sits at the back to my
24	informative. The panel and I are looking forward to	24	left, both of whom are from the policy team and have
25	a day of constructive and useful discussions as our	25	been instrumental in putting the seminar together.
	Page 1		Page 2
1	Just to recap for those who may be watching	1	professions it should apply to. I want to pick up on
2	remotely, my job during the course of this seminar is to	2	Tom Perry's point made yesterday and I'd like everyone
3	facilitate, to ask questions, to try and keep	3	please to bear this in mind for our discussions: what
4	discussions moving, and to try and keep them to time,	4	does "professional" mean? Who should be encompassed
5	but we hope we have a lively and courteous debate today.	5	within that term? Because, obviously, in many
6	The rules I set out yesterday do still apply. This	6	instances, a number of individuals wouldn't be included,
7	is not a legal process, this is not about submitting	7	for example, members of religious communities or
8	evidence or cross-examination, it's about giving us your	8	congregations. Some of you may have seen the Panorama
9	comments, your observations so that they can be	9	documentary last night in which it was said that
10	considered in the round.	10	institutions like the church can't be relied on to
11	Please, when you speak, those of you around the	11	police themselves. Yet the question remains as to where
12	horseshoe, please do use the microphones to ensure that	12	they fit into the idea of professionals or, indeed, if
13	you can be heard by those in the public gallery.	13	they do at all.
14	Turning it on helps, but you still have to lean forward	14	And also, what consequences or sanctions might there
15	and speak into it. If it's too far back, you just won't	15	be for failing to report knowledge or suspicion of child
16	be heard; all right?	16	sexual abuse? What are the reasons for not having
17	As you know, this seminar sits within the inquiry's	17	a mandatory reporting duty?
18	wider body of work, the terms of reference are on the	18	So today I'd like the sessions to be almost entirely
19	inquiry's website.	19	discussion-based. We will, as usual, come to the public
20	As I said yesterday, the inquiry remains neutral on	20	gallery for observations and comments before each break
21	the issue but we are interested in hearing participants'	21	and before the end of the day.
22	views on all the key features both for and against.	22	We'll try to cover as many people as possible, as
23	Some of those features, as you know, are on the	23	always. I will try to come to our CPs first. Please
24	models of mandatory reporting, on who mandatory	24	keep observations to observations and comments rather
25	reporting could apply to, on which organisations or	25	than questions aimed at individuals.
23		-	questions arrive at marriadas.
	Page 3		Page 4
			1 (Pages 1 to 4)

1	Again, we don't want to spend the day venting	1	in England and Wales, but I want to start with the
2	specific grievances or concerns, it's not about	2	question I asked you to consider overnight, because
3	criticising individuals or organisations, and the	3	we've talked a lot about the impact of mandatory
4	seminar is live streamed with a short delay.	4	reporting and that's something that comes up, doesn't
5	Please do remember that if you inadvertently mention	5	it, what's the impact going to be? But what are the
6	any individual or organisation, we may have to stop the	6	other considerations against mandatory reporting? What
7	live feed. Equally, we can, and will, pause the feed if	7	are the other considerations against? Those of you who
8	anything I consider to be a sensitive matter comes up.	8	are for, please think about this. Would anyone like to
9	Please be aware that some of the views that may be	9	comment on that? Yes, Noelle?
10	expressed or some of the topics may be controversial or	10	DR BLACKMAN: I think my biggest concern is knowing what
11	distressing, but we have to. It's the inquiry's role to	11	will happen once the report is made and, until we start
12	consider all the topics and it's important that we hear	12	to have a little more clarity about what that would look
13	a range of views and that speakers are free to express	13	like, that still concerns me.
14	themselves. Please allow them to do so.	14	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. So does anyone have another comment
15	As you know, the support staff are available if	15	about what the rationale is against? Michelle?
16	anyone needs them.	16	MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think we have to have a level of sort of
17	And remember, we do have a duty of care, if anything	17	like hope as well and trust in the services, the
18	is disclosed to support staff or anyone here, that leads	18	statutory services, once the report has been made. And
19	us to believe that there is a child protection concern,	19	I think that's really important. How do we know that
20	or that someone is at risk of serious harm, we will have	20	the services are going to be able to support the level
21	to pass that information, together with your details, to	21	of reporting that might happen if it's mandatory? And
22	the police or other relevant authorities.	22	that has to that has to happen, we have to make sure
23	Session 3	23	that we've got a good relationship with police and with
24	MS KARMY-JONES: I want to start looking at reporting. Our	24	other statutory bodies to make sure that is successful
25	next session deals with reporting of child sexual abuse	25	because the most important thing is the young person,
	Page 5		Page 6
1	the survivor.	1	Katy Willison, do you have any comments on that?
2	Also, I think I just one of the things that	2	I think you wanted to raise a point about disclosure
3	I wanted to say was about choice as well. When we're	3	yesterday.
4	speaking, we're speaking mainly about young people,	4	MS WILLISON: I actually had a couple of different points to
5	young children, but teenagers are also involved in this,	5	make and I also have some points to make about
6	and it's their journey and it's their choice. We ask	6	disclosure.
7	children to make choices about different things,	7	I thought it might be helpful to reflect on some of
8	for example, we have the Gillick Report and competencies	8	the points that were made to the government after its
9	and we have Fraser guidelines and we use those to give	9	consultation, because there were various points made
10	children and young people a choice about what they want	10	both for and against mandatory reporting and, actually,
11	to say and do about their lives. I think we need to	11	the first of those does, I think, play a little bit more
12	think about this more like that and give people a choice	12	into the principle of mandatory reporting rather than
13	to disclose their own journeys, because we don't know	13	some of the practicalities.
14			
	what's bening every door, everybody's life is slightly	14	I realise this may be duite a challenging thing to
	what's behind every door, everybody's life is slightly different.	14	I realise this may be quite a challenging thing to say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears
15	different.	15	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears
15 16	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for	15 16	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one
15 16 17	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things	15 16 17	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that,
15 16 17 18	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make	15 16 17 18	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the
15 16 17 18 19	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make a choice.	15 16 17 18 19	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the consequences for mandatory reporting, certainly in some
15 16 17 18 19 20	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make a choice. MS KARMY-JONES: Right, okay. That's interesting, because	15 16 17 18 19 20	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the consequences for mandatory reporting, certainly in some countries, if not all, is a significant increase in the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make a choice. MS KARMY-JONES: Right, okay. That's interesting, because there are a lot of arguments that appear to be about the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the consequences for mandatory reporting, certainly in some countries, if not all, is a significant increase in the number of reports made and, therefore, a significant
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make a choice. MS KARMY-JONES: Right, okay. That's interesting, because there are a lot of arguments that appear to be about the consequences and resources, but less so about the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the consequences for mandatory reporting, certainly in some countries, if not all, is a significant increase in the number of reports made and, therefore, a significant increase in the number of investigations that are
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make a choice. MS KARMY-JONES: Right, okay. That's interesting, because there are a lot of arguments that appear to be about the consequences and resources, but less so about the principle of whether it's right to impose a mandatory	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the consequences for mandatory reporting, certainly in some countries, if not all, is a significant increase in the number of reports made and, therefore, a significant increase in the number of investigations that are carried out. Many of those are substantiated, many are
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make a choice. MS KARMY-JONES: Right, okay. That's interesting, because there are a lot of arguments that appear to be about the consequences and resources, but less so about the principle of whether it's right to impose a mandatory reporting duty on everyone and that one seems perhaps to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the consequences for mandatory reporting, certainly in some countries, if not all, is a significant increase in the number of reports made and, therefore, a significant increase in the number of investigations that are carried out. Many of those are substantiated, many are not. So one of the consequences of mandatory reporting
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make a choice. MS KARMY-JONES: Right, okay. That's interesting, because there are a lot of arguments that appear to be about the consequences and resources, but less so about the principle of whether it's right to impose a mandatory	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the consequences for mandatory reporting, certainly in some countries, if not all, is a significant increase in the number of reports made and, therefore, a significant increase in the number of investigations that are carried out. Many of those are substantiated, many are
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different. There are many reasons for disclosing and for non-disclosure, and I think that all of those things need to be taken into consideration before you can make a choice. MS KARMY-JONES: Right, okay. That's interesting, because there are a lot of arguments that appear to be about the consequences and resources, but less so about the principle of whether it's right to impose a mandatory reporting duty on everyone and that one seems perhaps to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	say but I think it is important that the inquiry hears some of these things as much as others and I think one of the points that was made to us as government is that, as we heard from Professor Mathews yesterday, one of the consequences for mandatory reporting, certainly in some countries, if not all, is a significant increase in the number of reports made and, therefore, a significant increase in the number of investigations that are carried out. Many of those are substantiated, many are not. So one of the consequences of mandatory reporting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 it is justified for the state taking a greater role in 2 looking at family life and looking at the acceptability 3 of private family life. 4 For some people, that is absolutely justified by the 5 severity of the abuse that is suffered, but there will 6 undoubtedly be cases, as we discovered from those 7 statistics from Professor Mathews, where those 8 investigations either do not lead to being substantiated 9 or, in some cases, you know, I am sure do not lead to

significant further action.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if we do have mandatory reporting, we have to accept that we are effectively saying we are comfortable with the state taking that greater role in family life, and while, in this room, I am sure many people would be absolutely happy to say that, we also know that there are many times when people do feel that social workers invade family life unreasonably and take actions that people are very unhappy about. So one of the factors that we think we have to balance around the principle of mandatory reporting is that happiness, that comfortableness, with the state taking that role and I think it's important that we take that into account here.

The other points that I wanted to raise I think are less of principle and more around practice, and we

Page 9

touched on this briefly yesterday. This was around the fact that mandatory reporting would effectively override professional judgment and make it a legal requirement for any professional on whom the duty bit to report.

The reason I wish to raise this -- as I said, we touched on it briefly, but I don't think we considered it very fully -- was that one of the overriding principles that we are certainly trying to bring into the work that we are doing around social work practice, is to try and make social work practice less about ticking boxes and more about exercising good professional judgment. This was the advice of Eileen Munro who carried out a review of social work practice back in 2010, that found that ticking boxes was actually inhibiting the focus on the child, it was more about -- I think somebody quoted this yesterday -- "doing things right, rather than doing the right thing".

What she called for was a reduction in the rules set by national government and a stronger focus for professionals to do excellent practice and to work well themselves.

Now I realise that operates more successfully in circumstances where we are talking about professionals operating well as opposed to in circumstances where we see -- and there have been these times, where there has

Page 10

1	been, within institutions, a desire to cover up, but one
2	of the things I think it is important that we explore is
3	the impact of setting more national rules and what that
4	would do for practice across the whole piece.
5	MS KARMY-JONES: Can I just ask one question and then I'm
6	going to go to Mr Perry for a comment on that.
7	In terms of the first point you made about, how
8	acceptable is interference or intrusion in private
9	family life, doesn't the state, in the context of child
10	sexual abuse, seek to do that anyway?
11	MS WILLISON: Absolutely. Sorry, I'm not trying to say we
12	don't do that. It would be, because you would have
13	significantly increased numbers of reports, you are
14	effectively you would have an increased number of
15	investigations. So I'm not saying this is right or
16	wrong, I am saying it is a consequence of it that we
17	should take into account.
18	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Mr Perry, Tom?
19	MR PERRY: Good morning. Well, there's some interesting
20	bits there that really just need to be unpicked a bit,
21	Katy, I'm afraid.
22	The first one is that we're talking we're back
23	into this language of professionals again; okay?
24	I noticed we're talking about professionals in the

school and we're talking about professionals at the

Page 11

local authority. So let's just separate this out -- okay? -- because we can then see where the government has introduced mass confusion in its consultation and I'm very keen that we get this sorted out for once and for all. The consultation was grounded on amendment 43 Walmsley's amendment, that amendment sought to introduce mandatory reporting to regulated activities. Social workers are not regulated activities, they're not defined as regulated activities. It excluded social workers. We're not seeking that social work, to use the, you know, usual term so often rolled out, tick boxing, what we want is staff in regulated settings who are not professional social workers but are professional teachers, sports coaches, et cetera -- okay? -- to report to those people who can do something about it. This is a very simple principle. And as for Munro -- okay? -- and Munro's was announced, I got in contact with her office --

And as for Munro -- okay? -- and Munro's recommendations, let me tell you, when Munro's review was announced, I got in contact with her office -- I still have the e-mails, they're most interesting -- because I was very interested to see where this was going to go; okay? You know, big hitter arrives, "Well, let's have a look and see where this is going to take us". Well, it took us very little distance indeed,

Page 12

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

18

24

1 because what happened was, for regulated activities --2 I'm exclusively regulated activities; okay? Munro's 3 recommendations started at the point when a referral is 4 received at the local authority and not before. So it's 5 nothing to do with regulated activities. And quite how 6 so many people who have provided submissions to the 7 consultation seem to think that Munro is relevant to 8 what happens in regulated activities is only because the 9 government has decided to Magimix it by using the term 10 "practitioner" to include social workers and the police 11 into their proposals. 12

So I'd just like to get that clarified -- okay? -because it's germane and it's important.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So on that happy note, that's me broken my duck for the day.

MS KARMY-JONES: Katy, you've mentioned considerations, you've heard what Tom has to say. Are you able to tell us what the government's current position is on mandatory reporting as opposed to what considerations there are?

MS WILLISON: So I think the position is as the government set out in its response to the consultation that Tom is referring to, and I should say that when the government consulted, what we tried to do was look at the broad picture on mandatory reporting and include anybody who

might be covered by that and that included the sort of broadest possible brush. One of the options that we did present was regulated activities only. But we tried to make sure that we could consult on the breadth of the potential reporting duty.

The consultation was carried out in 2016, the government response was last year. And what the government set out at that point was that it had looked at the responses that we received to that, only I believe it was 16 per cent of those were in favour of mandatory reporting and there was a substantial proportion of the responses that we received that said that they had concerns that mandatory reporting could lead to a position where children were put at more risk rather than that it was helpful.

As a result of looking at that, and looking at the academic research, what government concluded was the case was not made at that point for mandatory reporting so it was very clear that we did not believe that there was -- it was not possible to make that case, but that, in response to that consultation, we continued to have concerns that was the risk that introducing mandatory reporting would have more adverse consequences than beneficial ones, and that was because of what we heard from people.

Page 13

1 So we set out a programme of work that we were 2 seeking to do to try and improve the overall culture and 3 practice within social work and in broader relevant 4 occupations, and we said that we would keep this under 5 review and, indeed, one of the things we would want to 6 hear about is the conclusions of the inquiry and of 7 other examples where it has been introduced, and I look 8 in particular to Wales as an example where they have Q introduced something and I am very keen to understand 10 what the evaluation of that when that is there and, if 11 need be, once we have heard from those, we will review 12 the position again. 13 MS KARMY-JONES: When you mention abuse, is it specific to 14 child sexual abuse or is it general and encompassing all 15 potential forms of abuse? Could you lean forward a bit to make sure everyone can hear? 16 17 MS WILLISON: So the original consultation was around all 18 forms of abuse. Unless I think we had new and 19 substantial evidence in the meantime, I think we would 20 be likely to continue to be looking at that, but we 21 would be happy to consider what evidence people are 22 putting to us if they think that is the wrong approach. 23 As I said yesterday, I think neglect is slightly

different, but the hierarchies between types of sexual

Page 15

abuse and some physical abuse, particularly when, quite

Page 14

1 often, those go hand in hand, and the fact that, quite 2 often, when abuse is presented, it's unclear exactly

3

what the form of abuse is, means that we haven't made

4 that distinction up to this point.

5 MS KARMY-JONES: Up to this point, do you think there are

6 any plans to make such a distinction, do you think

7 there's merit in making the distinction?

MS WILLISON: Well, I thought I'd just answered that. If we

9 had more evidence or something new arose to make us

10 believe that there was -- for example, from the inquiry

11 or from the example in Wales, perhaps -- but I can't

really speak for what ministers might choose to do. 12

13 MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Does anyone have any observations on

14 that before we move on? Yes, Rachel?

15 MS DICKINSON: My apologies if this ground was covered

16 yesterday by my colleague Stuart Gallimore, but I think

17 it's quite helpful to think about the amount of activity

that currently exists in terms of professionals having

19 conversations about children. I do take the point that

20 has been made about professionals, but over the last ten

21 years there's been a very significant growth in the

22 amount of activity focused on concerns about children.

23 So between 2008 and 2018, the initial contact -- this is

flow to social workers -- increased by 78 per cent. So

this isn't social workers talking to social workers, 25

Page 16

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

24

25

this is teachers, members of communities, hospital staff, police staff, raising concerns about children directly with social care agencies.

Over the same period, there was an increase in taking initial contacts into referrals, so 22 per cent, and, again, over the same period, the number of section 47 inquiries. So that's a piece of work that is undertaken where there is a concern that a child is being abused -- not necessarily sexual abuse, but being subject to significant harm -- increased by 159 per cent.

So the last decade, there's been a very significant rise in activity which has focused upon understanding what is happening to children in their lives, and largely, not exclusively, these concerns are being raised by people who are not social workers. That's as a result of shifting in guidance, shifting in understanding of the causes of harm to children, and a shift in the understanding of responsibilities across multiple sectors about what people need to do if they're concerned about a child.

Now a lot of that activity already is not going anywhere because concerns are raised, discussed, and professionals -- or a professional talking to a member of the public comes to a solution about what is right for that child. The concern of the Association of
Directors of Children's Services is that a system of
mandatory reporting will add to that traffic, if you
like, into social care agencies, resulting in a shift of
resources to talk about concerns that actually are not
significant in relation to children.

Now I draw an important distinction between people having a general concern about a child and something happening to a child that is detrimental and to which there must be a response.

MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.

I'm going to move on then to ask some questions around religious organisations and then to turn to specific communities such as the black and minority ethnic community.

Can I ask this? I mentioned the Panorama documentary and in that it was mentioned by the chair of the Minister and Clergy Sexual Abuse Survivors Group, Phil Johnson and I quote:

"We cannot rely on institutions like the church to police themselves. As a result, there should be a law for making it a legal duty for allegations of child sexual abuse to be reported outside of those institutions."

Mr Johnson also gave evidence in the Anglican

Page 17

hearings, in which he stated that there should be a mandatory reporting duty in the church or in other institutional situations like this, as did a number of victims, senior clergy and church safeguarding professionals. Those around the table will know it's come up in a number of different instances and a number of different strands.

Just looking at some religious community, can I ask Yehudis, right at the end, do you have any observations or comments on, specifically, Jewish communities and the institutions within them?

MS GOLDSOBEL: I do and I work closely with people, I work in the Asian community across London and the Sikh community and the Muslim community and we all face the same difficulty and that is the community leaders and elders prioritise the community as a whole over victims of sexual abuse and violence. For them, that basically means that they will sacrifice this child or young person and their disclosure for the sake of keeping the community cohesion and peace and image, so to speak, to society, which basically means they will use methods to keep the child silent, some may suggest they go to therapy individually — that's a good one — but it

the majority of offenders that are known to those in Page 19

won't go any further and, within the Jewish community,

Page 18

leadership positions, so rabbis, will just be either
moved to another school to another setting in another
religious youth group or so on and, as we know, sex
offenders don't stop when they've just been moved.
So by the time you've got these children growing

into adults, you've got potentially hundreds of victims
who then decide to speak out when this person is elderly
or just dying, so yes, it's -- the sacrifice they make
is the children and young people that are being abused
for the sake of the community is the mentality.

MS KARMY-JONES: That, no doubt, is something that resonates with all who've heard some of the evidence that the inquiry has heard.

Inquiry has heard.

Do you have any -- what do you think should change?

MS GOLDSOBEL: Synagogues and Jewish youth groups need to be put and listed under regulated activities. At the moment, I've been digging for years and I cannot find that they are. And they need to be mandated reporters.

The rabbis, the majority of those that lead services or have a congregation do know of offenders, it comes to them at some point in time, and they are sitting -- some of them have been known to be sitting on lists of offenders. So we're talking about serial offenders who are just still within the community, still abusing, and will continue to abuse. But if these rabbis were made

Page 20

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

to be mundated reporters and face a penalty that, actually, you can still not a list of offenders, trust me, by of samely change the life of children and me, beyon can be community of some sort would literally change the life of children and young people in the Jowish community, for sure. MS KARWY-JONIS Thank you. Does aryone want to make any observations about the "Mohellet" No. Olaw, Are there communities in which child secual abuse is less likely to be reported? If some thing to sit on a list, but are there community specifically burs reporting where the community apperficially burs reporting where the community of the community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community, de BME community, do not report as much, the community of the community of the community, do not report as much, the community of				
me, they'd scarffice the offenders for their own sake. So it would therally change the life of children and young people in the Jewish community, for strue. MS KARMY-JONES. Thank you. Does arrone want to make any observations about that "Michelle?" No. Glexy. Are there communities in which child sexual abuse is less likely to be reporting?" It is continued to the properties of the community specifically bars of a list, but are there community specifically bars reporting where fee community specifically bars reporting? MS KARMY-JONES. When we shall be the black to community, the BME community, do not report as much, there is not – as we know, there's not a great which where sho is of issues around shame, very difficult be reported to come – sorry which we'd discussed already. But if's very, very difficult for people to come – sorry why that is. MS DENNY-BROWNE! I think it's cultural. I think, you know, we'd while the report as much, why that is. MS DENNY-BROWNE! I think it's cultural. I think, you know, why that is. MS DENNY-BROWNE! I think it's cultural. I think, you know, we'd while the policy as well do make a disclosure of a difficult for people to come – sorry difficult for people to come – sorry MS KARMY-JONES. I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. MS DENNY-BROWNE! I think it's cultural. I think, you know, why that is. MS DENNY-BROWNE! I think it's cultural. I think, you know, we have discussed already. But it's very difficult for people to come – sorry MS KARMY-JONES. I was going to be people with them? MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think the bulk is cultural. I think, you know, we have the community in the comm	1	to be mandated reporters and face a penalty that,	1	in the past, for example, going to a GP was sometimes
4 people being misunderstood around health issues and many young people in the Jewish community, for sure. 5 MS KARMY-JONFS: Thank you. Does anyone want to make any observations about that? Micheller No. Okay. 6 Nest kerner communities in which child secula abuse is 2 less likely to be reported? 18 one fining to six on 2 less likely to be reported? 18 one fining to six on 2 less likely to be reported? 18 one fining to six on 2 less likely to be reported? 18 one fining to six on 2 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining to six on 2 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be reported? 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to be peak about the suscess of all not the place of the statistics, you have 10 less likely that 19 one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that nobody knows 11 less likely to the pole one fining that	2	actually, you cannot sit on a list of offenders, trust	2	people wouldn't understand this is going back many,
5 social issues. I mean, were still having problems to day with the police, and young people, especially BME of observations about that? Michelle? No. Okay. 8 Are there communities in which child secual abuse is less likely to be reported? The one day not seen a list, but are there communities where there is less as less likely to be reported? The one day not seen a list, but are there community specifically bars 11 reporting where the community specifically bars 12 reporting? 13 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Vest. 14 MS KARMY-JONES (May, I'll have Michelle, then Noelle ocommunity, the BME community, the one of seen as much. 15 there is not—as we know, there's not a great 16 community. The BME community, the DME community, the DME community, the DME community, the DME communities. For a very long time, people have found it to communities. For a very long time, people have found it would be suited to people to come—sorry. 22 difficult for people to come—sorry. 23 MS KARMY-JONES. I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. 24 with why that is. 25 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know. 26 where hook going to say with the? 3 may the people have to consider before they do make a disclosure and also before they also make a report, and so it makes it very very difficult for Decause, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's an own that and the relationship with that in terms of perception? 4 more than you will then? 3 more than you will be received if they come from someone from the BME 13 more than you will be received if they come from someone from the BME 14 to wow, street, are there glong to be received. I so once a some poople with learning than the result of the proper have to consider before they do make a disclosure of the proper have to consider before they do make a disclosure of the proper have to consider before they do make a disclosure of the proper have to consider before they do make a disclosur	3	me, they'd sacrifice the offenders for their own sake.	3	many years and it has its roots in history of BME
6 Ms KARMY-JONES. Thank you. Does anyone want to make any observations about that? Michelle? No. Okay. 8 Are there communities in which child sexual abuse is 19 less likely to be reported? If so one thing to set on 2 a list, but are there communities where there is less 111 reporting? 12 reporting where the community specifically bars 121 reporting? 12 reporting where the community specifically bars 121 reporting? 12 reporting? 12 reporting? 12 reporting where the community specifically bars 12 reporting? 12 reporting? 13 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes. I would definitely say that the black community, the BME community, the DME community that the community the DME community, the DME community that the community that the transport to their family and their friendships because they've made a report, and so it makes it very, very difficult for 2 page 22 to the DME community that the DME community are often the DME community. The DME community that the DME community are often the DME community are often the DME communi	4	So it would literally change the life of children and	4	people being misunderstood around health issues and many
Are there communities in which child sexual abuse is less likely to be reported? So one thing to st on a list, but are there communities where there is less reporting?	5	young people in the Jewish community, for sure.	5	social issues. I mean, we're still having problems
Are there communities where there is less 10 a list, but are there communities where there is less 11 reporting where the communities where there is less 11 reporting? 13 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes. 14 MS KARMY-JONES. Okay, Ill have Michelle, then Noelle. 15 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes, I would definitely say that the black community, the BME community, the BME community, the BME community. 16 communities. For a very long time, people have found it produced the statistics, which were discussed already. But if yeary, very difficult in the relationship between statutory organisations and BME communities. For a very long time, people have found it yeary difficult in the relationship between statutory organisations and BME communities. For a very long time, people have found it year which we've discussed already. But if yeary, very difficult in the relationship between statutory organisations and BME communities. For a very long time, people have found it year yelfficult in the relationship between statutory organisations and BME communities. For a very long time, people have found it year, very difficult in the relationship between statutory organisations and BME communities. For a very long time, people have found it year year and the relationship between the people reporting the instances of sexual abuse and trauma far more than you will from the BME community. But have any sexual should be communities. For a very long time, people have found it you'very difficult for the mention of the pool while the proper found the proper found that you will from the sexual abuse and traumal far more than you will from the BME community. But have any you've provided their friendship with their grants and the proper found that you will from the sexual abuse and traumal far more than you will from the sexual abuse and traumal far more than you will from the sexual abuse and traumal far more than you will from the sexual abuse and traumal far more than you will from the proper found that you will from the proper found that you will from the	6	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Does anyone want to make any	6	today with the police, and young people, especially BME
less likely to he reported? If so one thing to sit on a list, but are there communities where there is less 10 a list, but are there communities where there is less 11 reporting where the community specifically burs 12 reporting? 12 So it's always going to be, you are always going — The SEANTY-JONES: Okay, I'll have Michelle, then Noelle. 13 In sure, when you look at the statistics, you'll probably have more white people reporting the instances of secunal abuse and trauma far more than you will from the BME community. 16 In the BME communities. 17 One of the BME communities. 18 Page 21 18 Page 22 19 Page 22 10 Page 24 10 Page 25 11 Page 26 11 Page 27 11 Page 27 11 Page 27 12 Page 27 12 Page 27 12 Page 27 13 Page 27 14 Page 27 14 Page 27 15 Page 28 15 Page 27 15 Page 2	7	observations about that? Michelle? No. Okay.	7	young people, so this isn't something that nobody knows
a list, but are there community specifically bars reporting where the community specifically bars reporting? 13 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes, lwould definitely say that the black community, the BME community, the BME community, the BME community, the first avery long time, people have found it relationship between statutory organisations and BME relationship are they are good to be a support on expected to go and talk about your business with other people, you would bring shame on the community of the with the support people have been outcast, some people have been outcast,	8	Are there communities in which child sexual abuse is	8	about, it's widespread within Britain and we speak about
11 reporting where the community specifically bars 12 reporting? 13 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes. 14 MS KARMY-JONES: Okay, I'll have Michelle, then Noelle. 15 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Set, should definitely say that the black community, the BME community, do not report as much, 16 community, the BME community, do not report as much, 17 there is not – as we know, there's not a great 18 relationship between statutory organisations and BME 19 communities. For a very long time, people have found it 19 communities. For a very long time, people have found it 19 communities. So we discussed already. But it's very, very 22 difficult – there's lots of issues around shame, 22 which we've discussed already. But it's very, very 22 difficult for people to come – sorry. 22 difficult for people to come – sorry. 23 MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us 24 with why that is. 25 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know, actually weigh up. 26 "Am I going to be on my own with this?" Who is going to 3 support me? Where is ny family?" These are all the 4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 4 is used that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's 6 not just those individuals that are going to have 6 to weight up what's going to be possible to work of the state of the community? Is there are justice and routed the community and their including disabilities and or autism. 17 The properties of the community denoted the properties of the community flements of the community are often woodering how they are going to be received if they come from someome from the BME 10 townway street, are there loggerheads within that in 19 townway street, are there loggerheads within that in 19 townway street, are there loggerheads within that in 19 townway street, are they going to be able to get woodering how they are going to be received. He propents of the form the sum of the properties in the propen	9	less likely to be reported? It's one thing to sit on	9	it. Nearly every day, on the news, you hear of some
12 So it's always going to be, you are always going — 13 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes. 14 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes, I would definitely say that the black community, the BME community the BME community the BME community the BME community. The BME communities. 16 there is not — as we know, there's not a great relationship between statutory organisations and BME: 17 there is not — as we know, there's not a great relationship between statutory organisations and BME: 18 communities. For a very long time, people have found it very difficult — there's lots of issues around shame, 20 which we've discussed already. But it's very, very 21 difficult for people to come — sorry. 21 MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is than? Help us with why that is. 22 Missing to be on my own with this? Who is going to say, which we've discussed already. But it's very know, some Page 21 1 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up, 2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to sapport me? Where is my family?" These are all the 3 issues that people have to consider before they do make a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 4 is issues that people have to consider before they do make a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 4 is issues that people have to consider before they do make a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosure and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosure and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosure and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosure and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosure and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosured and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosured and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosured and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosured and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosured and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosured and also before they also make a report, 4 is disclosured and also before they a	10	a list, but are there communities where there is less	10	issue resulting from this, so in terms of them engaging
MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes, I would definitely say that the black community, the BME community, do not report as much, there is not — as we know, there's not a great produced by have more white people reporting the instances of sexual abuse and traums far meth you will from the BME community. So not post as much, there is not — as we know, there's not a great produced by the produced b	11	reporting where the community specifically bars	11	in other services, this is always very, very difficult.
MS KARMY-JONES: Okay, I'll have Michelle, then Noelle. MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes, I would definitely say that the black there is not — as we know, there's not a great there is not — as we know, there's not a great relationship between statutory organisations and BME: ocommunities. For a very long time, people have found it very difficult — there's lots of issues around shame, very difficult for people to come – sorry. MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us with other people, you would bring shame on the community. Why what is: MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know, the support me? Where is my family? These are all the issues that people have to consider before they do make a report, because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to have to very high put with going to be one from the BME in those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of wondering how they are going to be expected one where those individuals that are going to the destand the culture, are they going to be where those individuals that are community are often wondering how they are going to be expectived. I think the fill the community are often wondering how they are going to be expected. I specing low destand the culture, are they going to the fill they've encountered. MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the fill community are often wondering how they are going to be received. I someone from when they've specing how they are going to be received. I someone fill they've encountered. MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the fill community are often they've specing how they are going to be received. I someone flow they are going to be received. I someone flow they are going to be received. I someone flow they are going to be received. I someone flow they are going to be received. I someone flow they are going to be received. I someone flow they are going to be received. I someone flow they are going to be received. I someone flow they are going to be received. I someo	12	reporting?	12	So it's always going to be, you are always going
MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes, I would definitely say that the black community, the BME community, do not report as much, there is not -as we know, there's not a great 17 communities. For a very long time, people have found it 20 very difficult - there's lost of sissues around shame, 20 very difficult - discussed already. But it's very, very 22 difficult of people to come - sorry. 23 MS KARNY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us 24 with why that is. 25 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know, 25 ms become people have lost their samples and understand the community are a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 25 a disclosure and also before they also make a following the received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community hemselves, but for 12 two-way street, are there going to be members of the community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community has been considered in the second of the community are often wordering how they are going to be received. Is someone 18 going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from them that they've encountered. 21 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. 22 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? 14 for the members of the first pour people with learning dout their high of yet period out within kind of yet y	13	MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes.	13	I'm sure, when you look at the statistics, you'll
there is not — as we know, there's not a great relationship between stuttory organisations and BME relationship between stuttory organisation and provided their own. As a young person, you know, you grow up and you're not expected to go and talk about your business with other people, you would bring shame on the community. Why would you do that? You know, some people have been outcast, some people have lost their friandish patter friendships explex, you wild bring shame on the community. Why would you do that? You know, some people have been outcast, some people have lost their friandish patter friendships explex, but for the state people have to consider before they do make a steport, so a support me? Where is my family? These are all the sissues that people have to consider before they do make a steport, so a support me? Where is my family? These are all the sissues that people have to consider before they do make a steport, so a support me? Where is my family? These are all the sissues that people have to consider before they do make a report, so a support me? Where is my family? These are all the sissues that people have to consider before they do make a report, so a support me? Where is my family? These are all the sissues that people have to consider before they do make a report, so a support me? Where is my family? These are all the sissues that people have to consider before they also make a report, and so it makes it very, very difficult for	14	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay, I'll have Michelle, then Noelle.	14	probably have more white people reporting the instances
there is not – as we know, there's not a great relationship between statutory organisations and BME communities. For a very long time, people have found it very difficult – there's lots of issues around shame, which we've discussed already. But it's very, very difficult for people to come – sorry. MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. Page 21 others to come forward and, you know, actualty weigh up, support me? Where is my family? These are all the issues that people have to consider before they do make sit sissues that people have to consider before they do make so a disclosure and also before they also make to seed up up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME in themselves, but for those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving th	15	MS DENNY-BROWNE: Yes, I would definitely say that the black	15	of sexual abuse and trauma far more than you will from
relationship between statutory organisations and BME communities. For a very long time, people have found it very difficult — there is lost of issues around shame, third we've discussed already. But it's very, very difficult for people to come — sorry. MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. MS COMMUNITIES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. Page 21 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up. where it is suffered by the come from some of the third was a disclosure and also before they also make a report, because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to bave where those individuals are coming from when they've speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Is communities, for a very long time, people have found it their own. As a young person, you know, you grow up and you're not expected to go and talk about your gound by ou're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not take sour you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not expected to go and talk about your gound you're not the community. Why would you do that? You know, some community. Why would you do that? You know, some pople have been outeast, some people have bee	16	community, the BME community, do not report as much,	16	the BME communities.
their own. As a young person, you know, you grow up and your word ifficult — there's lots of issues around shame, you're not expected to go and talk about your business you're not expected to go and talk about your business with other people, you would bright you know, some people have lost their family and their friendships because they've made a report, and so it makes it very, very difficult for People to come — sorry. 23 MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. 24 with why that is. 25 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know, actually weigh up. 2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to support me? Where is my family?" These are all the issues that people have to consider before they do make a disclosure and also before they also make a report, on the just those individuals that are going to have to weigh up what's going to bappen from this. 3 MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just toway street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? 4 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they've speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. 3 MS EARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports going to understand the relationship, are they going to a be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they've speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. 4 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. 5 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. 6 MS EARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. 7 MS KARMY-JONES: which is a long to think there is a long way to go. Sadly, yee conditine and the calliure, with the many is a long to the disability and	17	there is not as we know, there's not a great	17	Quite often people will stay within their
very difficult – there's lots of issues around shame, which we've discussed already. But it's very, very which we've discussed already. But it's very, very 23 MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. 24 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know, Page 21 25 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up, wan I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to support me? Where is my family? These are all the dissues that people have to consider before they do make dissues that people have to consider before they do make disclosure and also before they also make a report, because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to have to weigh up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issue around that? Not just community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS MSPNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the culture, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they've speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. 25 you're not expected to go and talk about your business with their is very vell officient of community. Who would you do that? You know, some people have been outext, some people have been outexts, some people have bleat ont their framily and their friendships because they you know, some people have been outexts, some people have bleat betwith their suiter. MS KARMY-JONES: From your experience, are they fully understood? Is there sufficient empathy with them? MS DENNY-BROWNE: understood a report, MS KARMY-JONES: Prom your experience, are they fully understood?	18	relationship between statutory organisations and BME	18	communities, as Yehudis just mentioned, they protect
which we've discussed already. But it's very, very difficult for people to come — sorry. MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know, Page 21 1 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up, 2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to support me? Where is my family?" These are all the 4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to have 10 weigh up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME 11 community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 15 town way street, are there loggerheads within that in 15 terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. MS DENNY-BROWNE: well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. MS DENNY-BROWNE: well, I think the BME community are often where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. MS DENNY-BROWNE: well, I think the BME community are often where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. MS DENNY-BROWNE: well, I think the BME community are often where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how the	19	communities. For a very long time, people have found it	19	their own. As a young person, you know, you grow up and
difficult for people to come — sorry. MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us with why that is. Page 21 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up. "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to support me? Where is my family?" These are all the issues that people have to consider before they do make a disclosure and also before they also make a report, because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that their suffering is? 22 community. Why would you do that? You know, some people have been outcast, some people have to semale a report, and so it makes it very, very difficult for Page 22 MS KARMY-JONES: From your experience, are they fully understood? Is there sufficient empathy with them? MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think there's long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. DR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. 10 I think that often behaviour that may be indicating that there is something nor light in that persors life is covered up by just a ki	20	very difficult there's lots of issues around shame,	20	you're not expected to go and talk about your business
23 people have been outcast, some people have lost their 24 with why that is. 25 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know, 26 Page 21 1 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up, 2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to 3 support me? Where is my family?" These are all the 4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's 7 not just those individuals that are going to have 8 to weigh up what's going to happen from this. 9 MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports 10 might be received if they come from someone from the BME 11 community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community themselves, but for 13 those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of 14 two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in 15 terms of perception? 16 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often 17 wondering how they are going to be pecieved. Is someone 18 going to understand the relationship, are they going to to where those individuals are coming from when they're 20 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 21 they've encountered. 22 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 they've encountered. 26 they've encountered. 27 Harmful sexual behaviour that's a communication. 28 page 22 29 page 22 MS KARMY-JONES: From your experience, are they fully 29 understands the citient empathy with them? 30 MS KARMY-JONES: From your experience, are they fully 31 understand; Is there sufficient empathy with them? 32 page 22 3 people have been outcast, some people have a report, failup and their friendships because they've made a report, and so it makes it very, very difficult for 3 a page 22 4 page 22 MS KARMY-JONES: From your experience, are they fully 3 understand so it makes it very	21	which we've discussed already. But it's very, very	21	with other people, you would bring shame on the
with why that is. Page 21 I others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up, "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to support me? Where is my family?" These are all the issues that people have to consider before they do make a disclosure and also before they also make a report, because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to have to weigh up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think there's a long way to go. I think it's happening and I think there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. BR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. It think that offen behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability — I veo stmy language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 22 they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? Are they going to be fully un	22	difficult for people to come sorry.	22	community. Why would you do that? You know, some
25 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know, Page 21 1 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up, 2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to 3 support me? Where is my family?" These are all the 4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's 7 not just those individuals that are going to have 8 to weigh up what's going to happen from this. 9 MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports 10 might be received if they come from someone from the BME 11 community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community themselves, but for 13 those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of 14 two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in 15 terms of perception? 16 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often 17 wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone 18 going to understand the relationship, are they going to 20 where those individuals are coming from when they're 21 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 22 they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be face in the sum of the part of the disability and it needs to be ironed 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 their suffering is? 25 a report, and so it makes it very, very difficult for Page 22 26 MS KARMY-JONES: From your experience, are they fully 27 understood? Is there sufficient empathy with them? 28 MS EARMY-JONES: I think there is a long way to go. Sadly, 3 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, think the there is a long way to go. Sadly, 4 it's happening and I think there is a long way to go. Sadly, 5 yes. 7 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. 8 DR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and 6 children with learning disabilities and/or autism. 11 there is something not right in that person's life is 6 covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the 12 disability as a catuall	23	MS KARMY-JONES: I was going to say, why is that? Help us	23	people have been outcast, some people have lost their
Page 21 1 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up, 2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to 3 support me? Where is my family?" These are all the 4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's 7 not just those individuals that are going to have 8 to weigh up what's going to happen from this. 9 MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports 10 might be received if they come from someone from the BME 11 community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community themselves, but for 13 those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of 14 two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in 15 terms of perception? 16 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often 17 wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone 18 going to understand the relationship, are they going to 19 understand the relationship, are they going to 20 where those individuals are coming from when they're 21 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 22 they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be dolled the insuffering is? 10 others to come form vor with their journey and what 24 their es sufficient empathy with them? 3 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think the resufficient empathy with them? 3 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Ithink the shift in think there is a long way to go. I think it shappening and I think there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 4 MS KARMY-JONES: Are fure as uniformed and a change, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 5 MS KARMY-JONES: Are sufficient empathy with them? 6 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Ithink the es sufficient empathy with them? 8 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Ithink there is definitely a shift it has pening and I think there is definitely as hift it has pening and I think there is definitely as hift it happening and I think there is definitely as hift it has been sufficient empathy with go. I think it has been sufficient empathy	24	with why that is.	24	family and their friendships because they've made
1 others to come forward and, you know, actually weigh up, 2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to 3 support me? Where is my family?" These are all the 4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's 7 not just those individuals that are going to have 8 to weigh up what's going to happen from this. 9 MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports 10 might be received if they come from someone from the BME 11 community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community themselves, but for 13 those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of 14 two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in 15 terms of perception? 16 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often 17 wondering how they are going to be able to get 18 going to understand the relationship, are they going to be able to get 20 where those individuals are coming from when they're 21 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 22 they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 there is often the semically a shift and a change, but there is a long way to go. I think it's happening and I think there is a doing way to go. Sadly, yes. MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS LARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there is a long way to go.	25	MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think it's cultural. I think, you know,	25	a report, and so it makes it very, very difficult for
2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to 3 support me? Where is my family?" These are all the 4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's 7 not just those individuals that are going to have 8 to weigh up what's going to happen from this. 9 MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports 10 might be received if they come from someone from the BME 11 community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community themselves, but for 13 those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of 14 two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in 15 terms of perception? 16 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often 17 wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone 18 going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get 20 where those individuals are coming from when they're 21 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 22 they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 their sufficient empathy with them? 3 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think there's a long way to go. I think 4 it's happening and I think there is definitely a shift a change, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 4 it's happening and I think there's a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 5 mad a change, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 6 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 6 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 6 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 7 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 8 DR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. 10 I think that often beabaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a		Page 21		Page 22
2 "Am I going to be on my own with this? Who is going to 3 support me? Where is my family?" These are all the 4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's 7 not just those individuals that are going to have 8 to weigh up what's going to happen from this. 9 MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports 10 might be received if they come from someone from the BME 11 community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community themselves, but for 13 those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of 14 two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in 15 terms of perception? 16 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often 17 wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone 18 going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get 19 understand the culture, are they going to be able to get 20 where those individuals are coming from when they're 21 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 22 they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 their sufficient empathy with them? 3 MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think there's a long way to go. I think 4 it's happening and I think there is definitely a shift a change, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 4 it's happening and I think there's a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 5 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 6 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 7 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 7 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. 8 DR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. 10 I think that often behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a	1	others to come forward and you know actually weigh up	1	MS KARMY-JONES: From your experience, are they fully
support me? Where is my family?" These are all the issues that people have to consider before they do make disslosure and also before they also make a report, because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to have to weigh up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. DR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. It think that often behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in MS DENNY-BROWNE: Utl, I think the BME community are often going to understand the relationship, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're where those individuals are coming from when they're going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think there's a long way to go. I think there is definitely a shift and a change, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, but there is a long wat go. Sadly. It think that often behaviour that may be indicatin				
4 issues that people have to consider before they do make 5 a disclosure and also before they also make a report, 6 because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's 7 not just those individuals that are going to have 8 to weigh up what's going to happen from this. 9 MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports 10 might be received if they come from someone from the BME 11 community? Is there any issue around that? Not just 12 for the members of the community themselves, but for 13 those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of 14 two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in 15 terms of perception? 16 MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often 17 wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone 18 going to understand the relationship, are they going to 19 understand the culture, are they going to be able to get 20 where those individuals are coming from when they're 21 speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 22 they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 their suffering is? 16 issues that people have a report. 26 disability and I think there is definitely a shift and a change, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, 26 yes. 7 MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. 26 DR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. 27 Under with learning disabilities and/or autism. 28 DR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. 39 La Visanda the relationship that in the person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability - 30 It think that often behaviour that that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. 30 Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities and valually the terminology. 31 Also, I think that often young head the relationship				* *
a disclosure and also before they also make a report, because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to have to weigh up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be able to get where those individuals rare coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? and a change, but there is a long way to go. Sadly, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. BR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. I think that often behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability I ve lost my language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with		**		
because, if mandatory reporting as well comes in, it's not just those individuals that are going to have to weigh up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. DR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. It think that often behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the 13 disability and just putting it down to the disability I've lost my language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within ki	5	* *		
not just those individuals that are going to have to weigh up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what the issuffering is? MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, you had some observations. BR BLACKMAN: Yes, I wanted to talk about young people and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. I think that often behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability I've lost my language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities and adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with		•		
to weigh up what's going to happen from this. MS KARMY-JONES: Are there any issues about how reports might be received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone moderstand the relationship, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to really empathise with their journey and what to weigh up what's going to be deleted and children with learning disabilities and/or autism. I think that often behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability — there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability — there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability — The lost my language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a	7		7	•
might be received if they come from someone from the BME might be received if they come from someone from the BME to community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be dalled their journey and what their suffering is? disabilities and/or autism. I think that often behaviour that may be indicating that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and it net herein lands is a cutually the terminology. Also, I thin	8		8	• •
might be received if they come from someone from the BME community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability I've lost my language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with				
community? Is there any issue around that? Not just for the members of the community themselves, but for those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? there is something not right in that person's life is covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability and it sability and just putting it down to the disability and it needs to be looked overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with				
for the members of the community themselves, but for those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? 12 covered up by just a kind of secondary seeing the disability and just putting it down to the disability — 14 I've lost my language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with		ž ,	11	
those receiving them. What I mean is, is it a kind of two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? disability and just putting it down to the disability If ye lost my language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with			12	
two-way street, are there loggerheads within that in terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? I've lost my language about what that is. "Diagnostic overshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with	13	•	13	
terms of perception? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? worshadowing" is actually the terminology. Also, I think that often young people with learning disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3 3 1 6
MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? MS DENNY-BROWNE: Well, I think the BME community are often disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with				
wondering how they are going to be received. Is someone going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? disabilities as adults, the same, are not always seen as fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with				5 5
going to understand the relationship, are they going to understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? fully human and, therefore, the things that might happen to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with		•		
understand the culture, are they going to be able to get where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? to other children are not always expected to be looked out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with				
where those individuals are coming from when they're speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is they've encountered. Are they going to be fully understood, are people going to really empathise with their journey and what their suffering is? out for, for those children. If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with		7, 700		
speaking about the issues of abuse or trauma that 21 If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is 22 they've encountered. 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 their suffering is? 21 If there's very sexualised behaviour seen, that is 22 seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed 23 out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather 24 than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. 25 Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with				· ·
they've encountered. 22 seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed 23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 their suffering is? 20 seen as part of the disability and it needs to be ironed 21 out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather 22 than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. 23 Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with		-	21	
23 Are they going to be fully understood, are people 24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 their suffering is? 28 out within kind of very behavioural measures, rather 29 than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. 20 Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with		• •		•
24 going to really empathise with their journey and what 25 their suffering is? 26 their suffering is? 27 than seen as a behaviour that's a communication. 28 Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with				•
25 their suffering is? 25 Harmful sexual behaviour amongst young people with				•
Page 23 Page 24				
rage 23 Yage 24		Dama 22		Daga 24
6 (Pages 21 to 24)		Page 25		rage 24

1	learning disabilities in special schools is often really	1	agencies supporting children and young people.
2	overlooked and underplayed because nobody knows what to	2	We do a lot of work with trained, independent sexual
3	do with it, they feel uncomfortable with it and it's	3	violence advisers. Without that role, without that
4	a big issue, so I think that within that community	4	individual supporting some of our clients to talk with
5	there's a lot of underreporting and a lot of	5	the police, they wouldn't work, the cases would not get
6	misunderstanding.	6	to court, because there is a massive language difference
7	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	7	between the way the police work and behave and people
8	Yehudis?	8	from sheltered communities, the way they talk as well.
9	MS GOLDSOBEL: I just want to highlight a couple of the	9	It's almost like an intermediary, and they are really
10	myths that are in the Jewish community for sure. You're	10	effective. It doesn't mean our numbers have gone up.
11	brought up to not talk to the police and told the police	11	When I work with, mostly, the Barnet and Hackney police,
12	are not on your side and, in fact, a lot of the	12	because that's where the majority of the Jewish
13	holocaust comes into it and we're taught how they took	13	community reside, I think they have had an increase in
14	the other side, so there is a fear of the police from	14	five cases over the past few years; five. We all know
15	growing up from as soon as you can start talking, so	15	there's a lot more abuse of children and young people
16	even if you do disclose, you're not going to sort of go	16	happening and these cases most often don't get to court,
17	to the police and, if you do, you get repercussions for	17	because the community shuts down around this child and
18	that because you have effectively snitched on your own	18	young person and the disclosure.
19	community, on another Jew, to the secular authorities	19	So if we did have people in positions which would
20	and the repercussions of that is you get shunned, you	20	take almost a cultural shift that, even if this came
21	get ex-communicated, you would get fired from jobs, you	21	into place and we did have mandatory reporting, it would
22	would get kicked out of schools, and you lose everything	22	take a number of years for the Jewish community to catch
23	you've known, or have known until that time. So it's	23	up with it, for teachers to feel supported and empowered
24	really a culture in which it, I guess, almost breeds	24	to be able to make reports. At the moment, they come to
25	because there is so much silence around any of the	25	me, and I have to support them in accessing other
	D 25		D 2/
	Page 25		Page 26
1	agencies.	1	I guess I call it "cradle to grave", because they do,
2	But if we did have something like this where they	2	and this is the one thing they all fail at epically.
3	knew this is the boundary, this is where they stood,	3	You know, there's everything in place as soon as you've
4	these are the rights they had, and they were also	4	had a baby, if you're ill they now even talk about
5	protected in some way, that actually what they were	5	cancer just quietly and you get support for that, but
6	doing was a law for them as opposed to best practice,	6	when it comes to sexual abuse, it is done on the
7	and they knew what they needed to do, but sometimes, if	7	hush-hush and it's silenced so quickly. As soon as
8	you're not supported and you're a learning support	8	a child has even uttered a word, which wouldn't even be
9	assistant or teacher's assistant, you are going to be	9	"sexual abuse" or "rape", because they don't know the
10	silenced just as much as a victim is going to be,	10	language, it's silenced so quickly. So it's about we
11	because, by the time it gets up to the manager, it's not	11	work now trying to empower the people around the
12	going to go anywhere. So it will take time, even once	12	children to best support the child and a lot of the
13	this does come into play, if it does, for, I guess, the	13	times that isn't just going to the police or statutory
14	people that are working with children and young people,	14	services, it's working with them to then get to that
15	those that are running the youth groups and the youth	15	point of reporting it.
16	services, to feel empowered and protected and to know	16	It's a bit of a slow road and I don't think this
17	what the law is and how they're protected as well as the	17	would drastically change overnight, but it then just
18	children and young people.	18	means that the next generation of children would have
19	MS KARMY-JONES: Is that a reflection on other religious	19	people in place who are protected, are bound by law, to
20	communities that you've worked with as well? Muslim and	20	be able to take that disclosure or whatever it is the
21	Sikh?	21	child might have said or drawn, and go to some agencies
22	MS GOLDSOBEL: Yes. We can sometimes just change the word,	22	that could help them and support them, whereas now
23	"Muslim", "Sikh", "Jewish" and just put "community" or	23	they're not going to other agencies.
24	"closed community", communities that have	24	MS KARMY-JONES: Michelle, I think I saw you nodding there.
25	infrastructures in place to support themselves from,	25	Do you agree that this benefit would be seen in BME
	D 27		D 20
	Page 27		Page 28

1	communities as well?	1	to get through this? It's a big problem and we need the
2	MS DENNY-BROWNE: Definitely, definitely. But all of	2	money.
3	this and everything that Yehudis said is correct, but	3	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
4	what is needed is the financial support, and I hate to	4	Yes, Anna?
5	bring funding to the table, which we're all probably	5	MS COLE: I want to echo that, from the point of view of our
6	sick of hearing, but, actually, the money needs to be	6	members, I sent an e-mail last year about social care
7	there. I mean, even within services like IAPT, who can	7	and social care getting involved when teachers do make
8	go straight to the GP, you have your 6 to 12 sessions,	8	reports. We have an elected council of 60 members
9	and then, after that, you're sent off. You mention	9	across the country and, within 3 hours, about a third of
10	sexual abuse and you're sent somewhere else, they don't	10	them had replied with really awful stories, which I have
11	work with it. So they send it to other agencies like	11	sent to the DfE, but that is absolutely what we're
12	One in Four, who respond and who are, you know, managing	12	hearing. So schools, as well, are experiencing funding
13	on a shoestring, and they are expected to give the	13	cuts of around 8 per cent and I mean, there was
14	support that is necessary for an individual to go	14	a report on Channel 4 news about poverty in schools last
15	through that. That's parents, that's family, that's	15	night as well, so schools are being asked to do more
16	advocacy support. All of that takes money and time and	16	with less, but they are also saying to us that they are
17	good professionals in the background working with that	17	making reports and then having to leave cases because
18	to support them and, actually, that's not happening, and	18	social care just don't have the capacity.
19	my one of my biggest concerns is, what is going to	19	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Tom?
20	happen? You know, we're already struggling. We're	20	MR PERRY: May I just come back on this non-reporting
21	struggling with young people, with the sexual violence	21	business and get us back to that, if I may, please
22	around young people, we're struggling within families,	22	and religious settings and religious settings; okay?
23	we're struggling with the statutory organisations.	23	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay.
24	Where is the money going to come from to support	24	MR PERRY: Of course, all these settings offer the one
25	everybody and to give everybody exactly what they need	25	thing, the Holy Trinity and I'm sorry to be religious
	Page 29		Page 30
1	on "Holy Trinity", but the Holy Trinity it is power,	1	Diocese of Canterbury actually is the Archbishop of
2	secrecy and opportunity. It was very interesting that	2	Dover as opposed to the Archbishop of Canterbury
3	in the Catholic hearing, Jane Dziadulewicz, who is	3	
			don't ask me it's one of these clippy things you know?
4	a social worker and looks after I have an extract of		don't ask me, it's one of these clubby things, you know? But here is the thing this is what it says and I got
4 5	a social worker and looks after I have an extract of what she said here and looked after the Diocese of	4	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got
5	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of	4 5	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was
5 6	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School	4 5 6	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked
5 6 7	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just	4 5 6 7	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the
5 6 7 8	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought	4 5 6 7 8	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is
5 6 7 8 9	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the	4 5 6 7 8 9	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said
5 6 7 8 9	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial	4 5 6 7 8 9	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory."
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic, Ms Karmy-Jones, we have the Diocese of Canterbury	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment? MR STEWART: Yes, it was from Michelle and Yehudis's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic, Ms Karmy-Jones, we have the Diocese of Canterbury here this is rather interesting, this is their	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment? MR STEWART: Yes, it was from Michelle and Yehudis's minorities. I think it's very difficult then for the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic, Ms Karmy-Jones, we have the Diocese of Canterbury here this is rather interesting, this is their response. No other part of the Church of England put in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment? MR STEWART: Yes, it was from Michelle and Yehudis's minorities. I think it's very difficult then for the statutory bodies to be able to do an awful lot about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic, Ms Karmy-Jones, we have the Diocese of Canterbury here this is rather interesting, this is their response. No other part of the Church of England put in a submission to the reporting and acting on child abuse	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment? MR STEWART: Yes, it was from Michelle and Yehudis's minorities. I think it's very difficult then for the statutory bodies to be able to do an awful lot about cultures that are being brought up not to speak to the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic, Ms Karmy-Jones, we have the Diocese of Canterbury here this is rather interesting, this is their response. No other part of the Church of England put in a submission to the reporting and acting on child abuse and neglect, quite why, goodness knows, but I thought	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment? MR STEWART: Yes, it was from Michelle and Yehudis's minorities. I think it's very difficult then for the statutory bodies to be able to do an awful lot about cultures that are being brought up not to speak to the police, and it's very difficult to find a way of how we
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic, Ms Karmy-Jones, we have the Diocese of Canterbury here this is rather interesting, this is their response. No other part of the Church of England put in a submission to the reporting and acting on child abuse and neglect, quite why, goodness knows, but I thought I would read this bit out from the Diocese of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment? MR STEWART: Yes, it was from Michelle and Yehudis's minorities. I think it's very difficult then for the statutory bodies to be able to do an awful lot about cultures that are being brought up not to speak to the police, and it's very difficult to find a way of how we can bring that culture around so that they feel
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic, Ms Karmy-Jones, we have the Diocese of Canterbury here this is rather interesting, this is their response. No other part of the Church of England put in a submission to the reporting and acting on child abuse and neglect, quite why, goodness knows, but I thought	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment? MR STEWART: Yes, it was from Michelle and Yehudis's minorities. I think it's very difficult then for the statutory bodies to be able to do an awful lot about cultures that are being brought up not to speak to the police, and it's very difficult to find a way of how we
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what she said here and looked after the Diocese of Bristol when she got involved in the Downside School case I'm not going any further into that, I'm just saying that's what she was. She said here she thought that there needs to be some sort of body that holds the church accountable which could result in financial finds, criminal sanctions. This is a lady who, as it were, dare I suggest, has crossed to the dark side of mandatory reporting, I'm pleased to say, and she is now really quite supportive of it for clearly obvious reasons, because, in very simple terms, when you're in a setting where the default is to keep it quiet, you are a very lonely spirit, and people forget this. Next, and coming back to be on topic, Ms Karmy-Jones, we have the Diocese of Canterbury here this is rather interesting, this is their response. No other part of the Church of England put in a submission to the reporting and acting on child abuse and neglect, quite why, goodness knows, but I thought I would read this bit out from the Diocese of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	But here is the thing, this is what it says, and I got this checked, because, to be frank with you, this was completed online from Turkey, so I thought it looked pretty hooky, to be frank with you, so I wrote to the chair of the Safeguarding Board and I said, "Look, is this yours?" He wrote back very charmingly and said "Yes", and this is what it says, very brief: "Organisations like the church need to understand the importance of reporting. They won't get it unless it's mandatory." Now that's from the Diocese of Canterbury and, frankly, I think we all ought to be absorbing that. That's what it is, they won't get it. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Paul, I think you had a comment? MR STEWART: Yes, it was from Michelle and Yehudis's minorities. I think it's very difficult then for the statutory bodies to be able to do an awful lot about cultures that are being brought up not to speak to the police, and it's very difficult to find a way of how we can bring that culture around so that they feel

1 if it's inherent that they are not to talk, then there 1 into the impact on certain communities and some of the 2 2 are children at significant risk, I would say, because issues that have been raised by Paul? 3 3 we are, for want of a better word, covering that problem PROF MATHEWS: In terms of the economics, I understand 4 4 Paul's point and I understand the concern or the 5 The second point was really -- and I think it's 5 question that everyone may have about how much 6 going back to thinking about the homework we were given 6 resourcing would be required. 7 last night regarding against -- what really does concern 7 A few points I'd make in response to that. 8 me is, if mandatory reporting was brought in at this 8 First of all, yes, Australia, generally, is 9 9 moment in time -- and when Dr Mathews talked about a relatively wealthy country, however, each of our eight 10 Australia, whose economic standing is far better than 10 states and territories has its own child protection 11 what we've got in the UK, therefore I'm sure they would 11 system, some of those states and territories are 12 have put an infrastructure in prior to bringing in 12 wealthier than others, each of them have been faced with 13 mandatory reporting that can cope with the -- the 13 the same resource issues and they've each chosen --14 reports that come out, whether they are founded or 14 they've made an active ethical choice that children's 15 unfounded. 15 rights to safety and to protection from sexual abuse are sufficiently important to demand investment in proven 16 Here, I just think it's very, very important, 16 17 looking at the end-user, which is the child, that if we 17 policy responses. End of story. 18 do bring something in, then we have been very careful to 18 This is a policy question that governments must 19 think of how we have a strategic understanding of what 19 engage with and they make decisions about what they deem 20 we're actually opening up to here and the statutory 20 to be of sufficient importance to merit investment. If 21 bodies can cope with substantiated and unsubstantiated 21 you are faced with overwhelming evidence about the 22 22 claims of sexual abuse. That, for me, would be very success of a policy response and choose not to engage in 23 23 concerning at this moment in time. it, but to put your money elsewhere, then you are 24 MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Turning to Ben Mathews, I just 24 prioritising those other areas over this one. 25 wonder, Ben, can you help us with how much you've looked 25 MS KARMY-JONES: Can I just ask --Page 33 Page 34 1 United States and in Australia, so that can be delivered 1 PROF MATHEWS: I'll just --2 MS KARMY-JONES: I thought you'd finished, go ahead. 2 to professions quite economically. 3 The only other point I'd make there connected with 3 PROF MATHEWS: Yes. There are aspects of this dimension 4 4 the point I made earlier about policy decisions and the which we should be careful to distinguish as well. If 5 5 you are only going to introduce mandatory reporting for relative weight to which you give, say, child protection 6 from sexual abuse to other matters, in your country you 6 child sexual abuse, as we saw yesterday, the burden on 7 find £40 billion every year to spend on defence. I'm the system both in terms of numbers of reports and the 8 8 financial resources required to respond to them, is far not sure what your child protection budget is, but the 9 fact of the matter is that, if you choose to respond Q less than if you introduce it for any of the other forms 10 10 of maltreatment; okay? well to sexual abuse, you can find the money, your 11 Some of the earlier speakers today were conflating 11 government can find the money. Okay? 12 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. 12 consequences of all kinds of reporting for all kinds of 13 maltreatment with just sexual abuse. It's very 13 PROF MATHEWS: Again, the costs downstream, the savings 14 downstream, far outweigh the small, initial investment. 14 important to distinguish sexual abuse from the other 15 forms of maltreatment for the purpose of our 15 MS KARMY-JONES: Katy, it may come as no surprise I'm going 16 16 to come to you on what's just been said. You have discussions. 17 heard, firstly, Ben talking about the conflating of 17 Now, some of the costs involved in responding to types of abuse, the child sexual abuse, which is 18 18 child sexual abuse reports are actually quite low, so in 19 19 terms of intake and assessment of reports, they're quite something we spoke about earlier this morning, and also 20 low. The greater cost is in responding to more serious 20 a serious question: how much of the government's 21 21 position actually really comes down to funding as cases after the report is made. 22 opposed to justifications around the rights to a private 22 Other aspects of the system such as implementing 23 23 sector-wide education of professionals can be done quite MS WILLISON: Okay, so on the first point around the focus 24 economically. That's been shown in multiple countries 24 25 and I've been involved in those efforts in the 25 on child sexual abuse, I think I would be really Page 35

1	interested in understanding more the point around how,	1	sector. I don't believe that the government made this
2	when people are first considering that there is a risk	2	choice because of financial constraints. It was one of
3	that a child is being abused, that they can make that	3	the things it looked at because, when government does
4	distinction between the type of abuse. So as I said,	4	a consultation, it is obliged to carry out an impact
5	the government doesn't have a principle here, other than	5	assessment. And that impact assessment, we are required
6	that that hierarchy is challenging when people, you	6	to look at what the cost of policy is. It's simply good
7	know, who have been physically abused still have	7	policy making to do so. But I don't believe that
8	devastating consequences in their long-term life.	8	ministers took the decision, and certainly the advice
9	But there is the practical point there around how	9	that we gave them was not based on, "This has
10	people make that differentiation and perhaps there is	10	a difficult financial consequence and, therefore, you
11	some evidence from Australia around the guidance that is	11	should not do it", it was looking at the whole arguments
12	given to people, around what to report and what not to	12	in the round and the risk of detriment rather than
13	that we can learn from and we would be very happy to do	13	benefit.
14	that.	14	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Tom?
15	So that's something I think would be worth exploring	15	MR PERRY: Yes. We have an article on our website from 2015
16	more, perhaps not in the context of this seminar, but	16	"Why successive governments" no, "Successive
17	more offline.	17	governments flee mandatory reporting on the mistaken
18	MS KARMY-JONES: Ben's details.	18	grounds of cost". We also evidence how we arrived at
19	MS WILLISON: Absolutely. I think on the point around	19	this conclusion. And it because mandatory reporting
20	funding, the first thing I would say is that I work in	20	will have an impact okay? providing it is well
21	the Department for Education, I'm not a Treasury	21	designed mandatory reporting, of course. That's the
22	official where, you know, the Treasury and the	22	other caveat which is very important with government,
23	Chancellor makes overall decisions around the	23	because government does intend to design law that works.
24	distribution of money and how much money is put into	24	So if it works, if it were to work, it of course is
25	different parts of the economy and parts of the public	25	going to impact the local authority, it's going to
	Page 37		Page 38
1	impact police child abuse investigation units, it's	1	slightest referrals get out of the regulated activity.
2	going to impact the courts and, of course, the courts,	2	So it's rather self-defeating. We're back into this
3	I think, in 2015, asked for an extra 50 million to	3	thing again of everything being dominated, once again,
4	prosecute all the balloon in non-recent cases the	4	by social work practice. I mean, that's the problem we
5	article is on our website, you will able to see it.	5	have with the entire safeguarding framework. It is
6	Then, of course, it's going to impact prisons. This is	6	
7			It is dominated by and built on social work practice
	actuativ tainer iiiboltani because brisons ine		it is dominated by and built on social work practice to the family, and then misapplied to regulated
8	actually rather important, because prisons, the	7	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated
8	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure	7 8	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function
9	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in	7 8 9	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively.
9 10	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not	7 8 9 10	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
9 10 11	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that	7 8 9 10 11	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there
9 10 11 12	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister	7 8 9 10 11 12	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add?
9 10 11 12 13	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling
9 10 11 12 13 14	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government stemming from the consultation. It is most interesting	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes children really vulnerable all sorts of vulnerability
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government stemming from the consultation. It is most interesting what those recommendations were, because they actually	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes children really vulnerable all sorts of vulnerability to child sexual exploitation and criminal exploitation,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government stemming from the consultation. It is most interesting what those recommendations were, because they actually all involve, once a referral has been received, not how	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes children really vulnerable all sorts of vulnerability to child sexual exploitation and criminal exploitation, and that's a huge worry for our members at the moment.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government stemming from the consultation. It is most interesting what those recommendations were, because they actually all involve, once a referral has been received, not how a referral gets out of the regulated activity to the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes children really vulnerable all sorts of vulnerability to child sexual exploitation and criminal exploitation, and that's a huge worry for our members at the moment. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Albert, you also had your
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government stemming from the consultation. It is most interesting what those recommendations were, because they actually all involve, once a referral has been received, not how a referral gets out of the regulated activity to the local authority, the recommendations are most certainly	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes children really vulnerable all sorts of vulnerability to child sexual exploitation and criminal exploitation, and that's a huge worry for our members at the moment. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Albert, you also had your finger up. I want to move to another topic, but can you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government stemming from the consultation. It is most interesting what those recommendations were, because they actually all involve, once a referral has been received, not how a referral gets out of the regulated activity to the local authority, the recommendations are most certainly welcome; okay? Most certainly welcome.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes children really vulnerable all sorts of vulnerability to child sexual exploitation and criminal exploitation, and that's a huge worry for our members at the moment. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Albert, you also had your finger up. I want to move to another topic, but can you give us a short comment? We will be coming back to you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government stemming from the consultation. It is most interesting what those recommendations were, because they actually all involve, once a referral has been received, not how a referral gets out of the regulated activity to the local authority, the recommendations are most certainly	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes children really vulnerable all sorts of vulnerability to child sexual exploitation and criminal exploitation, and that's a huge worry for our members at the moment. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Albert, you also had your finger up. I want to move to another topic, but can you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	occupancy of prisons I used to work in the leisure industry was obsessed with occupancy. Occupancy in prisons is 84,500 out of 85,000, so there's really not much room to play and, of course, the final thing that any senior executive in the DfE can say to the minister is "Minister, your legacy will be one of costs, you will be one of Britain's biggest builders". Of course, that doesn't encourage the minister to do too much other than sit on his hands, understandably. If I can just come back to something that was said earlier by Katy, and that is the matter of recommendations from government stemming from the consultation. It is most interesting what those recommendations were, because they actually all involve, once a referral has been received, not how a referral gets out of the regulated activity to the local authority, the recommendations are most certainly welcome; okay? Most certainly welcome.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to the family, and then misapplied to regulated activities where it cannot possibly function effectively. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Anna, you had your finger up a moment ago, was there something you wanted to add? MS COLE: Just moving on from what our members are telling us, which is that they are making reports and they're finding it difficult to get social services to become involved because of capacity, and I think it's the fear that our members have around vulnerability, so I agree it needs to be well designed, but vulnerability makes children really vulnerable all sorts of vulnerability to child sexual exploitation and criminal exploitation, and that's a huge worry for our members at the moment. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Albert, you also had your finger up. I want to move to another topic, but can you give us a short comment? We will be coming back to you

1	MR HEANEY: Thank you very much. It was really on the cost	1	MS DICKINSON: I did want to make a comment which related to
2	issue. You know, cost is not a reason to make	2	the observation that this was all about social work
3	a determination around this. It really isn't. That	3	practice and that is to suggest that local areas are
4	would be a very bad point to make. So I think from	4	charged with having partnerships in place which are
5	a kind of whether it's from a government position or	5	geared towards understanding risk within their local
6	from an agency position, you know, the argument isn't	6	area and working collectively to support children to be
7	about cost.	7	safe. These were known as Local Safeguarding Children
8	Also, when we talk about the money, following the	8	Board Partnerships; they are now known as Local
9	money, we're talking about people, we're talking about	9	Safeguarding Partnerships. Their responsibility is to
10	children we're talking about their lives, so there's	10	think about how the range of professionals and local
11	a tremendous amount of resource that we spend as	11	communities collectively contribute to working towards
12	a society on our prison population and other services,	12	supporting children to be safe and, at their best, they
13	whether it be mental health so we're really getting	13	take those issues to local communities in their defined
14	into, you know, what can we do to be a better, safer	14	areas regularly to have conversations about what
15	community than we've got to look at it within the round.	15	safeguarding is, to raise awareness, to bring to the
16	So that's my short answer to what I was going to say.	16	fore issues in relation to children's safety.
17	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much.	17	So I just wanted to broaden out that perception that
18	It sort of ties in with the question that we asked	18	responding to concerns and identifying concerns is
19	yesterday and began with today, which is, let's look at	19	social work business. It is, of course, social work
20	the principle of mandatory reporting and most of the	20	business, but it is everybody's business too.
21	arguments against, or largely the arguments against, may	21	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Right, I want to move on to
22	be seen as consequences of rather than principle. So	22	a comment made by a gentleman in the public gallery
23	if, during the course of the day, people consider	23	yesterday about collusion between so-called
24	arguments on principle, then let us know.	24	professionals or practitioners and, as we said at the
25	Rachel?	25	beginning, we want to take some care about that word and
	Page 41		Page 42
1	about the culture that there is around reporting in the	1	schools do safeguarding and the safeguarding culture and
2	workplace or within other types of institutions. We've	2	I obviously hear you know, I'm working with lots of
3	touched on it to some extent already within the BME and	3	members who are putting in place and using safeguarding
4	the Jewish and other communities, but can I ask, is it	4	culture to really do great stuff in their schools, so,
5	common within your collective experiences to hear that	5	no, I'm not hearing that, but obviously I do then hear
6	professionals I'm going to use the word	6	of other cases. But I said yesterday I think we need to
7	"professionals" have known about suspected abuse but	7	really distinguish between wilful failure to disclose
8	have deliberately not reported it? Let's take it	8	when you know something and other cases, but no, not my
9	outside of the religious communities. But, Rachel, from	9	experience.
10	your experience, Serious Case Reviews, is it common? Do	10	MS KARMY-JONES: All right, Simon, chip in now. I was just
11	you come across this?	11	going to say, is there ever a sense that, for example,
12	MS DICKINSON: No, I don't. What I do come across is	12	people don't want to believe and so don't explore?
13	a failure to understand, a failure to report concerns	13	MR NATHAN: I mean, I'm not sure on that point you just made
14	about children earlier, which plays into the discussion	14	but in general, I mean, I would agree with Anna that the
15	we were having earlier about children whose lives are,	15	culture increasingly over the years in schools is that
16	to use the word, more hidden from the state, but	16	there are procedures in place and you should be doing
17	I don't I have not read a Serious Case Review where	17	the reporting. I guess this also in some ways comes to
18	there's been a failure to report an incidence of child	18	the question of how effective mandatory reporting would
19	sexual abuse.	19	be. And you know, part of the question I was thinking
20	MS KARMY-JONES: Anna, teachers? Simon?	20	about yesterday after the presentations from
21	MS COLE: No, again, that's not something I've heard either.	21	Professor Mathews is actually, you know, the laws in
22	MS KARMY-JONES: Would you agree with the idea that there	22	Australia have seen an increase in reporting, but
23	might be a failure to understand, a failure to perhaps	23	actually, what were those reports? Were they things
24	pick up on signals or identify them?	24	that perhaps low-level things that weren't being
25	MS COLE: I think there's been huge changes in the way that	25	noticed before, people then reported them and that led
	D 42		D 44
	Page 43		Page 44

1	to something which in itself would be a good thing? If	1	reporting law-based obligations and they have found
2	there are scenarios where people in authority did know	2	increased and changed reporting practice under the legal
3	something that was going on but they weren't reporting,	3	reporting obligations. Some of those were referred to
4	I don't have the answer to this question but my question	4	yesterday in the presentation when discussing the
5	would be, would mandatory reporting you know, would	5	Victorian Parliament's decision to introduce mandatory
6	that make them act differently if they weren't if	6	reporting legislation. As well, there have been
7	they were wilfully not reporting?	7	qualitative studies done with reporters who were at the
8	MS KARMY-JONES: Do you think that might depend on the	8	time under only a policy-based obligation. They were
9	structures put in place for education, for	9	asked, would they report certain cases if they were just
10	understanding, for better understanding?	10	under a policy-based obligation, and they gave certain
11	MR NATHAN: I think training is important. If there was	11	answers.
12	a mandatory reporting system, we would certainly favour	12	Then they were asked if they would report those same
13	one which was an organisational level system where it	13	cases which were deserving cases of sexual abuse, by
14	was up to individual teachers to do the reporting, but	14	the way if they were under a legal obligation and
15	if something went wrong, then the focus went back on the	15	their answers changed in the affirmative; okay? So
16	organisation, so the impetus was on the organisation to	16	there is some of that evidence.
17	make sure either it was doing the right thing or to make	17	To answer your question, Riel, about collusion in
18	sure that it had it was focusing on education within	18	institutions, there are a multitude of instances of this
19	the school to constantly build up that culture of	19	from Australia and overseas, but many of these in
20	awareness.	20	Australia were investigated by our own Royal Commission
21	MS KARMY-JONES: Ben, have you got any observations on that?	21	into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse. So
22	PARTICIPANT: Yes, a couple of points just to respond to	22	the kinds of institutions that they found this occurring
23	Simon's point first.	23	in was schools, especially private schools, who were
24	There have been studies comparing reporting practice	24	wanting to protect their public image and their
25	under policy-based obligations versus mandatory	25	reputation, as well as religious settings, particularly
		20	repaiding, as well as rengious settings, particularly
	Page 45		Page 46
1	the Catholic Church, but not only the Catholic Church,	1	So we would advocate we would be advocating for
2	and other sports settings, so particularly with	2	more training and more support to be given to
3	prestigious sports clubs that might have had	3	professionals so that they are actually better able to
4	high-profile coaches, for example, who were of high	4	spot the signs of abuse.
5	value to the institution. People knew what was	5	If you have a requirement to report that is not
6	happening but they did not want that person to be	6	supported by more training for professionals, I think we
7	revealed as an abuser. So some of those institutional	7	risk creating a situation where professionals become
8	settings are ripe for collusion and cover-ups.	8	unclear, insecure and that might result on more risk
9	MS KARMY-JONES: Almudena?	9	aversion. So reporting those cases that are low
10	MS LARA: Hi, I was going to go back to the point of whether	10	level that make professionals uncomfortable, but not
11	teachers and professionals know enough, I think we	11	for a particularly good reason, and that investment is
12	should not be complacent, I think we need to focus on	12	absolutely crucial.
13	gaining a better understanding across all professionals	13	I also wanted, if I can, to talk about the wider
14	of the impact of trauma and how trauma manifests itself.	14	conditions for children to talk and reach out to
15	Of course, when you look at best practice, things	15	professionals. So some of the research that we carried
16	are going and working really well, as Anna was alluding	16	out with young people indicated that some of the
17	to, but we cannot deny the fact that, actually, a lot of	17	barriers for them to talk to people is not having
18	professionals still need to gain a better a more	18	anybody to turn to; the other is not understanding that
19	sophisticated understanding of how trauma manifests	19	they are being abused; and the third is being afraid and
20	itself, and trauma manifests itself in very different	20	embarrassed.
21	ways, and the point being made by Michelle, and also	21	So what we need to do is also in addition to create
22	Yehudis, about it might manifest itself differently for	22	or to strengthen the requirements on professionals, to
23	different communities also, Dr Blackman made the	23	report, we need to create the conditions where actually
24	point about it manifesting differently for children with	24	children know that they can turn to an adult and they
25	learning difficulties.	25	don't feel embarrassed by it, because groomers and
	7. 45		D 40
	Page 47		Page 48

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

abusers actually feed into that sense of embarrassment and we can -- we need to be able to talk openly about abuse and the consequences of abuse in schools, through relationship and sex education and make it clear to children that this is nothing for them to feel embarrassed about and that they can turn to trusted adults that will take the right action.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On that point, the fourth thing that might prevent children from reaching out to adults is the fear of the consequences of speaking out. So that's where we were talking before about what's the service response and how that is managed and that needs to be positive for the young person.

I also want to make the point that in saying this, I am by no means saying that it is the responsibility of the child to take control over their own disclosure, I think the point that I made first was that, actually, the adults around the child need to be better equipped to support the child, and sometimes that might require pushing the boundaries with children. Children might feel that they don't want certain actions to be taken for them, because that's the result in many cases of grooming, but the adults around them need to be equipped to help the child as well and, with the child, take the best action for that child.

MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.

2 Can I go to Sharon first?

3 MS BURTON: Yes, I just --

4

MS KARMY-JONES: You had an observation? MS BURTON: Thank you. It's just quite striking to me that some of the examples that have been given, both about religious and community settings, that of course one of the sets of adults who are likely to be able to play a role are the health professionals who will be engaging with children. I think certainly, from what we've heard, health professionals' questions around mandatory reporting -- and I wouldn't put it stronger than that -questions about how mandatory reporting might impact, has been about the current need that exists for more and better education and training, I think in particular around being able to understand the needs of children in huge diversity of need that exists as well as the sort of individual needs that any particular child, victim,

So the education and training that's necessary to be able to recognise and respond effectively, as the health professional, and the extent to which one is impacted by, actually, just systems under pressure, just the time available to be able to train as being something that would need to be attended to, but also the access to

Page 49

some of that specialist resource which Professor Mathews I think emphasised in his presentation that one of the things that seems to make mandatory reporting effective is where there are these specialist services that are able to respond rapidly to a report. So it's not just the reporting, but it's the ability to respond at pace not only to investigate, but to sort of wrap the right support around the child.

Then I think the final thing, which is also something we hear from health professionals, is about where staff are, if you like, more junior in an organisation, certainly we're not hearing today about instances of, if you like, wilful attempts to shut people down and stop people reporting, but we do hear about cultures where, on a range of issues, staff that, if you like, are lower in the hierarchy do find it difficult to raise concerns, and this can include concerns about children and others who might be at risk.

So there is a great deal of work that's happening now to look at the sort of institutional cultural issues and what can be done to create cultures where speaking up about not just patient safety issues, which I think is where people usually go, but concerns about how professionals are or are not meeting their responsibilities and to vulnerable patients and within

Page 50

the organisation.

might have.

So I think there is a lot of work to be done there but that, too, also needs that investment of resource and attention to make sure that professionals in the health sector, in particular, where I think all of these issues might in a sense appear, to be able to respond effectively and the question is, will mandatory reporting on its own ensure that those sort of resources are put in place.

My understanding -- I hope it's right -- from what Professor Mathews has been saying, is that mandatory reporting is just one of a sort of bundle of integrated measures that you would need to have in place to not only identify those cases that are substantiated cases, but to be able to respond at pace to make sure that the child, young people as victims, are getting the support they need.

MS KARMY-JONES: Part of an infrastructure I think was the -- I want to just on this point of health professionals and healthcare services go to Moya Sutton of NHS England, because, Moya, I think you were present for a seminar that we conducted on the health sector in September of 2017. At that seminar, you may remember that a number of participants noted concerns around the

Page 52

culture within -- of raising concerns in the healthcare

Page 51

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

sector, and the importance to develop cultures where workers felt able to raise those concerns and, crucially, not to be penalised for them.

q

Have there been any developments around that since September 2017 that you can tell us about? By all means roll up a comment to Sharon's observation. MRS SUTTON: First of all, I would like to refer back to that two-day event. I know we can't talk about individual cases, but the two high-profile cases that were prominent in our discussions at that event, the question you asked about, you know, are we confident that child sexual abuse is being reported, I think in one of those high-profile cases it wasn't being reported. Everybody knew that something wasn't right, the individual eventually was investigated fully over a long period of time and it appeared that many hospitals had been suffering from his abuse, but would mandatory reporting have helped bring that to light earlier? Possibly, because people move been held to account to make it stand that they had significant concerns that were not then culturally ignored.

On the other hand, there was another high-profile case that we spoke about at that event where child sexual abuse went on for a period of time by one well-respected individual -- and I use the words

loosely -- but there was no idea that it was going on until the child disclosed. Would mandatory reporting have made that situation different? I don't know, possibly not, because it went undiscovered for a period of time.

Since that event and since those two inquiries, there's been a lot of work within the NHS to ensure that boards take their responsibilities seriously in terms of implementing those recommendations.

But I think going back to Rachel's point, for me -- and the previous comment -- there is something about we need to support staff to understand what they need to do, what their system of supervision and support is, and one of the things that we're really trying to consider, in some sexual health centres and some sexual health services, is we're trying to make routine enquiry -- maternity services being one of them -- an absolutely underpinning principle of asking the questions that are very difficult to ask. And also to think the unthinkable rather than not think the unthinkable.

That takes confidence and that takes courage and that takes time and commitment by the board and all members of staff and, if we think about recent cases of huge CSE trauma, horrible trauma, where we know that young girls have gone into A&E departments or sexual

Page 53

health services or a number of walk-in centres, with somebody who perhaps we wouldn't have normally associated them with, with health problems that wouldn't be normal -- normal for a child of that age -- those questions were not asked.

So even if we could've prevented it, we didn't, in some cases, because we didn't consider, "Why is a 13-year-old here with a 40-odd-year-old, and they don't speak the same language and the child is suffering from a gynecological problem and doesn't seem to understand why?", et cetera, et cetera. I think routine enquiry and the support and education of staff will help us support and identify victims of child sexual abuse at a much earlier stage and protect them at a much earlier stage.

MS KARMY-JONES: Taking that, but also expanding it to everyone around the table, and widening it a little bit, we've got that there needs to be more training and more support, I certainly have done cases involving human trafficking where victims have been identified by a member of staff who appears to have been trained specifically in that area, and perhaps what you're saying is that needs to be extended.

But in terms of protecting the whistleblowers in terms of protecting those who want to make an allegation

Page 55

Page 54

or want to disclose something that they've heard or a concern, in general terms, do people around the table feel that the introduction of legal protection which Ben referred to earlier would actually encourage people to raise the concerns or is it that it must go much deeper than that?

MRS SUTTON: For me, it's a cultural revolution that's needed, that -- "whistleblowing", for me, is the wrong word, it sounds like somebody is doing something bad

word, it sounds like somebody is doing something bad as opposed to doing something good. I think the whistleblowers need to be applauded and supported and, in the second case I mentioned, where the sexual abuse was hidden for many years, it was a junior member of staff that took it on her herself to actually raise this, not in a whistleblowing way, but used proper safeguarding procedures to raise her concerns with the appropriate individual which resulted in a custodial sentence. Fantastic, absolutely fantastic! But I think that either people don't feel supported enough to raise those concerns, maybe because they don't have the infrastructure above or around them, and they don't feel supported, and it is, it's cultural, it's leadership, it's that board-to-ward mentality, which I spoke about at the event, that makes people feel confident that they

can speak out in the best interests of the child/young

1	person, and the family. I think that senior leaders at	1	That allows us to pick up on the low-level concerns,
2	every level in organisations need to model that	2	that allows us to be able to manage where people are
3	behaviour and that the term "whistleblowing" is not	3	starting to show grooming behaviour which potentially
4	a term, for me, that should be associated with	4	puts young people at risk. I think there's a huge thing
5	a reporting of potential or alleged child sexual abuse.	5	about leadership and governance and safeguarding, and
6	They don't, for me, seem to sit in the right box	6	certainly, from my experience in scouting, is that
7	together. This is about a serious alleged crime and the	7	safeguarding is a golden thread, right from the board of
8	painful impact on a child/young person and their family,	8	trustees, where I have to present at every single
9	and it's more than just blowing a whistle.	9	meeting what's working well, what's not working well,
10	MS KARMY-JONES: Mm, that's a good point. Tina, do you have	10	what are the challenges and what are we doing about it,
11	something you'd like to add about this from either the	11	to inviting independents in, so listening to yourselves
12	Scouts' perspective or any perspective?	12	around the different communities. We invite openness,
13	MS WILSON: I think I alluded to it yesterday really, for	13	scrutiny and challenge, so we have a safeguarding
14	me, and it's the bit that comes prior to the mandatory	14	committee that's made up of a group of independent
15	reporting and it is that culture. And I think certainly	15	people and experts from the field of safeguarding so
16	for us in scouting, that prevention part is that number	16	that they can challenge our organisational culture and
17	one priority and by that I mean fostering that absolute	17	our reporting and how we do things.
18	open and transparent culture, that means that everybody	18	Absolutely key, like people have said, is training
19	knows the rules of engagement and I think I alluded to	19	and education. We absolutely mandate that all of our
20	it yesterday. We have it's very simple, but it's	20	volunteers are trained in safeguarding and know what to
21	called a code of practice that everybody has that	21	do if Again, that's covered on a simple code of
22	everybody knows what to do, what they should do, what	22	conduct on a yellow card that they have at all times.
23	they shouldn't do, and also that if they have any	23	Investment is key, and I know we've talked about
24	concern, that they are to report it to a central	24	money around the table, but Scouts have significantly
25	safeguarding team.	25	invested, moving forward, in safeguarding. There is
	Page 57		Page 58
1	a team of 22 responding to any contacts and I think	1	will the child protection safeguarding in sport
1 2	a team of 22 responding to any contacts and I think,	1 2	will, the child protection safeguarding in sport,
2	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts	2	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in
2 3	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people	2 3	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it
2 3 4	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do	2 3 4	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard,
2 3 4 5	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that	2 3 4 5	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress
2 3 4 5 6	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see	2 3 4 5 6	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've
2 3 4 5 6 7	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So	2 3 4 5 6 7	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit — and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public gallery in a moment, but before I do, can I take short	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be clearer to parents and volunteers when they go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public gallery in a moment, but before I do, can I take short comments from two people, please? A short comment from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be clearer to parents and volunteers when they go into different organisations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit — and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules — that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals — "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public gallery in a moment, but before I do, can I take short comments from two people, please? A short comment from Paul and then I'm going to come to Tom for a short	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be clearer to parents and volunteers when they go into different organisations. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, Paul.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public gallery in a moment, but before I do, can I take short comments from two people, please? A short comment from Paul and then I'm going to come to Tom for a short comment. Paul?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be clearer to parents and volunteers when they go into different organisations. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, Paul. Tom, do you have — very brief.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public gallery in a moment, but before I do, can I take short comments from two people, please? A short comment from Paul and then I'm going to come to Tom for a short comment. Paul? MR STEWART: It was just really reiterating what Tina said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be clearer to parents and volunteers when they go into different organisations. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, Paul. Tom, do you have — very brief. MR PERRY: Very brief. Here we go. So Tina, Moya, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public gallery in a moment, but before I do, can I take short comments from two people, please? A short comment from Paul and then I'm going to come to Tom for a short comment. Paul? MR STEWART: It was just really reiterating what Tina said and what I've found within sports, and certainly, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be clearer to parents and volunteers when they go into different organisations. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, Paul. Tom, do you have — very brief. MR PERRY: Very brief. Here we go. So Tina, Moya, I am going to read something to you. This was an article in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public gallery in a moment, but before I do, can I take short comments from two people, please? A short comment from Paul and then I'm going to come to Tom for a short comment. Paul? MR STEWART: It was just really reiterating what Tina said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be clearer to parents and volunteers when they go into different organisations. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, Paul. Tom, do you have — very brief. MR PERRY: Very brief. Here we go. So Tina, Moya, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Rachel, you made a differentiation between the contacts and then the section 47 referrals, and we have 22 people that can respond to those contacts and issues. We do work well with statutory agencies, but we do see that they're under pressure at times and we certainly see a push back for us to deal with cases as well. So I think, for me, there's comes that bit and that child's voice is absolutely key, because if that child doesn't have that environment where they can actually say what's happening to them, it's irrelevant around mandatory reporting. We will not get that young person to say they were secret. So if we can make sure that everyone knows the rules that's young people, that's parents, that's the professionals "These are the rules of our engagement", that's ultimately what will, I think, keep young people safe. MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to have to go to the public gallery in a moment, but before I do, can I take short comments from two people, please? A short comment from Paul and then I'm going to come to Tom for a short comment. Paul? MR STEWART: It was just really reiterating what Tina said and what I've found within sports, and certainly, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	wherever you go, whatever setting, whether you're in football, whether you're in gymnastics, to a parent it looks different, because they take that standard, rebadge it, put a load of nonsense around it and dress it up. I think parents are quite — they've misunderstood about it. You should be able to go from one setting from the grassroots sports to the Scouts and understand it completely. There is only 20 per cent, maybe, that should be different on the safeguarding standard. I think that's where it may be a little confusing to parents and to volunteers, because, in our settings, they are volunteers in some cases and they are asked to be really responsible and that's a difficulty in itself. But I think that if we could get a standard safeguarding document, as is the NSPCC one and make sure that every governing body uses that, then it would be clearer to parents and volunteers when they go into different organisations. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, Paul. Tom, do you have — very brief. MR PERRY: Very brief. Here we go. So Tina, Moya, I am going to read something to you. This was an article in

		Π	
1	Professor of Psychology, University of London	1	introduced into Wales and how much that actually cost
2	okay? and it's rather important. The article was	2	and if there was an actual uplift. And if there wasn't,
3	titled, "Few believers when companies preach values":	3	maybe that's the reason there hasn't been much change.
4	"The relationship between values and behaviour is	4	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Mr Heaney is writing that down.
5	very weak. It is unwise to believe that by targeting	5	We'll come back to that later. Core participants,
6	values you are going to radically and fundamentally	6	I have Mr West in the middle.
7	change behaviour. Instead, it works the other way	7	CORE PARTICIPANT: Thank you. Following the first seminar,
8	around. To change behaviour, you have to change values.	8	a Freedom of Information Act request was made concerning
9	Seat belts, drink driving, smoking are all classic	9	the DfE's policies as described there, and I have one
10	examples. You cannot persuade individuals to wear	10	particular paragraph in the response which I would like
11	safety belts and stop drinking and driving by appealing	11	to read out. It's concerning the assessment of the
12	to their better nature. You change the law, threaten	12	current legislative framework.
13	fines, and over time people begin to internalise those	13	"As part of the government's response to the
14	values."	14	reporting and acting on child abuse and neglect
15	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, I'm going to turn now to the	15	consultation in March 2018, we committed to assessing
16	public gallery. Hands up, lots of hands up. All right,	16	whether the current legislative framework makes it
17	can we go to core participants first, please? Yes. The	17	possible to deal appropriately with concerns about
18	gentleman in the middle. No. Mr O'Mara?	18	concealment of child abuse and neglect. It is important
19	Observations from THE PUBLIC GALLERY	19	that the law provides the power to deter and punish the
20	CORE PARTICIPANT: Thank you. We've heard a lot, both today	20	intentional concealment of abuse and sets a clear bar in
21	and yesterday, about the importance of training,	21	terms of targeting the most wilful and egregious
22	especially when you start up a mandatory reporting	22	behaviour where child abuse is not reported due to
23	system, but I think it might be an opportunity for the	23	a desire to protect a personal or institutional
24	panel to see some of that in action by getting the	24	position. We hope that we will be able to report real
25	figures of what training uplift was made when it was	25	progress at the time of the next IICSA seminar
	Page 61		Page 62
1	in April."	1	about this, and that is that I am all in favour of
2	Now this seems somewhat at odds with what we have	2	children being able to make age-appropriate choices
3	heard today from the DfE, and I would be very interested	3	concerning their lives at the earliest possible age, but
4	to hear the sort of what is actually going on in	4	in a situation where abuse is occurring, they are not
5	respect of this assessment of the current legislative	5	able to make those free choices because the abuser is,
6	framework. Because I think the inquiry has heard in its	6	in one fashion or another, coercing the child.
7	public hearings recently for instance, in the	7	Therefore, it is not sufficient to say "Oh yes, we
8	Catholic hearings that there has been deliberate	8	must wait for the child to make the free choice that the
9	concealment of abuse and that the legislative framework	9	child is entitled to", because the child no matter
10	as it currently stands is unable to address it.	10	what the child's entitlement is, the child is not in
11	Also, the evidence given during those hearings, when	11	a position to make that free choice, and so other
12	officials of the organisations concerned were questioned	12	weapons against the abuse need to be brought into play.
13	on this, was that, yes, they would've reported the abuse	13	Thank you.
14	had there been a legal obligation to and that their	14	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. I have about a minute left and
15	behaviour would have changed.	15	I have two people that I would like to go to, I will
16	The impression I received from the evidence being	16	come back to others, but can I first of all go to the
17	given at the time was that those organisations were	17	lady at the end? Can you keep it really brief for me,
18	very, very carefully skirting just inside the law,	18	though, because I only have about a minute and I'd like
19	reporting as little as they could possibly legally get	19	to put in another comment?
20	away with and that, therefore, the point that Tom Perry	20	CORE PARTICIPANT: Firstly, the point on the consultation is
21	has made about the changing the law, changing behaviour,	21	it that it didn't consider all the evidence. The
22	I think is a very strong and powerful one.	22	evidence from Australia was missed out, despite me
23	MS KARMY-JONES: All right.	23	submitting it, and I know that Mandate Now did as well,
24	CORE PARTICIPANT: There's one other very brief point	24	so that destroys my confidence in the outcome, which
25	concerning the freedom of children to make their choices	25	I think should be revised.
	Page 63		Page 64
			16 (Pages 61 to 64)

1 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Thank you. I am Keith Porteous Wood, 1 Secondly, when interpreting the research, we 2 2 I am the president of the National Secular Society. shouldn't get stuck on this point of substantiation 3 We've been working hard at the UN for ten years on 3 because, as we heard yesterday, even where cases are 4 4 unsubstantiated, there are still beneficial safeguarding clerical abuse and in particular now mandatory 5 outcomes for children. 5 reporting, and I'm delighted to say they've now started 6 to pick it up with individual countries of feedback on I'm in favour of evidence-based mandatory reporting. 6 7 what Professor Mathews said. So thank you for that. 7 I think the UK can benefit from being rather late to the 8 Could I make a plea? We've heard so much in this 8 party because now we are in a position to learn from the 9 room over the last two years over clerical abuse 9 implementation issues experienced by other 10 jurisdictions. 10 carrying on so -- and on Panorama and the wonderful 11 comments from the Jewish lady about minority clerical 11 As to the point of whether, in principle, the state 12 abuse. It's so widespread, and always somebody else 12 should have that role -- I say this as a staunch 13 knows. It's not -- and it's being kept in such a secret 13 libertarian -- I think they absolutely should, because, 14 thing, the only way that we're ever going to be able to 14 as Jonathan has just mentioned, children are not in 15 break this is with mandatory reporting, so a big plea 15 a position to protect themselves. We're relying on --16 children are relying on professionals to report and, if 16 for that. Thank you. 17 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. 17 I may make a quick reference to my personal experience, 18 We're now going to go to a break, coming back at 18 I was abused by my father for many years. I didn't tell 19 19 anyone because I thought it was my fault. When I did about -- yes, at about 11.45, so a short break please. 20 As I said, I will come back to people at the next 20 disclose to someone, I begged them not to tell anyone, 21 they said they wouldn't and they reported it to the 21 session. We have a longer session at the end, so 22 everyone will get ... 22 police anyway. I am so grateful that they did. 23 23 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. I'm going to take one last (11.30 am) 24 comment from the gentleman on the end I will come back 24 (A short break) 25 25 (11.45 am) to you at another stage, I promise. Page 65 Page 66 1 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Before we go on to the next 1 that starts in schools. It might be, at best, around 2 session, I'd just like to ask a couple of things that 2 protection, protecting them, because other people might 3 flowed from the last session. 3 not understand them as well as the school, et cetera, 4 So first of all, Noelle, I think you had something 4 5 you wanted to add? 5 Their learning disability makes them even more 6 DR BLACKMAN: Thank you, yes. I wanted to come back to 6 vulnerable. 7 thinking about young people and children with learning 7 But, at worst, it's also about averting gaze or, 8 8 disabilities and/or autism. Research indicates that even worse, dehumanising them. 9 9 people with learning disabilities may be up to The behaviour that is seen often really clearly is 10 10 50 per cent more likely to become victims of sexual often labelled as challenging behaviour. There's very 11 abuse than other people. That's often not a figure 11 particular frameworks about addressing this. Positive 12 that's thought about or known about, but it's really 12 behaviour support doesn't look at what this might 13 very high. 13 actually be coming from, stemming from, and that's kind 14 We also know there's a rise in this country in young 14 of therefore -- it's inherent in the whole culture. 15 people with learning disabilities or autistic spectrum 15 It continues in colleges and, as the young people disorder being admitted under the Mental Health Act to 16 16 get older and reach puberty, we see often a lot of 17 assessment and treatment units. This often ends up with 17 peer-on-peer abuse which is overlooked and 18 repeated restraint, medical sedation, seclusion, and 18 misunderstood. 19 19 when Respond is asked to come in and we look at the I think there's a fear sometimes that, if this is addressed, these young people will become criminalised 20 lives of these young people up to this point, often they 20 21 are full of experiences of trauma and abuse that have 21 when, actually, they need to be understood and we need 22 been overlooked and unaddressed. So why and how does 22 to understand the root of the peer-on-peer abuse. 23 this come about? 23 I think that one of the fears where we think about 24 Our understanding is that there is a systemic 24 protection -- so the best reasons for it not coming out

culture surrounding people with learning disabilities

Page 67

25

25

is protection -- is that the child protection agencies,

1	once a report is made, are not going to be skilled	1	Children and Young Persons Act of 1933 and the Domestic
2	enough in really understanding learning disability and	2	Crime and Victims Act of 2004.
3	autistic spectrum disorder. It's imperative that we	3	You won't be surprised to hear that the issues in
4	address this, especially if we make mandatory reporting,	4	the legislation are quite complex, but what we have
5	otherwise, once the report is made, these young people's	5	concluded is that there may be circumstances where
6	experiences will be dismissed and their experiences will	6	legislation can apply and be used, but that may well not
7	continue in exactly the same way as they are in schools	7	apply to all circumstances. Indeed, there may well be
8	at the moment. Thank you.	8	types of behaviour around wilful concealment where there
9	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. I think, Katy, you said that	9	may be a gap in the legislation.
10	you were able to respond to the comment made by the	10	What we have concluded from that is we would like to
11	gentleman in the public gallery. Fire away.	11	do more work to look at exploring past cases and
12	MS WILLISON: Yes, I'm very happy to do so. What I didn't	12	considering whether an offence of concealment would have
13	want to give the impression was, when I said the	13	made a difference to the actions of the professionals
14	government's position on mandatory reporting meant that	14	involved and provided better protection for the victims
15	we were not looking at other issues around this, and one	15	and we also do want to do that work alongside some of
16	of the issues we are absolutely looking at is wilful	16	the thinking that we know is happening here around
17	concealment, and we did make that commitment at the last	17	mandatory reporting, because it's really important that
18	seminar and I was expecting at some point today to	18	if we are legislating in this space, we don't take two
19	update. I'm happy to do so now.	19	streams of work and apply them separately, we need to
20	So between the last seminar and now we have been	20	think alongside mandatory reporting with wilful
21	examining the statute book to look at whether there is	21	concealment.
22	a gap in the legislation. We have looked at the	22	So that's an update on where we've reached, but we
23	Criminal Law Act 1967, perverting the course of justice,	23	are unlikely to reach further conclusions about the need
24	which is, in common law, misconduct in a public office,	24	to legislate until we have a clearer position on
25	the Criminal Courts and Justice Act of 2015, the	25	mandatory reporting, the conclusions of the inquiry and,
	Page 69		Page 70
1	as I've also said, more evidence from Wales which will	1	I don't think we should discount the challenge that will
1 2	as I've also said, more evidence from Wales which will help us look at the big picture on this.	1 2	I don't think we should discount the challenge that will present us in proceeding, yes.
2	help us look at the big picture on this.	2	present us in proceeding, yes.
2 3	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously	2 3	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay.
2 3 4	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in	2 3 4	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that?
2 3 4 5	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that	2 3 4 5	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No.
2 3 4 5 6	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be	2 3 4 5 6	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to
2 3 4 5 6 7	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of	2 3 4 5 6 7	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know and my experience of legislation tells	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know — and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know — and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want children
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know — and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very difficult when you're dealing with community-based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want children parents to be able to withdraw their children from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very difficult when you're dealing with community-based organisations with a lack of formal structures, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want children parents to be able to withdraw their children from secondary school sex education, and also didn't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very difficult when you're dealing with community-based organisations with a lack of formal structures, so I think that will be a challenge in, in fact, any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want children parents to be able to withdraw their children from secondary school sex education, and also didn't think that the right to withdraw, which is two terms before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know — and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very difficult when you're dealing with community-based organisations with a lack of formal structures, so I think that will be a challenge in, in fact, any of the legislation that you might want to bring forward in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want childrenparents to be able to withdraw their children from secondary school sex education, and also didn't think that the right to withdraw, which is two terms before the 15th birthday actually complies with Gillick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know — and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very difficult when you're dealing with community-based organisations with a lack of formal structures, so I think that will be a challenge in, in fact, any of the legislation that you might want to bring forward in this space, and that's one of the things that might be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want childrenparents to be able to withdraw their children from secondary school sex education, and also didn't think that the right to withdraw, which is two terms before the 15th birthday actually complies with Gillick competence, because actually our members' understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know — and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very difficult when you're dealing with community-based organisations with a lack of formal structures, so I think that will be a challenge in, in fact, any of the legislation that you might want to bring forward in this space, and that's one of the things that might be interesting to explore in one of our next sessions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want children parents to be able to withdraw their children from secondary school sex education, and also didn't think that the right to withdraw, which is two terms before the 15th birthday actually complies with Gillick competence, because actually our members' understanding is that Gillick competence comes in earlier than that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know — and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very difficult when you're dealing with community-based organisations with a lack of formal structures, so I think that will be a challenge in, in fact, any of the legislation that you might want to bring forward in this space, and that's one of the things that might be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want childrenparents to be able to withdraw their children from secondary school sex education, and also didn't think that the right to withdraw, which is two terms before the 15th birthday actually complies with Gillick competence, because actually our members' understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	help us look at the big picture on this. MS KARMY-JONES: Taking what you've said — and obviously you referred to some offences like misconduct in a public office — and bearing in mind the concerns that have been raised as to how you identify who it might be directed at, do the considerations take on board some of the issues that have been raised by those involved with closed communities, which could be seen as a kind of microcosm for the UK as a whole, really, couldn't it? PARTICIPANT: I think — and I'm not a lawyer. I think this would be a significant challenge in drafting the legislation, it's not one that we should duck, it's one that we should think about, but, undoubtedly, the case that, you know — and my experience of legislation tells me that making long lists of organisations is a very ineffective way to legislate, so you are looking for types of institutional organisation and that can be very difficult when you're dealing with community-based organisations with a lack of formal structures, so I think that will be a challenge in, in fact, any of the legislation that you might want to bring forward in this space, and that's one of the things that might be interesting to explore in one of our next sessions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	present us in proceeding, yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Anna, are you asking to come in on that? MS COLE: No. MS KARMY-JONES: Not on that point. Is it on something to do with the last session? I'm about to move on to features of mandatory reporting models. MS COLE: I wanted to make a very quick point about sex education after Almudena made the point which is on the right to withdraw. I know that the DfE received 23,000 responses and the right to withdraw was a big issue and just to say that school leaders very much didn't want the right to withdraw. We would like to see sex education in primary school, we would like to see children have to be able to correctly name their body parts in primary school, which, at the moment, they don't have to do, and we also don't want children parents to be able to withdraw their children from secondary school sex education, and also didn't think that the right to withdraw, which is two terms before the 15th birthday actually complies with Gillick competence, because actually our members' understanding is that Gillick competence comes in earlier than that.

1	two terms before their 15th birthday, they actually have	1	reporting to provide a context to this next part of the
2	to opt in themselves, so go against their parents rather	2	discussion. She's only going to take about 5 minutes
3	than just automatically opting in, so I think there are	3	just to go through some of the the key features.
4	issues there. We absolutely welcome the new	4	Bethany, I think you have some slides that are going
5	relationships on sex education guidance and understand	5	to go up as well. Right. So focus on screens and on
6	the pragmatic sort of issues that there are, but just	6	Bethany.
7	wanted to make that point really.	7	Presentation by MS ATKINSON
8	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	8	MS ATKINSON: Good morning, everyone.
9	MS COLE: On Noelle's point about understanding on learning	9	During the first seminar we heard about a range of
10	disabilities, but also generally on training for	10	different approaches to mandatory reporting models and,
11	educators to understand what behaviour is trying to	11	as Ben Mathews noted yesterday, it is evident that there
12	demonstrate and that all the research on neuroscience	12	is no single approach to reporting.
13	and trauma and I think there could be a lot more work	13	In this session we would like participants to
14	done with educators and others working with children to	14	consider the advantages and disadvantages of different
15	understand that behaviour is actually communicating	15	approaches to statutory reporting duties, particularly
16	something else.	16	with consideration to England and Wales.
17	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	17	To support discussions, I'm now going to briefly
18	Session 4	18	outline the key features of reporting models which we
19	MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Now we're going to move on and	19	are particularly interested in hearing your views on.
20	look at some of the features of mandatory reporting	20	In addition, I'm going to present four different
21	models and we looked at a number of examples on the	21	approaches to each of those key features, to illustrate
22	last at the last seminar.	22	the breadth of approach and provide some context to your
23	I am going to ask Bethany Atkinson, who I have	23	discussions today.
24	already introduced and who sits next to me, to briefly	24	We have heard that models differ significantly in
25	present some key features of some models of mandatory	25	terms of who the duty to report applies to, what must be
	Page 73		Page 74
1	reported in relation to abild several abuse and whether	1	introduced in Wales, as we've heard, this duty is
1	reported in relation to child sexual abuse and whether there are consequences for mandated reporters who fail	2	introduced in Wales, as we've heard, this duty is narrower in terms of the organisations it applies to,
2 3	to report and, if so, what those consequences look like.	3	applying only to relevant partners of local authorities.
4	In the first part of this session, we would like	4	However, unlike the reportable conduct scheme, and as
5	participants to focus on the first two of these key	5	Albert Heaney told us at the first seminar, it does
6	features and will move on to consequences for not	6	apply to individual professionals including local
7	reporting after lunch.	7	authority staff and healthcare staff.
8	On the screens you'll see a table outlining four	8	Casting the net slightly wider, the model of
9	models of statutory reporting duties, each of which	9	mandatory reporting proposed by Mandate Now applies to
10	takes a different approach to these key features.	10	all staff working in regulated activities as defined by
11	Considering first the different approaches to who	11	the Safeguarding and Vulnerable Groups Act 2006. This
12	reporting duties apply to, during the first seminar, we	12	model would apply to staff, including some voluntary
13	heard about the reportable conduct scheme in Victoria,	13	staff, in a range of settings, including nurseries,
14	Australia.	14	sports clubs, Scout groups, schools, religious
15	This scheme applies to a range of organisations that	15	organisations and healthcare services.
16	work with children from statutory services such as	16	One of the broadest approaches to who mandatory
17	schools, child protection and health services, to	17	reporting duties apply to exists in Ontario. As we
18	recreational organisations and other settings, such as	18	heard in the first seminar, in Ontario all persons,
19	religious institutions and providers of children's	19	regardless of their profession or the setting, have
20	camps.	20	a statutory duty to report child sexual abuse.
21	Although this duty applies to quite a wide range of	21	These four models also take different approaches to
22	institutions, within those organisations the duty	22	what mandated reporters have a statutory duty to report.
23	applies quite narrowly and only to heads of	23	Looking again at the reportable conduct scheme, this
24	organisations usually the chief executive officer.	24	was introduced in response to organisations' failure to
25	Moving now to the duty to report that's been	25	address allegations of sexual abuse as such, only
	Page 75		Page 76
	U		U

3

8

15

16

17

18

sexual misconduct by employees and volunteers must be reported. By contrast, in Wales and under the Mandate Now model, all child sexual abuse is required to be reported regardless of who perpetrated the abuse or where it took place.

Further, under the reportable conduct scheme, only sexual offences or misconduct by adults aged over 18 is required to be reported -- therefore sexual abuse perpertrated by a volunteer aged under 18 or between children is not required to be reported.

A similar exception exists in Ontario -- only abuse of children aged under 16 is required to be reported. That means there is no legal requirement to report abuse of older children aged 16 and 17. There is also a "close in age" exception in Ontario -- which means there is not a requirement to report sexual activity between older children or between an older child and a young adult, providing it is not exploitative and there is no relationship of trust or authority.

Of course, these models illustrate just a handful of the different approaches to who is mandated to report and what must be reported, and we're interested in exploring other approaches too.

So I'll now hand back to Riel to begin your discussion about these key features.

MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you, Bethany. So looking first, if

2 we may, at who should the legal duty to report apply to,

are there any comments on the different approaches to

4 the types of organisations that are mandated to report

5 child sexual abuse? We were talking about organisations

6 versus individuals. What views are there around the

7 table? Yes, Simon?

MR NATHAN: I think from our perspective it should be

9 an organisational one. I think if you look at

10 a school's setting, there's -- even looking at the

11 current guidance, everyone within the school has

12 a responsibility to raise a concern if they see

13 something. But the mandating, the actual reporting to

14 the extern in authority, I think we all feel should be

done by someone like the DSL, and within the school.

I say that because, you know, if you are a member of the cleaning staff or someone who works in the bursar's office and you spot something that you feel a bit

19 uncomfortable about, you know, you raise that with the 20 person within the school, the safeguarding lead, who has

21 probably far greater knowledge about the subject.

22 I think it would potentially be uncomfortable if that

23 potential criminal sanction came back to that more

24 junior member of staff, who perhaps didn't understand 25

entirely what they were seeing, but they'd done their

Page 77

bit by reporting that further up the chain.

2 MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Ben, on your research, who do you

suggest mandatory reporting duties should apply to in

4 order to be the most effective? I mean individuals or

5 organisations, both?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

1

3

7

8

g

10

23

6 PROF MATHEWS: Individuals. Such individual professionals

or practitioners who are defined under the legislation.

I'll point out that the reportable conduct scheme in

Victoria, Australia, is an additional duty on top of

mandatory reporting legislative duties. It is aimed squarely at institutional concealment of cases. So it's

11 12 a specific kind of duty. Victoria also has the general

13 mandatory reporting duty. So my view is that the duty

14 should apply to the individual professional practitioner

15 and, further to that, my view -- and this has been borne

out by research that we've done -- is that that 16

17 professional practitioner should in fact make the report

18 directly to the child protection agency.

19 Certainly, in cases such as Simon mentioned, I think

20 there is, as a matter of good practice, maybe other 21

persons in the institution who should also be made aware

22 of what's happened, but I think it has been shown --

particularly in my home state of Queensland, but also in

Page 79

24 other jurisdictions -- that if there is a convoluted 25

chain of reporting, it is very easy for the report to

Page 78

7

8

10

12

17

19

23

24

25

1 get lost in the system and to either be not forwarded to

2 the child protection agency, sometimes for sinister 3 reasons, sometimes for non-sinister reasons.

4 I think issues or challenges in making sure that all

5 staff in the organisation know what they have to report

6 and how to report and to whom to report, can easily be

made the subject of appropriate education to those

people.

9 MS KARMY-JONES: Ben, can I pick up on one thing you said,

you know, individuals who are defined under the

11 legislation, who should the legislation include as

an individual defined under the legislation?

13 PROF MATHEWS: That's the million-dollar question almost,

14 isn't it? As we saw in yesterday's presentation, some

15 states in Australia apply it to a smaller range of

16 professions, some to a broader range. Victoria, for

example, applies it to doctors, teachers, nurses and

18 police, and pretty much the same in Queensland and

Western Australia.

20 To me, they are the four minimum groups of

21 occupational practitioners it should apply to. I think

22 it should also apply to early childhood education and

care practitioners, so childcare practitioners, and after that there are other groups such as psychologists,

social workers, and so on, who you may also consider

Page 80

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

1	should be included.	1	applies to all citizens, I'm not sure I would recommend
2	MS KARMY-JONES: Going back to your research and the	2	applying the duty to all citizens. I think that's not
3	different models you've looked at, is it right that	3	quite a true mandatory reporting duty in terms of the
4	those with a wider net have a greater success rate, if	4	sense we're talking about today, that's more a general
5	you like, or a is the response is the outcome, the	5	crime prevention approach. So I think those five
6	result, better, if that makes sense?	6	professions, including both staff and volunteers in
7	PROF MATHEWS: That's a difficult question to answer from	7	organisations and the management in those organisations,
8	a scientific point of view.	8	that's your call.
9	Certainly, I think applying it to those core	9	MS KARMY-JONES: Where do religious communities fit within
10	professions who have most exposure to children and to	10	this?
11	managers in those organisations, I think that is the	11	PROF MATHEWS: Yes, I think people in religious
12	minimum requirement that's the essential condition.	12	organisations should be included, that's my view.
13	MS KARMY-JONES: One last thing on that, who should be left	13	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
14	out?	14	Moya, you had an observation.
15	Should anyone be left out?	15	MRS SUTTON: Thank you. I'm just trying to think about it
16	PROF MATHEWS: Certainly it should apply to staff and	16	from the practical perspective and what would happen
17	volunteers in those organisations. They are the people	17	now, or certainly what should happen now, is if, for
18	in most frequent contact with children in the course of	18	example, it's 11 o'clock on a Saturday night and a child
19	their daily work and who are equipped and able to detect	19	arrives at an A&E department for example,
20	children's to receive disclosures and to detect	20	a paediatric specialist trust, let's say and the A&E
21	changes in children's behaviour, and so on. They are	21	doctor examines the child and suspects child sexual
22	the ones who can develop knowledge or suspicion or	22	abuse, what should happen is that there will be
23	belief of these cases. They should be required to	23	certainly, in those sorts of settings, an oncall
24	report.	24	paediatrician who would be contacted and the doctor or
25	I think other models, such as Ontario's model, that	25	the paediatrician will have a discussion about the
	Page 81		Page 82
1	findings of the doctor and then the oncall paediatrician	1	generated?
2	will make the decision with the doctor as to whether the	2	PROF MATHEWS: Correct.
3	call is made to the emergency duty team at social	3	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
4	services and/or the police for there to be a strategy	4	Tom?
5	meeting either there and then, if there is significant	5	MR PERRY: If I may, I'd just like to clarify something
6	risk, or the next day, if more appropriate.	6	about our position, actually, which is slightly
7	I suppose my question would be of Professor Mathews,	7	mistaken, so I'd just like to correct this, if I may.
8	who is the reporter there? Is it the paediatrician? Is	8	That is that we said that
9	it the junior is it the junior doctor or is it	9	MS KARMY-JONES: Just to clarify, I mean, we all in the room
10	a co-reporting?	10	know when you speak about you're talking about the
11	MS KARMY-JONES: We'll take that as a point of	11	Mandate Now?
12	clarification. Ben, do you have an observation on that?	12	MR PERRY: I'm so sorry, yes, the position of Mandate Now.
13	PROF MATHEWS: Sorry, I actually missed the first part of	13	You know, we drafted legislation and it's changed since
14	your statement there, but I think, if I can gather the	14	we we drafted legislation for Walmsley, it's changed
15	thread, to me, the person under the duty to report is	15	since then, and it addresses a point that Simon made
16	the person who themselves generates the knowledge or	16	which is a valid point, actually.
17	suspicion. If they are working with a more senior	17	What we said was that here we go do forgive me
18	colleague, they can perhaps consult with that colleague,	18	I'm a slightly slow reader. Here we go. We said here
19	to check on whether they think their knowledge or	19	that it was children here we go, I'm sorry:
20	suspicion is well based. And if they're working with	20	"Children and vulnerable adults"
21	a senior colleague in treating the child, they can	21	We included vulnerable adults. Let's just leave
22	certainly inform that colleague of their intended course	22	that to one side now:
23	of action and of their action.	23	" and persons whose services are used by such
24	MS KARMY-JONES: So that would be generally the person who	24	providers, being persons who stand in a position of
25	receives the disclosure from which the suspicion is	25	trust"
	•		
	Page 83		Page 84
			21 (Pages 81 to 84)

2

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in other words, the point that Simon was making about, you know, the cleaner, the ground staff, they're not included, they're not in positions of trust per se. So we wanted to stick to that, and the reason why we wanted to stick to that is, quite frankly, anything other than sticking to positions of trust simply wouldn't navigate Parliament in any legislation. So that's why we adhered very strictly -- I mean, this was on the advice of multiple barristers -- a murder of barristers we had to tell us about this, it was quite depressing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anyway, moving on, there was another point that was made, actually a very important point, and that is our definition of regulated activities is as sound as a colander, so, therefore, what we did was we specified the regulated activities, which is a pretty ghastly thing to have to do, to be quite frank with you, because, as someone said earlier, you know, making lists of settings to which this should apply, but that's principally because the definition of regulated activity and the Safeguarding Vulnerable Groups Act 2006 is beyond belief flakey. Okay? So that's that one out the way.

MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.

25 I want to come to Albert Heaney and the Welsh Government position and I want to pick you up a little

bit, Albert, on the system that has variously been

3 described as a mandatory reporting system and just 4 challenge you a little on that, because it's not really

5 the same kind of system as those Ben spoke about

6 yesterday, is it, because the duty to report, as

7 Bethany's just told us, is quite limited, it's limited

to local authority partners, so you know, police, health

9 staff, youth offenders, offender teams. What was the

10 rationale for that and why didn't the Welsh Government

11 introduce a broader duty extending to religious

12 organisations and sports clubs and so on?

MR HEANEY: Exactly. And, you know, this is part of our debate, so I'll go through some of that in a little bit of detail.

When we consulted, the feedback was certainly less perhaps contentious than the current debate within the English agenda, but that's absolutely right and proper to understand what the context was.

We felt very strongly that we were responding to, in the majority of cases, where institutions had failed to respond appropriately. So we were looking at it in terms of where we developed a remit of safety within legislation, we were looking at the cultural change that we feel is necessary.

Page 85

And our first step in this -- because it is new for us as a government. Firstly, it was new legislation, it was the first time we produced social services legislation in Wales. When we went out to consultation, we had feedback. When we went through the legislative journey, we listened to the feedback that come to us and, overwhelmingly, the argument was that there should be a duty to report. So we went down the line of what we call the duty to report. We kept that tighter -- you are absolutely correct -- we kept that in that smaller group of professionals, those professionals, those organisations, so the duties on organisations, and it's within that smaller -- smaller group. But smaller in the sense of agencies, but significant in relation to the reach and the number of children and young people that come through, so if you think about health boards health trusts being responsible for safeguarding, this is a very powerful piece of legislation.

A member of the public raised a really good question, you know, I think that issue of, how did we resource the implementation? And again, we had national-led campaigns around getting in on the act, we invested heavily in thinking about both the resources available through Social Care Wales developing a hub of resources and actually then very much being active in

Page 87

Page 86

getting out into the field so that professionals and their organisations took their responsibilities seriously.

> I think that we never thought that mandatory reporting in its own right or duty to report was the completion of what would solve what is a very complex, difficult matter for us. We saw that being about cultural change, practice awareness, challenge. Actually, we want to foster our cultural practice around challenge so that we actually get to support children and young people in a different way. So we never thought that legislation in itself, with that cultural organisational change -- so we've come alongside that, we invest -- we've certainly rediverted monies to --8 million funding into the workforce around social care training and development. We invested 3 million per year into delivering the transformation required by the legislation, we've put 8 million into frontline children's services because we felt that they needed to focus more on prevention and early intervention.

I think that's something that hasn't come out enough today, because I think frontline colleagues need to have the resources to intervene earlier and be supported to intervene in the right way. We haven't -- I think one of the worries I had to -- I asked earlier on, I didn't

5

10

15

17

21

3

5

6

7

15

16

19

quite come in at that point because of, you know, lots 2 of discussion taking place, but you asked us to do our 3 homework last night. One of my worries about duty to 4 report -- I'm a supporter of duty to report, maybe we 5 need to go further in Wales and that's what will come out from this inquiry and the discussion, but one of the 6 7 worries I have is that, whilst we're focusing on the 8 duty to report, we really have to be asking other 9 questions, which is: how do young people be supported to 10 speak out earlier; how do we respond and set the climate 11 and the environment; and what kind of society, how do we 12 build more trusted adults? Anyone who has read the 13 literature around adverse childhood experiences will 14 know that children need trusted adults and, by having 15 trusted adults, it gives them the opportunity. 16 So that was the position we took. I have given 17 a long answer to, I know, the question, but it's just to 18 give a sense of, do we think it's perfect? No. Do we 19 think it's a very good start and heading in the right 20 direction? Absolutely, yes. Will we be looking to

MS KARMY-JONES: Okay, so you are looking to review, you will be looking to review?

2

3 MR HEANEY: We will.

4 MS KARMY-JONES: That is interesting, because, yesterday, we

heard from you that the duties actually had very little

6 impact, which seems to be in sharp contrast to a number

7 of the different models that Ben has spoken about and

8 I just wonder if you think that in the review perhaps

9 the lack of impact is a consequence of the limitations

that are currently in place around the duty?

MR HEANEY: I think maybe -- maybe I'd challenge the 11

12 question a bit, in a sense, because I think it's how

13 a positive turned into a negative. So, you know, we are

14 talking about cultural practice. So, you know, in

a Welsh context, the National Independence Safeguarding

Board, the Local Safeguarding Boards, who are all 16

working in the arena of safety partnership work, the

18 All-Wales Procedures, really challenging discussions, we

19 haven't always got it right, but we're learning the

20 lessons, you know? We've changed the child practice

reviews, moving away from a blame culture of Serious

22 Case Reviews into something about learning and really

23 transforming what we do. So actually, the fact that

24 there hasn't been an avalanche of new referrals

25 missed -- there's, I think I did say yesterday, been the

Page 89

review post the -- some of the very powerful messages

coming around this room? We will. But are we saying,

think that actually that's the standard that should be

should we have a duty to report or not? No. Because we

odd, small number of issues that seems to have emerged

2 from this. Therefore, it's perhaps a case where, if

4 5

6

in that, then that's a good thing.

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

set.

the public gallery, which is really important, am I confident that we have invested in the discussion

13 14

15 this, it is because very much people have been talking

16 17 are confident. So I probably push back a little bit,

18

19 seen to be a negative for not doing something, where 20

21 I would put in its own right is a good thing.

22 MS KARMY-JONES: Right. Mr Perry, have you got something,

23 Tom, to say about that?

24 MR PERRY: Briefly, if I may. But is it mandatory 25

we've got our cultural embedded, and we're getting that

strong in terms of how we practise, therefore, having it as a standard that's -- you know, our arena of safety

says there is a duty to report and that duty of report

means you do this, this, and this, and you're supported

So perhaps -- you know, I take the challenge from

around why we're doing things the way we're doing it?

Because there hasn't been an avalanche of referrals, that's not because people haven't been talking about

about, "What's the right referrals?", making sure people

because I think there's a danger in this arena that it's

having your standard set out clearly in law, I think --

reporting? No. It's -- it's organisational level,

Page 91

which is what the ISC wants, which, frankly, doesn't

1 2 bear scrutiny. Do we have data? It's been more than

two years now. No, we have the same old problems again

Page 90

4 in the UK. We have this sort of data sequestration

which goes on in this country. Mr Mathews comes here

and in his excess baggage he's got data. Here, you

can't get data. It doesn't exist, you know? Child

8 deaths -- I heard it described by a member of the -- who 9

was a director of children's services and she described

10 it as "data sequestration", trying to find out -- and 11 they went on to explain how it was impossible to find

12 out the number of child deaths in this country. And how

13 multiple FOIs had to go in. I mean, you get -- the 14 paucity of data in this country, in these islands, is

extraordinary.

What we have is -- what came across in Ireland, I think it was -- do forgive me, I've forgotten the name

17 18 of the gentleman who was in MR seminar 1, from Cork, and

do forgive me, I shouldn't do that, that's very

20 naughty -- but his presentation was most interesting 21 because what he said was that, you know, we've now got

22 MR in Ireland. Sadly, at the moment, we don't have

23 data -- okay? -- it's been in for now more than a year. 24 Where is the data? We don't have it.

25 But what he did say was -- and an article that I've

1	subsequently read said that they want to see it at	1	a duty to report, and that is clarified through the
2	organisational level as well, they want some sort of	2	All-Wales Procedures and everything that's put in place
3	sanction at organisational level. So they've got it	3	to really reinforce through the whole system that we
4	with people, they've got it with the people on the	4	have. So from a legislation-based, organisational duty
5	ground, those professionals in teaching and all these	5	to report and then into mainstream practice and
6	other settings that are specified. But they now want it	6	day-to-day delivery.
7	at organisational level, because they can see that the	7	MS KARMY-JONES: Right. I'm going to turn to
8	absence of something at organisational level to hold	8	Rachel Dickinson now because I think you had
9	accountability at organisational level is a weakness.	9	an observation, Rachel.
10	So they've done it the other way around, and I think	10	MS DICKINSON: Yes, thank you. I just wanted to come back
11	that's really the direction of travel for us as well.	11	to the practical example that Moya explored and actually
12	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	12	the hearing of that, because I didn't hear Moya say that
13	Albert, can I ask you one thing that arises out of	13	what the paediatrician had found was a disclosure of
14	that, because in the first seminar in September you said	14	abuse, what I heard was that there were symptoms that
15	that the duty applied to staff in local authorities.	15	possibly could be regarded as indicative of abuse.
16	Can you just clarify, are you speaking about	16	And I think that's a really important distinction
17	organisational or are you speaking about individual	17	because I think you heard disclosure, and I think we
18	duty? Microphone, yes, thank you.	18	need to narrow down what we are defining as being
19	MR HEANEY: Thank you, thank you very much. The Wales duty	19	reportable. We also need to think about the evidence
20	reporter finds relevant partners who report or are	20	that we've heard in relation to how young people behave
21	defined as local authority staff, police probation	21	when they're traumatised and, actually, their move to
22	MS KARMY-JONES: Can you lean forward just a little?	22	disclosure may be very, very slow paced and require
23	MR HEANEY: Of course. I think what to clarify, we	23	significant relationships and trust in order to make
24	really have aimed it at an organisational level and,	24	those steps.
25	within that, the staff in those organisations have	25	I would observe that the professionals that Moya was
	Page 93		Page 94
1	identifician almodelane anno all'ille and a Wedin	,	maladi analaina anidh ahildaan aa dhad dhaan Gad
1	identifying already have a responsibility, under Working	1	relationships with children so that they feel
2	Together to Safeguard Children, to share their concerns,	2	comfortable to start to say something are very, very
3	report those concerns and explore those concerns with	3 4	important if we are to work collectively to support children to be safe.
4	other professionals to try and find the right way to	5	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
5	respond to the circumstances that they are observing in relation to a child, which may take some significant	6	MS GOLDSOBEL: I am just comparing the duty to report, and
6 7	time, I might add.	7	the proposal for Mandate Now and, when you look at the
8		8	duty to report in Wales, and who it's for, the majority
9	My concern is that to add mandatory reporting to what we currently have I outlined earlier the	9	of children actually would not be engaged with those
10		10	services on a regular, if not weekly, or even
	significant amount of activity that we have in relation to concerns about children in England which is	11	fortnightly, basis, because it's local authority,
11 12	perhaps different from the Welsh experience, to add the	12	police, health staff, Youth Offending Team.
13	potential of criminal consequences if you fail to act,	13	Many of us know, with children, you're going to go
13	may actually disinhibit professionals from entering what	14	to the doctor once a month, maybe two or three, so most
15	is really, really valuable work with children.	15	children are not even actually being seen by those
16	So I think it's about the thinking about the	16	agencies, so we're missing out a lump of children there.
17	unintended consequences of mandatory reporting that we	17	The proposal by Mandate Now, which is probably
18	need to pay some attention to, but also that there are	18	obvious from my perspective that I definitely think it
18	many, many shades of grey in the territory of trying to	19	needs to include faith organisations, because I work in
20	secure the right outcomes for children. And there's	20	London the majority of my time, and most other
21	a danger in thinking that mandatory reporting gives	21	organisations work with children who are involved in
21	a fix to a very complex problem. It may be one part of	21 22	religious communities and sports clubs and things that
23	a solution, but the things that we've talked about,	23	are engaging with children on a weekly, if not
23 24	a solution, but the things that we've talked about, about early help, prevention, training for staff,	23	several-times-a-week, basis. We cannot ignore half of
24 25	actually trusted people having time to build	25	what children get up to in London and not make them part
23	actuany trusted people having time to build	23	what children get up to in London and not make them part
	Page 95		Page 96
		•	

1	of this mandatory reporting procedure, because we're
2	then waiting six months until a child goes to a doctor
3	and then hope it's picked up, as opposed to, you know,
4	what can happen in that six-month period?
5	From my perspective, children in my community engage
6	within faith settings on a weekly basis, whether it's
7	Sunday school for two hours, or on a Saturday coming to
8	the synagogue for youth service. That is run without
9	parents there but by a volunteer. So lots can happen in
10	lots of areas of children's lives that will not be seen
11	if we're just putting it to relevant partners in
12	organisations putting in quotation marks literally
13	what it says there and then we're missing out
14	a majority of a child's life really.
15	MS KARMY-JONES: So abuse happens everywhere?
16	MS GOLDSOBEL: Yes.
17	MS KARMY-JONES: Would anyone else like to offer any
18	comments or observations on duties which apply to wider
19	areas like sports bodies and voluntary services? Paul?
20	MR STEWART: Yes, I think as we know, in sports, it's quite
21	complex, because we're looking at the power of a coach
22	over a child, and the willingness to report because they
23	might not get chosen or they might not move on to better
24	themselves. We know that organisations may have covered
25	this up, but I know, because I had the pleasure of
	Page 97

speaking to Dr Mathews this morning, and what would be interesting for me -- and I know you've worked with, is it Australian Rules, Ben, you've done some of this work with Australian Rules? And I think, from a sports setting, because I know that children -- and from my own experiences -- don't speak up because they think that their chance of actually succeeding at whatever sport that is, makes them not say anything and they believe that the coach has the power to select them and/or not select them.

So it would be really interesting for me to find out how the mandatory reporting worked with the Australian Rules that you alluded to this morning and there may be some work we can do surrounding that, going forward.

MS KARMY-JONES: Tina, what about Scouts? Is it organisational or individuals?

MS WILSON: I think we would be concerned that volunteers

would be expected to have the same level of professional expertise or the ability to spot that other professionals would. I think we in Scouts have invested hugely in a professional safeguarding team, but also on that culture of reporting. And by that I mean the real low-level concerns that actually build a prevention picture rather than a reaction picture. So we would be

concerned if this was brought in and I think certainly,

Page 98

Page 97

like you're saying, Paul, the children's belief is key, because if a child believes that a sports coach has the ability to out them out of sports, if they believe that a Scout leader may have the ability to stop them from going on, then that's part of a grooming process that can be led on to that child being harmed. If they know the rules and they know what is right and what's wrong and what can and cannot happen to them, then that's what q protects. So for us, we would have a concern around volunteers being able to have an expectation to have the same professional expertise as other statutory bodies that I think you've named, Ben. MS KARMY-JONES: What about staff working in, say, health sectors who are not professionally registered, for example, working in a care home, in children's homes, in non-clinical healthcare staff, what about those, Moya, do you have any observations about that? MRS SUTTON: My mantra has always been that safeguarding is everybody's business. And we need to be able to talk about it in that environment. I think if we differentiate between the highly qualified professional being the one that has the knowledge and the expertise, then I think we miss an opportunity for people who may be trusted by young people and certainly in some of my experiences play leaders have been the trusted person in

Page 99

young people's lives.

So I think I go back to my earlier point and I certainly agree with what Rachel said before, there are already statutory instruments that support us working together in terms of exploring what needs to happen if there is an allegation of sexual abuse, but I do think that -- and in previous examples -- I don't know if I raised it at the conference a couple of years ago -- a volunteer raised a significant concern about a potential child sexual abuse incident or concern and, had they not done that, we may never have known about it, and they were strong enough and brave enough to come to somebody in authority, in their view, to say, "I've heard this, I've seen this. It's not a disclosure, but I'm concerned about it. What should I do?"

So I think it's everybody's business and, as long as

we make sure that people know who to go to, with whether it's a minor concern, whether it's a spurious complaint whatever it is, that we stand a greater chance of knowing and understanding what the scale of the problem is. By not expecting unregulated staff, so to speak, or volunteers, not to be able to escalate a concern, for me is worse than anything.

But it's how that concern is then taken and managed and shared with other organisations in terms of a proper

Page 100

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

		Т	
1	investigation, if required, is what we should be	1	that individual? Did I make a difference or didn't I?".
2	supporting other members of staff to do. And again, in	2	I've always worked on the premise that whoever has
3	my experience, I've had porters that have come to me	3	raised the concern should be kept updated, not with the
4	with concerns, domestics that have come to me with	4	detail of everything, but how the concern has been
5	concerns, about things they've heard in the canteen or	5	handled. And I also believe very strongly that if there
6	that they've heard on the corridor. We can't ignore	6	is a view across the partnerships that no further action
7	them, we have to support the staff to actually feel free	7	should be taken, but you, as a responsible individual,
8	and brave to bring those concerns.	8	feel very strongly that it should be taken, that you
9	MS KARMY-JONES: That was going to be my question that	9	have the right to challenge the fact that no further
10	choice of words, people someone who is strong enough	10	action is being taken, and it's organisational leaders
11	and brave enough. If someone is not strong and brave,	11	that have the responsibility and the leadership role to
12	how do you how do you get it out, how does it come	12	make sure that everybody in the organisation knows that
13	out, what support is there for those people?	13	safeguarding is their business.
14	MRS SUTTON: For me, something and it sounds very	14	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay, thank you.
15	grandiose, but knowledge is power and it doesn't matter,	15	I'm going to skip over a couple of my other
16	you don't have to have a PhD in safeguarding to have the	16	questions so I can move on to a question about what it
17	knowledge, you've got to know if you have a gut	17	is that is mandated to be reported, as opposed to who.
18	instinct or you've heard something, you have got to know	18	Obviously these are interlapping questions, so we
19	who to go to, that you're concerns will be listened to,	19	may touch back on the earlier topic, but can I say this,
20	that you won't be ridiculed in any way, shape or form	20	it was noted during the presentation that some models of
21	and that it will then be taken and dealt with, but	21	mandatory reporting have exceptions to the reporting
22	I think one of the concerns that we've had for years in	22	duty. In a sense, I suppose you could say that the
23	safeguarding is sometimes referrals can be made, and we	23	Welsh model has exceptions to the reporting duty.
24	don't hear what happens at the very end, so we're left	24	Are there any reflections on sexual abuse of older
25	with a worry about, "Well, what actually did happen to	25	children being excluded from mandatory reporting duties
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		5 7 1 5
	Page 101		Page 102
1	and whether mandatory reporting should extend to	1	Dr Blackman made yesterday, which, whether you report or
2	peer-on-peer abuse or harmful sexual behaviour, which is	2	not, or the confidence that, as a professional, you have
3	something Ben mentioned yesterday, touched on in one of	3	when you report or not to children's services, depends
4	the models.	4	on what you think the response from children's services
5	Almudena, do you have any views on that? First of	5	is going to be to the concerns you raise.
6	all, reflections on sexual abuse of older children being	6	I think with peer-on-peer abuse we haven't got it
7	excluded, should they be excluded and should	7	right, certainly not yet. And I think more work needs
8	peer-on-peer abuse be excluded?	8	to be done to get the right response from services.
9	MS LARA: Okay. So on the first about the age limit,	9	So my concern would be that in a situation where
10	I think, if it is abuse, it's abuse, it doesn't matter	10	that the knowledge of some inappropriate activity going
11	whether you are 5 years old or 15.	11	own, that triggers a report, and that report triggers
12	MS KARMY-JONES: We draw a line, though, don't we, when we	12	the wrong response from children's services, which can
13	get to 17, 18?	13	end up criminalising as Mr Britton was saying
14	MS LARA: Well, but we are talking about consensual sexual	14	yesterday, criminalising of people at a young age,
15	activity. I think, if you are under the age of 16	15	I think that that that's not necessarily something
16	and in law that activity is defined as sexual abuse.	16	that it's positive for for the young person, but we
17	I think the issue is when that abuse comes from	17	also need to be very mindful that in those situations
18	another young person, or when that activity is in the	18	there will be a young person that is the victim of some
19	context of two young people entering in that in that	19	abuse.
20	activity.	20	So in not criminalising the young person that might
21	I think there are situations where that activity,	21	be perpetrating some offences, I think we need to also
22	towards the upper limit of the age band, might be	22	be mindful that in this equation there is also a young
23	consensual, but we need to be careful because many	23	person that needs support, and the right response from
24	children are coerced into entering into activity.	24	services would be to actually put in place the right
25	I think the point that I want to make links to, what	25	support for both young people so that the young person
	-		<u> </u>
	Page 103		Page 104

1	that is engaging in harmful sexual behaviour understands	1	that, I don't really think that's something that I can
2	the impact of their behaviour and how that behaviour can	2	answer. I think I'm not really keen on the whole
3	be managed, but also and this is important we	3	criminal element of that and that, for me, is what makes
4	don't forget the victim, and the victim needs to be	4	me say no, because because I think, you know,
5	supported and receive the right services to recover and	5	a 13-year-old child being criminalised for that, really
6	get the emotional support that is required.	6	what needs to happen is support, and really looking at
7	Sadly, that's often not the case and we need to	7	changes in behaviour and how especially at such
8	really, as a system, work out better to understand how	8	a young age, that behaviour can be changed.
9	to deal with harmful sexual behaviour and with abuse	9	So I think that's where we need to be looking at
10	when it's happening between peers.	10	more rather than the criminal element of that.
11	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Michelle, have you got any	11	MS KARMY-JONES: All right. I'm going to ask two more
12	observations on this?	12	people around the horseshoe to contribute. One is Tom,
13	MS DENNY-BROWNE: All too familiar, really, that not just	13	and then I'm going to come to Ben for a comment on that,
14	the survivor of sexual abuse is not getting the	14	please.
15	support is getting the support, but also the	15	MR PERRY: Thank you. May I just go to something that Moya
16	perpetrator themselves has not you know, is not being	16	said first of all, and this was about feedback to
17	supported at a very young age and I think that's really	17	regulated activities.
18	important, especially when they're, like, 14, 15. That	18	Moya you're absolutely right. I mean, this is one
19	really needs to be looked at. I think a lot more work	19	of the things we have put in our submission and our
20	needs to be done with that, a lot more research and	20	proposed draft legislation, actually. Is feedback to
21	support.	21	the regulated activity following following referrals?
22	MS KARMY-JONES: So should mandatory reporting apply to	22	At the moment, it's a bit like sort of yelling into
23	those kind of peer-on-peer situations or not?	23	a black hole, you get absolutely nothing back from the
24	MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think that's a very difficult situation,	24	local authority and, actually, what this prompts is
25	but I think, until more research has been done into	25	disengagement. That's what has to be understood. So
	7 405		D 404
	Page 105		Page 106
1	it's there on page 8 of our submission, black and white:	1	MR PERRY: A school, somewhere, right, okay.
2	"Peer-on-peer sexual abuse, should it be mandatory?"	2	Well, all right, yes, but it
3	Well, you might be interested to know this is	3	MS KARMY-JONES: I think you mentioned that it was a school
4	actually rather interesting, I think, and I can mention	4	in Northern Ireland.
5	the setting because it's now closed; right?	5	MR PERRY: Good. Okay, fine. So it's a school in Northern
6	Cabin Hill School in Northern Ireland phew, there	6	Ireland, right, we're there.
7	we go	7	Because actually this is what prompted the inquiry,
8	MS KARMY-JONES: I'm going to pause you there because it may	8	the public inquiry, in Northern Ireland which resulted
9	be closed, but there may nonetheless be individuals who	9	in the introduction of mandatory reporting, and it was
10	are associated with it. I just wonder if we could just	10	peer-on-peer abuse, actually. And it was unreported by
11	pause.	11	the school, repeatedly unreported by the school. This
12	MR PERRY: It's been long closed.	12	was eventually discovered, and it was Barry Gardiner MP,
13	MS KARMY-JONES: How long?	13	Brent North, current opposition, frontbench spokesman on
14	MR PERRY: 2008, I believe.	14	Europe, who introduced following the report
15	MS KARMY-JONES: No, I think can we just pause that	15	introduced mandatory reporting. He used a very blunt
16	please? Sorry.	16	instrument indeed, but nonetheless it was it sort of
17	There may well be individuals who are still around,	17	had effect, but the government since 2010 the
18	who are associated with it, and I'd just rather that the	18	government has gone about undermining it at the rate of
19	name of it weren't mentioned.	19	knots, I'm sorry to say. It was section 5.1 of the
20	MR PERRY: All right, fine. So where do we pick up	20	Criminal Law Act 1967, which effectively was
21	MS KARMY-JONES: Pause a minute. We'll be told in a second.	21	anti-terrorist legislation, and it's a crime not to
22	MR PERRY: Okay.	22	report an indictable offence. It was considered that
23	(Pause)	23	an indictable offence was pupil-on-pupil abuse at this
24	MS KARMY-JONES: Mr Perry, you were about to tell us	24	setting.
25	something about a institution somewhere.	25	It's a great shame that the Government has gone out
	D 107		D 100
	Page 107		Page 108

1	of its way to pull every bung out of the bottom of the	1	So those cases need to be reported anyway.
2	boat that it possibly can to make sure it doesn't	2	In the other subset of cases where the reporter may
3	function. I guess it's because it's embarrassing having	3	know the abuser is a peer, generally I think, yes, those
4	mandatory reporting in your backyard in a UK	4	cases should be reported. There may be some exceptions
5	jurisdiction. I think that's probably what it's more	5	to that which are more clearly a matter for directly for
6	about. It's dogma rather than anything else.	6	police. So, for example, if there's an isolated
7	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay.	7	incident of a sexual assault by two school students,
8	Ben, just very quickly.	8	that might be a matter that should be reported to
9	PROF MATHEWS: Thanks.	9	police, it may not necessarily be a matter that should
10	So I've just got about four points to make quickly.	10	be reported to the child protection agency, it may go to
11	First, should there be an age limit? I think no.	11	both; but if the report goes to either police or the
12	Second, I think we need to exclude genuinely	12	child protection agency they should work together to
13	consensual peer-to-peer behaviour and be careful about	13	figure out the appropriate response.
14	how to define that, and how to educate reporters about	14	Overall, peer-to-peer abuse, apart from those
15	those kinds of genuinely consensual situations that	15	isolated incidents, can be just as serious in terms of
16	should not be reported and contrast those with the kinds	16	its consequences for the child and their health as abuse
17	of situations which should be reported.	17	by an adult. So there's no good reason to exclude it
18	Third, the question about whether to include	18	even when it's known that the abuser is another peer.
19	peer-to-peer abuse. This is a difficult one, but	19	So overall I would include that, and I would
20	I think our starting point there should be to realise	20	re-affirm and repeat that the primary obligation of the
21	that there are two kinds of subsets of cases, one in	21	mandated reporter is not to figure out everything about
22	which the reporter may not know who the abuser is,	22	the most appropriate response, it's to bring the case to
23	they've just detected the child's behaviour, they may	23	the attention of the agencies whose job that is and
24	have received a disclosure but they don't know who the	24	whose expertise that is. That's the child protection
25	abuser is. So in some of those cases it may be a peer.	25	agency who works together with health, criminal justice
	Page 109		Page 110
		1	
1	responses, they together figure out what the child and	1	physical before there is neer-on-neer abuse maybe. In
1 2	responses, they together figure out what the child and the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser.	1 2	physical before there is peer-on-peer abuse, maybe. In this case there was Inappropriate sexual touching was
2	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser,	2	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was
	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs.	2 3	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their
2 3 4	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you.	2 3 4	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child
2 3	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want	2 3 4 5	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly.
2 3 4 5	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you	2 3 4 5 6	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with
2 3 4 5 6	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want	2 3 4 5	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the
2 3 4 5 6 7	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them,	2 3 4 5 6 7	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it, and because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it, and because they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it, and because they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery. Right. First of all, if I may just slightly break	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the appropriate response, they minimised the impact on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery. Right. First of all, if I may just slightly break with convention and take a comment from someone who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the appropriate response, they minimised the impact on the victim and because the victim's impact was minimised
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery. Right. First of all, if I may just slightly break with convention and take a comment from someone who wanted to speak last time. Second in the first row.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the appropriate response, they minimised the impact on the victim and because the victim's impact was minimised they're now struggling with school.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery. Right. First of all, if I may just slightly break with convention and take a comment from someone who wanted to speak last time. Second in the first row. I'll allow you to name yourself, if you wish.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the appropriate response, they minimised the impact on the victim and because the victim's impact was minimised they're now struggling with school. So it's really important for me that peer-on-peer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery. Right. First of all, if I may just slightly break with convention and take a comment from someone who wanted to speak last time. Second in the first row. I'll allow you to name yourself, if you wish. Observations from THE PUBLIC GALLERY	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it, and because they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the appropriate response, they minimised the impact on the victim and because the victim's impact was minimised they're now struggling with school. So it's really important for me that peer-on-peer abuse and you were talking about collusion not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery. Right. First of all, if I may just slightly break with convention and take a comment from someone who wanted to speak last time. Second in the first row. I'll allow you to name yourself, if you wish. Observations from THE PUBLIC GALLERY CORE PARTICIPANT: Not in this instance. So I want to talk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the appropriate response, they minimised the impact on the victim and because the victim's impact was minimised they're now struggling with school. So it's really important for me that peer-on-peer abuse and you were talking about collusion not happening, well this is a clear example where there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery. Right. First of all, if I may just slightly break with convention and take a comment from someone who wanted to speak last time. Second in the first row. I'll allow you to name yourself, if you wish. Observations from THE PUBLIC GALLERY CORE PARTICIPANT: Not in this instance. So I want to talk about peer-on-peer; okay? Very careful what one's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the appropriate response, they minimised the impact on the victim and because the victim's impact was minimised they're now struggling with school. So it's really important for me that peer-on-peer abuse and you were talking about collusion not happening, well this is a clear example where there is collusion because they've not escalated it up. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the child's family, and in some cases the peer abuser, needs. MS KARMY-JONES: All right. Thank you. Now, I know there are a couple more people who want to speak, but if you don't mind can I come back to you after the break? So hold those thoughts, collate them, if you like. I'd like to widen it out as well, just after the break, very briefly before we move to our next topic, to include the question of whether past abuse, or what used to be called "historical sexual abuse", should be included in the models, just to touch on that. And I'm going to ask for the police perspective, when we come back, if I may. I'd like to turn now to the public gallery. Right. First of all, if I may just slightly break with convention and take a comment from someone who wanted to speak last time. Second in the first row. I'll allow you to name yourself, if you wish. Observations from THE PUBLIC GALLERY CORE PARTICIPANT: Not in this instance. So I want to talk about peer-on-peer; okay? Very careful what one's saying.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this case there was. Inappropriate sexual touching was reported to the school, their bullying policy, their child safeguarding policy, was printed off. The child experiences sat within what this policy set out clearly. Many meetings over several years were held with teachers, safeguarding officer, vice principal and the school counsellor. A report of inappropriate sexual touching was made and lost; it was asked for on several occasions. Because the school would not acknowledge or accept that the bullying occurred with the sexual assault, even though there was a clear timeline of incidences, they wouldn't acknowledge it they took the corrective action with the individuals involved but because they wouldn't acknowledge it the victim was not given the appropriate response, they minimised the impact on the victim and because the victim's impact was minimised they're now struggling with school. So it's really important for me that peer-on-peer abuse and you were talking about collusion not happening, well this is a clear example where there is collusion because they've not escalated it up. And the reason being if they don't escalate it up they don't

1	intact. And this is not an isolated incident.	1	contribute? Are there any people who have not yet
2	So I just wanted to put that clearly on the table.	2	spoken in the public gallery who want to contribute?
3	While I've got the microphone, I just want to say is	3	Right. Can we go to the gentleman in the centre front,
4	the state or in fact anybody comfortable in allowing	4	and I'll come on to the gentleman in the middle in
5	hidden child abuse to happen? We know from	5	a moment.
6	the November 2015 report from the Children's	6	MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Geoff Wyatt. I think what the last
7	Commissioner that only one in eight children were known	7	speaker has said is profoundly important. Doctors must
8	to authorities, what is going to happen to the other	8	be mandated because they have a long history of
9	seven out of eight children that are not known to the	9	non-disclosure. If you went to see a doctor in the
10	authorities? So it's about having this professional	10	1950s, the doctor may not tell you what was the matter
11	curiosity and making sure that all adults that are	11	with you.
12	involved with all children notice what is going on for	12	There is a paper and I can send it to the
13	those children, especially in professional settings, and	13	IICSA written in 2006 where doctors were surveyed,
14	understanding that their intervention could stop the	14	they wouldn't tell a patient in the 1970 or 60s that
15	impact on that child for a lifetime, but also could help	15	they had cancer because there was no treatment. They
16	them with their education and lessen the impact of the	16	wouldn't tell people who were dying that they were dying
17	trauma that that child is going through. So it's so, so	17	in the 1970s, possibly in the 1980s.
18	important that this mandatory reporting is just there to	18	So truth-telling is a big issue for doctors, for the
19	make the professionals, however we want to define them,	19	medical profession, and unless doctors are mandated and
20	to actually report upwards. Because I've just given you	20	told that they have to consider a medical diagnosis
21	a clear example that is ongoing that has not been	21	and remember that's what all of you expect when you go
22	reported up.	22	and see the doctor, you don't go to the doctor and say,
23	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just hang on a second. First	23	"I think I've got pneumonia, could you send me to
24	of all, are there any core participants who have not yet	24	hospital?" You go to the doctor with symptoms, the
25	spoken in the course of this seminar who want to	25	doctor makes a report, sends you to hospital. Child
	Page 113		Page 114
1	sexual abuse must be seen in exactly that same way, it	1	a concern to a senior member of staff, who says, "Oh no,
2	is something the doctor must think about, and, if there	2	that's unthinkable, you mustn't tell anybody else."
3	are grounds for making a medical diagnosis, the doctor	3	A mandatory reporting system would have well, first
4	must be mandated to do so.	4	of all, the senior member of the staff would be unwise
5	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	5	to say such a thing, so the report will get out, and
6	Can I go to the gentleman in the middle, and then	6	that's what we want to achieve. Second, if the senior
7	we'll come to the lady on the end. The gentleman in the	7	member of staff were so unwise, the junior member of
8	middle in the blue shirt. Can you keep it quite short	8	staff then would have two possible things to report, one
9	though, please, to time.	9	would be the original incident and, second, would be the
10	CORE PARTICIPANT: In my day job I am actually subject to	10	potentially criminal action of the senior member of
11	two varieties of mandatory reporting, for money	11	staff in telling him to shut up about it.
12	laundering and data protection. Because I'm not	12	That completely changes the balance of power, it
13	a senior officer in the company the training is actually	13	means that those who have something to report and
14	quite straightforward, it's a straightforward	14	currently a fear to would have a much higher degree of
15	description of the sorts of things you should be on the	15	protection. That really changes the entire landscape.
16	lookout for and who you tell if you see something. It	16	Thank you.
17	is really very, very straightforward.	17	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
18	Now, the legal profession had a great hoo-ha when	18	The lady at the end of the front row will be our
19	the money-laundering obligation was first brought in,	19	last comment for the morning.
20	I think in 2002, but they've got used to it. I think	20	CORE PARTICIPANT: Okay. There seems to be no practical
21	that there are definite parallels here concerning	21	distinction between the Welsh and English systems, at
22	reporting child abuse, you will get used to the new	22	least as far as I can tell. In both cases people who
23	system, it will become unthinkable not to make the	23	support these systems say that we don't need to
24	report, it will become normal. We don't want	24	criminalise a failure to report because current
25	a situation where a junior member of staff reports	25	sanctions are enough, that there are consequences
23	a statution where a junior member of staff reports	23	sanctions are enough, that there are consequences
	Page 115		Page 116
	<u> </u>		

1			
1	already for failing to report; for example, somebody	1	dismantled mandatory reporting?
2	could lose their job, perhaps. But I've asked whether	2	PROF MATHEWS: In the scores of countries that have enacted
3	this has ever actually happened and they don't know,	3	mandatory reporting legislation for child sexual abuse,
4	they don't know because they don't collect the data on	4	none, to my knowledge, have reversed it.
5	this so it doesn't really fill me with confidence.	5	Many of those jurisdictions have conducted
6	On the subject of unintended consequences and	6	subsequent government inquiries, looking at whether it
7	I realise the lady who brought this up wasn't here	7	is still justified as public policy, including on
8	yesterday my response to that would be to look at the	8	economic grounds, and they've concluded that yes, it is.
9	evidence. As far as I'm aware there's no empirical	9	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
10	study which supports the concerns that people have about	10	Perhaps that's a good point to break for lunch.
11	implementing mandatory reporting.	11	We're going to come back to the police view and
12	And I'd also be interested to know and I'm being	12	historic briefly before we move on to our next topic.
13	careful not to raise this as a question I would be	13	We'll see you at 2 o'clock.
14	interested to know whether there is any mandatory	14	Thank you very much everyone.
15	reporting jurisdiction which is later dismantled,	15	(1.00 pm)
16	mandatory reporting haven't realised that it doesn't	16	(The short adjournment)
17	work. I wonder if that has ever happened.	17	(2.00 pm)
18	So, yes, knowledge is power, but it's only as	18	Session 4 (continued)
19	powerful as our willingness to change our mind because	19	MS KARMY-JONES: All right, everyone is present? Thank you
20	of it; if we're still pre-committed to our view,	20	for coming back. Those of you who have I can see
21	regardless of the evidence, then what's the point?	21	many have.
22	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	22	We were going to move on in a moment to discuss
23	Just on that last point, can I just turn to Ben for	23	consequences, but first of all, I'd like to mop up from
24		24	the last session and I'd like to ask Mike Britton for
25	a yes or no answer. Do you know the answer to the	25	the police view on what we were discussing just before
23	question? Well, yes or no, is there anywhere that has	23	the police view on what we were discussing just before
	Page 117		Page 118
	Page 117		Page 118
1	Page 117 the lunch break.	1	Page 118 Should mandated reports be required to report
1 2	-	1 2	-
	the lunch break.		Should mandated reports be required to report
2	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you.	2	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk
2 3	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you	2 3	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still?
2 3 4	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be	2 3 4	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014
2 3 4 5	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from	2 3 4 5	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of
2 3 4 5 6	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale.	2 3 4 5 6	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual
2 3 4 5 6 7	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children	2 3 4 5 6 7	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is an offence. So in drafting any type of mandatory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those still have a life safeguarding requirement. So if there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is an offence. So in drafting any type of mandatory reporting, if it comes in, I think caution does need to be put around that element, that there are certain parts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those still have a life safeguarding requirement. So if there are institutions which are effectively holding on to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is an offence. So in drafting any type of mandatory reporting, if it comes in, I think caution does need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those still have a life safeguarding requirement. So if there are institutions which are effectively holding on to this information and haven't reported it, then actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is an offence. So in drafting any type of mandatory reporting, if it comes in, I think caution does need to be put around that element, that there are certain parts of legislation which need to be balanced around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those still have a life safeguarding requirement. So if there are institutions which are effectively holding on to this information and haven't reported it, then actually that would come to us and we would be able to then make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is an offence. So in drafting any type of mandatory reporting, if it comes in, I think caution does need to be put around that element, that there are certain parts of legislation which need to be balanced around education for children who are older rather than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those still have a life safeguarding requirement. So if there are institutions which are effectively holding on to this information and haven't reported it, then actually that would come to us and we would be able to then make those actionable life safeguarding requirements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is an offence. So in drafting any type of mandatory reporting, if it comes in, I think caution does need to be put around that element, that there are certain parts of legislation which need to be balanced around education for children who are older rather than younger. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those still have a life safeguarding requirement. So if there are institutions which are effectively holding on to this information and haven't reported it, then actually that would come to us and we would be able to then make those actionable life safeguarding requirements. MS KARMY-JONES: Does anyone want to pose a counter-argument
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is an offence. So in drafting any type of mandatory reporting, if it comes in, I think caution does need to be put around that element, that there are certain parts of legislation which need to be balanced around education for children who are older rather than younger. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Then the next question I had was about historic or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those still have a life safeguarding requirement. So if there are institutions which are effectively holding on to this information and haven't reported it, then actually that would come to us and we would be able to then make those actionable life safeguarding requirements. MS KARMY-JONES: Does anyone want to pose a counter-argument to suggest non-recent sexual abuse should not be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the lunch break. DS BRITTON: Yes, thank you. So the comment I wanted to make was the question you asked around older children and whether they should be included on it and it's not a "yes" or "no" answer from me, really, it's more of a cautionary tale. Coming back to the indecent imagery of children offences that we see, obviously there is a peer-to-peer element within youth-produced sexual imagery and what I would caution is there's been a lot of work done with the Home Office around education, so, for example, from 16 onwards it's consensual sex between over 16s is allowed. What they don't tend to realise is that sharing naked images of themselves under 18 is an offence. So in drafting any type of mandatory reporting, if it comes in, I think caution does need to be put around that element, that there are certain parts of legislation which need to be balanced around education for children who are older rather than younger. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Should mandated reports be required to report historic sexual abuse as well or only if there's a risk that the perpetrator could be abusing children still? DS BRITTON: So from this perspective, I would say in 2014 the police set up Operation Hydrant. Now, the remit of Operation Hydrant is around non-recent child sexual abuse specifically with people of public prominence or in an institutional setting, so in relation to your question, if mandatory reporting comes in and should it be incumbent on those institutions to report incidents which they already haven't, I would say, yes. The rationale behind that is basically because the referrals we still get now are on non-recent child sexual abuse in institutional settings. Around 60 per cent of those still have a life safeguarding requirement. So if there are institutions which are effectively holding on to this information and haven't reported it, then actually that would come to us and we would be able to then make those actionable life safeguarding requirements. MS KARMY-JONES: Does anyone want to pose a counter-argument to suggest non-recent sexual abuse should not be included? Mr Perry, Tom?

current sexual abuse, if you can call it that.

Page 119

25

25

MR PERRY: I think it should not be included, because, look,

1	we're then in adulthood. And I think we're then in	1	MR PERRY: Again, I think you're in adulthood and I think,
2	a position to make up our own I mean, it took me	2	if you come to terms look, if you come to terms with
3	a mere 38 years to make up my mind after having been	3	something and you're going to do this thing that so few
4	abused, but the people have to work in their own time	4	people do, which is disclose okay? whilst the
5	frame, then. The thing is, that in all reality the	5	adults in the setting remain silent and this is the
6	individual who had abused me was no longer teaching. He	6	point again of mandatory reporting; okay? when you
7	could still, theoretically, have been a risk, although	7	come to the decision about coming out or disclosing,
8	I somehow doubt it. He may have been.	8	you're then going to be taking all these things
9	And I think that, when you're in adulthood, it's got	9	I rounded all these things up at that point, I gathered
10	to be left to the adult. And how you know, yes.	10	them all up and said "Right, okay, fine. Who do
11	It's just got to be left to the adult to work it	11	I speculate knew about this? What reasonable
12	through. That's what I think, and that's a personal	12	assumption who knew about this?" I have to tell you,
13	feeling. I'm not speaking as Mandate Now at that point,	13	I went through the list and I went and checked where
14	because, you know, there's every probability that the	14	they were and none of them were teaching, not that
15	murder of barristers will give me a hard time, so I'm	15	I could find, and I did do a search, I can tell you.
16	just speaking, me personally, on that point.	16	You know, it's the one thing you do when you become
17	MS KARMY-JONES: I'm just interested because there are	17	when you're an abused that comes to terms with things,
18	sometimes others around the perpetrator who may have	18	what you get very good at is lifting the stones, which
19	turned a blind eye, for instance	19	is why so many investigative journalists have been
20	MR PERRY: Yes, yes.	20	abused at school okay? mainly at schools, they
21	MS KARMY-JONES: and light may get cast on them.	21	lift stones. I went and looked and couldn't find it,
22	MR PERRY: Yes.	22	and I thought "Right well, okay, I think we're clear
23	MS KARMY-JONES: If something is reported, they may still be	23	here, so there's no risk", and I then proceeded. I then
24	in situ, they may still be inclined to turn a blind eye.	24	went off to the police and did what I had to do with the
25	Would that change your view at all?	25	police. I think anything that was going to form any way
	Page 121		Page 122
1	of mandatory reporting, any sort of impact of mandatory	1	but slightly. So I gave the figures for the fact that
2	reporting on me, at that point, I don't think would've	2	a much higher percentage of people with learning
3	been welcomed. I think, if anything, it could well have	3	disabilities experience sexual abuse. A very high
4	hampered things, to be quite frank with you. God, it's	4	percentage of that is peer-on-peer as well, so it's
5	a bloody difficult job saying you've been abused,	5	something that is really important that needs to be
6	38 years, and getting those words out of your mouth	6	considered. Respond works with young people as young as
7	that's why we need MR. But not for adults.	7	five, some of whom may also have gone on to show harmful
8	MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, did you have something you wanted	8	sexual behaviour when they're really quite young still,
9	to add?	9	at primary school, towards others and we work right the
10	DR BLACKMAN: I was just really wanting to clarify, I wasn't	10	way through to adults who do this as well, and the root
11	sure who we were suggesting should do the reporting of	11	of that behaviour can be multiple, so it may be
12	historic abuse, because I think, if it's the victim	12	connected to their cognitive disability, it may be
13	themselves, I absolutely agree with Tom. Thinking about	13	connected to lack of sex education, it may be connected
14	the people who we work with, who may disclose, when	14	to their own experiences of abuse and we do very
15	they're adults, to people who are still supporting them,	15	in-depth risk assessments that really seek to understand
16	and the organisations and the people within those	16	the cause of the risk and what may make that risk less.
17	organisations may still be have access to vulnerable	17	And so I just think it's incredibly important we take
18	people or children, so I think, in those situations,	18	this very seriously, that we think not about straight
19	definitely. So I think that who is doing the reporting	19	away going to the criminalisation kind of route, but
20	is the important bit there.	20	that we risk assess and understand clearly what this
21	MS KARMY-JONES: Right. So, Tom, you agree with that?	21	what the cause of this is, because it really important.
22	MR PERRY: Yes.	22	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you, I want to go to Moya,
23	PARTICIPANT: Can I make one small point about peer-on-peer,	23	please. I'll come back to you.
24	because I think, again, with the people we work with, it	24	MRS SUTTON: Just more a point of clarity, if I may.
25	may be a slightly different situation not entirely,	25	I think the historic or non-recent option to be included
	Page 123		Page 124
			21 (D 101 +- 104)

1 in mandatory reporting could pose quite a few 1 another way, but what I want to ask about is a different 2 2 challenges. Certainly, I'm aware of many, many NHS 3 organisations that have closed down over many, many 3 4 years and organisational memory has gone, we know about 4 5 the inquiry's stance on record retention, record 5 6 destruction and that in itself has caused an awful lot 6 7 7 of concern for people who don't know where the records 8 are in some situations, because of organisations 8 9 closing, but as Noelle said, who would be -- who would 9 10 be the mandatory reporter who would be held to account 10 11 if a sanction was going to be implemented against the 11 12 organisation or the individual? If we don't know who --12 13 what -- where everything is in the system and how long 13 14 would we go back? What would be the cut-off point? 14 15 Would it be 30 years, 50 years, 60 years? I think it 15 16 would be fraught with challenge, to be truthful. 16 17 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. 17 18 18 I know that, Yehudis, you have an issue you'd like 19 to raise or a comment you'd like to make, but I have 19 20 another topic I think you might be interested in coming 20 reporting? 21 in on, so you may have an opportunity in just a minute 21 22 22 in the context of this. 23 It's to do with consequences and we're going to talk 23 24 about the sort of natural consequences for failing to 24 remember exactly who, that it's going to take a cultural 25 report in a moment -- you know, the sanctions, to put it 25 shift in mindsets and the way we act and behave and Page 125 1 1 respond in this country to allegations and disclosures 2 of sexual abuse. It just means that these smaller 2 3 communities that tend to function on their own and set 3 4 4 up infrastructures on their own will take slightly 5 longer to catch up, but when there is a law in place, 5 6 everyone will have to catch up. 6 7 7 I think the consequences on those that will be 8 mandated reporters, will be slightly less than if it was 8 9 9 a victim speaking out. Because it would come down to 10 their sort of legal responsibility and a lot of people 10

type of consequence. Moya, you just referred to the organisations and the individuals, but what about communities and how do communities fit in to this question? So if you are --Ben differentiated between reporting to the police and to other agencies. Again, the question is, what about communities? What are the consequences of reporting on the communities? You mentioned, Yehudis, the fear of reporting outside the community because of what will happen to the community and it's something we've seen in a number of the strands and certainly the Catholic strand which I'm involved in, where individuals, parents, for example, may know something's gone wrong, they may understand that it needs to be dealt with, but they don't want to damage something that they care deeply about, which might be the church or the community or the organisation in that sense. So what are the consequences to a community of MS GOLDSOBEL: I think that's a really broad question because there's so many tiers within a community. I think someone mentioned earlier, and I can't

Page 126

in the community -- you know, we do have people that are not morally upstanding and, we're told, lists of offenders, but we also have people who work with children who are morally upstanding and if they know that this is a law, that this is their responsibility as opposed to, "This is just recommended best practice", that actually this could come on their head if they don't report it, and so on, that they would be more likely to report it and it would then create a culture where people can report. It also doesn't necessarily mean that the person will be known as to who reported it, so maybe that's another method of looking at when -- you know, talking about the structure of developing mandatory reporting.

I have a lot of people that report to me because

Page 127

I could report third-hand information to the police, and it is such valuable source. It means that we can create files and cases of data of offenders in the community, that otherwise we would not have. It's totally a blank canvas otherwise.

And if there was -- you know, I don't like the term "whistleblower"; I think someone else said that as well -- some form of protection that, you know, it doesn't mean, if you're a mandatory reporter, it's going to be plastered on the local council website, you know, we've had a report, it's -- your information is confidential, it's there to protect children as opposed to vilify whoever is reporting, and I think that's a really important component that would be needed for, I think, most organisations and communities so that the finger isn't pointed at sort of who is doing it.

I just wanted to also come back and my point was in response somewhat to what Tom was saying.

You know, coming back to historic disclosures, what we know about offenders is they're not going to offend once. It's very rare. So they're going to continue offending until they're either incarcerated or dead and, when you think of it like that, you know, we live to 100, we're living longer, that's an awful amount of access to children and young people and victims in

Page 128

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

		1	
1	whatever way, shape or form.	1	confusing for anyone. So we've just established whether
2	If someone would have reported the person who abused	2	we're worried about that child. Is that abuse, is it
3	me instead of me, I probably would've been rejoicing.	3	not? Right, now let me figure out who I'm going to
4	I didn't want to report. I felt I had a responsibility	4	call, who I'm going to say this to, what information do
5	to report, because I knew that this person can and will	5	I need? If it's the police, I need that information; if
6	offend. So I felt I had to sacrifice all of that that	6	it's this one, I need different so I think the
7	I was going to lose for that. If I would've known that	7	structure that comes after that, yes, we want mandatory
8	I could anonymously go report it elsewhere and someone	8	reporters and who is that going to be is what is the
9	would've reported it on and it would've sat somewhere	9	system after that and how do we develop that to protect
10	and built a case, so to speak, but I think what the	10	the mandatory reporters as well as the victims and the
11	worry is, is that we're looking at it as every mandatory	11	children.
12	reporter would then go straight to criminal process and	12	MS KARMY-JONES: Also, is that structure in place and is it
13	to the police. I think we need to really look at the	13	the same across professions potentially. So is the
14	model that we're talking about mandatory reporting,	14	person the teacher calls the same person that the doctor
15	where are those referrals going to? I don't think	15	calls or the porter calls or
16	anyone here has ownership of where it's who is	16	MS GOLDSOBEL: Yes, because then you know it's the same
17	picking those up and I know this going to be the	17	system. It's not going to be the postcode lottery, it's
18	dreaded word, "money", but in an ideal world, we can	18	not going to be, where in the country are you, are you
19	have a mandatory reporting hotline with trained people	19	going to get a different response, are you going to get
20	on the other side of this phone who can carry out this	20	a trained person, are you going to get six sessions on
21	risk assessment, take the information necessary, and	21	the NHS or not? The same response across the country,
22	handle this case management as opposed to saying, "Well,	22	you're a mandatory reporter, you're going to report this
23	we're leaving a teacher to decide who she should be	23	concern, here is the number, straightforward, and
24	calling. Should she be calling the police, should she	24	someone on the other end is trained to pick up all these
25	be calling the local authority?", and so on. That's	25	cases and do a risk assessment.
	Page 129		Page 130
1	MS KARMY-JONES: Potentially independent?	1	independent and there is just one number that you can
2	PARTICIPANT: I love independence, so I'm going to say yes,	2	call and one organisation that can deal with that, then
3	but that's obviously up for discussion. But it's	3	that will cut down on anything else.
4	also one of the biggest issues we're having at the	4	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Do you have any views on the
5	moment is trying to collate the local authority data on	5	question of whether an external intervention thereafter,
6	reportings that they have, as well as the police.	6	how we achieve sufficient cultural sensitivity to ensure
7	How do you get a picture of who the offenders are,	7	the right outcome so that cultures and communities
8	where they are, what they're doing? Are they back into	8	aren't butting heads on these issues?
9	teaching, are they not? Especially the more secluded	9	MS DENNY-BROWNE: I think that has to go all the way through
10	the community is, when you have some schools reporting	10	that, so from the start that needs to be considered
11	to the local authority, some reporting to the police,	11	from the outset, it's not something that you need
12	and they don't always match up.	12	a separate thing for, when you're making you know,
13	So if this was going to go into force, then who is	13	somebody calls up, you need to know what culture are
14	going to pick up all these cases? And it does need to	14	they from. This is from the initial, it should be with
15	be a lot more streamlined.	15	everything, it shouldn't just be something that is just
16	MS KARMY-JONES: Michelle, do you have anything you'd add to	16	an add-on.
17	that?	17	MS KARMY-JONES: Yes. Okay.
18	MS DENNY-BROWNE: I really love the idea of that. I think	18	I'm going to come back to Mike Britton for the
19	we've spoken a little bit earlier about collusion and	19	police. Do you have any comment on the suggestion of
20	things like that and I think that potentially it has to	20	an independent body to receive referrals? And my second
21	be something that's independent.	21	question is going to be, how are police overcoming the
22	My confusion, actually, was always about mandatory	22	kind of barriers that there are to reporting that we've
23	reporting and what happens after that, does that go	23	heard about. Mike?
24	straight to the police, does that what would be the	24	DS BRITTON: I've not really thought about independent
25	process of that? I think if there is something that's	25	reporting before, if I'm honest. The I will agree,
1			
	Page 131		Page 132

1	depending on how the route comes in, can elicit a very	1	a different question.
2	different response.	2	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Rachel, did you have something you
3	MS KARMY-JONES: Because it would, in effect, mean that	3	wanted to add?
4	there was a layer between the police and the report	4	MS DICKINSON: Yes, I just wanted to link this conversation
5	there would be another layer between the police and the	5	into how we respond to concerns about children generally
6	report, do you have a comment on that?	6	again. So very often, we can be concerned about
7	DS BRITTON: We kind of that is in place now, really.	7	children but we don't know that the cause of harm is
8	So, you know, referrals that are made to children's	8	sexual abuse. So we know that children, for instance,
9	services and social services, actually IICSA themselves	9	are going missing and we want to track what's happening
10	who get phone calls from survivors and then it comes	10	to them. The best way to do that, in my experience, is
11	into Operation Hydrant. That's not insurmountable for	11	through a local intelligence system, and if we were to
12	us. What we would need or the requirement for us	12	create a separate system for national reporting
13	would be that there's sufficient information if it was	13	independently and I understand the attractiveness of
14	to be decided upon a criminal investigation that	14	an independent system in relation to the concern
15	there we could act on it, you know, there's the	15	about sexual abuse, at what point would that be brought
16	level of detail and the information that's provided is	16	into play and how would local practitioners or local
17	•	17	members of communities understand which route they would
	the question really. And I agree, trying to marry up	18	go down in relation to which concern?
18 19	data between agencies is really difficult. Data sharing	19	I would just guard against doing things that
	and and analysis of data between agencies is really,	20	
20	really difficult. So at least, I suppose, from	21	complicate routes into raising concerns about children
21	a referral process, if mandatory reporting was brought	22	because we know that complication can form a barrier in
22	in, you would have a centralised location where you		itself and it becomes an excuse not to do something.
23	could have some data extracted, but then how you would	23	Yes.
24	marry that up further down the line, depending on which	24	MS LADA. Thoule you. I think to some output we already have
25	agency it goes to and what the outcomes are would be	25	MS LARA: Thank you. I think to some extent we already have
	Dago 122		D 404
	Page 133		Page 134
1		1	
1	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs	1 2	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on
2	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of	2	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the
2 3	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think	2 3	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate
2 3 4	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local	2 3 4	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one.
2 3 4 5	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns.	2 3 4 5	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of	2 3 4 5 6	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this.
2 3 4 5 6 7	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not	2 3 4 5 6 7	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that actually ends up creating further opportunities for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what inhibits information-sharing between professionals, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that actually ends up creating further opportunities for reports to be missed in the system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what inhibits information-sharing between professionals, and information-sharing is something that comes across
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that actually ends up creating further opportunities for reports to be missed in the system. And I think that the most important thing about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what inhibits information-sharing between professionals, and information-sharing is something that comes across comes up very frequently in Serious Case Reviews
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that actually ends up creating further opportunities for reports to be missed in the system. And I think that the most important thing about the role that a service like the helpline plays as well, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what inhibits information-sharing between professionals, and information-sharing is something that comes across comes up very frequently in Serious Case Reviews something that has meant there have been missed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that actually ends up creating further opportunities for reports to be missed in the system. And I think that the most important thing about the role that a service like the helpline plays as well, is in triggering then the services for children that have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what inhibits information-sharing between professionals, and information-sharing is something that comes across comes up very frequently in Serious Case Reviews something that has meant there have been missed opportunities to intervene to protect children, one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that actually ends up creating further opportunities for reports to be missed in the system. And I think that the most important thing about the role that a service like the helpline plays as well, is in triggering then the services for children that have come to our attention. Sometimes and this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what inhibits information-sharing between professionals, and information-sharing is something that comes across—comes up very frequently in Serious Case Reviews something that has meant there have been missed opportunities to intervene to protect children, one of the biggest barriers is different organisations. People
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that actually ends up creating further opportunities for reports to be missed in the system. And I think that the most important thing about the role that a service like the helpline plays as well, is in triggering then the services for children that have come to our attention. Sometimes and this is something that I think the inquiry needs to look into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what inhibits information-sharing between professionals, and information-sharing is something that comes across comes up very frequently in Serious Case Reviews something that has meant there have been missed opportunities to intervene to protect children, one of the biggest barriers is different organisations. People are more likely to share within their own organisation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a system of independent reporting. The NSPCC runs a helpline and we receive a wide-ranging number of inquiries about concerns about safeguarding and I think we have effective working with police and local authorities to pass on concerns. So I think that there are the makings of a system of independent reporting, because we also know that not everybody I'm not talking about professionals here, but members of the public. Not everybody feels confident enough to contact directly statutory services and they might feel that they get independent and less a less scary experience to test their concerns with with with those that actually can guide them in the process of carrying out a further report. But the point that Rachel makes is also important, I think, not to create extra layers of confusion that actually ends up creating further opportunities for reports to be missed in the system. And I think that the most important thing about the role that a service like the helpline plays as well, is in triggering then the services for children that have come to our attention. Sometimes and this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a concern has been raised that might have been passed on and, actually, there is no way the response from the system, or that response, might not be the appropriate one. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you. Katy, the last comment on this. MS WILLISON: What we know is required in this kind of response is what I'm afraid will sound like a technicality, multi-agency working. It is the role of the police and schools and local authorities and they all do have to have a part to play, so the attractions of an independent body means that in a way you're removing some of that role and I think that would be detrimental. The other thing I think is really important is that the research my department has done into looking at what inhibits information-sharing between professionals, and information-sharing is something that comes across—comes up very frequently in Serious Case Reviews something that has meant there have been missed opportunities to intervene to protect children, one of the biggest barriers is different organisations. People

Page 135

1	to me a risk that we actually make information sharing	1	Whereas under the model proposed by Mandate Now, it
2	worse rather than improve it.	2	is a criminal offence for individuals to not report any
3	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you for that. I'm going to move on	3	member of staff working in a regulated activity who
4	to consequences in the other sense now and invite	4	fails to report child sexual abuse as Tom said,
5	Bethany to outline the different approaches taken to	5	that's working closely with children, this morning
6	consequences for not reporting child sexual abuse or	6	and they would therefore be subject to a criminal
7	some of them at least.	7	penalty and that, again, would be in the form of a fine.
8	MS ATKINSON: Thanks, Riel.	8	Somewhere between the two is the approach taken in
9	So returning to the four models of reporting that	9	Ontario.
10	I presented this morning, which is going to briefly	10	Although all persons are subject to the legal duty
11	outline the different approach that each takes to the	11	to report, failure to report is only a criminal offence
12	consequences for not reporting abuse, at one end of the	12	for professionals who work with children, and only then
13	spectrum is the duty to report in Wales, for which there	13	if their suspicion or knowledge of child sexual abuse is
14	are no statutory consequences. Instead, failure to	14	based on information obtained during the course of their
15	report is managed through partner agencies, internal	15	professional duties. As in Victoria, the criminal
16	disciplinary processes and, if appropriate, the relevant	16	penalty which exists in Ontario is in the form of
17	regulator or professional body's fitness to practise or	17	a fine. Also note, in Ontario, volunteers are not
18	misconduct proceedings.	18	subject to the criminal penalty.
19	By contrast for each of other three models presented	19	In addition to professionals who fail to report, in
20	here, it is a criminal offence for mandated reporters to	20	Ontario any director, officer or employer in
21	not report child sexual abuse in line with statutory	21	an organisation who has knowledge that a child may be
22	requirements, although there are some differences.	22	being sexually abused, and permits or concurs in this
23	In Victoria, the reportable conduct scheme includes	23	information not being reported, would also be guilty of
24	criminal penalty only for the heads of organisations	24	an offence.
25	that fail to report, and that's in the form of a fine.	25	So that's just a brief outline. I'm now going to
	Page 137		Page 138
,	I II I Pile e P	,	
1	hand back to Riel to continue your discussions.	1	Alongside that then, we don't see that standing
2	MS KARMY-JONES: Thanks, Bethany. So we know it was the	2	alone, we've mandated training and support and I know
3	first point that in Wales there are no statutory	3	there are some views around accreditation of training
4	consequences or criminal penalties for failing to report	4	being expressed in this hearing and around, you know,
5	and going back to a question that's run through this, could that be a reason why there's been less impact?	5	the suitability of trainers, for example, but we believe that really the whole workforce needs to be you know,
6 7	MR HEANEY: Certainly we wouldn't believe so. I think it's		whether you're working in health, in a social services
8	really important to separate out the difference between	7 8	
9		9	department, education, you need to have training and
10	criminal. We took the approach not to go down a criminal	10	support to enable you to be able to identify the right kind of issues to be able to refer onwards. So that's
11	route. There will be many in the room who would have	11	just the approach we've taken in Wales.
12	a different view upon that. The reason that we did it	12	MS KARMY-JONES: Ben, would you suggest that criminal
13	and the thinking behind it, I think it's worth sharing	13	penalties do lead to a clearer culture or have a bearing
14	that because it allows the inquiry to weigh that up as	14	on the culture?
15	well. That was in relation to the fact that we didn't	15	PROF MATHEWS: I would suggest it does. I think I think
16	want to create a kind of a blame/fear culture, we didn't	16	they demonstrate that Parliament treats this duty as
17	want to create a kind of a biamorear cuttare, we didn't want people to be referring inappropriately, we wanted	17	a sufficiently grave duty that is held by individuals
18	people to be acting in a mature, professional route.	18	that it is backed by a sanction.
19	What we have done, it is linked into, you know,	19	MS KARMY-JONES: What do you say about a fear culture,
20	performance, carrying out your functions, your codes of	20	though, which is not what I asked, but I'm extending
21	practice, the fitness to practise, so there is what we	21	a little bit further into what Albert said about we
22	believe to be a proportionate which is around, are you	22	don't want to create a fear culture. So you're
23	suitable to do your role? Should you be doing your job?	23	saying I'm not asking this very well so it's my
24	You know, the sanctions relate to, and would relate to,	24	fault but you're saying, I think, that there is
25	professional practice.	25	an impact and it does create a clear understanding and
23	F	23	an impact and it does create a creat understanding and
	Page 139		Page 140

		_	
1	culture if a penalty or a sanction follows from	1	wanted to add?
2	non-reporting.	2	MR PERRY: Very quickly. I've heard this fear argument many
3	PROF MATHEWS: I think it expresses to reporters how serious	3	times, and including at the DfE, actually not under
4	the duty is and conveys to them the gravity of their	4	your watch, some years before and I the last time
5	obligation and that it must be required that it must	5	I heard this at the DfE off of such a squadron of civil
6	be complied with. I'm not sure, and I've not seen any	6	servants, I said, "Well, look, what happens when you go
7	evidence, that it clearly demonstrates a culture of	7	to Tescos on a Saturday morning? You can get done for
8	fear, or hypersensitive reporting of sexual abuse.	8	19 criminal offences to go to Tescos and back. Do you
9	To elaborate on that, there was a feeling in one	9	leave the house with your knees knocking?" The answer
10	jurisdiction, New South Wales in Australia, that the	10	of course is no.
11	presence of the statutory duty for failure to report may	11	What the law does, it influences your behaviour, and
12	have contributed to some temporary undesirable reporting	12	that's what we want, it's a behaviour influencer. There
13	of exposure to domestic violence, but not for sexual	13	was a very good programme, "Unreliable Evidence", that's
14	abuse; okay? So every jurisdiction, apart from New	14	a legal programme, I'm sure you probably know it, and
15	South Wales in Australia, and in the US and Canada, for	15	Lord Hoffmann was on it and Professor Andrew Ashworth QC
16	that matter, have statutory penalties for failure to	16	of the law faculty in Oxford and they disagreed
17	comply with the duty, and I think Ireland has chosen not	17	constantly throughout the entire programme. I mean,
18	to have a statutory penalty, but every other one does.	18	there was no agreement on anything. "It's day", "It's
19	MS KARMY-JONES: But a differing severity?	19	night", you know, it just went on and on.
20	PROF MATHEWS: Yes.	20	One of our number who's in the room, actually,
20	MS KARMY-JONES: A broad range from fines?	20 21	introduced the preamble to mandatory reporting which
21 22	PROF MATHEWS: Sometimes it's just a fine, sometimes it's	21 22	they were going to discuss.
22 23	a fine and/or imprisonment and sometimes a fine and	22 23	The one time in the programme that these two
23	a fine and/or imprisonment and sometimes a fine and imprisonment, and fines of different levels.	23	gentlemen Lord Hoffmann, you know, he's a serious,
24 25	imprisonment, and fines of different levels. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Tom, did you have something you	24 25	gentlemen Lord Hoffmann, you know, he's a serious, serious legal officer. It was the one time that these
23	10 12 13 13 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	23	serious iegai officer. It was the one time that these
	Page 141		Page 142
1	two agreed. That, actually, mandatory reporting was	1	clear since 2012 when we published guidance about
2	useful because it was going to influence behaviour.	2	doctors' child protection responsibilities that it is
3	What Lord Hoffmann said is that the really good thing	3	part of everyday professional responsibility and
4	about it is that it has particularity, because they're	4	practice to be alert to the possibility of child abuse
5	nominated people, so it's very particular, it's very	5	in all its forms and what the responsibilities are
6	defined. So will it create fear? I don't think so.	6	around, therefore, both identifying but also acting on
7	It's the Tescos argument, you know?	7	concerns or, indeed, evidence about a child being at
8	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Thank you.	8	risk.
9	One of the members of the public gallery raised the	9	The way that our regulatory model works, that,
10	issue that we don't know how often sanctions are applied	10	having made really clear what our expectations are, then
11	necessarily, for example, in regulatory proceedings.	11	doctors who fail to meet those standards, meet those
12	Now, setting aside the fact that disciplinary and	12	expectations, do put themselves at risk of there being
13	regulatory penalties won't necessarily attach to	13	regulatory action and, for most doctors, that's actually
13	everyone who might be involved in the kind of reporting	13	quite a serious outcome for them, because the
15	we're speaking about, are sanctions used in those kinds		possibility is that not only could we restrict their
	of cases and are they sufficient to deal with the	15	
16 17		16	practice, but, indeed, we could remove them from the
17 18	potential failure to report suspected child sexual abuse	17	register, and that affects their whole livelihood. So
	and to encourage reporting. So, you know, perhaps we	18	the possibility of professional regulatory action is
19	can look at some of the FGM situations, that sort of	19	something that is quite serious for them as
20	thing, to draw from, but, Sharon, from the GMC, are	20	a profession.
21	sanctions used and are they sufficient?	21	We certainly have data I don't have all the
22	MS BURTON: Well, to start by looking at, perhaps, sanctions	22	statistics to hand with me today that shows that we
23	is maybe not the best way of describing it, but what	23	do take action every year on a number of cases that
24	I would start by saying is that certainly from our point	24	are there's a spectrum of cases around child abuse
25	of view, thinking about doctors' roles, it's been very	25	where we take action, but that I did ask my colleagues
	Page 143		Page 144
	- 100 - 10	1	180 1

1	to look in particular at cases where child sex abuse	1	non-registered staff working with children, who wouldn't
2	would've been an issue and particularly about whether	2	be subject to any fitness to practise or necessarily
3	we're taking evidence where there's evidence that	3	regulatory proceedings, is there any way that a failure
4	a doctor has failed to report or failed to take	4	to report a concern or knowledge or a belief in child
5	appropriate action.	5	sexual abuse is there any way that is currently
6	We do take a number of cases every year. Since the	6	addressed? Rachel, can you think of any?
7	guidance came out in 2012, we have so far my	7	MS DICKINSON: I think obviously, as you've pointed out, it
8	colleagues are still looking at the data, so I will just	8	doesn't the response to it doesn't sit within
9	caution about the numbers but since 2012, we have	9	a regulatory framework, it sits within an employer
10	taken action, particularly about non-reporting around	10	framework in relation to any disciplinary or performance
11	child sex abuse, in around 49 cases that have been	11	code. So I don't have any data in relation to that, but
12	reported to us and, in about half of those cases, there	12	I think that the tools are there to deal with employees
13	have been regulatory sanctions, to use that language.	13	who fail to discharge the responsibilities associated
14	MS KARMY-JONES: What kind of sanctions?	14	with their post. What I can't say is how well they are
15	PARTICIPANT: I don't right now have that data available to	15	used.
16	you, but it's one of the things that we are pulling	16	MS KARMY-JONES: I suppose, if the post has set out those
17	together to share with the inquiry, because we thought	17	responsibilities with sufficient clarity
18	it would indeed be useful to have a look to see what the	18	PARTICIPANT: I think it would be very unlikely now to have
19	figures are around abuse generally, and specifically	19	a setting that supported children from a child minding
20	child sex abuse. So certainly, as a regulator, from our	20	setting to an early years setting, to a schools setting,
21	point of view there are consequences and it is something	21	to a playgroup, for there not to be safeguarding
22	where we are taking action on a number of cases each	22	procedures and there not to be an expectation in terms
23	year.	23	of code of conduct that those procedures were complied
24	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay, thank you.	24	with.
25	Just considering the non-professional,	25	MS KARMY-JONES: And the procedures being consistent across
	Page 145		Page 146
1	the various types of relea that you've mentioned?	1	culture of reporting, has not affected reporting in
1	the various types of roles that you've mentioned?	1 2	culture of reporting, has not affected reporting in
2	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have	2	itself.
2 3	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were	2 3	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in
2 3 4	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for	2 3 4	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed
2 3 4 5	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where	2 3 4 5	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty
2 3 4 5 6	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to	2 3 4 5 6	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010.
2 3 4 5 6 7	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be	2 3 4 5 6 7	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think.	2 3 4 5 6	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable effecting reporting practice, to tell the truth. New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around whether there should be a criminal penalty for those who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable effecting reporting practice, to tell the truth. New South Wales is the only one in Australia that no longer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around whether there should be a criminal penalty for those who fail to report and, if so, who should that extend to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable effecting reporting practice, to tell the truth. New South Wales is the only one in Australia that no longer has the statutory penalty, it has a long history of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around whether there should be a criminal penalty for those who fail to report and, if so, who should that extend to? If not, why not? So criminal penalty? Can we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable effecting reporting practice, to tell the truth. New South Wales is the only one in Australia that no longer has the statutory penalty, it has a long history of mandatory reporting, including of sexual abuse, since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around whether there should be a criminal penalty for those who fail to report and, if so, who should that extend to? If not, why not? So criminal penalty? Can we have a show of hands? Does anyone think there should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable effecting reporting practice, to tell the truth. New South Wales is the only one in Australia that no longer has the statutory penalty, it has a long history of mandatory reporting, including of sexual abuse, since 1987.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around whether there should be a criminal penalty for those who fail to report and, if so, who should that extend to? If not, why not? So criminal penalty? Can we have a show of hands? Does anyone think there should be a criminal penalty? Noelle, your head is Paul,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable effecting reporting practice, to tell the truth. New South Wales is the only one in Australia that no longer has the statutory penalty, it has a long history of mandatory reporting, including of sexual abuse, since 1987. It removed the statutory penalty in 2010. Since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around whether there should be a criminal penalty for those who fail to report and, if so, who should that extend to? If not, why not? So criminal penalty? Can we have a show of hands? Does anyone think there should be a criminal penalty? Noelle, your head is Paul, right, and Tom. All right. Let's see and Moya.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable effecting reporting practice, to tell the truth. New South Wales is the only one in Australia that no longer has the statutory penalty, it has a long history of mandatory reporting, including of sexual abuse, since 1987. It removed the statutory penalty in 2010. Since then, to the best of my knowledge, there has not been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around whether there should be a criminal penalty for those who fail to report and, if so, who should that extend to? If not, why not? So criminal penalty? Can we have a show of hands? Does anyone think there should be a criminal penalty? Noelle, your head is Paul, right, and Tom. All right. Let's see and Moya. Moya, were you putting your hand up to say you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PARTICIPANT: So I think that each setting would have a clarity about what those safeguarding procedures were and an expectation of roles and responsibilities for people who were directly working with children. Where I would raise a question is how far they would apply to cleaners or caretakers, et cetera. It would be something that would need to be looked at, I think. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Just a second, please. (Pause) Ben, you told us that some territories and states do have penalties and some don't. And is there any effect on the volume of the reports that come out of the different territories and states? What does it tell us? PROF MATHEWS: It's hard to isolate that as a variable effecting reporting practice, to tell the truth. New South Wales is the only one in Australia that no longer has the statutory penalty, it has a long history of mandatory reporting, including of sexual abuse, since 1987. It removed the statutory penalty in 2010. Since then, to the best of my knowledge, there has not been a drop-off in reports, so it may well be that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	itself. MS KARMY-JONES: Can you remind me how long it had it in place before it removed PROF MATHEWS: Since 1987, and it was then the penalty was just removed in 2010. However, it may be a different question for a jurisdiction introducing mandatory reporting for the first time. It might be a little bit risky not to have a penalty, because that might send the wrong message about how serious it is to actually comply with the reporting duty. Yes. MS KARMY-JONES: Okay. Just casting this open a little bit, are there any other views from anyone around the horseshoe around whether there should be a criminal penalty for those who fail to report and, if so, who should that extend to? If not, why not? So criminal penalty? Can we have a show of hands? Does anyone think there should be a criminal penalty? Noelle, your head is Paul, right, and Tom. All right. Let's see and Moya. Moya, were you putting your hand up to say you agreed with the proposition or not?

1	appropriate?	1	work for quite a long time. I'm certainly aware of
2	MS KARMY-JONES: Yes.	2	certainly clinicians where this has more or less sort of
3	MRS SUTTON: Within current practice, we have a very defined	3	ruined their career because they've lost their
4	programme, or process, when there is an allegation made	4	confidence in their practice and in themselves.
5	against a professional. And this data is reported to	5	The question of the point of context is, would
6	Local Safeguarding Children Boards quarterly through its	6	mandatory reporting have to happen, even if the spurious
7	performance and assurance and governance frameworks.	7	element of the allegation is considered at a very early
8	What we do know and my question, I suppose, is about	8	stage? I think it's something to consider.
9	how mandatory reporting and potential criminal sanctions	9	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay.
10	might be impacted in this process, what we do know is	10	Can I just go to Paul who raised his hand on the
11	that there are quite a significant number of spurious	11	question of whether there should be a criminal penalty
12	allegations made about individuals and what would	12	and why?
13	normally happen is that each local authority has a Local	13	MR STEWART: I think what was interesting, what Ben said
14	Authority Designated Officer who would meet with the	14	about New South Wales, for instance, and then the
15	organisation to look at the allegation and then to	15	conversation you had about fear. I think in '87, in New
16	determine that the process is actioned.	16	South Wales, there was a penalty for not a criminal
17	If that allegation had come through the mandatory	17	penalty.
18	reporting process, but was found to be spurious, of	18	I think then the mandatory reporting has been so
19	which, as I've said, we know many of them do, the	19	embedded up to 2010, it's almost like Tom says regarding
20	implications for the individual who has had	20	seat belts, when we had to wear them, and I think that's
21	an allegation made against them or has been reported	21	probably the reason why in New South Wales that
22	through a mandatory reporting system, I mean, the impact	22	people there is no drop-off in terms of people
23	can be really, really horrendous because many	23	reported it because it's now embedded in New South Wales
24	individuals get suspended pending investigation with	24	sort of society, if you will.
25	no with no outcome, no evidence, but they may be off	25	So I think when we talk about the fear, we should
-	containe, no condense, out they may be on	-	20.2 min men ne um about the feth, we should
	Page 149		Page 150
1	flip it on the head and I think the fear of no criminal	1	probably are other ways of managing sanctions which
2	sort of prosecution would then make people more aware	2	would foster more of a learning culture.
3	that they should report. And I think that that was	3	MS KARMY-JONES: Does anyone think that introducing
4	quite interesting about the New South Wales being from	4	a criminal sanction for individual professionals who
5	'87 to 2010 and I think it's already embedded, which is	5	fail to report child sexual abuse might deter people
6	why it's not dropped off, I would suggest.	6	from entering those professions? Deter people from
7	MS KARMY-JONES: Okay thanks. So, Mike, you did not put	7	entering the teaching profession or the medical
8	your hand up at the idea that there should be a criminal	8	profession? Yes, Katy?
9	offence. Just explain to us your and the police	9	MS WILLISON: This was a point I wanted to make earlier.
10	position.	10	
			I don't know if it would, but I think there is a risk of
11	DS BRITTON: So I'm very similar to Albert, really. I think		I don't know if it would, but I think there is a risk of that and I think it is as much a risk of people not
11 12	DS BRITTON: So I'm very similar to Albert, really. I think there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and	11 12	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not
	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and	11	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral.
12	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me	11 12	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not
12 13	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not	11 12 13	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse;
12 13 14	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me	11 12 13 14	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on
12 13 14 15	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified	11 12 13 14 15	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are
12 13 14 15 16	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in	11 12 13 14 15 16	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on
12 13 14 15 16 17	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether that would foster the right culture.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to a particular type of abuse and they might not even be
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether that would foster the right culture. MS KARMY-JONES: It doesn't preclude learning and development, does it?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to a particular type of abuse and they might not even be defined around this could be sexual or it could be
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether that would foster the right culture. MS KARMY-JONES: It doesn't preclude learning and development, does it? DS BRITTON: Well, again, don't know. So, you know, you	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to a particular type of abuse and they might not even be defined around this could be sexual or it could be something else, they just might know something is wrong.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether that would foster the right culture. MS KARMY-JONES: It doesn't preclude learning and development, does it?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to a particular type of abuse and they might not even be defined around this could be sexual or it could be something else, they just might know something is wrong. The thing that worries me about criminal sanctions is at
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether that would foster the right culture. MS KARMY-JONES: It doesn't preclude learning and development, does it? DS BRITTON: Well, again, don't know. So, you know, you were talking about criminal sanctions, so criminal	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to a particular type of abuse and they might not even be defined around this could be sexual or it could be something else, they just might know something is wrong. The thing that worries me about criminal sanctions is at what point someone starts becoming paralysed by that,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether that would foster the right culture. MS KARMY-JONES: It doesn't preclude learning and development, does it? DS BRITTON: Well, again, don't know. So, you know, you were talking about criminal sanctions, so criminal investigation with, you know, potential fines.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to a particular type of abuse and they might not even be defined around this could be sexual or it could be something else, they just might know something is wrong. The thing that worries me about criminal sanctions is at
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether that would foster the right culture. MS KARMY-JONES: It doesn't preclude learning and development, does it? DS BRITTON: Well, again, don't know. So, you know, you were talking about criminal sanctions, so criminal investigation with, you know, potential fines. That would come squarely into policing, so you're talking about a full investigation into policing. There	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to a particular type of abuse and they might not even be defined around this could be sexual or it could be something else, they just might know something is wrong. The thing that worries me about criminal sanctions is at what point someone starts becoming paralysed by that, thinking, "I think there's something wrong. I don't know whether I should report. I don't know if I'm now
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there needs to be fostered a culture of learning and development and I don't necessarily so this is me speaking agree that a criminal sanction for not declaring, depending on how that comes about, as in whether it's disclosure or whether it's identified behaviour within children is made, I don't know whether that would foster the right culture. MS KARMY-JONES: It doesn't preclude learning and development, does it? DS BRITTON: Well, again, don't know. So, you know, you were talking about criminal sanctions, so criminal investigation with, you know, potential fines. That would come squarely into policing, so you're	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that and I think it is as much a risk of people not knowing the point at which they should make a referral. What is very frequently said to us is that there is not a point at which somebody knows that there is abuse; there is a point that somebody wonders what is going on where they are working with a child, where they are trying to develop a relationship, and they have concerns about that child. Those concerns might not be linked to a particular type of abuse and they might not even be defined around this could be sexual or it could be something else, they just might know something is wrong. The thing that worries me about criminal sanctions is at what point someone starts becoming paralysed by that, thinking, "I think there's something wrong. I don't

1	committing a crime if I don't report". I think that is	1	person to be working with the child?
2	a slightly worrying tendency to start criminalising some	2	PARTICIPANT: I'm not sure which person we're talking about
3	of what should be professional behaviour and judgment.	3	but if it's a social worker, for example, or a teacher
4	Those are my concerns around it, and I think that	4	then, if it's a social worker, then, yes, it certainly
5	could I can't evidence it, I don't know, but it	5	is; if it's a teacher, then I agree those circumstances
6	could all of these professions are known as	6	are slightly different, but even so and I would look
7	challenging professions, they often tend to find it	7	to my teaching colleagues to say more about this that
8	difficult to recruit sufficient members of staff, they	8	point at which you are just wondering whether a child
9	often particularly in social work, we have high	9	has challenges in their life or you are thinking whether
10	agency rates, but we also have challenges recruiting to	10	there is something more sinister going on, you know,
11	the teaching profession. Things that might inhibit	11	these are not very black and white areas, there are
12	recruitment to that would be a concern to me.	12	quite often lots and lots of shades of grey. That's my
13	MS KARMY-JONES: But where does that leave the child, in the	13	anxiety around criminalisation.
14	sense that you know, in terms of the balancing	14	MS KARMY-JONES: Anna, I'm not stepping over you, Tom I will
15	exercise?	15	come back to you in a bit.
16	MS WILLISON: I think it leaves the child with that person	16	MS COLE: Yes, I think keeping children safe is really
17	knowing that they need to work with the child to get to	17	clear, and the flow chart is clear there, and staff
18	the bottom of that, and that might well need to be	18	working in schools, teachers and other staff as well,
19	reported at some point in that process. What I'm saying	19	would have a process and, if they were concerned, they
20	is that knowing exactly when at that point in the	20	would have a conversation with the designated the
21	process is that professional judgment that we've been	21	senior lead, the designated lead in the school and
22	talking about and if you make it a crime not to report	22	I would be concerned again at which point it would be
23	it at the first inkling of a suspicion that could	23	a criminal offence not to make a report. So I think
24	inhibit some of that behaviour.	24	they should if they have any concerns, they think
25	MS KARMY-JONES: Is that person, that person, the right	25	something isn't quite right, they know that the next
	Page 153		Page 154
1	step is to have a conversation with the designated lead.	1	MR PERRY: I kept accumulating points, I've now got
2	MS KARMY-JONES: Noelle, did you have a point to make?	2	a 42-point thing to go through now.
3	DR BLACKMAN: I shot my hand up really quickly about whether	3	MS KARMY-JONES: 30 seconds in which to do your 42 points.
4	this should be I've lost my language. Anyway,	4	MR PERRY: Detective Superintendent Michael Britton doesn't
5	I think it should be the leads, because I think what	5	want criminalisation. Katy doesn't want criminalisation
6	that does is that ensures that, any organisation, it's	6	for various reasons. The interesting thing is that we
7	the lead's response to make sure there's all the right	7	
8	processes in place and that everybody knows what their		already have criminalisation for not mandatorily
O		8	•
9		8 9	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily
	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't	1	•
9	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully	9	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service.
9 10	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't	9 10	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so
9 10 11	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that	9 10 11	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and
9 10 11 12	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with	9 10 11 12	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at
9 10 11 12 13	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse	9 10 11 12 13	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had
9 10 11 12 13 14	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of	9 10 11 12 13 14	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring;
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads or heads be sufficient to encourage reporting and to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring; okay? But sadly, because the law is so badly designed,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads or heads be sufficient to encourage reporting and to deal with failures on reporting?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring; okay? But sadly, because the law is so badly designed, they're also not completing the DBS. So that rather
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads or heads be sufficient to encourage reporting and to deal with failures on reporting? DR BLACKMAN: I think it depends what we all agree together	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring; okay? But sadly, because the law is so badly designed, they're also not completing the DBS. So that rather undermines the value of the DBS which sport in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads or heads be sufficient to encourage reporting and to deal with failures on reporting? DR BLACKMAN: I think it depends what we all agree together the framework should be for the mandatory reporting, so	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring; okay? But sadly, because the law is so badly designed, they're also not completing the DBS. So that rather undermines the value of the DBS which sport in particular puts so much value in.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads or heads be sufficient to encourage reporting and to deal with failures on reporting? DR BLACKMAN: I think it depends what we all agree together the framework should be for the mandatory reporting, so I think, within any decision that is made about	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring; okay? But sadly, because the law is so badly designed, they're also not completing the DBS. So that rather undermines the value of the DBS which sport in particular puts so much value in. Professional judgment, we're getting into this sort
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads or heads be sufficient to encourage reporting and to deal with failures on reporting? DR BLACKMAN: I think it depends what we all agree together the framework should be for the mandatory reporting, so I think, within any decision that is made about mandatory reporting, there needs to be some attention	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring; okay? But sadly, because the law is so badly designed, they're also not completing the DBS. So that rather undermines the value of the DBS which sport in particular puts so much value in. Professional judgment, we're getting into this sort of Magimixed arena again I can see you smiling and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads or heads be sufficient to encourage reporting and to deal with failures on reporting? DR BLACKMAN: I think it depends what we all agree together the framework should be for the mandatory reporting, so I think, within any decision that is made about mandatory reporting, there needs to be some attention paid to the framework within which that happens, and the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring; okay? But sadly, because the law is so badly designed, they're also not completing the DBS. So that rather undermines the value of the DBS which sport in particular puts so much value in. Professional judgment, we're getting into this sort of Magimixed arena again I can see you smiling and this is where we get back to the DfE having included
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	role in that is and that then there will be hopefully very clear processes to be examined that maybe haven't been followed and it's the leads that take that responsibility. Otherwise, we could end up with the kind of situations we've had in big child abuse cases where individual social workers are hounded out of positions and that's not a good place for us to end up. MS KARMY-JONES: Would a sanction that applies only to leads or heads be sufficient to encourage reporting and to deal with failures on reporting? DR BLACKMAN: I think it depends what we all agree together the framework should be for the mandatory reporting, so I think, within any decision that is made about mandatory reporting, there needs to be some attention paid to the framework within which that happens, and the lead would then be responsible for that.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reporting in this country, and that's not mandatorily reporting to the Disclosure and Barring Service. Now, the fact is that the legislation is so abysmally put together, there is no one to prosecute and enforce the mandatory reporting. I can tell you now at least three schools I know of that should have had prosecutions should've been undertaken, for non-returning of DBS; okay? And it's a level 5 fine. So it already exists. I don't see people tremoring; okay? But sadly, because the law is so badly designed, they're also not completing the DBS. So that rather undermines the value of the DBS which sport in particular puts so much value in. Professional judgment, we're getting into this sort of Magimixed arena again I can see you smiling and this is where we get back to the DfE having included social workers into their proposals.

1	want social workers to exercise their professional	1	might find themselves subject to complaints by families
2	judgment.	2	for a variety of reasons, in trying to pursue their
3	The professional judgment of teachers, sports	3	professional duties.
4	coaches and all those others that are defined as	4	I mean, that is still always a possibility, so
5	regulated activities by the Safeguarding Vulnerable	5	I suppose it would be about an additional professional
6	Groups Act 2006 are specialists in what they do, which	6	risk in going into those areas of practice that, as well
7	is teaching sport and everything else. They are not in	7	as the possibility of having families complaining about
8	safeguarding. In very simple terms, we want them to do	8	you to your regulator for reporting, there's
9	the simplest task of everything, is put it into the	9	a possibility of being complained about and having
10	hands of someone who is in a position to stop it if it's	10	sanctions, especially criminal sanctions, for
11	happening. Period.	11	non-reporting. So it's not to try to draw any
12	That's it.	12	conclusions about whether that encourages or discourages
13	MS KARMY-JONES: Sharon?	13	people, but I think it just becomes an aspect of being
14	MS BURTON: Thank you. I suppose my observations about the	14	a professional operating in the field, that I think we
15	issues raised about sort of professional risk and	15	would need to think about as a community about what we
16	criminalisation, I don't purport to have an answer	16	would do to ensure people were supported to be able to
17	because it's not something I think that anyone has tried	17	make those judgments, to be confident in entering those
18	to really research, but what I can do is reflect on our	18	roles and making those difficult judgments, which
19	experience when we were developing the guidance in 2012,	19	certainly the feedback from health professionals has
20	the child protection guidance, that that was against	20	been about, that these are always difficult cases, they
21	a sort of backdrop where there was strong professional	21	always have, in a sense, unique features, so there is
22	concern about the regulatory, the professional	22	always an element of grey in trying to address those
23	regulatory risks run by pediatricians and others who	23	issues. So I think it may just make the argument even
24	were trying to meet their responsibility to protect	24	stronger for making sure that we have effective
25	children, and the fact that in some circumstances they	25	education and training and really good professional
	Page 157		Page 158
1	support that doctors and others can access to help them	1	So I think, if we're losing focus and worrying about
2	to make those difficult judgments.	2	those not going into careers because they might have
3	MS KARMY-JONES: Thanks.	3	a potential case at some point in their career in which
4	Yehudis?	4	they might have a criminal offence, I think that's
5	MS GOLDSOBEL: I'm just going to add something brief.	5	you know, we're getting really distracted in the
6	I think we're getting a bit carried away with those	6	nuances, really, of people's careers as opposed to
7	working in institutions and establishments, and totally	7	worrying about children and young people who are being
8	losing focus of the fact that we're talking about	8	sexually abused.
9	children and young people and, if we're focusing on	9	MS KARMY-JONES: "What happens to the child?", is the
10	them, as opposed to the career concerns or those worried	10	question I asked earlier, where does that leave the
11	about professional judgment, which the term can be, you	11	child?
12	know, interpreted however we see fit, but at the end of	12	MS GOLDSOBEL: Yes.
13	the day, we're talking about humans and, if there is no	13	MS KARMY-JONES: On the point of everyone being human, is it
14	punishment for this law, or you know, most of us in	14	a flaw that the test is, you know, knowledge, suspicion,
15	this room, I would hope we're all sitting around the	15	concern? There are different words you can apply to it.
16	table discussing the same thing, we are not going to	16	Is there a flaw in that, in that we are all human and,
17	break this law, but there are going to be many people	17	if we don't want to know, believe or be concerned, the
18	that would, because with self-preservation, we're	18	decision ends up resting with us?
19	thinking about ourselves and, if there is no consequence	19	MS GOLDSOBEL: Then we can also turn a blind eye and there
20	to this non-reporting, well, it just comes back down to	20	is the bystander theory and there is the theory that Ben
21	best practice, which is what we've had for so many years	21	mentioned yesterday, you know, gaze aversion. That is
22	until this point, which is hoping people use their	22	still going to continue to happen, if people will, you
23	professional judgment to report, and we wouldn't be	23	know, choose to ignore it and so on, but if you are
24	sitting at this inquiry if everyone did use their	24	concerned which then brings me back around to
25	professional judgments, you know, years ago.	25	an independent body. I'm a professional working in the
	D 450		D 460
	Page 159		Page 160
			40 (Pages 157 to 160)

1 sector and sometimes I struggle with where to refer 1 mandatory reporting has had a	in other areas, what's the
2 families and children on to: is it the local authority 2 general view about whether the	
3 sector, are we referring them on to the NSPCC or is this 3 in cases of child sexual abuse	
5 to be complicated for many people. Maybe you don't want 5 we've heard about. You're no	•
6 an independent something, but something needs to be more 6 MS GOLDSOBEL: I think the	
7 streamlined to make it a bit more explanatory, and we 7 influx of cases that hit them a	
8 all should give up a bit of the turf war and make it 8 wasn't down to us sitting arou	
9 a much easier system so we won't be then criminalising 9 or a law, it was because victing	
people for not reporting, and it comes back to the 10 died and it gave people an opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and it gave people and opportunity of the 10 died and	
11 education and awareness which everyone's in for you 11 up. And the police were floor	
12 know, promoting it before we even get to the concern of 12 flooded, numbers have contin	
13 criminalising it. It's, are we educating everyone 13 in the public have got social n	
enough with it? Have we got streamlined services? Do 14 talk. It's not because the cour	try changed the ways in
people know where they're referring to? 15 which we're working and resp	onding and intervening in
16 It's not a matter of setting up a new service and 16 these abuse situations, I think	it's time we all tried
taking it away from education sectors or social workers 17 to catch up with what is happ	ening within the public
and so on, it's actually working together. And I know 18 and, you know, I think we will	ll have an influx, it's
19 that's like a sort of mythical dream at the moment, but 19 inevitable there's going to be a	an influx. To not do it
20 one day it might just get to that point and, if we use 20 because there will be an influ	x is utterly ridiculous,
21 mandatory laws to put it in place and then it forces 21 you know, it just doesn't make	e sense, because it will
everyone to get to that point, then sort of we're 22 stabilise after time.	
23 winning, killing two birds with one stone, really. 23 And I think someone menti	oned the money word and
24 MS KARMY-JONES: Looking at what we heard from Ben Mathews 24 coming down to government of	
25 yesterday, about his research and about the impact that 25 funds. Whether it comes to the	nat point of we're
25 yesterday, about his research and about the impact that 25 funds. Whether it comes to the	nat point of we're
25 yesterday, about his research and about the impact that 25 funds. Whether it comes to the Page 161 Page 162	nat point of we're
Page 161 Page 162	
Page 161 Page 162 1 literally waiting for change because government needs to 1 before, I don't name people if I	know their names, it's
Page 161 Page 162 1 literally waiting for change because government needs to 1 before, I don't name people if I 2 redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will 2 up to individuals to mention it.	know their names, it's
Page 161 Page 162 1 literally waiting for change because government needs to 2 redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will 3 have to happen and we can't not do something because 3 middle, in the blue.	know their names, it's The gentleman in the
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. Page 162 up to individuals to mention it. middle, in the blue. Observations from THE Plants	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said Page 162 up to individuals to mention it. middle, in the blue. Observations from THE Plance of the page 162 CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brows the page 162 CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brows the page 162 CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brows the page 162 Page 162	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but Page 162 before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three broker at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but of prosecutions, if there were a	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will up to individuals to mention it. have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour Page 162 before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. Middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three broker at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour Page 162	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory Page 162 before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a law, I think the — in cases of argonization of mandatory over professional judgment, it's	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will wup to individuals to mention it. have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of pefore, I don't name people if I to up to individuals to mention it. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three broker at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory unlikely that the evidence would	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to
Page 161 Page 162 Ilterally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will up to individuals to mention it. have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but for mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take people if I before, I don't name people if I is up to individuals to mention it. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a law, I think the — in cases of arg over professional judgment, it's unlikely that the evidence woul justify a prosecution and, even	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were
Page 161 Page 162 Ilterally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will up to individuals to mention it. have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for the you the provision of the you the provision for	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were
Page 161 Page 162 Ilterally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will up to individuals to mention it. have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but for mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take people if I before, I don't name people if I is up to individuals to mention it. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a law, I think the — in cases of arg over professional judgment, it's unlikely that the evidence woul justify a prosecution and, even	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of
Page 161 Page 162 Ilterally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will up to individuals to mention it. have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for the you the provision of the you the provision for	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good
Page 161 Page 162 literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will up to individuals to mention it. will a up to individuals to mention it. middle, in the blue. Here'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take a model that has a duty that applies to heads of organisations only for which there are no criminal performance in It is before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brows a general question for those of you who are in favour of prosecutions, if there were a law, I think the in cases of argonization and the evidence would justify a prosecution and, even a model that has a duty that applies to heads of the advance of the prosecution with a sort of reason organisations only for which there are no criminal to before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. The before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it.	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good Idn't be in the public
Page 161 Page 162 Iliterally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will whave to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour for mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take a model that has a duty that applies to heads of reduction of the prosecution of the prosecution and, even a mandatory organisations only for which there are no criminal sanctions, is that still better or not? No. Anyone 1 before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brows of prosecutions, if there were a few the observations from THE Plance of prosecutions, if there were a sa general question for those of you who are in favour to a prosecution, it think the evidence would justify a prosecution and, even a model that has a duty that applies to heads of the second of the prosecution with a sort of reason organisations only for which there are no criminal sanctions, is that still better or not? No. Anyone	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good ldn't be in the public ractice, I suspect
Page 161 Page 162 Iliterally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will up to individuals to mention it. where to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take a model that has a duty that applies to heads of corganisations only for which there are no criminal sanctions, is that still better or not? No. Anyone less. Yehudis says no. Ben? You have the background less.	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good ldn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is
Page 161 Page 162 Iliterally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will up to individuals to mention it. a middle, in the blue. Here'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take a model that has a duty that applies to heads of organisations only for which there are no criminal sanctions, is that still better or not? No. Anyone land the Page 162 Before, I don't name people if I up to individuals to mention it. middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three broof prosecutions from THE Please of prosecutions, if there were a law, I think the — in cases of argonizations of the prosecution for those of you who are in favour over professional judgment, it's over professional judgment, it's over professional judgment, it's unlikely that the evidence woul in a model that has a duty that applies to heads of in a prosecution with a sort of reason organisations only for which there are no criminal in a conviction, I think that there we have the professional professional professional interest to proceed, and so, in pin and the	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good idn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is ent, and those are the
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour for mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory mandatory repor	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good Idn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is ent, and those are the at we would
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but for mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting only for which there are no criminal mandatory is that still better or not? No. Anyone else. Yehudis says no. Ben? You have the background Page 162 Defore, I don't name people if I in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three ber of prosecutions from THE Please of middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three ber of prosecutions, if there were a say a general question for those of you who are in favour as a general question for those of you who are in favour mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting as a general question from the Piles mandatory reporting as a general question from the Piles mandatory reporting as a general question from the Piles mandatory reporting as a general question from the place mandatory reporting as a ge	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good idn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is ent, and those are the at we would The remainder of the
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but for mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take mandatory reporting or which there are no criminal sanctions, is that still better or not? No. Anyone sanctions, is that still better or not? No. Anyone place 15 prosecutions in think that there was a regulate case for saying it would interest to proceed, and so, in p and the prosecutions will only occur in policy to consciously put in place a model that you know policy to consciously put in place a model that you know mandatory want to prosecute.	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good Idn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is ent, and those are the at we would The remainder of the be for the purpose of
Page 161 Page 162 Page 162 Page 163 Page 164 Page 165 Page 165 Page 165 Page 166 Page 167 Page 166 Page 166 Page 167 Page 166 Page 166 Page 166 Page 166 Page 166 Page 167 Page 166 Page 166 Page 166 Page 167 Page 166 Page 166 Page 167 Page 166 Page 167 Page 162 Page 166 Page 166 Page 167 Page 167 Page 166 Page 167 Page 166 Page 167 Page 167 Page 167 Page 167 Page 168 Page 1	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good ldn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is ent, and those are the at we would The remainder of the be for the purpose of ally want to do the right
Page 161 Page 162 Page 162 Page 163 Page 164 Page 165 Page 166 Page 167 Page 168 Page 168 Page 168 Page 169 Page 161 Page 169 Page 16 Page 169 Page 16 Page 16 Page 16 Page 16 Page 16 Page 16 Pag	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good Idn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is ent, and those are the at we would The remainder of the be for the purpose of ally want to do the right the right thing is.
Page 161 Page 162 Page 163 Page 164 Page 165 Page 166 Page 167 Page 167 Page 166 Page 167 Page 167 Page 168 Page 168 Page 168 Page 168 Page 168 Page 169 Page 161 Page 169 Page 161 Page 1	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good idn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is ent, and those are the at we would The remainder of the be for the purpose of ally want to do the right are right thing is. ons are concerned,
Page 161 Page 162 I literally waiting for change because government needs to redistribute funds, then so be it, but I think it will have to happen and we can't not do something because there'll be an influx. MS KARMY-JONES: Just on a slightly different topic, I said at the outset we're not here to broker a compromise, but as a general question for those of you who are in favour for mandatory reporting, is any model of mandatory reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a sore professional judgment, it's reporting of child sexual abuse better than no model of mandatory reporting? So, for example, if you take middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a sore professional judgment, it's middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a sore professional judgment, it's middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a sore professional judgment, it's middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a sore professional judgment, it's middle, in the blue. CORE PARTICIPANT: Three brown of prosecutions, if there were a sore professional judgment, it's middle, in the blue. The plus of prosecutions, if there were a of prosecutions, if there were a sore professional judgment, it's middle, in the blue. The professional judgment, it's core professional judgment, it's middle, in the blue. The professional judgment, it's prosecutions, if there were a of prosecution with a sort of rease of mandatory reporting in were a sort professional shape. PROF MATHEWS: I think I don't think it's good public policy to consciously put in place a model that you know professional judgment, it's prosecutions with a sort of rease of prosecution and even a professional shape. PROF MATHEWS: I think I don't think it's good	know their names, it's The gentleman in the UBLIC GALLERY ief points. First, on the basics mandatory reporting guable disagreement really vanishingly d be sufficient to if there were sonable prospect of would be a very good Idn't be in the public ractice, I suspect cases where there is ent, and those are the at we would The remainder of the be for the purpose of ally want to do the right the right thing is. ons are concerned, ional organisations are

1	matter, has, with some justification, felt that	1	MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Dr Wyatt, Geoff Wyatt. I think
2	politicians are down on them to a quite unjustifiable	2	mandatory reporting is a game changer. Lots of
3	degree, and so professional bodies so disciplinary	3	paediatricians have been reported to the General Medical
4	bodies within those professions may be subject to those	4	Council on the basis, as the person said, of complaint
5	sorts of siege mentalities and so be unwilling to	5	for making the report. I think what the IICSA needs to
6	discipline people, and that would undermine the	6	recognise is how far back this country is. Cleveland
7	effectiveness of any kind of mandatory reporting law.	7	occurred in 1987, that was the year that they introduced
8	Now one further thing from a bit earlier, this issue	8	mandatory reporting in an area in Australia. 1988 was
9	of, "Well, what happens once a report has been made?",	9	when I began my 22-year restriction from child abuse
10	and concerns as to whether mandatory reporting should be	10	work. If I'd have been reported to the General Medical
11	put in place because of concerns about possible	11	Council, and I wasn't, I would've been restricted for
12	incompetent responses, I think that the correct	12	three to five years, at the very most.
13	approach the approach I would commend to the panel	13	So what mandatory reporting will do is protect
14	would be that, if there are concerns about how to handle	14	reporters. That's the single most valuable feature of
15	a report once it has been received, then it's entirely	15	mandatory reporting, in principle.
16	available to the panel to make recommendations in that	16	All the hard work then comes, what are you going to
17	area as well. Because I think that mandatory reporting	17	report? But the single most useful thing that mandatory
18	is, as it were, an enabler. All the improved procedures	18	reporting does in principle is it protects reporters.
19	in the world won't do anything to cases that don't	19	MS KARMY-JONES: Think you. Yes. The lady just there.
20	actually reach the authorities. So I see mandatory	20	CORE PARTICIPANT: Just two comments, really.
21	reporting, as it were, as a catalyst, as a force	21	Going back to the seat-belt analogy, everyone knows
22	multiplier rather than as an individual silver bullet	22	now we have to wear a seat-belt or there will be
23	that will solve the entire problem.	23	consequences. Now, this goes across all backgrounds,
24	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. Then I think the gentleman	24	all cultures, all ethnicities. It applies to everybody,
25	sitting directly in front of you had a comment.	25	and I believe that MR would do the same, mandatory
			,
	Page 165		Page 166
1	reporting would do the same, in time, after we've had	1	conclusion that the Australian Royal Commission came to
2	the cultural shift.	2	based on some stunning evidence by an Irish academic?
3	Just an observation, really, I'm not quite sure if	3	Secondly, that the offence should extend to those
4	I've got this right, but in your review	4	who are moving people around, knowing that they've
5	MS KARMY-JONES: You're pointing at Katy Willison?	5	abused before, where they go and potentially abuse
6	CORE PARTICIPANT: Yes, sorry. You said only 16 per cent of	6	again, and that's been something we've heard a lot of in
7	professionals wanted to do mandatory reporting or agreed	7	this room in the past.
8	with mandatory reporting?	8	And finally, on the extent of the offences that
9	MS WILLISON: It was the people who had responded to the	9	should be considered at the same time is the destruction
10	consultation.	10	of records, which we've also heard a great deal of,
11	CORE PARTICIPANT: Ah, so 16 per cent said they were in	11	which makes our job protecting victims very much more
12	favour of, is that correct? Yes. And in the survivors'	12	difficult.
13	forum, 88 per cent were in favour of mandatory	13	As far as fines and prison is concerned, to the
14	reporting. So where is the disconnect? Why is there	14	extent that we have people that actually at least
15	such a big disconnect with survivors wanting it, yet	15	nominally don't have any funds at all, the sanction of
16	professionals not wanting it? I don't understand that	16	a fine doesn't work and I do think that prison should be
17	yet.	17	included at least as a possibility.
18	MS KARMY-JONES: All right. We may come back to that in the	18	My last point is just I don't think it came out
19	next session, we'll see.	19	very strongly about the high proportion of countries
20	The gentleman on the end, and then I'd like to go to	20	that already have mandatory reporting.
21	the gentleman at the very back.	21	We're in the minority already and that doesn't come
22	MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Keith Porteous Wood of the National	22	across, and it hasn't come across to me in our
23	Secular Society again.	23	deliberations over the last two days.
24	As far as the criminal offences, could I make a plea	24	I just remind everybody about that.
25	for the confessional not to be excluded, which was the	25	Thank you.
	Page 167		Page 168

1 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. If we can go to the final 1 (A short break)	
1 WIS KARWIT-JONES. Thank you. If we can go to the final 1 (A Short break)	
2 comment to the gentleman right at the back. Then we'll 2 (3.30 pm)	
3 have our break. 3 MS KARMY-JONES: Okay, so we are just going to p	oick up on
4 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Thank you. Dale Wilkins, head of 4 a couple of points that were raised in the public	
5 safeguarding at the Boarding Schools Association, also 5 gallery before we had the break and, Ben, if I can co	ome
6 formerly DSL and also having an involvement with the 6 to you, and I'm conscious, of course, that you are	
7 Scouts and, indeed, with sport. My concern is that the 7 probably jet lagged and tired, but if I can ask you, c	an
8 process for reporting needs to be as clear and as 9 you give us a comment on the suggestion that Engla	and and
9 straightforward as it possibly can be, because I think, 9 Wales is in the minority in respect of the	
10 when you have a system of reporting that's being layered 10 implementation of mandatory reporting? If you can	' t,
on top of what already exists, I have real concerns 11 you can't. But bearing in mind the research that you	ı've
12 because thresholds, at the moment, across different 12 done in your own jurisdiction.	
my local authority area bordered on several others, 13 And also, do you have any observation on the	
thresholds across local authorities were very different, 14 suggestion that mandatory reporting is in part there	to
15 processes across organisations are very different, and 15 protect reporters?	
16 I think, whatever is put in place, it has to be 16 PROF MATHEWS: To take the second question first	, I think
17 absolutely clear as to whether that runs in line with 17 what Geoff meant was, from a professional practition	oner's
existing procedures or is something completely separate. 18 point of view, one of the key things it does is to	
19 Maybe that's something people might want to consider in 19 protect reporters and that is absolutely correct. So	
20 the next phase. 20 all of the legislative reporting duties give clear	
21 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. All right, that takes 21 protections to reporters for reports made in good fai	th,
22 us smoothly to pretty much exactly the right time. If 22 one of which is confidentiality as the reporter, the	
23 we can come back in 15 minutes, please. Time for 23 second of which is immunity from civil and	
24 a quick cup of tea. We'll see you in a little bit. 24 administrative proceedings in relation to the report	
25 (3.15 pm) 25 made.	
Page 169 Page 170	
1 So that's absolutely correct. 1 Katy, you mentioned that you wanted to make	
2 I'm sure he would agree that the primary purpose of 2 a correction to something that you said earlier in	
3 these duties is child protection, above all of that 3 response to a question from the public gallery.	
4 protection for reporters. 4 MS WILLISON: I did, I'm afraid I got my percentages	wrong
5 MS KARMY-JONES: Just for clarity, I wasn't suggesting that 5 so I wanted to clarify it for the lady in the gallery.	
6 he wasn't saying that, but it's really, as you've done, 6 What I said was that 16 per cent of people who respo	nded
7 looking at what that actually means in practice. 7 to the government consultation on mandatory reporting	ng
8 PROF MATHEWS: Sure, sure, and it does give those strong 8 favoured the introduction. In fact, I was wrong about	
9 protections to reporters, that's correct. 9 that, it was 12 per cent, so it was a slightly reduced	
The first question whether you're in the minority, 10 figure.	
11 I mean, there's over 200 countries in the world, I'm not 11 While I'm at it, you also asked why the disparity	
sure exactly how many of them have mandatory reporting, leave that and the response from the victims' surve	ey,
13 I know scores do and some of the federated jurisdictions 13 which was 86 per cent in favour. I think that probable	-
do, so Australia is a federated jurisdiction of eight 14 will be the different make-up of those who responded	l.
states and territories, all of which have it. Canada 15 So the people who responded to the government	
has 13 provinces and territories, all of which have it. 16 consultation were often organisations or professional	S.
America has 50 states and territories, all of which have 17 There were also members of the public, and I'm sure	
18 it. 18 there were victims and survivors in there, but I suspe	
19 MS KARMY-JONES: In different forms? 19 the make-up was probably considerably different. W	e do
20 PROF MATHEWS: In slightly different forms, but always for 20 have a breakdown of that and the responses. It's	
21 sexual abuse, yes. 21 available online and we can make it available to the	
22 Whichever way you slice it, hundreds of 22 inquiry, if that would be useful.	
23 jurisdictions in the world have legislative mandatory 23 MS KARMY-JONES: Yes, thank you. Thank you.	
24 reporting for sexual abuse. 24 Session 5	
25 MS KARMY-JONES: Okay, thank you very much for that. 25 MS KARMY-JONES: What I'd like to do now is to go	around the
Page 171 Page 172	
) to 172)

1 table for some final remarks and comments, looking at 1 particularly the presentations given. 2 2 So I'm going to go round -- and it's only fair to changes, solutions. I'm going to propose a number of 3 3 different questions and invite you, as I go around, to start at one end -- I don't know, Katy, would you be 4 deal with them. 4 willing to be the first? Does anyone need me to go 5 I think you're going to have about two minutes each, 5 through them again? 6 I'm going to stop everyone, but we want to leave some 6 MR PERRY: Would you please, sorry. 7 MS KARMY-JONES: All right. I'll try and remember exactly 7 time at the end for a little bit more discussion and 8 8 how they've been phrased. comments from the public gallery. 9 9 So no one, it seems to me, is saying that the So no one is saying that the system can't be 10 system, if there is a system, that there is 10 improved, so what needs to be changed? What's the solution -- and Tom, of course, when we get to you, we 11 an improvement that could happen. Even those against 11 12 the proposition I think would agree that there is 12 know that you have proposed a particular format which 13 we've gone through so we don't need the detail of your 13 improvement that can be made. 14 So what needs to change? What is the solution? 14 model -- but what is the solution? Can you tell us what 15 What's your solution? Can you tell us in a few words 15 it looks like. What about your organisation or area 16 what does your ideal situation look like? Thinking 16 needs to change? Is there anything new that you've not 17 about your organisation or the area that you represent, 17 said in the context of this seminar that you would like 18 18 the inquiry to take account of? And has anyone's what needs to change within that organisation or area? 19 Do you have any new information that you haven't shared 19 position changed? Have you started to think about 20 things you hadn't thought about before or change your 20 with us that you would like the inquiry to take into 21 account? 21 22 22 Also I'd be very interested -- and I'm sure the Has everyone got those? All right, Katy. 23 23 panel would be interested to hear -- whether anyone's MS WILLISON: I can't work out whether I've got the hardest 24 position has changed at all, even in a small way, as 24 or the easiest job here going first. 25 a result of this seminar and what you've heard, 25 I think from my perspective I very much came here to Page 173 Page 174 set out what the Government's position was, but also to 1 training in place, in making sure that we have agencies 1 2 listen to the debate and to hear what everybody had to 2 working together effectively. We haven't talked about 3 3 say, both for and against, and for me it's been that much today, and actually I think that is a big part 4 a really, really useful event to do that, to draw the 4 of the way that we safeguard and protect children is 5 arguments together, to hear very directly from victims 5 getting our public sector agencies working as well as 6 and survivors and to hear the various different 6 possible and we are seeking to do that within 7 7 Government. We are seeking to try and create a real organisational perspectives. 8 8 I can't say, particularly because I speak on behalf learning environment where we have our professionals --9 9 of ministers and the Government, so I can't say that and sorry, Tom, to use that some of that language -- but 10 I have arrived with one view and leave with a completely 10 really operating as effectively as possible and learning 11 different one, I think that would be entirely 11 the best possible practice that they can. 12 12 inappropriate for me to say that. What I have done is We've also introduced RSE into schools to try and 13 I leave with a lot to think about, and a lot to 13 help children understand what their rights are and what 14 consider, and some particular trains of thought that 14 is good and bad in terms of behaviour and relationships. 15 I will go and do that. 15 So there are a range of different things there that 16 What I do think, the system can certainly be 16 we are doing that we hope and genuinely believe will 17 improved, I don't think anybody here would say that we 17 improve the system. 18 should be complacent about the position at the moment 18 The position in the response to the consultation was 19 19 and the level of protection that we're offering to that we should seek to allow many of those changes to 20 children. 20 bed in before making further decisions. 21 The Government does have a programme outside of 21 The current position, having heard everything today, 22 mandatory reporting where it is seeking to do that, it 22 I think is not radically different from that other than 23 23 we know that IICSA is considering these issues, and we is trying to address many of the issues that will have 24 been raised with us through the consultation and at 24 look forward to hearing the recommendations that you 25 25 other times around making sure that we have good make, having had the opportunity to hear everything Page 175 Page 176

1	today and to take evidence across the wider strands of	1	against mandatory reporting, but we have been reaching
2	the inquiry.	2	out to those in the healthcare sector, not just doctors
3	We also look to work with our Welsh colleagues to	3	but others in the healthcare sector, to understand what
4	understand the impact in Wales, and in Ireland, and one	4	they see as being the sort of current challenges in the
5	of the things I will also be doing is following up some	5	system. I think I have raised a number of those across
6	questions with Ben Mathews around some of the ways in	6	the course of the two days, and they go again to issues
7	which the mandatory reporting has been introduced in	7	around improving education and training both in terms of
8	Australia and some of the impacts that they have there.	8	the content, but also the ability of health
9	I have read the research with interest, but I will be	9	professionals to kind of access the right training at
10	following up with him on some of those points.	10	the right time.
11	So that's what I take away from today, and	11	The real needs for increased resources around having
12	an appreciation of everybody who has contributed. Thank	12	that expert report, so being able to access child
13	you.	13	safeguarding experts when they are needed, so 24/7
14	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	14	access to those who are able to advise and help doctors
15	Sharon, two minutes.	15	make really difficult, complex decisions in individual
16	MS BURTON: So I think, as the regulator for doctors, we are	16	cases.
17	very conscious that although there have been significant	17	But I think more importantly what I've been hearing
18	improvements across the system and in the way that	18	back from not just doctors but health professionals in
19	certainly health services are dealing with child	19	general has been about the need to make sure that the
20	protection issues, that there is a great deal more still	20	services are there to be able to respond really rapidly
21	to be done to support and protect child victims of abuse	21	when an issue has been identified with a child, and to
22	of all forms, sexual abuse in particular. Coming here	22	be able to do that in a way that's meaningful for the
23	today and yesterday was about trying to understand more	23	child in its particular circumstances. We've heard
24	about the evidence around mandatory reporting.	24	about the different needs of different communities, and
25	We've not, as a regulator, taken a position for or	25	that's something we started in 2012, trying to think
	Page 177		Page 178
1	shout houses occild along our mont in holising doctors in	1	de mara a fala Cariana Crima Dill Land Data
1	about how we could play our part in helping doctors, in	1	the passage of the Serious Crimes Bill, Lord Bates
2 3	particular, to be able to sort of identify that it's not a there's never going to be a sort of one size fits	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	promised, promised, that there would be a round table,
4	all answer for the issues that come in front of them,	4	and parties from and it was requested by Baroness Walmsley and the parties from either side
5	but to think about how we can collaborate with others	5	would engage. It's only taken four-and-a-half years,
6	across the sector.	6	but then the consultation only took about four years, it
7	I think there are a lot of useful ideas and	7	was a rush job.
8	suggestions that have come forward today. My final	8	What's the solution? Well, it's the one we have on
9	point is really just to say that my understanding,	9	the table and the one we submitted to the consultation.
10	certainly where doctors are concerned, is that there's	10	What does it look like? You have it, it's on our
11	a large body of opinion represented by the British	11	news page of our website.
12	Medical Association that has spoken in favour of	12	Anything new? None.
13	mandatory reporting, it would be remiss of me not to	13	Has our position changed? Well, this is going to
14	refer to that; but all those who have spoken about	14	shock you: no.
15	mandatory reporting have done so in the context of	15	So, on that happy note, and bearing in mind that
16	trying to drive improvements to the services and the	16	I've been so rapid, I'm just going to read you
17	training that's available for professionals.	17	something, because Ben was asked a question about
18	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much.	18	countries in the world that had MR, some form of MR.
19	Tom and I am going to start tapping my watch,	19	Let me just clarify it a little further: it's
20	don't take it as rudeness, just to keep everyone to	20	72 per cent of Asia, 77 per cent of Africa, 86 per cent
21	time, so we have enough time to go to the public gallery	21	of Europe and 90 per cent of the Americas; it's
22	at the end.	22	81.8 per cent of developed nations and 78.6 per cent of
23	MR PERRY: Don't worry, I'll be speedy, thank you.	23	developing nations; combined, 80.3 per cent of nations
24	In 2014, when the Government ceded the consultation	24	worldwide. We're slightly behind.
25	in the face of Baroness Walmsley's Amendment 43 during	25	MS KARMY-JONES: You take those statistics from?
	Page 179		Page 180

1	MR PERRY: Daro; okay? It was at the World oh, good	1	And I think that's right, and I think our members think
2	Lord, I've got to have an eye test here we go: World	2	that's right, but they are being asked to do a lot more
3	Perspectives on Child Abuse, 7th Edition, International	3	than teach with less resource. I just don't think we
4	Society for the Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect,	4	can come back I agree with Yehudis's point about not
5	Chicago, 2006, page 26. I'd like to thank Ben Mathews	5	doing this because of the money but if the money and
6	for that because I've had that for a very long time and	6	the resource isn't in place our concern is that actually
7	it's been very useful.	7	children will be less safe. So I have to sort of say
8	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	8	that that is kind of massive in our members' minds,
9	Anna.	9	being pragmatic about the children that they, as the
10	MS COLE: Thank you.	10	primary service, have in front of them every single day.
11	I think the ASCL position and the position of our	11	I think I've made the other points really, I think
12	members is around being convinced that it's going to	12	if it is introduced then it has to be designed really
13	make children safer and about culture change. It's been	13	well. Our response was that it should be organisational
14	really interesting, and I thought Ben's evidence was	14	level and, if not, it should be the lead. I think that
15	compelling as well yesterday, but obviously I'm here and	15	there is probably slightly different considerations
16	my members aren't.	16	around residential schools and residential services,
17	I think the big issue really for us is around	17	which are not quite the same as the day schools. And
18	perverse incentives and unintended consequences, and	18	real clarity aren't around it, so capacity and clarity
19	I think I have to come back to capacity here, so	19	and good design.
20	capacity of what happens to reports and the point I made	20	Thank you.
21	earlier about what our members are saying to us is they	21	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
22	are making reports to social care and that there is not	22	Albert, just two minutes, please.
23	the capacity locally to deal with them and they are	23	MR HEANEY: Yes, of course.
24	being left to deal with them, and that schools	24	So thank you for the debate, I think it's been
25	themselves are being asked to do a lot more in society.	25	really helpful to have both around the horseshoe but
	D 404		D 402
	Page 181		Page 182
1	importantly the views from the public gallery.	1	So I think for me there's a conversation that perhaps
2	In terms of children's safety, that remains	2	goes hand in hand.
3	paramount, so everything we must do and decisions that	3	So if you were saying for what areas I would
4	come from here have to be based upon what makes the	4	probably suggest might be helpful to explore a little
5	world safer for children to be able to speak out and be	5	bit more, because I do think that that's part you
6	supported.	6	know, we want to detect and respond, of course we do,
7	In terms of the Welsh position, well, being the only	7	but we also want to make sure we have the conditions
8	one that is representing Wales today, you know, I've	8	that we can avoid things becoming an abusive situation
9	given my views of where we are. I think it's been	9	in its own right.
10	progressive, I think the principle of a duty to report	10	Then probably the last thing is I'm very mindful,
11	is the right thing to be doing. When I came down on the	11	again, of technology and developments around technology,
12	train I was still I'd been in the position for some	12	and I'm not sure even from a professional end yet that
13	time: are we in the right place? Have we you know,	13	we are quite as confident around, you know, the use of
14	are the ones within scope the right ones in scope? Do	14	social media in terms of on line grooming, et cetera,
15	we need to extend it? I think around the debate today	15	and we probably need to do a little bit more work to be
16	there's been a good challenge around perhaps that does	16	as well placed as we can do.
17	need to be extended, so I think that's something I'll be	17	Thank you.
18	keen to take back that's different from when I come down	18	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
19	for a meeting with the Minister on Thursday so will be	19	Noelle.
20	keen to have that discussion and dialogue.	20	DR BLACKMAN: Thank you.
21	I think there's some things that I still feel very	21	So I said earlier that I thought that leads of
22	passionately about, and I'm not sure we have covered	22	organisations should be held criminally responsible.
23	them in enough detail, because I don't think this	23	That would include leads like myself of small
24	cultural change in the way we practice is just about the	24	organisations in my mind, as well as big, huge statutory
25	reporting, it's about prevention and early intervention.	25	organisations. But that would only work or be fair if
	Page 183		Page 184

1	there was also mandatory and clear framework of	1	ever that we should have mandatory reporting, but we
2	safeguarding training and practice throughout all	2	need to have effective mandatory reporting and I don't
3	organisations, big and small. That would sharpen leads	3	think we're at all clear what this would look like at
4	to implement this and give really clear leavers where	4	the moment.
5	purposeful avoidance takes place.	5	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.
6	It's still unclear to me, and I think to most people	6	Michelle.
7	here, what will happen beyond reporting. That really	7	MS DENNY-BROWNE: I echo what has been said, and in terms of
8	needs to be addressed. Perhaps we need a further day	8	what it would look like for me, as much as probably
9	that looks at that really clearly. It's clear we need	9	people don't agree, but I would like something that was
10	more capacity, and that probably means more funding, and	10	independent. I feel that we've had opportunities to
11	we know that there is money spent on other things, so	11	work really well, and I think there are some agencies
12	I think that needs to be really the Government really	12	that do work really well together, and there is a lot of
13	needs to get behind thinking about that.	13	agency sharing, which is good; but I just think having
14	We know that once a report is made there needs to be	14	something independent would really help and we do need
15	a fast response with the child's well-being right at the	15	to sort of streamline services.
16	centre of that. I think there does need to be	16	I think I would've liked to have heard a bit more
17	independence and very specific skills needed in that	17	and some of Ben's research to include some of
18	initial assessment so that we don't go straight for	18	disabilities and the BME communities as well to see what
19	maybe criminal, you know, whatever, we need to know what	19	around it would look like with that inclusion, and also
20	that child needs at that point in their lives.	20	to look at the figures of that and what the outcomes of
21	I think agencies working together, creating the	21	that research would have been, that would have been
22	safer communities that Ben referred to in one of his	22	really beneficial for me to know that.
23	presentations, is also really crucial.	23	But, yes, I think that we are a long way off, and
24	And I suppose where I've maybe changed incrementally	24	I think that having another day to look at how services
25	over these two days is that I feel more strongly than	25	could perhaps be streamlined and how we could work
			P
	Page 185		Page 186
1	together would be good. But, yes, I've learnt a lot	1	time to deal with the presenting problem, we don't have
2	today, but I really would like to know a little bit more	2	time to get underneath the problem. So, so many
3	and maybe look at another system that might work for us,	3	children are being excluded from our schools on
4	but at the moment what's on the table is not good	4	a fixed-term basis, we don't actually understand from
5	enough.	5	many of them what's the cause of that behaviour, and
6	MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.	6	beleaguered professionals don't have the time to build
7	Rachel.	7	a relationship to understand what is the lived
8	MS DICKINSON: Thank you. As ever I've learnt a lot today.	8	experience of that child and what do we collectively
9	For me the starting point is that children find	9	need to do to help that child. So for me mandatory
10	around it very, very difficult to disclose child sexual	10	reporting comes a long time down the system, what we
11	abuse, and very often they don't understand that they	11	need is early conversations facilitated by information
12	are actually being harmed. So there's a big issue for	12	systems that talk to one another well so we can spot the
13	me at what point does mandatory reporting come into	13	signs very, very early and react.
14	play.	14	We do need to do more work with the wider community,
15	In terms of the improvements that we need to make,	15	I've been very struck today by the information about
16	we know it's been talked about today that we need	16	children living in communities that are more hidden,
17	to support the training available to the wide range of	17	more closed, and how challenging it is to reach those
18	professionals and volunteers who are regularly coming	18	children in a way that's effective. But I think that
19	into contact with children, ensuring that they've got	19	safeguarding is everybody's business, it's not just
20	access not only to training but to repeat training,	20	professionals' business, and often the people who know
21	information, advice, so that they know how to spot the	21	earliest are the people in the community or the wider
22	signs of harm early and, equally, that they know what to	22	family.
23	do about around it.	23	I think we shouldn't lose the point about supporting
24	Then that brings me to the issue of time, that very	24	our children and young people to understand what healthy
25	often I think professionals and volunteers only have	25	relationships look like, and I think the points made
	D 10-		D 405
	Page 187		Page 188

about relationships and sex education in schools are really, really important points. It's only part of the solution but it's very, very important.

I think that we must look to the broader cultural, moral and social issues that are at the heart of grooming and sexual exploitation too. We must be very aware of the threat of social media to children and young people's safety.

I'm also very aware that, as I'm sitting here discussing this, my colleagues up and down the country are looking at how they can reduce their spending on early health and prevention services, at a time when social workers have got very, very high case loads and not enough time to respond well to the needs of children. So there are very significant pressures in the system that are getting in the way of us responding in the right way to the right child at the right time collectively.

So that's the contribution I would like to make. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.

21 Ben

PROF MATHEWS: I think I could only reiterate the conclusions I made in yesterday's presentation. I think in a developed economy such as this I think the evidence is in that legislative mandatory reporting, plus sound

reporter education, plus anywhere near adequate

investment in systemic responses is optimal public

policy for increased identification of cases of sexual

abuse that would otherwise remain hidden. You have
 a rate of one in eight children in England being

6 subjected to contact child sexual abuse, and twice that

7 amount being subjected to any forms of sexual abuse.

So I think this is not so much a strategy that you need to question whether you can afford to have, it's one that you cannot not afford to have.

11 MS KARMY-JONES: Moya.

MRS SUTTON: Thank you. Just to say thank you for a very interesting day and specifically to Helen for organising this all so well.

What needs to change? Well, my starting point is that in 2017 the government made the Children and Social Work Act of 2017 a more focused and strengthened legislative -- a document with regard to safeguarding. Within those reforms there has been revised statutory guidance in terms of working together which was published last year, and by this September, and certainly by next April, the leadership for safeguarding children is a tripartite arrangement where police, health and the local authorities have distinct

Page 189

funding, which includes training, which includes leadership challenge, and this includes child sexual abuse. So I think I will be looking to those reforms to really see if CSA gets the focus that it should be getting through those strengthened reforms.

Alongside that we're really delighted that the child protection information-sharing system has been widely accepted up to near enough every local authority in every NHS organisation, and the child protection information-sharing system, talking about technology, is a system whereby, if we'd have had it in place many, many years ago, we could have prevented a number of significant child deaths. What around it does, in brief, is it allows the Local Authority and the NHS to share information about children that arrive in NHS settings. We know that children that are being abused, exploited and significantly harmed are taken by the perpetrators to a number of different NHS settings, and children then fall through the stool, so to speak, because nobody is joining up the jigsaw.

I would like to hope that this system and its significant investment will allow local authorities and the new partnerships, and certainly the NHS settings, to identify victims of CSA an awful lot quicker. Their focus is looked after children -- we know that's

Page 191

Page 190

leadership roles for safeguarding, which includes

an issue for children in the care system -- for children who are subject to a child protection plan, and we know of all the CSE cases where children on plans and looked after were victims, and also unborn children, and it is possible that a number of unborn children have been -- women, girls, have become pregnant through child sexual abuse. So this is a real momentum for us to think about putting CSA into two new you legislative and IT systems to give it a higher profile in the hope that we do our utmost to prevent further suffering to children.

Have I changed my mind? I think there's an awful lot of things that are going on that we need to reflect on to say could we do those things better? Are the new changes and new systems in place going to make a difference? I think clarity about what it would look like, who would be the mandatory reporters, where the investment would come from and how we would audit impact and outcomes that I talked about yesterday would be what I would be looking for in the future.

MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.

Mike. Just briefly, remember.

22 DS BRITTON: Thank you.

I'll agree with Moya around the reforms within the Working Together guidance, it has been a significant change for us. I would also say that there are really

Page 192

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

close working relationships between statutory agencies 1 around are there any unintended consequences around the 2 2 at the local level; I completely agree that children criminal justice from mandatory reporting. should be at the centre of those services. 3 3 Then, lastly, has the NPCC's position changed at 4 You asked what needs to be changed if mandatory 4 this time? I would say not, but that is something 5 reporting is brought in. I think everyone around the 5 I would have to go back on from this two days and table has talked about training, that training is reflect with Mr Bailey and then obviously update further 6 6 7 7 essential, needs to be standardised and multi-agency and down the line. 8 8 delivered to all those, along with substantial funding MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. 9 9 and resourcing. Paul. 10 You asked about the solution and what it looks like 10 MR STEWART: Thank you, and thank you for inviting me. 11 and what would need to change in our organisation. I'm 11 I'm probably going to speak about the sport that going to agree with Katy on this really, it's really for 12 12 I was involved in. 13 the parliamentarians, ministers and Government to look 13 I think at elite level they have certainly stepped 14 at what legislation is needed; the police's role is to 14 up to the plate in terms of safeguarding children. At 15 enforce that actually kind of influence how that works 15 grass roots is more of a concern, we have a million 16 in practice. So I'm going to kind of dodge that one 16 children playing football alone, we're in the volunteer 17 a little bit. 17 sector. I can't help but bring back to yesterday's 18 Anything new which hasn't been discussed. I do 18 point in education and awareness, because I believe 19 still raise the point of unintended consequences. 19 mandatory reporting should be in, but then I think it 20 I spoke to Professor Mathews earlier in the day asking 20 should be in just by proxy, we should report because 21 about whether any research has been done about criminal 21 we're talking about a child's safety. 22 22 justice outcomes following mandated reporting, so is I'd like to see a little bit more around Australia 23 there any research been done on the outcomes for victims 23 instead of Wales because I find Wales is more of a duty 24 and survivors in relation to criminal courts. There 24 of care act than a mandatory reporting act. 25 25 I think we've found -- and you will always, I think, isn't any. So for me that is still a big question mark Page 193 Page 194 see this -- is if we want to find grey areas we will 1 I think there is definitely a need to continue with 1 2 find them, and what I've noticed today is that for those 2 thinking about culture, thinking about continuing to 3 3 raise awareness, continuing to think about training and that are not in favour will find the grey areas. That 4 4 is a little concerning. But I also, before we introduce education of individuals. 5 it, I am very mindful that we have to have the right 5 We would support mandatory reporting if it improved 6 procedures in place so that when reports are being put 6 outcomes for children. So, coming back to what Paul 7 said, the focus is very much on improving outcomes for forward that they are dealt with correctly. At the 8 8 moment I do not see that the procedures are in place for the individual child. 9 9 the right information to go from statutory agency to the As I've said before, we would probably favour 10 police. I think we've got to be very mindful that, you 10 an organisational level of reporting. 11 know, these are children that we're talking about -- and 11 Also, emphasising -- it's been said a few times 12 12 Yehudis refers back to it all the time -- these are around the table -- that reporting is everybody's 13 children's lives we're talking about and we have got to 13 business, so if it was in a school setting, you know, it 14 14 make sure that the end-user is protected, and it's all should be for everyone to raise a concern if they've 15 our duty, it's all our responsibility. So, whatever 15 seen something, but it's just a question of who the conclusion you come to, please take on board that it's 16 mandated reporters are. 16 17 the child that we're protecting in all of this. 17 I came to this very much from an evidence-based 18 perspective to come and understand sort of what the case 18 Again, thank you for inviting me. 19 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. 19 on either side of the debate was and, you know, 20 Simon, just a quick comment, please. 20 certainly a lot of things to go away and think about, 21 21 a lot of things that Professor Mathews was talking MR NATHAN: So what needs to change? I mean, at present, 22 schools are bound by the Keeping Children Safe in 22 about; but as a trade body that's for me to take back

Education guidance. Schools', you know, best practice

Whether we introduce mandatory reporting or not,

Page 195

will have child protection policies in place.

23

24

25

23

24

25

into the organisation, but I think certainly something

Page 196

we would want to debate more.

MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.

1	Yehudis.	1	have on the person who is not reported or is reporting
2	MS GOLDSOBEL: What needs to change? Currently synagogues	2	and so on and so forth, but if we look at it as an early
3	and not all faith settings come under regulated	3	intervention as opposed to a criminal, new law, we're
4	activities, and that really needs to happen, so if	4	literally just putting a law in place to have an early
5	anything, policy changes, that needs to be included.	5	intervention for children who are being sexually abused.
6	What I've learnt from these couple of days is	6	Based on that, if we all heard it as that, we'd probably
7	there's a bit of a disparity between the voices of those	7	all walk out saying "yes", so I think we really need to
8	working on the ground and those sitting higher up and	8	just take it for that.
9	doing important work, but there is no sort of	9	We need to see it as child-focused, and we also need
10	communication either which way. We all agree that any	10	to stop placing the responsibility on children to
11	implementation of this mandatory reporting will need	11	disclose in the language that we want them to disclose
12	more money to train, educate, implement and whatever	12	in. So whether that comes down to more money to educate
13	else. I am a fan of independence, and this has sort of	13	people, to see what children are telling us. But, you
14	reiterated that feeling for me, that actually this	14	know, there are people that work with children daily and
15	really shouldn't just be money put more into statutory	15	there are people that work with offenders. We can get
16	services, this needs to be a new system put in place	16	this research, and we really need to, and implement it
17	with a new streamlined procedure. Hopefully that could	17	into whatever we are going to educate before we put in
18	then one day maybe streamline everything a bit more	18	mandatory reporting so that we don't just have a, you
19	because in some areas it's a bit too disjointed.	19	know, "Did the child say X, Y and Z? Hey, it's tick
20	I think we need to see mandatory reporting as	20	box", and then we can move on, it's just responding
21	an early intervention as opposed to a criminal process.	21	properly to children, and I think that's what we need to
22	It's about responding straight away, not worrying about	22	see mandatory reporting as.
23	what's going to happen down the road; it's making sure	23	MS KARMY-JONES: Thanks very much.
24	that child gets whatever they need at that moment; and	24	Almudena.
25	I know we're worried about what repercussions that might	25	MS LARA: Yes, hi, thank you.
			, , ,
	Page 197		Page 198
1	I think it is some about that there are too many	,	do there this so that hale shildren in the masses and
1	I think it is very clear that there are too many	1	do three things that help children in the process - one
2	children suffering abuse that are not known to the	2 3	is to feel that they are listened and believed and taken
3	authorities and not receiving the services that they need. So we really need to explore these and other	4	seriously; that action is taken to protect them; and
4 5		5	that they receive emotional support. But all those
	wider measures to reach out to them and support them. Amongst those measures I think we welcome the focus on		things take time and skill and resource, so we need to
6 7	_	6 7	make sure that any system that strengthens the needs of
8	strengthening the requirements on professionals, and giving professionals a better understanding of what are	8	reporting have that wider support in place. A couple more things. The wider culture, absolutely
_	the roles and responsibilities and the consequences of	0	
9	the roles and responsibilities and the consequences of	١ ٥	
10		9	essential, we know from the research that
10	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we	10	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports
11	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the	10 11	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to
11 12	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up	10 11 12	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they
11 12 13	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of	10 11 12 13	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children.
11 12 13 14	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation.	10 11 12 13 14	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar
11 12 13 14 15	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening	10 11 12 13 14 15	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual
11 12 13 14 15 16	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with children. For that and I'm repeating what I said	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with children. For that and I'm repeating what I said yesterday and throughout the day today I think	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. Tina, last but not least.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with children. For that and I'm repeating what I said yesterday and throughout the day today I think training and better understanding of trauma and the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. Tina, last but not least. MS WILSON: Thank you.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with children. For that and I'm repeating what I said yesterday and throughout the day today I think training and better understanding of trauma and the impact of trauma on children needs to be paramount, and	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. Tina, last but not least. MS WILSON: Thank you. I'd reiterate what people have said around the room.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with children. For that and I'm repeating what I said yesterday and throughout the day today I think training and better understanding of trauma and the impact of trauma on children needs to be paramount, and the service response needs to be appropriate. Just to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. Tina, last but not least. MS WILSON: Thank you. I'd reiterate what people have said around the room. I think it's been a really interesting debate. I think
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with children. For that and I'm repeating what I said yesterday and throughout the day today I think training and better understanding of trauma and the impact of trauma on children needs to be paramount, and the service response needs to be appropriate. Just to give a bit of an idea of what children find useful when	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. Tina, last but not least. MS WILSON: Thank you. I'd reiterate what people have said around the room. I think it's been a really interesting debate. I think it's clear from everyone in the room nothing's more
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with children. For that and I'm repeating what I said yesterday and throughout the day today I think training and better understanding of trauma and the impact of trauma on children needs to be paramount, and the service response needs to be appropriate. Just to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. Tina, last but not least. MS WILSON: Thank you. I'd reiterate what people have said around the room. I think it's been a really interesting debate. I think
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	failing to meet those responsibilities. I think we would look as well to government to report on the criminal sanctions for those that knowingly cover up abuse. I think that that is an absolutely key part of the equation. The area that I think, in doing so, in strengthening the system, I think what we really need to look into is not putting at risk the strong relationships that professionals need to build with families and with children. For that and I'm repeating what I said yesterday and throughout the day today I think training and better understanding of trauma and the impact of trauma on children needs to be paramount, and the service response needs to be appropriate. Just to give a bit of an idea of what children find useful when	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	essential, we know from the research that Professor Mathews shared yesterday that many reports still come from non-mandated professions. So we need to make sure that everybody understands the role that they have to play in protecting children. My final point is I still come out of this seminar not clear as to whether we really can cut off sexual abuse from the wider abuse that children suffer, and I would want a further conversation and further research on that. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. Tina, last but not least. MS WILSON: Thank you. I'd reiterate what people have said around the room. I think it's been a really interesting debate. I think it's clear from everyone in the room nothing's more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 I think it's been interesting, looking at the 2 clarification around wilful concealment and other 3 issues, and the definitions of is it mandatory reporting 4 on allegations or is it around suspicions and concerns. 5 I'm not quite sure we know still what that looks like 6 for us in order to fully go behind anything. 7 You said about what needs to change. I think I'm 8 probably repeating what I've said. For me we know that 9 a culture of openness, transparency, thinking the 10 unthinkable, protects children; we know that cultures 11 that are poor cultures that don't have a golden thread 12 of safeguarding through, that don't have a independent 13 challenge, that don't open their doors, they are 14 cultural issues that don't protect children. So when we 15 talk about what does, good culture does, and I think 16 that's what need to change, and in order to do that 17 I think Government regulators and trade bodies need to 18 act to support all organisations, from youth

robust approach in place, that actually prevention is what actually protects. MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. Thank you. All right, we're now going to go back to the public gallery. I'm just looking to see, I see two or three hands and another. I hope that the gentleman in the

organisations to sports organisations, to get that

middle will bear with me if I go to some of the others first; I'll come back to you because you've had a chance.

So can we go first go to the lady in the corner here?

Observations from THE PUBLIC GALLERY CORE PARTICIPANT: Thank you.

I think if I hear safeguarding is everybody's responsibility one more time I'm going to explode.

My feeling in general, having heard the concluding comments of everyone, is that for the most part people are going to say what they are going to say regardless of the evidence. It feels like a lot of that was pre-determined and a lot of it was sort of organisational posturing.

I think there was some time wasted on some pretty obscure arguments about things like whether or not mandatory reporting prevents or dissuades people from entering certain fields. Just like the former Spurs player said, "If there's a grey area, people who are against will find them". So I found that argument pretty obscure, it's about as obscure as the notion of a child industriously researching the legal framework prior to making a disclosure and going, "Oops, they've got mandatory reporting, I'd better keep quiet then."

Page 201

That's simply is not my experience of disclosure, it doesn't in any way resemble my experience of disclosure or that of any of the survivors that I speak to, and I speak to quite a few. I mean, it could happen, there could also be a floating teapot in space.

What we need to be doing is paying attention to the research, and I'm not sure how Professor Mathews is able to sit there so Zen. I think if I were in his position it would just be kind of feeling frustrated that you've clearly broken down the research, and it's quite rigorous, and I don't know how many people in here read it before coming here, but I did and it's very good and we should listen to it.

Finally, a point on training, just something to keep in mind for those people in the room who commissioned training. That, since there is no accreditation scheme, anyone can put on a big, pink hat and deliver safeguarding training. By the way, that's what I do, but without the hat. But I do run training for frontline practitioners which is how I know that many of them are very confused about what their reporting obligations even. I've even had professionals insist that we already have mandatory reporting. So it's all kind of a big mess.

In any case the evidence clearly shows that

Page 203

Page 202

mandatory reporting is beneficial, that's the "too long, didn't read" version. Also, it has the support, in

3 general, of the majority of survivors, presumably

4 because that 88 per cent, like me, have direct

experience of situations where professionals knew or had

reason to know and they didn't do anything.

MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you.

Can we go next to the lady sitting next to you? CORE PARTICIPANT: Hi, thank you everyone for the last two days.

So 40 years ago my disclosure to the school and

social services was ignored by these institutions. The impact of this has been huge on all of the children in my family -- there are six of us -- we've got 22 children between us, they will all be impacted because of our impact.

Currently a child I know has reported and has been massively let down by a school setting. Yes, there are policies in place and those who work in the school setting are not protecting the child by not following their own policies.

I see MR likelihood is as an early intervention for children. It should activate safety for the child, it should activate the right specialist support access for the child and the family.

Page 204

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Compelling, again I'm agreeing with this lady next to me is the 88 per cent of survivors who responded to the IICSA survey. They were in favour. So all of those adult survivors were children, victims, of child sexual abuse. We are telling you that mandatory reporting, if it was available 40 years ago, I would have been saved from years of abuse. 1 his pupils by one of his staff on school 2 has no legal obligation to say anything 1 I think that that has to change. 4 I think that the fundamental objective children get abused. 5 I think that the culture of vigilance, 6 I think that the culture of vigilance, 7 will be fostered by mandatory reporting	g to anybody.
responded to the IICSA survey. They were in favour. So all of those adult survivors were children, victims, of child sexual abuse. We are telling you that mandatory reporting, if it was available 40 years ago, I would I think that that has to change. I think that the fundamental objectic children get abused. I think that the culture of vigilance,	
4 all of those adult survivors were children, victims, of 5 child sexual abuse. We are telling you that mandatory 6 reporting, if it was available 40 years ago, I would 6 I think that the culture of vigilance,	ive is that fower
5 child sexual abuse. We are telling you that mandatory 5 children get abused. 6 reporting, if it was available 40 years ago, I would 6 I think that the culture of vigilance,	ive is that fower
6 reporting, if it was available 40 years ago, I would 6 I think that the culture of vigilance,	ive is that lewer
_	
7 have been sayed from years of abuse. 7 will be fostered by mandatory reporting	, which I think
will be rostered by mandatory reporting	ng, effectively
8 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you very much. 8 implemented and properly resourced,	will deter abusers
9 The gentleman in the middle. 9 from trying to abuse in the first place.	
10 CORE PARTICIPANT: Thank you. 10 I think that that deterrent effect is s	something that
Those who do not learn the lessons of history are 11 we have not yet discussed in any deta	il. So I mean
12 condemned to repeat them. The Cleveland Inquiry took 12 I think we want to catch more abusers	s but we actually
the medical diagnosis away from doctors, and the 13 want to get more people not to start at	busing in the
14 Children's Commissioner found that only one in eight 14 first place. I think that mandatory rep	orting, because
15 children who are sexually abused receive a statutory 15 abusers are just criminals just like ever	eryone else, they
16 response. 16 won't perpetrate crimes if they believe	e there's
FGM has got mandatory reporting, that needs to be 17 an unreasonably high risk that they w	ill get caught.
extended to the seven out of eight children who cannot 18 I think that is ultimately the ob-	jective that
say anything. They need a doctor to speak on their 19 we should all be looking for.	
20 behalf. 20 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. All	right.
21 Thank you. 21 Okay, then no further comments, so	o I am now going to
22 MS KARMY-JONES: Thank you. 22 just close up the seminar. In doing the	at can I just say
The gentleman in the middle. 23 thank you to everyone here who has w	vorked so incredibly
24 CORE PARTICIPANT: Fundamentally the law as it stands now 24 hard over the last day and a half, and	some of you who
25 means that a headmaster can witness the rape of one of 25 were here on the last occasion as well	and brought or
D 207	
Page 205 Page 206	
1 gave us more of your time in the last day and a half. 1 We will consider everything we've h	heard A full
2 You may want to know what's going to happen next. 2 transcript of today's seminar will be av	
Well, as you know, the inquiry still maintains its 3 inquiry website this evening, and a vice	
4 position of neutrality. If any recommendations are made 4 broadcast will be available in a few da	
5 they are not going to be made now they may be made 5 course, a report summarising discussion	ons over the day
6 at the end of the inquiry's process. 6 and a half will be posted on the websit	te in the coming
7 The seminars are part of a long process, they fall 7 months.	
8 into a larger body of work, and it's important to 8 Finally, just to thank Riel Karmy-Jo	
9 remember that because there's still some way to go in 9 excellent facilitation on both days, and	d also the rest
our consideration and of course I'm sure that everything 10 of the team, Lara McCaffrey, Bethany	Atkinson, Jack Gray
that's been said about the further inquiries and thought 11 and Helen Mitchell, for their work in t	-
12 that needs to go into this is going to be taken on	
13 hoard But a lot of information has been gathered in	
14 gallery for taking such an active part is	
15 and certainly to those of you who cont	
16 year contributions and for coming all this year and to	and observations
17 Professor Methods for coming all this way and divine ye	
19 guch a wandarful act of presentations, thank you	
19 I'm going to hand back to the chair and ask if you 19 (4.25 pm) 20 (The hearing concluded)	
20 wish to make any closing observations.	
21 Closing remarks by THE CHAIR 22	
22 THE CHAIR: I really have nothing further to add to what 23 INDEX	
you've said, Riel, but just to add my thanks of course 24	
to everyone present for their contributions to what's Welcome and opening remarks by THE.	1
25 been a very informative two days. 25 CHAIR	
Page 207 Page 208	

	Ī	Ī	I	ı
	46:13,21 48:4	205:15 206:5	102:6,10 112:15	118:16
A&E 54:25 82:19	49:3,3 53:12,17	abuser 47:7 64:5	116:10 144:13,18	administrative
82:20	53:24 55:13 56:12	109:22,25 110:3	144:23,25 145:5	170:24
ability 51:6 98:19	57:5 62:14,18,20	110:18 111:2	145:10,22 200:3	admitted 67:16
99:3,4 178:8	62:22 63:9,13	abusers 49:1 206:8	actionable 120:19	Adrian 60:25
able 6:20 13:17	64:4,12 66:4,9,12	206:12,15	actioned 149:16	adult 48:24 77:18
23:19 26:24 28:20	67:11,21 68:17,22	abusing 20:24	actions 9:17 49:21	110:17 121:10,11
32:21,25 39:5	75:1 76:20,25	120:3 206:13	70:13	205:4
48:3 49:2 50:8,16	77:3,4,8,11,13	abusive 184:8	activate 204:23,24	adulthood 121:1,9
50:21,24 51:5	78:5 82:22 94:14	abysmally 156:11	active 34:14 87:25	122:1
52:6,15 53:2 58:2	94:15 97:15 100:6	academic 14:17	208:14	adults 20:6 24:17
60:7 62:24 64:2,5	100:10 102:24	168:2	activities 12:8,9,10	49:7,9,18,23 50:8
66:14 69:10 72:16	103:2,6,8,10,10	accept 9:12 112:11	13:1,2,5,8 14:3	77:7 84:20,21
72:19 81:19 99:10	103:16,17 104:6	acceptability 9:2	20:16 40:8 76:10	89:12,14,15
99:19 100:22	104:19 105:9,14	acceptable 11:8	85:14,16 106:17	113:11 122:5
120:18 140:9,10	107:2 108:10,23	accepted 191:8	157:5 197:4	123:7,15 124:10
158:16 178:12,14	109:19 110:14,16	access 50:25 123:17	activity 16:17,22	advantages 74:14
178:20,22 179:2	111:11,12 112:1	128:25 159:1	17:13,22 39:22	adverse 14:23
183:5 203:7	112:21 113:5	178:9,12,14	40:1 77:16 85:20	89:13
absence 93:8	115:1,22 118:3	187:20 204:24	95:10 103:15,16	advice 10:12 38:8
absolute 57:17	119:23,25 120:2,7	accessing 26:25	103:18,20,21,24	85:9 187:21
absolutely 9:4,15	120:13,21 123:12	account 9:22 11:17	104:10 106:21	advise 178:14
11:11 30:11 37:19	124:3,14 127:2	53:20 125:10	138:3	advisers 26:3
48:12 54:17 56:18	130:2 134:8,15	173:21 174:18	actual 62:2 78:13	advocacy 29:16
58:18,19 59:9	137:6,12,21 138:4	accountability 93:9	add 18:3 40:12	advocate 48:1
65:13 69:16 73:4	138:13 141:8,14	accountable 31:10	57:11 67:5 95:7,8	advocating 48:1
86:18 87:10 89:20	143:17 144:4,24	accreditation 140:3	95:12 123:9	affirmative 46:15
106:18,23 123:13	145:1,11,19,20	203:16	131:16 134:3	afford 190:9,10
169:17 170:19	146:5 147:20	accumulating	142:1 159:5	afraid 11:21 48:19
171:1 199:13	152:5,14,19	156:1	207:22,23	136:8 172:4
200:8	155:13 162:3,16	achieve 116:6	add-on 132:16	Africa 180:20
absorbing 32:15	163:9 166:9 168:5	132:6	addition 48:21	age 55:4 64:3 77:15
abuse 1:6,10 2:2	171:21,24 177:21	acknowledge	74:20 138:19	103:9,15,22
4:16 5:25 9:5	177:22 181:3,4	112:10,13,14,16	additional 79:9	104:14 105:17
11:10 15:13,14,15	187:11 190:4,6,7	act 45:6 62:8 67:16	158:5	106:8 109:11
15:18,25,25 16:2	191:3 192:7 199:2	69:23,25 70:1,2	address 63:10 69:4	age-appropriate
16:3 17:9 18:18	199:13 200:16,16	76:11 85:21 87:22	76:25 158:22	64:2
18:23 19:17 20:25	205:5,7 206:9	95:13 108:20	175:23	aged 77:7,9,12,14
21:8 22:15 23:21	abused 17:9 20:9	126:25 133:15	addressed 68:20	agencies 17:3 18:4
26:15 28:6,9	37:3,7 48:19	157:6 190:17 194:24,24 201:18	146:6 185:8 addresses 84:15	26:1 27:1 28:21
29:10 31:22 33:22	65:18 121:4,6	,		28:23 29:11 59:5 68:25 87:14 96:16
34:15 35:6,13,14	122:17,20 123:5 129:2 138:22	acting 31:22 62:14 139:18 144:6	addressing 68:11 adequate 190:1	110:23 126:7
35:18 36:6,10,18	160:8 168:5	action 9:10 49:7,25	adequate 190.1	133:18,19 137:15
36:18,25 37:4	191:16 198:5	61:24 83:23,23	adjournment	176:1,5 185:21
39:1 43:7,19	171.10 170.3	01.44 03.43,43	aujournment	1/0.1,5 105.21
	1		•	1

186:11 193:1	allowing 113:4	anyway 11:10	62:17 86:22	180:17 181:25
agency 41:6 79:18	allows 58:1,2	65:22 85:12 110:1	April 1:1 63:1	182:2 193:4,10
80:2 110:10,12,25	139:14 191:14	155:4	190:22	asking 54:18 72:4
133:25 153:10	alluded 57:13,19	apart 110:14	Archbishop 32:1,2	89:8 140:23
186:13 195:9	98:13	141:14	area 42:6 55:22	193:20
agenda 86:18	alluding 47:16	apologies 16:15	165:17 166:8	aspect 158:13
ago 40:11 100:9	Almudena 47:9	appealing 61:11	169:13 173:17,18	aspects 35:3,22
159:25 162:7	72:10 103:5	appear 7:21 52:6	174:15 199:15	assault 110:7
191:12 204:11	134:24 198:24	appeared 53:16	202:20	112:12
205:6	alongside 70:15,20	appears 55:21	areas 34:24 42:3,14	assess 124:20
agree 28:25 40:17	88:13 140:1 191:6	applauded 56:11	97:10,19 154:11	assessing 62:15
43:22 44:14 100:3	amendment 12:6,7	applied 93:15	158:6 162:1 184:3	assessment 35:19
123:13,21 132:25	12:7 179:25	143:10	195:1,3 197:19	38:5,5 62:11 63:5
133:17 151:14	America 171:17	applies 74:25 75:15	arena 90:17 91:5	67:17 129:21
154:5 155:19	Americas 180:21	75:21,23 76:2,9	91:18 156:22	130:25 185:18
171:2 173:12		80:17 82:1 155:16	arguable 164:7,13	assessments 124:15
	amount 16:17,22		,	
182:4 186:9	41:11 95:10	163:11 166:24	argument 41:6 87:7 142:2 143:7	assistant 27:9,9 assists 2:22
192:23 193:2,12	128:24 190:7	apply 3:6,25 4:1		
197:10	analogy 166:21	70:6,7,19 75:12	158:23 202:21	associated 55:3
agreed 1:15 143:1	analysis 133:19	76:6,12,17 78:2	arguments 7:21	57:4 107:10,18
148:24 167:7	and/or 24:9 67:8	79:3,14 80:15,21	38:11 41:21,21,24	146:13
agreeing 205:1	83:4 98:9 141:23	80:22 81:16 85:19	175:5 202:17	Association 2:14
agreement 142:18	Andrew 142:15	97:18 105:22	207:15	18:1 169:5 179:12
Ah 167:11	Anglican 18:25	119:24 147:6	arises 93:13	assumption 122:12
ahead 35:2	Anna 30:4 40:11	160:15	arose 16:9	assurance 149:7
aimed 4:25 79:10	43:20 44:14 47:16	applying 76:3 81:9	arrangement	Atkinson 2:22
93:24	72:4 154:14 181:9	82:2	190:23	73:23 74:7,8
Albert 40:22 76:5	announced 12:20	appreciation	arrive 191:15	137:8 208:10
85:25 86:2 93:13	anonymously	177:12	arrived 38:18	209:5
140:21 151:11	129:8	approach 15:22	175:10	attach 143:13
182:22	answer 41:16 45:4	74:12,22 75:10	arrives 12:23 82:19	attempts 51:13
alert 144:4	46:17 81:7 89:17	82:5 137:11 138:8		attend 208:15
Alexis 1:8	106:2 117:24,24	139:10 140:11	60:24 61:2 92:25	attended 2:13
All-Wales 90:18	119:5 142:9	165:13,13 201:20	ASCL 181:11	50:25
94:2	157:16 179:4	approaches 74:10	Ashworth 142:15	attention 52:4
allegation 55:25	answered 16:8	74:15,21 75:11	Asia 180:20	95:18 110:23
100:6 149:4,15,17	answers 46:11,15	76:16,21 77:21,23	Asian 19:13	135:22 155:22
149:21 150:7	anti-terrorist	78:3 137:5	aside 143:12	203:6
allegations 18:22	108:21	appropriate 56:17	asked 6:2 30:15	attractions 136:11
76:25 127:1	anxiety 154:13	80:7 83:6 110:13	39:3 41:18 46:9	attractiveness
149:12 201:4	anybody 13:25	110:22 112:17	46:12 53:11 55:5	134:13
alleged 57:5,7	48:18 113:4 116:2	136:3 137:16	60:14 67:19 88:25	audit 192:17
allow 5:14 111:20	175:17 206:2	145:5 149:1	89:2 112:9 117:2	Australia 33:10
176:19 191:22	anyone's 173:23	199:23	119:4 140:20	34:8 36:1 37:11
allowed 119:13	174:18	appropriately	160:10 172:11	44:22 46:19,20
				<u> </u>

				Page 212
(4.22.75.14.70.0	101-24 102-11	 110.10	102.2 105.1 2 2 0	127.16 124.10
64:22 75:14 79:9	191:24 192:11	balanced 119:18	103:2 105:1,2,2,9	127:16 134:10
80:15,19 141:10	В	balancing 153:14	106:7,8 109:13,23	143:23 147:23
141:15 147:18	baby 28:4	balloon 39:4	124:8,11 142:11	159:21 176:11
166:8 171:14	back 2:10,17,23	band 103:22	142:12 143:2	195:23
177:8 194:22	3:15 10:14 11:22	bar 62:20	151:17 153:3,24	Bethany 2:22 73:23
Australian 98:3,4		Barnet 26:11	176:14 188:5	74:4,6 78:1 137:5
98:12 168:1	22:2 30:20,21	Baroness 179:25	behavioural 24:23	139:2 208:10
authorities 5:22	31:18 32:9 33:6	180:4	beleaguered 188:6	Bethany's 86:7
25:19 76:3 93:15	39:17 40:2,24	barrier 134:21	belief 81:23 85:22	better 33:3,10
113:8,10 135:5	45:15 47:10 53:7	barriers 48:17	99:1 146:4	41:14 45:10 47:13
136:10 165:20	54:10 59:7 62:5	132:22 136:22,25	believe 5:19 14:10	47:18 48:3 49:18
169:14 190:24	64:16 65:24 66:18	Barring 156:9	14:19 16:10 38:1	50:15 61:12 70:14
191:22 199:3	66:20 67:6 77:24	barristers 85:9,10	38:7 44:12 61:5	81:6 97:23 105:8
authority 12:1 13:4	78:23 81:2 91:17	121:15	98:8 99:3 102:5	163:9,13 192:13
38:25 39:23 45:2	94:10 100:2	Barry 108:12	107:14 139:7,22	199:8,21 202:25
76:7 77:19 78:14	102:19 106:23	bars 21:11	140:5 160:17	beyond 85:22 185:7
86:8 93:21 96:11	111:6,15 118:11	based 38:9 83:20	166:25 176:16	big 12:23 25:4 30:1
100:13 106:24	118:20 119:7	138:14 168:2	194:18 206:16	66:15 71:2 72:12
129:25 131:5,11	124:23 125:14	183:4 198:6	believed 200:2	114:18 155:13
149:13,14 161:2	128:17,19 131:8	basically 19:17,21	believers 61:3	167:15 176:3
169:13 191:8,14	132:18 139:1,5	120:12	believes 99:2	181:17 184:24
autism 24:9 67:8	142:8 154:15	basics 164:5	belts 61:9,11	185:3 187:12
autistic 67:15 69:3	156:23 159:20	basis 96:11,24 97:6	150:20	193:25 203:17,24
automatically 73:3	160:24 161:10	166:4 188:4	Ben 33:24,25 36:17	biggest 6:10 29:19
available 1:20,21	166:6,21 167:18	Bates 180:1	45:21 56:3 74:11	39:14 131:4
5:15 50:24 87:24	167:21 169:2,23	bear 4:3 92:2 202:1	79:2 80:9 83:12	136:22
145:15 165:16	178:18 181:19	bearing 71:5	86:5 90:7 98:3	Bill 180:1
172:21,21 179:17	182:4 183:18	140:13 170:11	99:12 103:3	billion 36:7
187:17 205:6	194:5,17 195:12	180:15	106:13 109:8	birds 161:23
208:2,4	196:6,22 201:23	becoming 152:23	117:23 126:6	birthday 72:22
avalanche 90:24	202:2 207:19	184:8	140:12 147:12	73:1
91:13	backdrop 157:21	bed 176:20	150:13 160:20	bit 8:11 10:4 11:20
aversion 48:9	backed 140:18	began 41:19 166:9	161:24 163:14	15:15 28:16 31:24
160:21	background 29:17	begged 65:20	170:5 177:6	55:17 57:14 59:8
averting 68:7	163:14	beginning 42:25	180:17 181:5	78:18 79:1 86:2
avoid 184:8	backgrounds	behalf 175:8	185:22 189:21	86:14 90:12 91:17
avoidance 185:5	166:23	205:20	Ben's 37:18 181:14	106:22 123:20
aware 5:9 79:21	backyard 109:4	behave 26:7 94:20	186:17	131:19 140:21
	bad 41:4 56:9		beneficial 14:24	
117:9 125:2 150:1	176:14	126:25		148:9,15 154:15
151:2 189:7,9	badly 156:17	behaviour 24:10,21	65:4 186:22 204:1	159:6 161:7,8
awareness 42:15	baggage 92:6	24:24,25 57:3	benefit 1:7 28:25	165:8 169:24
45:20 88:8 161:11	Bailey 194:6	58:3 61:4,7,8	38:13 65:7	173:7 184:5,15
194:18 196:3	balance 9:19	62:22 63:15,21	best 27:6 28:12	186:16 187:2
awful 30:10 32:21	116:12	68:9,10,12 70:8	42:12 47:15 49:25	193:17 194:22
125:6 128:24	110.12	73:11,15 81:21	56:25 68:1,24	197:7,18,19
	I	!	I	I

				1490 213
199:24	bound 28:19	broadcast 208:4	called 10:18 57:21	164:13 189:13
bits 11:20	195:22	broaden 42:17	111:12	196:18 203:25
black 18:14 21:15	boundaries 49:20	broader 15:3 80:16	calling 129:24,24	cases 9:6,9 26:5,14
106:23 107:1	boundary 27:3	86:11 189:4	129:25	26:16 30:17 35:21
154:11	box 57:6 198:20	broadest 14:2	calls 130:14,15,15	39:4 44:6,8 46:9
Blackman 6:10	boxes 10:11,14	76:16	132:13 133:10	46:13,13 48:9
24:8 47:23 67:6	boxing 12:13	broken 13:14	campaigns 87:22	49:22 52:14,14
104:1 123:10	brave 100:12 101:8	203:10	campaigns 67:22	53:9,9,13 54:23
155:3,19 184:20	101:11,11	broker 163:6	Canada 141:15	55:7,19 59:7
blame 90:21	breadth 14:4 74:22	brought 25:11	171:15	60:13 65:3 70:11
blame/fear 139:16	break 4:20 66:15	32:22 33:8 64:12	cancer 28:5 114:15	79:11,19 81:23
blank 128:4	66:18,19,24 111:7	98:25 115:19	canteen 101:5	86:21 109:21,25
blind 121:19,24	111:10,17 118:10	117:7 133:21	Canterbury 31:19	110:1,2,4 111:2
160:19	119:1 159:17	134:15 193:5	31:25 32:1,2,14	116:22 128:3
bloody 123:5	169:3 170:1,5	206:25	canvas 128:5	130:25 131:14
blowing 57:9	breakdown 172:20	brush 14:2	capacity 30:18	143:16 144:23,24
blue 115:8 164:3	breeds 25:24	budget 36:8	40:16 181:19,20	145:1,6,11,12,22
blunt 108:15	Brent 108:13	build 45:19 89:12	181:23 182:18	155:14 158:20
BME 21:16,18 22:3	brief 32:10 60:22	95:25 98:23 188:6	185:10	162:3,7 164:7,15
22:6,16 23:10,16	60:23 63:24 64:17	199:18	card 58:22	164:17,17 165:19
28:25 43:3 186:18	138:25 159:5	builders 39:14	care 5:17 17:3 18:4	178:16 190:3
board 32:8 42:8	164:5 191:14	built 40:6 129:10	30:6,7,18 42:25	192:3
54:22 58:7 71:7	briefly 10:1,6 73:24	bullet 165:22	80:23 87:24 88:15	cast 121:21
90:16 195:16	74:17 91:24	bullying 111:25	99:15 126:16	cast 121.21 casting 76:8 148:15
207:13	111:10 118:12	112:3,11	181:22 192:1	catalyst 165:21
board-to-ward	137:10 192:21	bundle 52:12	194:24	catch 26:22 127:5,6
56:23	bring 10:8 22:21	bung 109:1	career 150:3	162:17 206:12
Boarding 169:5	29:5 32:24 33:18	burden 35:6	159:10 160:3	Catholic 31:3 47:1
boards 54:8 87:16	42:15 53:18 71:22	bursar's 78:17	careers 160:2,6	47:1 63:8 126:12
90:16 149:6	101:8 110:22	BURTON 50:3,5	careful 33:18 35:4	caught 206:17
boat 109:2	194:17	143:22 157:14	103:23 109:13	caught 200:17 cause 124:16,21
	bringing 33:12	177:16	111:23 117:13	134:7 188:5
33:21 97:19 99:11	0 0	business 22:20	carefully 63:18	caused 125:6
165:3,4 201:17	brings 160:24 187:24	30:21 42:19,20,20	carefully 63:18	caused 125.6 causes 17:18
-	Bristol 31:6	99:19 100:16	carried 8:23 10:13	
body 3:18 31:9 60:18 72:16	Britain 22:8		14:6 48:15 159:6	caution 119:10,16 145:9
132:20 136:12		102:13 188:19,20		
160:25 179:11	Britain's 39:14	196:13	carry 38:4 129:20	cautionary 119:6 caveat 38:22
	British 179:11	butting 132:8	carrying 66:10	
196:22 207:8	Britton 104:13	bystander 160:20	135:14 139:20	ceded 179:24
body's 137:17	118:24 119:2	<u>C</u>	case 14:18,20 31:7	cent 14:10 16:24
book 69:21	120:4 132:18,24	Cabin 107:6	43:10,17 53:23	17:5,11 30:13
bordered 169:13	133:7 151:11,21	call 28:1 82:8 83:3	56:12 71:14 90:22	60:9 67:10 120:14
borne 79:15	156:4 192:22	87:9 119:23,25	91:2 105:7 110:22	167:6,11,13 172:6
bottom 109:1	broad 13:24 126:21	130:4 132:2	112:2 129:10,22	172:9,13 180:20
153:18	141:21	130.7 132.2	136:19 160:3	180:20,20,21,22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	I

180:22,23 204:4	37:6 68:10 90:18	19:18,22 21:8	205:5	175:20 176:4,13
205:2	153:7 188:17	26:17 28:8,12,21	child's 59:9 64:10	181:13 182:7,9
central 57:24	chance 98:7 100:19	31:22 33:17 34:10	97:14 109:23	183:5 187:9,19
centralised 133:22	202:3	35:6,18 36:5,8,18	111:2 185:15	188:3,16,18,24
centre 114:3	Chancellor 37:23	36:25 37:3 39:1	194:21	189:7,15 190:5,16
185:16 193:3	change 2:11,12	40:20 43:18 46:21	child-focused	190:23 191:15,16
centres 54:15 55:1	20:14 21:4 24:5	49:16,18,19,24,24	198:9	190:23 191:13,10
certain 34:1 46:9	27:22 28:17 61:7	49:10,18,19,24,24	child/young 56:25	191:19,23 192:1,1
46:10 49:21	61:8,8,12 62:3	52:16 53:12,23	57:8	193:2 194:14,16
119:17 202:19	86:24 88:8,13	54:2 55:4,9,13	childcare 80:23	
	117:19 121:25	, ,		195:11,22 196:6
certainly 8:19 10:8		57:5 59:9 60:1	childhood 80:22	198:5,10,13,14,21
38:8 39:23,24	163:1 173:14,18	62:14,18,22 64:6	89:13	199:2,19,22,24
45:12 50:10 51:12	174:16,20 181:13	64:8,9,9,10 68:25	children 7:5,7,10	200:1,13,16,25
55:19 57:15 58:6	183:24 190:15	75:1,17 76:20	14:14 16:19,22	201:10,14 204:13
59:6,24 79:19	192:25 193:11	77:3,17 78:5	17:2,14,18 18:6	204:15,23 205:4
81:9,16 82:17,23	195:21 197:2	79:18 80:2 82:18	20:5,9 21:4 24:9	205:15,18 206:5
83:22 86:16 88:14	201:7,16 206:3	82:21,21 83:21	24:19,20 26:1,15	children's 2:15
98:25 99:24 100:3	changed 46:2,15	90:20 92:7,12	27:14,18 28:12,18	18:2 34:14 42:16
104:7 125:2	63:15 84:13,14	95:6 97:2,22 99:2	33:2 40:19 41:10	72:25 75:19 81:20
126:12 139:7	90:20 106:8	99:6 100:10 106:5	42:6,7,12 43:14	81:21 88:19 92:9
143:24 144:21	162:14 173:24	110:10,12,16,24	43:15 47:24 48:14	97:10 99:1,15
145:20 150:1,2	174:10,19 180:13	111:1 112:4,4	48:24 49:5,9,20	104:3,4,12 113:6
154:4 158:19	185:24 192:11	113:5,15,17	49:20 50:10,16	133:8 183:2
175:16 177:19	193:4 194:3	114:25 115:22	51:18 63:25 64:2	195:13 205:14
179:10 190:22	changer 166:2	118:3 120:6,13	65:5,14,16 67:7	chip 44:10
191:23 194:13	changes 43:25	130:2 137:6,21	70:1 72:16,18,19	choice 7:3,6,10,12
196:20,23 208:15	81:21 106:7	138:4,13,21	73:14 75:16 77:10	7:19 34:14 38:2
cetera 12:15 55:11	116:12,15 173:2	143:17 144:2,4,7	77:12,14,17 81:10	64:8,11 101:10
55:11 68:3,4	176:19 192:14	144:24 145:1,11	81:18 84:19,20	choices 7:7 63:25
147:7 184:14	197:5	145:20 146:4,19	87:15 88:10 89:14	64:2,5
chain 79:1,25	changing 63:21,21	152:5,16,18	95:2,11,15,20	choose 16:12 34:22
chair 1:3,4,9 2:9,10	162:8	153:13,16,17	96:1,4,9,13,15,16	36:9 160:23
18:17 32:8 207:19	Channel 30:14	154:1,8 155:13	96:21,23,25 97:5	chosen 34:13 97:23
207:21,22 208:25	charged 42:4	157:20 160:9,11	98:5 102:25 103:6	141:17
209:11	charmingly 32:9	162:3 163:9 166:9	103:24 113:7,9,12	church 4:10 18:20
challenge 58:13,16	chart 154:17	171:3 177:19,21	113:13 119:4,7,19	19:2,4 31:10,21
71:12,21 72:1	check 83:19	178:12,21,23	120:3 123:18	32:11 47:1,1
86:4 88:8,10	checked 32:5	181:3,4 185:20	127:14 128:12,25	126:17
90:11 91:9 102:9	122:13	187:10 188:8,9	130:11 134:5,7,8	circumstances
125:16 183:16	Chicago 181:5	189:17 190:6	134:20 135:21	10:23,24 70:5,7
191:2 201:13	chief 75:24	191:2,6,9,13	136:21 138:5,12	95:5 154:5 157:25
challenges 58:10	child 1:6,9 2:1 4:15	192:2,6 195:17,24	146:1,19 147:5	178:23
80:4 125:2 153:10	5:19,25 10:15	196:8 197:24	149:6 151:17	citizens 82:1,2
154:9 178:4	11:9 15:14 17:8	198:19 202:23	154:16 157:25	civil 142:5 170:23
challenging 8:14	17:21 18:1,8,9,22	204:17,20,23,25	159:9 160:7 161:2	claims 33:22

clarification 83:12 201:2 clubby 32:3 clubs 47:3 76:14 88:13,21 89:1,5 comment 6:9,14 11:6 32:18 40:24 42:1,22 53:6 185:22 186:18 11:6 32:18 40:24 42:1,22 53:6 185:22 186:18 16:32 189:1,5 clarify 84:5,9 93:16 93:23 123:10 172:5 180:19 clarity 6:12 124:24 146:17 147:3 171:5 182:18,18 192:15 classic 61:9 cleaner 85:2 cleaner 84:7 cleaner 84:17 cleaner 84:17 cleaner 84:17 cleaner 84:17 cleaner 84:17 cleaner 84:17 cleaner 84:17 cleaner 84:18 consci 19:20 13:21 122:22 140:13 cleaner 60:19 70:24 clearer 60:19 70:24 clearer 60:19 70:24 clearer 60:19 70:24 clearer 60:19 70:24 clearer 60:19 70:24 clearer 89:10 20:10 3:24 43:21,22 57:5,9 154:71 77:3 133:1,10 136:18 133:10 136:20 120:11,14 20:22 140:13 140:14 29:14 20 140:14 20:15 23:19 120:11,14 20:22 140:13 140:14 29:14 20 140:14 20:14 20 140:15 21:15 20:20 140:13 20:14 20:14 140:14 20:18 20:20 140:14 20:14 20:14 140:14 20:15 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:20 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20:10 20					<u> </u>
201:2 clarified 13:12 94:1 S6:12 96:22 94:10 100:12 29:33 123:10 172:5 180:19 99:2 111:6,14 114:4 164:19 65:24 69:10 172:5 180:19 99:2 115:7 118:11 106:13 111:18 20:24 21:5,11,16 21:6 22:22 23:11 146:17 147:3 171:5 182:18,18 192:15 coaches 12:15 47:4 120:18 122:22,7 124:23 127:9,17 125:19 132:19 23:12,16 25:4,10 126:20 12:12,22 cleaners 147:7 cleaning 78:17 coercing 64:6	clarification 83:12	clubby 32:3	85:25 87:6,16	comment 6:9,14	185:22 186:18
clarify 84:5,9 93:16 93:23 123:10 co-reporting 83:10 coach 97:21 98:9 101:3,4,12 106:13 11:18 64:16.14 114:4 54:11 59:20,22 64:10 65:24 69:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16,20,24 20:10 19:16;20:22 23:11 10:19:19:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:19 119:3 11:16:20:22 23:11 12:19 132:19 132:19 12:16:22:22 23:11 128:17 132:18 133:6 136:6 19:19 12:19 132:19 132:19 123:19, 126:19, 126:19, 126:19 132:19 132:19 123:19, 126:19, 126:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 132:19 1	201:2	clubs 47:3 76:14	88:13,21 89:1,5	11:6 32:18 40:24	188:16
93:23 123:10 172:5 180:19 clarity 6:12 124:24 clarity 6:12 124:24 146:17 147:3 171:5 182:18,18 192:15 classic 61:9 cleaner 85:2 cleaners 147:7 clean 14:19 49:4 cleaner 85:2 cleaners 147:7 cleaning 78:17 clean 14:19 49:4 corecing 64:6 62:20 112:12,22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 120 121:10:5 112:5 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10	clarified 13:12 94:1	86:12 96:22	94:10 100:12	42:1,22 53:6	community 18:15
93:23 123:10 172:5 180:19 clarity 6:12 124:24 clarity 6:12 124:24 146:17 147:3 171:5 182:18,18 192:15 classic 61:9 cleaner 85:2 cleaners 147:7 clean 14:19 49:4 cleaner 85:2 cleaners 147:7 cleaning 78:17 clean 14:19 49:4 corecing 64:6 62:20 112:12,22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 113:21 122:22 120 121:10:5 112:5 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10 158:15 13:10	clarify 84:5,9 93:16	co-reporting 83:10	101:3,4,12 106:13	54:11 59:20,22	19:8,13,14,14,15
clarity 6:12 124:24 146:17 147:3 coaches 12:15 47:4 157:4 120:18 122:2,2,7 124:23 127:9,17 116:19 119:3 125:19 132:19 21:16 22:22 23:11 23:12,16 25:4,10 25:19 26:23:4,10 25:19 26:3,17,22 23:12,16 25:4,11 23:12,16 25:4,10 25:19 26:3,17,22 23:12,16 25:4,11 23:12,16 25:4,11 23:12,16 25:4,11 23:12,16 25:4,11 25:19 26:13,17,22 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:21 27:23,24 41:15 27:21 27:23,24 41:15 27:21 27:23,24 41:15 27:21 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:21		coach 97:21 98:9	111:6,14 114:4	64:19 65:24 69:10	19:16,20,24 20:10
146:17 147:3 157:4 124:23 127:9,17 125:19 132:19 23:12,16 25:4,10 25:19 26:13,17,22 128:17 132:18 133:6 136:6 25:19 26:13,17,22 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41:15 27:23,24 41	172:5 180:19	99:2	115:7 118:11	106:13 111:18	20:24 21:5,11,16
171:5 182:18,18 192:15	clarity 6:12 124:24	coaches 12:15 47:4	120:18 122:2,2,7	116:19 119:3	21:16 22:22 23:11
192:15	146:17 147:3	157:4	124:23 127:9,17	125:19 132:19	23:12,16 25:4,10
classic 61:9 codes 139:20 149:17 151:24 170:8 195:20 50:7 97:5 126:10 cleaner 85:2 coerced 103:24 154:15 167:18 168:21,22 169:23 4:24 8:1 19:10 126:11,17,19,22 cleaning 78:17 cognitive 124:12 170:5 179:4,8 59:20 66:11 78:3 131:10 158:15 clear 14:19 49:4 cohesion 19:20 181:19 182:4 59:20 66:11 78:3 131:10 158:15 13:21 122:22 COLE 30:5 40:13 192:17 195:16 206:21 208:16 71:19 community-based 140:25 144:1,10 43:21,25 72:5,9 196:18 197:3 200:11,14 202:2 168:1 commissioned 164:16 169:8,17 170:20 185:1,4,9 181:10 collaborate 179:5 20:20 23:6 25:13 commissioner company 115:13 company 15:13 200:15,24 colleague 16:16 57:14 59:8 72:24 69:17 committed 56:15 205:1 141:7 185:9 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 56:15 committed 58:14 complaint 158:7 141:7 185:9 collectively 42:6,11 136:19 151:15 166:2 69:24 complaint 100:18 205:12 </td <th>171:5 182:18,18</th> <td>code 57:21 58:21</td> <td>128:17 132:18</td> <td>133:6 136:6</td> <td>25:19 26:13,17,22</td>	171:5 182:18,18	code 57:21 58:21	128:17 132:18	133:6 136:6	25:19 26:13,17,22
cleaner 85:2 cleaners 147:7 cleaning 78:17 clear 14:19 49:4 coercing 64:6 copsion 19:20 tolesion 19:20 154:15 167:18 168:21,22 169:23 170:5 179:4,8 168:21,22 169:23 170:5 179:4,8 173:11 128:3 comments 3:9 4:20 4:24 8:1 19:10 4:24 8:1 19:10 59:20 66:11 78:3 59:20 66:11 78:3 13:10 158:15 188:14,21 20:21 13:21 122:22 Clear 14:1,10 152:15 10 13:21 122:22 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 154:15 10 140:25 144:1,10 140:13 154:16 140:15 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:13 140:140	192:15	146:11,23	135:22 147:14	165:25 169:2	27:23,24 41:15
cleaners 147:7 cleaning 78:17 clear 14:19 49:4 clear 14:19 49:4 coescing 64:6 cognitive 124:12 colesion 19:20 168:21,22 169:23 170:5 179:4,8 181:19 182:4 4:24 8:1 19:10 59:20 66:11 78:3 131:10 158:15 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 131:10 158:15 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 188:14,21 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 181:10 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 66:13 59:20 173:15 59:20 66:13 59:20 60:11 78:3 173:18 59:20 60:13 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:20 79:2	classic 61:9	codes 139:20	149:17 151:24	170:8 195:20	50:7 97:5 126:10
cleaning 78:17 copnitive 124:12 170:5 179:4,8 59:20 66:11 78:3 131:10 158:15 clear 14:19 49:4 62:20 112:12,22 13:21 122:22 13:21 122:22 140:25 144:1,10 43:21,25 72:5,9 183:4,18 187:13 173:1,8 202:11 community-based 154:17,17 155:10 43:21,25 72:5,9 196:18 197:3 200:11,14 202:2 commission 46:20 companies 61:3 164:16 169:8,17 181:10 collaborate 179:5 20:20 23:6 25:13 203:15 companies 61:3 186:3 199:1 collate 111:7 131:5 28:6 36:21 44:17 commissioned companies 61:3 200:15,24 colleague 16:16 57:14 59:8 72:24 203:15 compelling 181:15 201:0 25 103:17 19:16 120:9 13:7 205:14 commitment 54:22 141:7 185:9 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 136:19 151:15 committee 58:14 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 commountating complaint 100:18 205:12 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 commountation 24:24 197:10 complaints 158:1 205:12	cleaner 85:2	coerced 103:24	154:15 167:18	comments 3:9 4:20	126:11,17,19,22
clear 14:19 49:4 cohesion 19:20 181:19 182:4 97:18 166:20 188:14,21 62:20 112:12,22 113:21 122:22 COLE 30:5 40:13 192:17 195:16 1206:21 208:16 71:19 140:25 144:1,10 43:21,25 72:5,9 196:18 197:3 206:21 208:16 71:19 154:17,17 155:10 73:9 154:16 200:11,14 202:2 168:1 companies 61:3 170:20 185:1,4,9 181:10 20:20 23:6 25:13 203:15 203:15 200:15,24 collate 111:7 131:5 28:6 36:21 44:17 commissioner 205:1 140:13 colleague 16:16 57:14 59:8 72:24 113:7 205:14 205:1 13:21 24:20 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 62:15 competence 72:23 141:7 185:9 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 complained 158:9 141:7 185:9 collect 117:4 159:20 16:16 69:24 complained 158:9 12erical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 188:10 198:12 communication complaint 100:18 205:12 60:12 46:17 47:8 112:21 92:1 communitie	cleaners 147:7	coercing 64:6	168:21,22 169:23	4:24 8:1 19:10	127:11 128:3
62:20 112:12,22 colander 85:15 183:4,18 187:13 173:1,8 202:11 community-based 113:21 122:22 43:21,25 72:5,9 196:18 197:3 206:21 208:16 71:19 140:25 144:1,10 43:21,25 72:5,9 196:18 197:3 200:11,14 202:2 commission 46:20 companies 61:3 154:17,17 155:10 181:10 200:11,14 202:2 comes 5:8 6:4 17:25 20:20 23:6 25:13 commissioned companies 61:3 170:20 185:1,4,9 181:10 20:20 23:6 25:13 203:15 20:3:15 20:6 20:20 23:6 25:13 20:3:15 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:20 23:6 25:13 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 20:5:1 2	cleaning 78:17	cognitive 124:12	170:5 179:4,8	59:20 66:11 78:3	131:10 158:15
113:21 122:22 COLE 30:5 40:13 192:17 195:16 206:21 208:16 71:19 140:25 144:1,10 43:21,25 72:5,9 196:18 197:3 200:11,14 202:2 commission 46:20 companies 61:3 154:17,17 155:10 181:10 comes 5:8 6:4 17:25 comes 5:8 6:4 17:25 commissioned companing 45:24 170:20 185:1,4,9 collaborate 179:5 collate 111:7 131:5 28:6 36:21 44:17 commissioned 203:15 96:6 200:15,24 colleague 16:16 83:18,18,21,22 92:5 103:17 commissioner 113:7 205:14 competence 72:23 140:13 colleagues 88:22 19:16 120:9 69:17 committed 62:15 competence 72:23 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 136:19 151:15 committed 62:15 committing 153:1 complained 158:9 141:7 185:9 collective 43:5 collective 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 comfortable 9:12 73:15 complaint 100:18 16erical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 46:17 47:8 112:21	clear 14:19 49:4	cohesion 19:20	181:19 182:4	97:18 166:20	188:14,21
113:21 122:22 COLE 30:5 40:13 192:17 195:16 206:21 208:16 71:19 140:25 144:1,10 43:21,25 72:5,9 196:18 197:3 200:11,14 202:2 commission 46:20 companies 61:3 154:17,17 155:10 181:10 comes 5:8 6:4 17:25 comes 5:8 6:4 17:25 commissioned companies 61:3 170:20 185:1,4,9 collaborate 179:5 collaborate 179:5 20:20 23:6 25:13 203:15 96:6 200:15,24 colleague 16:16 83:18,18,21,22 92:5 103:17 commissioner 113:7 205:14 competence 72:23 140:13 colleagues 88:22 19:16 120:9 69:17 committed 62:15 competence 72:23 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 136:19 151:15 committed 62:15 complained 158:9 141:7 185:9 collectively 42:6,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 162:25 166:16 69:24 complaining 158:7 cleregy 18:18 19:4 colleges 68:15 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	62:20 112:12,22	colander 85:15	183:4,18 187:13	173:1,8 202:11	community-based
154:17,17 155:10 73:9 154:16 200:11,14 202:2 168:1 company 115:13 164:16 169:8,17 170:20 185:1,4,9 collaborate 179:5 20:20 23:6 25:13 203:15 96:6 186:3 199:1 collate 111:7 131:5 28:6 36:21 44:17 Commissioner compelling 181:15 200:15,24 colleague 16:16 57:14 59:8 72:24 commissioner 205:1 clearer 60:19 70:24 83:18,18,21,22 colleagues 88:22 119:16 120:9 commitment 54:22 competence 72:23 140:13 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committee 58:14 competence 72:23 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 complacent 47:12 141:7 185:9 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collectively 42:6,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 166:4 colleges 68:15 205:12 23:25 96:2 113:4 communication 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 cleints 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	113:21 122:22	COLE 30:5 40:13	192:17 195:16	206:21 208:16	
164:16 169:8,17 181:10 comes 5:8 6:4 17:25 commissioned comparing 45:24 170:20 185:1,4,9 186:3 199:1 20:20 23:6 25:13 20:3:15 96:6 186:3 199:1 collate 111:7 131:5 28:6 36:21 44:17 Commissioner compelling 181:15 200:15,24 colleague 16:16 57:14 59:8 72:24 113:7 205:14 205:1 clearer 60:19 70:24 83:18,18,21,22 92:5 103:17 commitment 54:22 competence 72:23 clearly 31:14 68:9 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 62:15 committed 62:15 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 competences 7:8 141:7 185:9 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 communicating 73:15 clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completed 32:6	140:25 144:1,10	43:21,25 72:5,9	196:18 197:3	Commission 46:20	companies 61:3
170:20 185:1,4,9 collaborate 179:5 20:20 23:6 25:13 203:15 96:6 186:3 199:1 200:15,24 colleague 16:16 57:14 59:8 72:24 113:7 205:14 205:1 clearer 60:19 70:24 83:18,18,21,22 92:5 103:17 commitment 54:22 competence 72:23 140:13 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 62:15 competence 72:23 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 complacent 47:12 141:7 185:9 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collectively 42:6,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 16erical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	154:17,17 155:10	73:9 154:16	200:11,14 202:2	168:1	company 115:13
186:3 199:1 collate 111:7 131:5 28:6 36:21 44:17 Commissioner compelling 181:15 200:15,24 57:14 59:8 72:24 113:7 205:14 205:1 clearer 60:19 70:24 83:18,18,21,22 92:5 103:17 commitment 54:22 competence 72:23 140:13 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 62:15 competence 72:23 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 complacent 47:12 113:2 124:20 189:10 136:19 151:15 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collective 43:5 162:25 166:16 69:24 complained 158:9 clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 communicating 73:15 205:12 collusion 42:23 collusion 42:23 comfortable ess 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	164:16 169:8,17	181:10	comes 5:8 6:4 17:25	commissioned	comparing 45:24
200:15,24 colleague 16:16 57:14 59:8 72:24 113:7 205:14 205:1 clearer 60:19 70:24 83:18,18,21,22 92:5 103:17 commitment 54:22 competence 72:23 140:13 140:13 120:11 10:5 112:5 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 62:15 competences 7:8 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 complacent 47:12 13:2 124:20 189:10 136:19 151:15 committing 153:1 175:18 203:10,25 collect 117:4 162:25 166:16 69:24 complained 158:9 clergy 18:18 19:4 collectively 42:6,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 Cleveland 166:6 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 205:12 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	170:20 185:1,4,9	collaborate 179:5	20:20 23:6 25:13	203:15	96:6
clearer 60:19 70:24 83:18,18,21,22 92:5 103:17 commitment 54:22 competence 72:23 140:13 colleagues 88:22 119:16 120:9 69:17 72:24 clearly 31:14 68:9 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 62:15 competence 72:23 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 complacent 47:12 113:2 124:20 189:10 136:19 151:15 committing 153:1 175:18 203:10,25 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 clergy 18:18 19:4 collectively 42:6,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 Cleveland 166:6 collusion 42:23 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	186:3 199:1	collate 111:7 131:5	28:6 36:21 44:17	Commissioner	compelling 181:15
140:13 colleagues 88:22 119:16 120:9 69:17 72:24 clearly 31:14 68:9 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 62:15 competencies 7:8 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 complacent 47:12 113:2 124:20 189:10 136:19 151:15 committing 153:1 175:18 203:10,25 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 clergy 18:18 19:4 collectively 42:6,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 Cleveland 166:6 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 collusion 42:23 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completed 32:6	200:15,24	colleague 16:16	57:14 59:8 72:24	113:7 205:14	205:1
clearly 31:14 68:9 144:25 145:8 122:17 130:7 committed 62:15 competencies 7:8 91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committed 62:15 complacent 47:12 113:2 124:20 189:10 136:19 151:15 committing 153:1 175:18 141:7 185:9 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	clearer 60:19 70:24	83:18,18,21,22	92:5 103:17	commitment 54:22	competence 72:23
91:20 110:5 112:5 154:7 177:3 133:1,10 136:18 committee 58:14 complacent 47:12 113:2 124:20 189:10 136:19 151:15 committing 153:1 175:18 141:7 185:9 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collective 43:5 162:25 166:16 69:24 complaining 158:7 clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 comfortable 9:12 73:15 complaint 100:18 Cleveland 166:6 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	140:13	colleagues 88:22	119:16 120:9	69:17	72:24
113:2 124:20 189:10 136:19 151:15 committing 153:1 175:18 141:7 185:9 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collective 43:5 162:25 166:16 69:24 complaining 158:7 clergy 18:18 19:4 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 communicating 73:15 clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 comfortable 9:12 73:15 complaints 158:1 Cleveland 166:6 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	clearly 31:14 68:9	144:25 145:8	122:17 130:7	committed 62:15	competencies 7:8
141:7 185:9 collect 117:4 159:20 161:10 common 43:5,10 complained 158:9 203:10,25 collective 43:5 162:25 166:16 69:24 complaining 158:7 clergy 18:18 19:4 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 comfortable 9:12 73:15 complaints 158:1 Cleveland 166:6 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	91:20 110:5 112:5	154:7 177:3	133:1,10 136:18	committee 58:14	complacent 47:12
203:10,25 collective 43:5 162:25 166:16 69:24 complaining 158:7 clergy 18:18 19:4 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 comfortable 9:12 73:15 166:4 Cleveland 166:6 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	113:2 124:20	189:10	136:19 151:15	committing 153:1	175:18
clergy 18:18 19:4 collectively 42:6,11 188:10 198:12 communicating complaint 100:18 clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 comfortable 9:12 73:15 166:4 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	141:7 185:9	collect 117:4	159:20 161:10	common 43:5,10	complained 158:9
clerical 66:4,9,11 96:3 188:8 189:18 comfortable 9:12 73:15 166:4 Cleveland 166:6 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	203:10,25	collective 43:5	162:25 166:16	69:24	complaining 158:7
Cleveland 166:6 colleges 68:15 32:25 96:2 113:4 communication complaints 158:1 205:12 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 24:24 197:10 completed 32:6 clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	clergy 18:18 19:4			communicating	complaint 100:18
205:12 collusion 42:23 comfortableness 26:4 completed 32:6 completely 60:9	clerical 66:4,9,11	96:3 188:8 189:18	comfortable 9:12	73:15	166:4
clients 26:4 46:17 47:8 112:21 9:21 communities 4:7 completely 60:9	Cleveland 166:6	colleges 68:15	32:25 96:2 113:4	communication	complaints 158:1
	205:12	collusion 42:23	comfortableness	24:24 197:10	completed 32:6
climate 89:10 112:23 131:19 coming 2:16 23:20 17:1 18:14 19:10 116:12 169:18	clients 26:4	46:17 47:8 112:21	9:21	communities 4:7	completely 60:9
	climate 89:10	112:23 131:19	coming 2:16 23:20	17:1 18:14 19:10	116:12 169:18
clinicians 150:2 combined 180:23 31:18 40:24 66:18 21:8,10,19 22:16 175:10 193:2					
close 77:15 193:1 come 4:19,23 19:6 68:13,24 89:22 22:18 26:8 27:20 completing 156:18	close 77:15 193:1	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22:18 26:8 27:20	
206:22 21:22 23:1,10 97:7 118:20 119:7 27:24 29:1 34:1 completion 88:6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_
closed 27:24 71:9 26:24 27:13 29:24 122:7 125:20 42:11,13 43:4,9 complex 70:4 88:6				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
107:5,9,12 125:3 30:20 33:14 36:15 128:19 162:24 47:23 58:12 71:9 95:22 97:21					
188:17 36:16 39:16 43:11 177:22 187:18 82:9 96:22 126:4 178:15					
closely 19:12 138:5 43:12 59:21 62:5 196:6 203:12 126:5,8,9 127:3 complicate 134:20					-
closing 125:9 64:16 65:24 66:20 207:16,17 208:6 128:15 132:7 complicated 161:4	C		,		<u> </u>
207:20,21 209:11 67:6,19,23 72:4 commend 165:13 134:17 178:24 161:5	207:20,21 209:11	67:6,19,23 72:4	commend 165:13	134:17 178:24	161:5
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>

complication	104:5 117:10	130:1	10:6 108:22 124:6	109:16 137:19
134:21	134:5,20 135:3,5	confusion 12:4	132:10 150:7	contribute 42:11
complied 141:6	135:12 144:7	131:22 135:16	168:9	106:12 114:1,2
146:23	152:17,18 153:4	congregation 20:20	considering 37:2	contributed 141:12
complies 72:22	154:24 159:10	congregations 4:8	70:12 75:11	177:12
comply 141:17	162:4 165:10,11	connected 36:3	145:25 176:23	contribution
148:11	165:14 169:11	124:12,13,13	consistent 146:25	189:19
component 128:14	201:4	conscious 170:6	constantly 45:19	contributions
compromise 163:6	concluded 14:17	177:17	142:17	207:16,24
concealment 62:18	70:5,10 118:8	consciously 163:17	constraints 38:2	control 49:16
62:20 63:9 69:17	208:20	consensual 103:14	constructive 1:25	controversial 5:10
70:8,12,21 79:11	concluding 202:10	103:23 109:13,15	consult 14:4 83:18	convention 111:18
164:16 201:2	conclusion 38:19	119:12	consultation 8:9	conversation 134:4
concern 5:19 6:10	168:1 195:16	consequence 7:25	12:4,6 13:7,22	150:15 154:20
17:8 18:1,8 33:7	conclusions 15:6	11:16 38:10 90:9	14:6,21 15:17	155:1 184:1
34:4 56:2 57:24	70:23,25 158:12	126:2 159:19	38:4 39:19,25	200:17
78:12 95:8 99:9	189:23	consequences 4:14	62:15 64:20 87:4	conversations
100:9,10,18,22,24	concurs 138:22	7:22 8:19,24	167:10 172:7,16	16:19 42:14
102:3,4 104:9	condemned 205:12	14:23 35:12 37:8	175:24 176:18	188:11
116:1 125:7	condition 81:12	41:22 49:3,10	179:24 180:6,9	conveys 141:4
130:23 134:14,18	conditions 48:14,23	75:2,3,6 95:13,17	consulted 13:24	conviction 164:12
136:1 146:4	184:7	110:16 116:25	86:16	convinced 181:12
153:12 157:22	conduct 58:22	117:6 118:23	contact 12:20 16:23	convoluted 79:24
160:15 161:12	75:13 76:4,23	125:23,24 126:8	81:18 135:10	cope 33:13,21
169:7 182:6	77:6 79:8 137:23	126:19 127:7	187:19 190:6	core 61:17,20 62:5
194:15 196:14	146:23	137:4,6,12,14	contacted 82:24	62:7 63:24 64:20
concerned 17:21	conducted 52:22	139:4 145:21	contacts 17:5 59:1	81:9 111:22
63:12 98:17,25	118:5	166:23 181:18	59:2,4	113:24 115:10
100:15 134:6	conference 100:8	193:19 194:1	content 178:8	116:20 163:23,24
154:19,22 160:17	confessional	199:9	contentious 86:17	164:5 166:20
160:24 164:22	167:25	consider 2:1 5:8,12	context 11:9 37:16	167:6,11 202:7
168:13 179:10	confidence 54:21	6:2 15:21 23:4	74:1,22 86:19	204:9 205:10,24
concerning 33:23	64:24 104:2 117:5	41:23 54:14 55:7	90:15 103:19	Cork 92:18
62:8,11 63:25	150:4	64:21 74:14 80:25	125:22 150:5	corner 202:4
64:3 115:21 195:4	confident 53:11	114:20 150:8	174:17 179:15	correct 29:3 84:2,7
concerns 5:2 6:13	56:24 91:11,17	169:19 175:14	continue 15:20	87:10 165:12
14:13,22 16:22	135:10 158:17	208:1	20:25 69:7 128:21	167:12 170:19
17:2,15,23 18:5	184:13	considerably	139:1 160:22	171:1,9
29:19 42:18,18	confidential 128:12	172:19	196:1 208:15	correction 172:2
43:13 51:17,18,23	confidentiality	consideration 7:18	continued 14:21	corrective 112:14
52:24,25 53:2,21	170:22	74:16 207:10	118:18 162:12	correctly 72:16
56:5,16,20 58:1	conflating 35:11	considerations 6:6	209:7	195:7
62:17 71:5 95:2,3	36:17	6:7 13:16,19 71:7	continues 68:15	corridor 101:6
95:3,11 98:23	confused 203:21	182:15	continuing 196:2,3	cost 35:20 38:6,18
101:4,5,8,19,22	confusing 60:12	considered 3:10	contrast 77:2 90:6	41:1,2,7 62:1
	_			

costs 35:17 36:13	183:22	criminally 184:22	currently 16:18	143:16 146:12
39:13	covering 33:3	criminals 206:15	63:10 90:10 95:9	155:18 168:10
could've 55:6	CPs 4:23	criticising 5:3	116:14 146:5	173:4 177:20
council 30:8 128:10	cradle 28:1	cross-examination	197:2 204:17	181:23,24 188:1
166:4,11	create 48:21,23	3:8	custodial 56:17	dealing 71:19
counsel 2:5,22	51:21 127:19	crossed 31:12	cut 132:3 200:15	177:19
counsellor 112:8	128:2 134:12	crucial 48:12	cut-off 125:14	deals 5:25
counter-argument	135:16 139:16	185:23	cuts 30:13	dealt 101:21 126:15
120:20	140:22,25 143:6	crucially 53:3		195:7
countries 8:20	176:7	CSA 191:4,24	D	deaths 92:8,12
35:24 66:6 118:2	creating 48:7	192:8	D 208:23	191:13
168:19 171:11	135:17 185:21	CSE 54:24 192:3	daily 81:19 198:14	debate 3:5 86:14,17
180:18	crime 57:7 70:2	cultural 21:25	Dale 169:4	175:2 182:24
country 30:9 34:9	82:5 108:21 153:1	26:20 51:20 56:7	damage 126:16	183:15 196:19,24
36:6 67:14 92:5	153:22	56:22 86:24 88:8	danger 91:18 95:21	200:23
92:12,14 127:1	crimes 180:1	88:9,12 90:14	dare 31:12	decade 17:12
130:18,21 156:8	206:16	91:3 126:24 132:6	dark 31:12	decide 20:7 129:23
162:14 166:6	criminal 31:11	167:2 183:24	Daro 181:1	decided 13:9
189:10	40:20 69:23,25	189:4 201:14	data 92:2,4,6,7,10	133:14
couple 8:4 25:9	78:23 95:13 106:3	culturally 53:21	92:14,23,24	decision 38:8 46:5
45:22 67:2 100:8	106:10 108:20	culture 15:2 23:19	115:12 117:4	83:2 122:7 155:21
102:15 111:5	110:25 116:10	25:24 32:24 43:1	128:3 131:5	160:18
170:4 197:6 200:8	129:12 133:14	44:1,4,15 45:19	133:18,18,19,23	decisions 34:19
courage 54:21	137:20,24 138:2,6	52:25 57:15,18	144:21 145:8,15	36:4 37:23 176:20
course 3:2 30:24	138:11,15,18	58:16 67:25 68:14	146:11 149:5	178:15 183:3
38:21,24 39:2,6	139:4,9,10 140:12	90:21 98:22	day 1:5,25 4:21 5:1	declaring 151:15
39:11,14 41:23	142:8 148:17,19	127:19 132:13	13:15 22:9 41:23	deem 34:19
42:19 47:15 50:7	148:21 149:9	139:16 140:13,14	83:6 115:10	deeper 56:5
69:23 77:20 81:18	150:11,16 151:1,8	140:19,22 141:1,7	142:18 159:13	deeply 126:17
83:22 93:23	151:14,22,22	148:1 151:12,18	161:20 182:10,17	default 31:16
113:25 138:14	152:4,22 154:23	152:2 181:13	185:8 186:24	defence 36:7
142:10 170:6	158:10 160:4	196:2 200:8 201:9	190:13 193:20	define 109:14
174:11 178:6	163:12 167:24	201:15 206:6	197:18 199:20	113:19
182:23 184:6	185:19 193:21,24	cultures 32:22	206:24 207:1,14	defined 12:10
207:10,23 208:5	194:2 197:21	51:15,21 53:1	208:5	42:13 76:10 79:7
208:13	198:3 199:12	132:7 166:24	day-to-day 94:6	80:10,12 93:21
court 26:6,16	criminalisation	201:10,11	days 168:23 178:6 185:25 194:5	103:16 143:6
courteous 3:5	124:19 154:13	cup 169:24	197:6 204:10	149:3 152:20
courts 39:2,2 69:25	156:5,5,7 157:16	curiosity 113:11	207:25 208:9	157:4
193:24	criminalise 116:24	current 2:14 13:18	days' 208:4	defining 94:18
cover 4:22 11:1	criminalised 68:20	50:14 62:12,16	DBS 156:15,18,19	definite 115:21
199:12	106:5	63:5 78:11 86:17	dead 128:22	definitely 21:15
cover-ups 47:8	criminalising	108:13 116:24	deal 51:19 59:7	24:4 29:2,2 96:18
covered 14:1 16:15	104:13,14,20	119:25 149:3	62:17 105:9 132:2	123:19 196:1
24:12 58:21 97:24	153:2 161:9,13	176:21 178:4	02.1/103.7132.2	definition 85:14,20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

				1490 210
definitions 201:3	designated 149:14	Diagnostic 24:14	54:19 71:19 81:7	187:10 198:11,11
degree 116:14	154:20,21 155:1	dialogue 183:20	88:7 105:24	disclosed 5:18 54:2
165:3	designed 38:21	Dickinson 2:12	109:19 123:5	disclosing 7:16
dehumanising 68:8	40:18 156:17	16:15 42:1 43:12	133:18,20 153:8	122:7
delay 2:6 5:4	182:12	94:8,10 134:4	158:18,20 159:2	disclosure 8:2,6
deliberate 63:8	desire 11:1 62:23	146:7 187:8	168:12 178:15	19:19 23:5 26:18
164:16	despite 64:22	died 162:10	187:10	28:20 49:16 83:25
deliberately 43:8	destroys 64:24	differ 74:24	difficulties 47:25	94:13,17,22
deliberations	destruction 125:6	difference 26:6	difficulty 19:15	100:14 109:24
168:23	168:9	70:13 102:1 139:8	60:14	151:16 156:9
delighted 66:5	detail 2:20 86:15	192:15	digging 20:17	202:24 203:1,2
191:6	102:4 133:16	differences 137:22	dimension 35:3	202.24 203.1,2
deliver 203:17				disclosures 81:20
	174:13 183:23	different 7:7,15 8:4	Diocese 31:5,19,24	
delivered 36:1	206:11	15:24 19:6,7	32:1,14	127:1 128:19
193:8	details 5:21 37:18	37:25 47:20,23	direct 204:4	disconnect 167:14
delivering 88:17	detect 81:19,20	54:3 58:12 60:4	directed 71:7	167:15
delivery 94:6	184:6	60:10,20 74:10,14	direction 89:20	discount 72:1
demand 34:16	detected 109:23	74:20 75:10,11	93:11	discourages 158:12
demonstrate 73:12	Detective 156:4	76:21 77:21 78:3	directly 17:3 79:18	discovered 9:6
140:16	deter 62:19 152:5,6	81:3 88:11 90:7	110:5 135:10	108:12
demonstrates	206:8	95:12 123:25	147:5 165:25	discuss 118:22
141:7	determination 41:3	126:1 130:6,19	175:5	142:22
DENNY-BROW	determine 149:16	133:2 134:1	director 92:9	discussed 17:23
6:16 21:13,15,25	deterrent 206:10	136:22 137:5,11	138:20	21:21 193:18
23:16 24:3 29:2	detriment 38:12	139:12 141:24	Directors 2:15 18:2	206:11
105:13,24 131:18	detrimental 18:9	147:15 148:7	disabilities 24:9,17	discussing 46:4
132:9 186:7	136:14	154:6 160:15	25:1 67:8,9,15,25	118:25 159:16
deny 47:17	devastating 37:8	163:5 169:12,14	73:10 124:3	189:10
department 37:21	develop 53:1 81:22	169:15 171:19,20	186:18	discussion 43:14
82:19 136:16	130:9 152:17	172:14,19 173:3	disability 24:13,13	74:2 77:25 82:25
140:8	developed 86:23	175:6,11 176:15	24:22 68:5 69:2	89:2,6 91:11
departments 54:25	180:22 189:24	176:22 178:24,24	124:12	131:3 173:7
depend 45:8	developing 87:24	182:15 183:18	disadvantages	183:20
depending 133:1	127:24 157:19	191:18	74:14	discussion-based
133:24 151:15	180:23	differentiate 99:21	disagreed 142:16	4:19
depends 104:3	development 88:16	differentiated	disagreement	discussions 1:23,25
155:19	151:13,20	126:6	164:7	3:4 4:3 35:16
depressing 85:11	developments 53:4	differentiation	discharge 146:13	53:10 74:17,23
described 62:9 86:3	184:11	37:10 59:2	disciplinary 137:16	90:18 139:1 208:5
92:8,9	DfE 30:11 39:12	differently 45:6	143:12 146:10	disengagement
describing 143:23	63:3 72:11 142:3	47:22,24	165:3	106:25
description 115:15	142:5 156:23	differing 141:19	discipline 165:6	disinhibit 95:14
deserving 46:13	DfE's 62:9	difficult 21:20,22	disclose 7:13 25:16	disjointed 197:19
design 38:23	diagnosis 114:20	22:11,25 32:20,23	44:7 56:1 65:20	dismantled 117:15
182:19	115:3 205:13	38:10 40:15 51:17	122:4 123:14	118:1

dismissed 69:6	183:11 197:9	due 62:22	95:24 146:20	120:16 176:2,10
disorder 67:16 69:3	199:15 203:6	duties 74:15 75:9	150:7 183:25	206:7
disparity 172:11	206:22	75:12 76:17 79:3	187:22 188:11,13	effectiveness 165:7
197:7	domestic 70:1	79:10 87:12 90:5	189:12 197:21	efforts 35:25
dissuades 202:18	141:13	97:18 102:25	198:2,4 204:22	egregious 62:21
distance 12:25	domestics 101:4	138:15 158:3	easier 161:9	eight 34:9 113:7,9
distinct 190:24	dominated 40:3,6	170:20 171:3	easiest 174:24	171:14 190:5
distinction 16:4,6,7	door 7:14	duty 4:17 5:17 7:24	easily 80:6	205:14,18
18:7 37:4 94:16	doors 201:13	10:4 14:5 18:22	easy 79:25	Eileen 10:13
116:21	doubt 20:11 121:8	19:2 74:25 75:21	echo 2:9 30:5 186:7	either 9:8 20:1
distinguish 35:4,14	Dover 32:2	75:22,25 76:1,20	economic 33:10	43:21 45:17 56:19
44:7	Downside 31:6	76:22 78:2 79:9	118:8	57:11 80:1 83:5
distracted 160:5	downsides 162:4	79:12,13,13 82:2	economically 35:24	110:11 128:22
distressing 5:11	downstream 36:13	82:3 83:3,15 86:6	36:2	180:4 196:19
distribution 37:24	36:14	86:11 87:8,9 88:5	economics 34:3	197:10
162:24	Dr 6:10 24:8 33:9	89:3,4,8,23 90:10	economy 37:25	elaborate 141:9
diversity 50:17	47:23 67:6 98:1	91:6,6 93:15,18	189:24	elderly 20:7
doctor 82:21,24	104:1 123:10	93:19 94:1,4 96:6	Edition 181:3	elders 19:16
83:1,2,9 96:14	155:3,19 166:1	96:8 102:22,23	educate 109:14	elected 30:8
97:2 114:9,10,22	184:20	137:13 138:10	197:12 198:12,17	element 106:3,10
114:22,24,25	draft 106:20	140:16,17 141:4	educating 161:13	119:9,17 150:7
115:2,3 130:14	drafted 84:13,14	141:11,17 148:12	education 35:23	158:22
145:4 205:19	drafting 71:12	163:11 183:10	37:21 45:9,18	elicit 133:1
doctors 80:17	119:15	194:23 195:15	49:4 50:15,20	elite 194:13
114:7,13,18,19	drastically 28:17	dying 20:8 114:16	55:12 58:19 72:10	embarrassed 48:20
144:11,13 159:1	draw 18:7 103:12	114:16	72:15,20 73:5	48:25 49:6
177:16 178:2,14	143:20 158:11	Dziadulewicz 31:3	80:7,22 113:16	embarrassing
178:18 179:1,10	175:4		119:11,19 124:13	109:3
205:13	drawn 28:21	E	140:8 158:25	embarrassment
doctors' 143:25	dreaded 129:18	E 208:23	161:11,17 178:7	49:1
144:2	dream 161:19	e-mail 30:6	189:1 190:1	embedded 91:3
document 60:17	dress 60:5	e-mails 12:21	194:18 195:23	150:19,23 151:5
190:18	drink 61:9	earlier 35:11 36:4	196:4	emerged 91:1
documentary 4:9	drinking 61:11	36:19 39:17 43:14	educators 73:11,14	emergency 83:3
18:17	drive 179:16	43:15 53:19 55:14	effect 108:17 133:3	emotional 105:6
dodge 193:16	driving 61:9,11	55:14 56:4 72:24	147:13 206:10	200:4
dogma 109:6	drop-off 147:24	85:18 88:23,25	effecting 147:17	empathise 23:24
doing 10:9,17,17	150:22	89:10 95:9 100:2	effective 26:10	empathy 24:2
27:6 44:16 45:17	dropped 151:6	102:19 126:23	44:18 51:3 79:4	emphasised 51:2
56:9,10 58:10	Drusilla 1:13	131:19 152:9	135:4 158:24	emphasising
91:12,12,19	DS 119:2 120:4	160:10 165:8	186:2 188:18	196:11
123:19 128:16	132:24 133:7	172:2 181:21	effectively 8:25	empirical 117:9
131:8 134:19	151:11,21 192:22	184:21 193:20	9:12 10:2 11:14	employees 77:1
139:23 176:16	DSL 78:15 169:6	earliest 64:3 188:21	25:18 40:9 50:21	146:12
177:5 182:5	duck 13:14 71:13	early 80:22 88:20	52:7 108:20	employer 138:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				<u>-</u>
146:9	123:25 165:15	175:2 177:12	61:10 73:21 100:7	experiences 43:5
empower 28:11	175:11	200:12	excellent 10:20	67:21 69:6,6
empowered 26:23	entitled 64:9	everybody's 7:14	208:9	89:13 98:6 99:25
27:16	entitlement 64:10	42:20 99:19	exception 77:11,15	112:5 124:14
enable 140:9	environment 59:10	100:16 188:19	exceptions 102:21	experiencing 30:12
enabler 165:18	89:11 99:20 176:8	196:12 202:8	102:23 110:4	expert 178:12
enacted 118:2	epically 28:2	everyday 144:3	excess 92:6	expertise 98:19
encompassed 4:4	equally 5:7 187:22	everyone's 161:11	exclude 109:12	99:11,22 110:24
encompassing	equation 104:22	evidence 3:8 15:19	110:17	experts 58:15
15:14	199:14	15:21 16:9 18:25	excluded 12:10	178:13
encountered 23:22	equipped 49:18,23	20:12 34:21 37:11	102:25 103:7,7,8	explain 92:11 151:9
encourage 39:15	81:19	38:18 46:16 63:11	167:25 188:3	explanatory 161:7
56:4 143:18	escalate 100:22	63:16 64:21,22	exclusively 13:2	explode 202:9
155:17	112:24	71:1 94:19 117:9	17:15	exploitation 40:20
encourages 158:12	escalated 112:23	117:21 141:7	excuse 134:22	40:20 189:6
end-user 33:17	especially 22:6	142:13 144:7	executive 39:12	exploitative 77:18
195:14	46:23 61:22 69:4	145:3,3 149:25	75:24	exploited 191:17
ends 67:17 135:17	105:18 106:7	153:5 164:9 168:2	exercise 153:15	explore 11:2 44:12
160:18	113:13 131:9	177:1,24 181:14	157:1	71:24 95:3 184:4
enforce 156:12	158:10	189:24 202:13	exercising 10:11	199:4
193:15	essential 81:12	203:25	exist 92:7	explored 94:11
engage 34:19,22	193:7 200:9	evidence-based	existing 169:18	exploring 37:15
97:5 180:5 199:25	established 130:1	65:6 196:17	exists 16:18 50:14	70:11 77:23 100:5
engaged 96:9	establishments	evident 74:11	50:17 76:17 77:11	exposure 81:10
engagement 57:19	159:7	ex-communicated	138:16 156:16	141:13
59:16	et 12:15 55:11,11	25:21	169:11	express 5:13
engaging 22:10	68:3,4 147:7	exactly 16:2 29:25	expanding 55:16	expressed 5:10
50:9 96:23 105:1	184:14	69:7 86:13 115:1	expect 114:21	140:4
England 6:1 31:21	ethical 34:14	126:24 153:20	expectation 99:10	expresses 141:3
52:21 74:16 95:11	ethnic 18:15	169:22 171:12	146:22 147:4	extend 103:1
170:8 190:5	ethnicities 166:24	174:7	expectations	148:18 168:3
English 86:18	Europe 108:14	examined 155:10	144:10,12	183:15
116:21	180:21	examines 82:21	expected 2:12	extended 55:23
enquiry 54:16	evaluation 15:10	examining 69:21	22:20 24:19 29:13	183:17 205:18
55:12	Evans 1:12	example 4:7 7:8	98:18	extending 86:11
ensure 3:12 52:8	evening 208:3	15:8 16:10,11	expecting 69:18	140:20
54:7 132:6 158:16	event 53:8,10,23	22:1 44:11 47:4	100:21	extent 43:3 50:22
ensures 155:6	54:6 56:24 175:4	80:17 82:18,19	experience 24:1	134:25 168:8,14
ensuring 187:19	eventually 53:15	94:11 99:15 110:6	43:10 44:9 58:6	extern 78:14
entering 95:14	108:12	112:22 113:21	65:17 71:15 95:12	external 132:5
103:19,24 152:6,7	everybody 29:25	117:1 119:11	101:3 124:3	extra 39:3 135:16
158:17 202:19	29:25 53:14 57:18	126:14 140:5	134:10 135:12	extract 31:4 extracted 133:23
entire 40:5 116:15 142:17 165:23	57:21,22 102:12	143:11 154:3 163:10	157:19 188:8	
	135:8,9 155:8 166:24 168:24	examples 15:7 50:6	203:1,2 204:5 experienced 65:9	extraordinary 92:15
entirely 4:18 78:25	100.24 100.24	Examples 13./ 30.0	experienced 03.9	74.13
	ı	ı	1	I

				Tage ZZI
eye 121:19,24	158:1,7 161:2	27:16 32:24 48:25	findings 83:1	flaw 160:14,16
160:19 181:2	199:18	49:5,21 56:3,19	finds 31:11 93:20	flee 38:17
	family 9:2,3,13,17	56:21,24 78:14,18	fine 107:20 108:5	flip 151:1
F	11:9 22:24 23:3	86:25 96:1 101:7	122:10 137:25	floating 203:5
face 19:14 21:1	29:15 40:7 57:1,8	102:8 135:11	138:7,17 141:22	flooded 162:11,12
179:25	111:2 188:22	183:21 185:25	141:23,23 156:15	flow 16:24 154:17
faced 34:12,21	204:14,25	186:10 200:2	168:16	flowed 67:3
faces 1:17	fan 197:13	feeling 121:13	fines 61:13 141:21	focus 2:1 10:15,19
facilitate 3:3	fantastic 56:18,18	141:9 197:14	141:24 151:23	36:24 45:15 47:12
facilitated 2:4	far 3:15 22:15	202:10 203:9	168:13	74:5 75:5 88:20
188:11	33:10 35:8 36:14	feels 135:9 136:25	finger 40:11,23	159:8 160:1 191:4
facilitation 208:9	78:21 116:22	202:13	128:16	191:25 196:7
fact 10:2 16:1	117:9 145:7 147:6	felt 53:2 86:20	finished 35:2	199:6
25:12 36:9 47:17	164:22 166:6	88:19 129:4,6	Fire 69:11	focused 16:22
71:21 79:17 90:23	167:24 168:13	165:1	fired 25:21	17:13 190:17
102:9 113:4 124:1	fashion 64:6	fewer 206:4	first 4:23 8:11 11:7	focusing 45:18 89:7
139:15 143:12	fast 185:15	FGM 143:19	11:22 34:8 36:24	159:9
156:10 157:25	father 65:18	205:17	37:2,20 45:23	FOIs 92:13
159:8 172:8	fault 65:19 140:24	field 58:15 88:1	49:17 50:2 53:7	followed 155:11
factors 9:18	favour 14:10 45:12	158:14	61:17 62:7 64:16	following 41:8 62:7
faculty 142:16	64:1 65:6 163:7	fields 202:19	67:4 74:9 75:4,5	106:21,21 108:14
fail 28:2 75:2 95:13	167:12,13 172:13	figure 67:11 110:13	75:11,12 76:5,18	177:5,10 193:22
137:25 138:19	179:12 195:3	110:21 111:1	78:1 83:13 87:1,3	204:20
144:11 146:13	196:9 205:3	130:3 172:10	93:14 103:5,9	follows 141:1
148:18 152:5	favoured 172:8	figures 61:25 124:1	106:16 109:11	football 60:3
failed 86:21 145:4	fear 25:14 40:16	145:19 186:20	111:17,19 113:23	194:16
145:4	49:9 68:19 116:14	files 128:3	115:19 116:3	force 131:13
failing 4:15 117:1	126:9 140:19,22	fill 117:5	118:23 139:3	165:21
125:24 139:4	141:8 142:2 143:6	final 39:11 51:9	148:9 153:23	forces 161:21
199:10	150:15,25 151:1	169:1 173:1 179:8	163:23 164:5	fore 42:16
fails 138:4	fears 68:23	200:14	170:16 171:10	forget 31:17 105:4
failure 43:13,13,18	feature 166:14	finally 162:10	174:4,24 202:2,4	forgive 84:17 92:17
43:23,23 44:7	features 2:2 3:22	168:8 203:14	206:9,14	92:19
76:24 116:24	3:23 72:8 73:20	208:8,13	firstly 36:17 64:20	forgotten 92:17
137:14 138:11	73:25 74:3,18,21	financial 29:4	87:2	form 16:3 101:20
141:11,16 143:17	75:6,10 77:25	31:10 35:8 38:2	fit 4:12 82:9 126:5	122:25 128:8
146:3	158:21	38:10	159:12	129:1 134:21
failures 155:18	fed 162:9	find 20:17 32:23	fitness 137:17	137:25 138:7,16
fair 174:2 184:25	federated 171:13	36:7,10,11 51:16	139:21 146:2	180:18
fairness 31:25	171:14	92:10,11 95:4	fits 179:3	formal 71:20
faith 96:19 97:6	feed 5:7,7 49:1	98:11 122:15,21	five 26:14,14 82:5	format 174:12
170:21 197:3	feedback 66:6	153:7 158:1 187:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	former 202:19
fall 191:19 207:7	86:16 87:5,6	194:23 195:1,2,3	fix 95:22	formerly 169:6
familiar 105:13	106:16,20 158:19	199:24 202:21	fixed-term 188:4	forms 15:15,18
families 29:22	feel 9:16 25:3 26:23	finding 40:15	flakey 85:22	35:9,15 144:5
				,

				1490 222
171:19,20 177:22	freedom 62:8 63:25	gallery 1:16,17	germane 13:13	124:22 125:14
190:7	frequent 81:18	2:18 3:13 4:20	getting 30:7 41:13	129:8,12 131:13
forth 198:2	frequently 136:19	42:22 59:19 61:16	52:16 61:24 87:22	131:23 132:9
fortnightly 96:11	152:13	61:19 69:11 91:10	88:1 91:3 105:14	134:18 139:10
forum 167:13	friendships 22:24	111:16,21 114:2	105:15 123:6	142:6,8 150:10
forward 1:24 3:14	front 114:3 116:18	143:9 163:21	156:21 159:6	156:2 163:23,24
15:15 23:1 58:25	165:25 179:4	164:4 170:5 172:3	160:5 176:5	167:20 168:5
71:22 93:22 98:14	182:10	172:5 173:8	189:16 191:5	169:1 172:25
176:24 179:8	frontbench 108:13	172.3 173.8		173:3 174:2,4
195:7			ghastly 85:16	•
	frontline 88:18,22 203:20	201:24 202:6	Gillick 7:8 72:22 72:24	175:15 178:6
forwarded 80:1		208:14 209:3,6,8		179:21 181:2
foster 88:9 151:18	frustrated 203:9	209:10	girls 54:25 192:6	185:18 194:5
152:2	full 67:21 151:25	Gallimore 2:13	give 7:9,12 29:13	195:9 196:20
fostered 151:12	208:1	16:16	29:25 36:5 40:24	201:6,23 202:1,4
206:7	fully 10:7 23:23	game 166:2	69:13 89:18	202:4 204:8 207:9
fostering 57:17	24:1,18 53:15	gap 69:22 70:9	121:15 161:8	207:12
found 10:14 21:19	201:6	Gardiner 108:12	170:8,20 171:8	God 123:4
46:1,22 59:24	function 40:8 109:3	gather 83:14	185:4 192:9	goes 92:5 97:2
94:13 149:18	127:3	gathered 122:9	199:24	110:11 133:25
194:25 202:21	functions 139:20	207:13	given 33:6 37:12	166:23 184:2
205:14	fundamental 206:4	gaze 68:7 160:21	48:2 50:6 63:11	going 1:19 2:9,20
founded 33:14	fundamentally	geared 42:5	63:17 89:16	6:5,20 11:6 12:23
four 29:12 74:20	61:6 205:24	general 15:14 18:8	112:16 113:20	12:24 17:22 18:12
75:8 76:21 80:20	funding 29:5 30:12	44:14 56:2 79:12	174:1 183:9	21:23 22:1,2,12
109:10 137:9	36:21 37:20 88:15	82:4 162:2 163:7	gives 89:15 95:21	22:12 23:2,2,7,8
180:6	185:10 191:1	166:3,10 178:19	giving 3:8 164:20	23:17,18,18,19,23
four-and-a-half	193:8	202:10 204:3	199:8 207:17	23:24 25:16 27:9
180:5	funds 162:25 163:2	generally 34:8	GMC 143:20	27:10,12 28:13,23
fourth 49:8	168:15	73:10 83:24 110:3	go 2:20 7:25 11:6	29:19,24 31:7
frame 121:5	Furnham 60:25	134:5 145:19	12:23 16:1 19:22	33:6 35:5 36:15
framework 40:5	further 9:10 19:24	generated 84:1	19:24 22:20 24:3	38:25,25 39:2,6
62:12,16 63:6,9	31:7 70:23 77:6	generates 83:16	24:5 25:16 27:12	41:16 43:6 44:11
146:9,10 155:20	79:1,15 89:5	generation 28:18	28:21 29:8,14	45:3 47:10,16
155:23 185:1	102:6,9 133:24	gentleman 42:22	35:2 47:10 50:2	54:1,10 59:18,21
202:23	135:14,17 140:21	61:18 65:24 69:11	51:23 52:20 56:5	60:24 61:6,15
frameworks 68:11	165:8 176:20	92:18 114:3,4	59:18 60:2,7,19	63:4 65:23 66:14
149:7	180:19 185:8	115:6,7 163:25	60:23 61:17 64:15	66:18 69:1 73:19
frank 1:12 32:5,7	192:10 194:6	164:2 165:24	64:16 66:18 67:1	73:23 74:2,4,17
85:17 123:4	200:17,17 206:21	167:20,21 169:2	73:2 74:3,5 84:17	74:20 81:2 94:7
frankly 32:15 85:5	207:11,22	201:25 205:9,23	84:18,19 86:14	96:13 98:14 99:5
92:1	future 192:19	gentlemen 142:24	89:5 92:13 96:13	101:9 102:15
Fraser 7:9		genuinely 109:12	100:2,17 101:19	104:5,10 106:11
fraught 125:16	G	109:15 176:16	106:15 107:7	106:13 107:8
free 5:13 64:5,8,11	gain 47:18	Geoff 114:6 166:1	110:10 114:3,21	111:14 113:8,12
101:7	gaining 47:13	170:17	114:22,24 115:6	113:17 118:11,22
			ĺ	[

	1	1	1	
122:3,8,25 124:19	187:1,4 201:15	grievances 5:2	207:19	187:12 191:17
125:11,23 126:24	203:12	groomers 48:25	handful 77:20	harmful 24:25
128:9,20,21 129:7	goodness 31:23	grooming 49:23	handle 129:22	103:2 105:1,9
129:15,17 130:3,4	governance 58:5	58:3 99:5 184:14	165:14	124:7
130:8,17,18,19,19	149:7	189:6	handled 102:5	hat 203:17,19
130:20,22 131:2	governing 60:18	ground 16:15 85:2	hands 39:16 61:16	hate 29:4
131:13,14 132:18	government 8:8,17	93:5 197:8	61:16 148:20	head 127:17 148:21
132:21 134:9	10:19 12:3 13:9	grounded 12:6	157:10 201:25	151:1 169:4
137:3,10 138:25	13:21,23 14:7,8	grounds 38:18	hang 113:23	heading 89:19
139:5 142:22	14:17 36:11 37:5	115:3 118:8	happen 6:11,21,22	headmaster 205:25
143:2 152:15	38:1,3,22,23	group 18:18 20:3	23:8 24:18 29:20	heads 75:23 132:8
154:10 158:6	39:18 41:5 86:1	58:14 87:11,13	82:16,17,22 97:4	137:24 155:17
159:5,16,17 160:2	86:10 87:2 108:17	groups 20:15 27:15	97:9 99:8 100:6	163:11
160:22 161:4	108:18,25 118:6	76:11,14 80:20,24	101:25 106:6	health 22:4 41:13
162:19 166:16,21	162:24 163:1	85:21 157:6	113:5,8 126:11	50:9,11,21 51:10
170:3 173:2,5,6	172:7,15 175:9,21	grow 22:19	149:13 150:6	52:5,19,22 54:15
174:2,24 179:3,19	176:7 179:24	growing 20:5 25:15	160:22 163:3	54:15 55:1,3
180:13,16 181:12	185:12 190:16	growth 16:21	173:11 185:7	67:16 75:17 86:8
192:12,14 193:12	193:13 199:11	guard 134:19	197:4,23 203:4	87:16,17 96:12
193:16 194:11	201:17	guess 25:24 27:13	207:2	99:13 110:16,25
197:23 198:17	government's	28:1 44:17 109:3	happened 13:1	140:7 158:19
201:23 202:9,12	13:18 36:20 62:13	guidance 17:17	79:22 117:3,17	177:19 178:8,18
202:12,24 206:21	69:14 175:1	37:11 73:5 78:11	happening 17:14	189:12 190:24
207:2,5,12,19	governments 34:18	144:1 145:7	18:9 24:4 26:16	healthcare 52:20
golden 58:7 201:11	38:16,17	157:19,20 190:20	29:18 47:6 51:19	52:25 76:7,15
GOLDSOBEL	GP 22:1 29:8	192:24 195:23	59:11 70:16	99:16 178:2,3
19:12 20:15 25:9	grandiose 101:15	guide 135:13	105:10 112:22	healthy 188:24
27:22 96:6 97:16	grass 194:15	guidelines 7:9	134:9 157:11	Heaney 41:1 62:4
126:21 130:16	grassroot 59:25	guilty 138:23	162:17	76:5 85:25 86:13
159:5 160:12,19	grassroots 60:8	gut 101:17	happens 13:8 97:15	90:3,11 93:19,23
162:6 197:2	grateful 2:12 65:22	gymnastics 60:3	101:24 131:23	139:7 182:23
good 1:4 6:23 10:11	207:15	gynecological	142:6 155:23	hear 5:12 15:6,16
11:19 19:23 29:17	grave 28:1 140:17	55:10	160:9 165:9	22:9 43:5 44:2,5
38:6 45:1 48:11	gravity 141:4	H	181:20	51:10,14 63:4
56:10 57:10 74:8	Gray 2:23 208:10		happiness 9:20	70:3 94:12 101:24
79:20 87:19 89:19	great 21:17 44:4	Hackney 26:11	happy 9:15 13:14	173:23 175:2,5,6
91:8,21 108:5	51:19 108:25	half 96:24 145:12	15:21 37:13 69:12	176:25 199:25
110:17 118:10	115:18 168:10	206:24 207:1,14 208:6	69:19 180:15	202:8
122:18 142:13	177:20		hard 66:3 121:15	heard 3:13,16 8:18
143:3 155:15	greater 9:1,13	hampered 123:4 hand 16:1,1 53:22	147:16 166:16	13:17 14:24 15:11
158:25 163:16	35:20 78:21 81:4	77:24 139:1	206:24	20:12,13 36:17
164:12 170:21	100:19	144:22 148:23	hardest 174:23	43:21 50:11 56:1
175:25 176:14	grey 95:19 154:12	150:10 151:8	harm 5:20 17:10,18	61:20 63:3,6 65:3
181:1 182:19	158:22 195:1,3		134:7 187:22	66:8 74:9,24
183:16 186:13	202:20	155:3 184:2,2	harmed 99:6	75:13 76:1,18
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	I

90:5 92:8 94:14	hierarchy 37:6	horrendous 149:23	95:1 144:6	6:19,25 8:15 9:22
94:17,20 100:14	51:16	horrible 54:24	ignore 96:24 101:6	11:2 13:13 18:7
101:5,6,18 132:23	high 47:4 67:13	horseshoe 3:12	160:23	33:16 34:16 35:14
142:2,5 161:24	124:3 153:9	106:12 148:16	ignored 53:21	38:22 39:7 45:11
162:5 168:6,10	168:19 189:13	182:25	204:12	61:2 62:18 70:17
173:25 176:21	206:17	hospital 17:1	IICSA 62:25	85:13 91:10 94:16
178:23 186:16	high-profile 47:4	114:24,25	114:13 133:9	96:3 105:3,18
198:6 202:10	53:9,13,22	hospitals 53:17	166:5 176:23	112:20 113:18
208:1	higher 116:14	hotline 129:19	205:3	114:7 123:20
hearing 3:21 29:6	124:2 192:9 197:8	hounded 155:14	ill 28:4	124:5,17,21
30:12 31:3 44:5	highlight 25:9	hours 30:9 97:7	illustrate 74:21	128:14 135:15,19
51:12 74:19 94:12	highly 99:21	house 142:9	77:20	136:15 139:8
140:4 176:24	Hill 107:6	hub 87:24	image 19:20 46:24	189:2,3 197:9
178:17 208:20	historic 118:12	huge 40:21 43:25	imagery 119:7,9	200:25 207:8
hearings 19:1 63:7	119:22 120:2	50:17 54:24 58:4	images 119:14	importantly 178:17
63:8,11	123:12 124:25	184:24 204:13	immunity 170:23	183:1
hears 8:15	128:19	hugely 98:21	impact 6:3,5 11:3	impose 7:23
heart 189:5	historical 111:12	human 24:18 55:19	34:1 38:4,5,20,25	impossible 92:11
heavily 87:23	history 22:3 114:8	160:13,16	39:1,2,6 47:14	impression 63:16
held 53:19 112:6	147:19 205:11	humans 159:13	50:13 57:8 90:6,9	69:13
125:10 140:17	hit 162:7	hundreds 20:6	105:2 112:17,18	imprisonment
184:22	hitter 12:23	171:22	113:15,16 123:1	141:23,24
Helen 190:13	Hoffmann 142:15	hush-hush 28:7	139:6 140:25	improve 15:2 137:2
208:11	142:24 143:3	Hydrant 120:5,6	149:22 161:25	176:17
help 21:23 28:22	hold 93:8 111:7	133:11	177:4 192:17	improved 165:18
33:25 49:24 55:12	holding 120:16	hypersensitive	199:22 204:13,16	174:10 175:17
71:2 95:24 113:15	holds 31:9	141:8	impacted 50:22	196:5
159:1 176:13	hole 106:23		149:10 204:15	improvement
178:14 186:14	holocaust 25:13	I	impacts 177:8	173:11,13
188:9 194:17	Holy 30:25 31:1,1	IAPT 29:7	imperative 69:3	improvements
200:1 207:14	home 79:23 99:15	idea 4:12 43:22	impetus 45:16	177:18 179:16
helped 39:25 53:18	119:11	54:1 131:18 151:8		187:15
helpful 8:7 14:15	homes 99:15	199:24	197:12 198:16	improving 178:7
16:17 182:25	homework 33:6	ideal 129:18 173:16	implementation	196:7
184:4	89:3	ideas 179:7	65:9 87:21 170:10	in-depth 124:15
helping 179:1	honest 132:25	identification	197:11	inadvertently 5:5
helpline 135:2,20	hoo-ha 115:18	190:3	implemented	inappropriate
helps 3:14	hooky 32:7	identified 55:20	125:11 206:8	104:10 112:2,8
Hey 198:19	hope 3:5 6:17 52:10	151:16 162:3	implementing	175:12
hi 47:10 198:25	62:24 97:3 159:15	178:21	35:22 54:9 117:11	inappropriately
204:9	176:16 191:21	identify 43:24	implications	139:17
hidden 43:16 56:13	192:9 201:25	52:14 55:13 71:6	149:20	incarcerated
113:5 188:16	hopefully 155:9	140:9 179:2	importance 32:12	128:22
190:4	197:17	191:24	34:20 53:1 61:21	incentives 181:18
hierarchies 15:24	hoping 159:22	identifying 42:18	important 5:12	incidence 43:18
			· -	

incidences 112:13	135:1,7,11 136:12	62:8 120:17 128:1	111:22 121:19	interests 56:25
incident 100:10	160:25 161:6	128:11 129:21	134:8 150:14	interference 11:8
110:7 113:1 116:9	186:10,14 201:12	130:4,5 133:13,16	instances 4:6 19:6	interlapping
incidents 110:15	independently	137:1 138:14,23	22:14 46:18 51:13	102:18
120:10	134:13	173:19 187:21	instinct 101:18	intermediary 26:9
inclined 121:24	independents	188:11,15 191:15	institution 47:5	internal 137:15
include 13:10,25	58:11	195:9 207:13	79:21 107:25	internalise 61:13
51:17 80:11 96:19	indicated 48:16	information-shar	institutional 19:3	International
109:18 110:19	indicates 67:8	136:17,18 191:7	46:21 47:7 51:20	181:3
111:11 184:23	indicating 24:10	191:10	62:23 71:18 79:11	interpreted 159:12
186:17	indicative 94:15	informative 1:24	120:8,14	interpreting 65:1
included 4:6 14:1	indictable 108:22	207:25	institutions 4:10	intervene 88:23,24
81:1 82:12 84:21	108:23	infrastructure	11:1 18:20,24	136:21
85:3 111:13 119:5	individual 5:6 26:4	33:12 52:18 56:21	19:11 43:2 46:18	intervening 162:15
120:22,24,25	29:14 45:14 50:18	infrastructures	46:22 75:19,22	intervention 88:20
124:25 156:23	53:9,15,25 56:17	27:25 127:4	86:21 120:10,16	113:14 132:5
168:17 197:5	66:6 76:6 79:6,14	inherent 33:1 68:14	159:7 204:12	183:25 197:21
includes 137:23	80:12 93:17 102:1	inhibit 153:11,24	instrument 108:16	198:3,5 204:22
190:25 191:1,1,2	102:7 121:6	inhibiting 10:15	instrumental 2:25	introduce 1:11 2:21
including 76:6,12	125:12 149:20	inhibits 136:17	instruments 100:4	12:7 35:5,9 46:5
76:13 82:6 118:7	152:4 155:14	initial 16:23 17:5	insurmountable	86:11 195:4,25
142:3 147:20	165:22 178:15	36:14 132:14	133:11	introduced 2:19
inclusion 186:19	196:8	185:18	intact 113:1	12:3 15:7,9 62:1
incompetent	individually 19:23	inkling 153:23	intake 35:19	73:24 76:1,24
165:12	individuals 4:6,25	inquiries 17:7 54:6	integrated 52:12	108:14,15 142:21
increase 8:20,22	5:3 23:7,20 61:10	118:6 135:3	intelligence 134:11	166:7 176:12
17:4 26:13 44:22	78:6 79:4,6 80:10	207:11	intend 38:23	177:7 182:12
162:2	98:16 107:9,17	inquiry 1:9 3:20	intended 83:22	introducing 14:22
increased 11:13,14	112:15 126:4,13	8:15 15:6 16:10	intentional 62:20	148:8 152:3
16:24 17:10 46:2	138:2 140:17	20:13 63:6 70:25	interest 164:14	introduction 56:3
178:11 190:3	149:12,24 164:2	89:6 108:7,8	177:9	108:9 172:8
increasingly 44:15	196:4	135:23 139:14	interested 3:21	intrusion 11:8
incredibly 124:17	industriously	145:17 159:24	12:22 37:1 63:3	invade 9:17
206:23	202:23	172:22 173:20	74:19 77:22 107:3	invest 88:14
incrementally	industry 39:9	174:18 177:2	117:12,14 121:17	invested 58:25
185:24	ineffective 71:17	205:12 207:3	125:20 173:22,23	87:23 88:16 91:11
incumbent 120:10	inevitable 162:19	208:3	interesting 7:20	98:20
indecent 119:7	influence 143:2	inquiry's 1:20 2:6	11:19 12:21 31:2	investigate 51:7
independence	193:15	3:17,19 5:11	31:20 39:19 71:24	investigated 46:20
90:15 131:2	influencer 142:12	125:5 207:6	90:4 92:20 98:2	53:15
185:17 197:13	influences 142:11	208:16	98:11 107:4	investigation 39:1
independent 1:9	influx 162:7,18,19	insecure 48:8	150:13 151:4	101:1 133:14
26:2 58:14 131:1	162:20 163:4	inside 63:18	156:6 181:14	149:24 151:23,25
131:21 132:1,20	inform 83:22	insist 203:22	190:13 200:23	investigations 8:22
132:24 134:14	information 5:21	instance 63:7	201:1	9:8 11:15
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	İ	l	İ	ı
investigative	131:4 132:8	jurisdictions 65:10	113:23 115:5	15:4 19:22 31:16
122:19	140:10 157:15	79:24 118:5	116:17 117:22	59:17 64:17 115:8
investment 34:16	158:23 175:23	171:13,23	118:9,19 119:21	179:20 202:25
34:20 36:14 48:11	176:23 177:20	justice 69:23,25	120:20,24 121:17	203:14
52:3 58:23 190:2	178:6 179:4 189:5	110:25 193:22	121:21,23 123:8	keeping 19:19
191:22 192:17	201:3,14	194:2	123:21 124:22	154:16 195:22
invite 58:12 137:4	Ivor 1:12	justification 165:1	125:17 130:12	Keith 66:1 167:22
173:3		justifications 36:22	131:1,16 132:4,17	kept 66:13 87:9,10
inviting 58:11	J	justified 9:1,4	133:3 134:2,24	102:3 156:1
194:10 195:18	Jack 2:23 208:10	118:7	136:5 137:3 139:2	key 2:2 3:22 58:18
involve 39:21	Jane 31:3	justify 164:10	140:12,19 141:19	58:23 59:9 73:25
involved 7:5 30:7	Jay 1:8		141:21,25 143:8	74:3,18,21 75:5
31:6 35:17,25	jet 170:7	K	145:14,24 146:16	75:10 77:25 99:1
40:16 70:14 71:8	Jew 25:19	Karmy-Jones 2:5,7	146:25 147:9	170:18 199:13
96:21 112:15	Jewish 19:10,24	2:8,9 5:24 6:14	148:3,14 149:2	kicked 25:22
113:12 126:13	20:15 21:5 25:10	7:20 11:5,18	150:9 151:7,19	killing 161:23
143:14 194:12	26:12,22 27:23	13:16 15:13 16:5	152:3 153:13,25	kind 23:13 24:12
involvement 169:6	43:4 66:11	16:13 18:11 20:11	154:14 155:2,16	24:23 41:5 68:13
involving 55:19	jigsaw 191:20	21:6,14,23 23:9	155:25 156:3	71:9 79:12 86:5
Ireland 92:16,22	job 3:2 110:23	24:1,7 25:7 27:19	157:13 159:3	89:11 105:23
107:6 108:4,6,8	115:10 117:2	28:24 30:3,19,23	160:9,13 161:24	124:19 132:22
141:17 177:4	123:5 139:23	31:19 32:17 33:24	163:5,19 165:24	133:7 136:7
Irish 168:2	168:11 174:24	34:25 35:2 36:12	166:19 167:5,18	139:16 140:10
ironed 24:22	180:7	36:15 37:18 38:14	169:1,21 170:3	143:14 145:14
irrelevant 59:11	jobs 25:21	40:10,22 41:17	171:5,19,25	155:13 165:7
ISC 92:1	Johnson 18:19,25	42:21 43:20,22	172:23,25 174:7	178:9 182:8
islands 92:14	joining 1:18 191:20	44:10 45:8,21	177:14 179:18	193:15,16 203:9
isolate 147:16	Jonathan 65:14	47:9 50:1,4 52:18	180:25 181:8	203:24
isolated 110:6,15	journalists 122:19	55:16 57:10 59:18	182:21 184:18	kinds 35:12,12
113:1	journey 7:6 23:24	60:21 61:15 62:4	186:5 187:6	46:22 109:15,16
issue 3:21 22:10	87:6	63:23 64:14 65:23	189:20 190:11	109:21 143:15
23:11 25:4 41:2	journeys 7:13	66:17 67:1 69:9	192:20 194:8	knees 142:9
72:12 87:20	judgment 10:3,12	71:3 72:3,6 73:8	195:19 196:25	knew 27:3,7 47:5
103:17 114:18	153:3,21 156:21	73:17,19 78:1	198:23 200:19	53:14 122:11,12
125:18 143:10	157:2,3 159:11,23	79:2 80:9 81:2,13	201:22 204:7	129:5 204:5
145:2 161:4 165:8	164:8	82:9,13 83:11,24	205:8,22 206:20	knocking 142:9
178:21 181:17	judgments 158:17	84:3,9 85:24 90:1	208:8 209:1	knots 108:19
187:12,24 192:1	158:18 159:2,25	90:4 91:22 93:12	Katy 8:1 11:21	know 1:8 3:17,23
issues 2:1 21:20	junior 2:21 51:11	93:22 94:7 96:5	13:16 36:15 39:17	5:15 6:19 7:13
22:4,5 23:4,9,21	56:13 78:24 83:9	97:15,17 98:15	69:9 136:6 152:8	9:9,15 12:12,23
34:2,13 42:13,16	83:9 115:25 116:7	99:13 101:9	156:5 167:5 172:1	19:5 20:3,20
51:15,20,22 52:6	jurisdiction 109:5	102:14 103:12	174:3,22 193:12	21:17,25 22:19,22
59:4 65:9 69:15	117:15 141:10,14	105:11,22 106:11	keen 12:4 15:9	23:1 26:14 27:16
69:16 70:3 71:8	147:25 148:8	107:8,13,15,21,24	106:2 183:18,20	28:3,9 29:12,20
73:4,6 80:4 91:1	170:12 171:14	108:3 109:7 111:4	keep 3:3,4 4:24	32:3 37:7,22 41:2
			_	

				1490 227
41:6,14,24 44:2,8	184:6,13 185:11	language 11:23	185:3	162:8 193:14
44:19,21 45:2,5	185:14,19,19	24:14 26:6 28:10	lean 3:14 15:15	legislation-based
47:11 48:24 53:8	186:22 187:2,16	55:9 145:13 155:4	93:22	94:4
53:11 54:3,24	187:21,22 188:20	176:9 198:11	learn 37:13 65:8	legislative 62:12,16
58:20,23 64:23	191:16,25 192:2	Lara 2:21 47:10	205:11	63:5,9 79:10 87:5
67:14 70:16 71:15	195:11,23 196:13	103:9,14 134:25	learning 24:9,16	170:20 171:23
72:11 78:16,19	196:19 197:25	198:25 208:10	25:1 27:8 47:25	189:25 190:18
80:5,10 84:10,13	198:14,19 200:9	large 179:11	67:7,9,15,25 68:5	192:8
85:2,18 86:8,13	201:5,8,10 203:11	largely 17:15 41:21	69:2 73:9 90:19	leisure 39:8
87:20 89:1,14,17	203:20 204:6,17	119:24	90:22 124:2	lessen 113:16
90:13,14,20 91:5	207:2,3	larger 207:8	151:12,19 152:2	lessons 90:20
91:9 92:7,21	knowing 6:10	lastly 194:3	176:8,10	205:11
96:13 97:3,20,24	100:20 152:12	late 65:7	learnt 187:1,8	let's 12:2,24 41:19
97:25 98:2,5 99:6	153:17,20 168:4	laundering 115:12	197:6	43:8 82:20 84:21
99:7 100:8,17	knowingly 199:12	law 18:21 27:6,17	leave 30:17 84:21	148:22
101:17,18 105:16	knowledge 4:15	28:19 38:23 61:12	142:9 153:13	level 6:16,20 45:13
106:4 107:3	78:21 81:22 83:16	62:19 63:18,21	160:10 173:6	48:10 57:2 91:25
	83:19 99:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	175:10,13	
109:22,24 110:3		69:23,24 91:20	leavers 185:4	93:2,3,7,8,9,24
111:5 113:5 117:3	101:15,17 104:10	103:16 108:20		98:18 133:16
117:4,12,14,24	117:18 118:4	127:5,15 142:11	leaves 153:16	156:15 175:19
121:10,14 122:16	138:13,21 146:4	142:16 156:17	leaving 129:23	182:14 193:2
125:4,7,12,18,25	147:23 160:14	159:14,17 162:9	led 44:25 99:6	194:13 196:10
126:14 127:11,14	known 19:25 20:22	164:7,19 165:7	left 2:24 64:14	levels 141:24
127:23 128:6,8,10	25:23,23 42:7,8	198:3,4 205:24	81:13,15 101:24	libertarian 65:13
128:19,20,23	43:7 67:12 100:11	law-based 46:1	121:10,11 181:24	life 7:14 9:2,3,13,17
129:17 130:16	110:18 113:7,9	laws 44:21 161:21	legacy 39:13	11:9 21:4 24:11
132:12,13 133:8	127:22 129:7	lawyer 71:11	legal 3:7 10:3 18:22	36:23 37:8 97:14
133:15 134:7,8,21	153:6 199:2	layer 133:4,5	46:2,14 56:3	120:15,19 154:9
135:7 136:7 139:2	knows 22:7 25:2	layered 169:10	63:14 77:13 78:2	lifetime 113:15
139:19,24 140:2,4	31:23 57:19,22	layers 135:16	115:18 127:10	lift 122:21
140:6 142:14,19	59:14 66:13	lead 9:8,9 14:14	138:10 142:14,25	lifting 122:18
142:24 143:7,10	102:12 152:14	20:19 78:20	202:23 206:2	light 53:18 121:21
143:18 149:8,10	155:8 166:21	140:13 154:21,21	legally 63:19	liked 186:16
149:19 151:17,21		155:1,24 164:20	legislate 70:24	likelihood 204:22
151:21,23 152:10		182:14	71:17	limit 103:9,22
152:21,25,25	labelled 68:10	lead's 155:7	legislating 70:18	109:11
153:5,14 154:10	lack 71:20 90:9	leader 99:4	legislation 46:6	limitations 90:9
154:25 156:13,25	124:13	leaders 19:15 57:1	69:22 70:4,6,9	limited 86:7,7
159:12,14,25	lady 31:11 64:17	72:13 99:25	71:13,15,22 79:7	line 87:8 103:12
160:5,14,17,21,23	66:11 115:7	102:10	80:11,11,12 84:13	133:24 137:21
161:12,15,18	116:18 117:7	leadership 20:1	84:14 85:7 86:24	169:17 184:14
162:18,21,24	166:19 172:5	56:22 58:5 102:11	87:2,4,18 88:12	194:7
163:17 164:1,21	202:4 204:8 205:1	190:22,25 191:2	88:18 106:20	link 134:4
171:13 174:3,12	lagged 170:7	leads 5:18 155:5,11	108:21 118:3	linked 139:19
176:23 183:8,13	landscape 116:15	155:16 184:21,23	119:18 156:10	152:18
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

links 103:25	169:13,14 190:24	192:3	97:10 154:12,12	managing 29:12
list 21:2,10 122:13	191:8,14,22 193:2	looking 1:24 5:24	166:2	152:1
listed 20:16	locally 181:23	9:2,2 14:16,16	lottery 130:17	mandate 58:19
listen 175:2 203:13	location 133:22	15:20 19:8 33:17	love 131:2,18	64:23 76:9 77:2
listened 87:6	loggerheads 23:14	38:11 69:15,16	low 35:18,20 48:9	84:11,12 96:7,17
101:19 200:2	London 19:13 61:1	71:17 76:23 78:1	low-level 44:24	121:13 138:1
listening 58:11	96:20,25	78:10 86:22,24	58:1 98:23	mandated 20:18
lists 20:22 71:16	lonely 31:17	89:20 90:1,2	lower 51:16	21:1 75:2 76:22
85:18 127:12	long 21:19 24:3,5	97:21 106:6,9	lump 96:16	77:21 78:4 102:17
literally 21:4 97:12	53:16 71:16 89:17	118:6 127:23	lunacy 156:25	110:21 114:8,19
163:1 198:4	100:16 107:12,13	129:11 136:16	lunch 75:7 118:10	115:4 120:1 127:8
literature 89:13	114:8 125:13	143:22 145:8	119:1	137:20 140:2
little 6:12 8:11	147:19 148:3	161:24 171:7		193:22 196:16
12:25 55:17 60:11	150:1 181:6	173:1 189:11	M	mandating 78:13
63:19 86:1,4,14	186:23 188:10	191:3 192:19	Magimix 13:9	mandatorily 156:7
90:5 91:17 93:22	204:1 207:7	201:1,24 206:19	Magimixed 156:22	156:8
131:19 140:21	long-term 37:8	lookout 115:16	mainstream 94:5	mandatory 1:5 2:2
148:9,15 169:24	longer 66:21 121:6	looks 31:4 60:4	maintains 207:3	3:24,24 4:17 6:3,6
173:7 180:19	127:5 128:24	174:15 185:9	majority 19:25	6:21 7:23 8:10,12
184:4,15 187:2	147:18	193:10 201:5	20:19 26:12 86:21	8:19,24 9:11,20
193:17 194:22	look 6:12 12:24	loosely 54:1	96:8,20 97:14	10:2 12:8 13:19
195:4	13:24 15:7 22:13	Lord 142:15,24	204:3	13:25 14:11,13,18
live 2:5 5:4,7	32:8 38:6 41:15	143:3 180:1 181:2	make-up 172:14,19	14:22 18:3 19:2
128:23	41:19 47:15 51:20	lose 25:22 117:2	making 16:7 18:22	23:6 26:21 31:13
lived 188:7	67:19 68:12 69:21	129:7 188:23	30:17 38:7 40:14	32:13 33:8,13
livelihood 144:17	70:11 71:2,25	losing 159:8 160:1	71:16 80:4 85:1	35:5 38:17,19,21
lively 3:5	73:20 75:3 78:9	lost 22:23 24:14	85:18 91:16	41:20 44:18 45:5
lives 7:11 17:14	96:7 117:8 120:25	80:1 112:9 150:3	113:11 115:3	45:12,25 46:5
41:10 43:15 64:3	122:2 129:13	155:4	132:12 158:18,24	50:11,13 51:3
67:20 97:10 100:1	135:23 142:6	lot 6:3 7:21 17:22	166:5 175:25	52:7,11 53:18
185:20 195:13	143:19 145:1,18	25:5,5,12 26:2,15	176:1,20 181:22	54:2 57:14 59:12
living 128:24	149:15 154:6	28:12 32:21 47:17	197:23 202:24	61:22 65:6 66:4
188:16	173:16 176:24	52:2 54:7 61:20	makings 135:6	66:15 69:4,14
load 60:5	177:3 180:10	68:16 73:13	Malcolm 1:12	70:17,20,25 72:8
loads 189:13	186:3,8,19,20,24	105:19,20 119:10	maltreatment	73:20,25 74:10
local 12:1 13:4	187:3 188:25	125:6 127:10,25	35:10,13,15	76:9,16 79:3,10
38:25 39:23 42:3	189:4 192:15	131:15 168:6	manage 58:2	79:13 82:3 86:3
42:5,7,8,10,13	193:13 198:2	175:13,13 179:7	135:25	88:4 91:24 95:8
76:3,6 86:8 90:16	199:11,16	181:25 182:2	managed 49:12	95:17,21 97:1
93:15,21 96:11	looked 14:8 24:19	186:12 187:1,8	100:24 105:3	98:12 102:21,25
106:24 128:10	31:5 32:6 33:25	191:24 192:12	137:15	103:1 105:22
129:25 131:5,11	38:3 69:22 73:21	196:20,21 202:13	management 82:7	107:2 108:9,15
134:11,16,16	81:3 105:19	202:14 207:13	129:22	109:4 113:18
135:4 136:10	119:24 122:21	lots 21:20 44:2	manager 27:11	115:11 116:3
149:6,13,13 161:2	147:8 191:25	61:16 89:1 97:9	managers 81:11	117:11,14,16
	l		l	l

				rage 225
118:1,3 119:15	Mathews 8:18 9:7	128:2 136:12	56:12 65:14 79:19	minimum 80:20
120:9 122:6 123:1	33:9,24 34:3 35:1	171:7 185:10	103:3 107:19	81:12
123:1 125:1,10	35:3 36:13 44:21	205:25	108:3 126:9,23	minister 18:18
127:24 128:9	51:1 52:11 66:7	meant 69:14	147:1 160:21	39:12,13,15
129:11,14,19	74:11 79:6 80:13	136:20 170:17	162:23 172:1	183:19
130:7,10,22	81:7,16 82:11	measures 24:23	mere 121:3	ministers 16:12
131:22 133:21	83:7,13 84:2 92:5	52:13 199:5,6	merit 16:7 34:20	38:8 175:9 193:13
142:21 143:1	98:1 109:9 118:2	media 162:13	mess 203:24	minor 100:18
147:20 148:8	140:15 141:3,20	184:14 189:7		minorities 32:20
	/		message 148:10	
149:9,17,22 150:6	141:22 147:16	medical 67:18	messages 89:21	minority 18:14
150:18 155:20,22	148:5 161:24	114:19,20 115:3	method 127:23	66:11 168:21
156:12 161:21	163:16 170:16	152:7 166:3,10	methods 19:21	170:9 171:10
162:1 163:8,8,10	171:8,20 177:6	179:12 205:13	Michael 156:4	minute 64:14,18
164:6,19 165:7,10	181:5 189:22	meet 144:11,11	Michelle 6:15 21:7	107:21 125:21
165:17,20 166:2,8	193:20 196:21	149:14 157:24	21:14 28:24 32:19	minutes 74:2
166:13,15,17,25	200:10 203:7	199:10	47:21 105:11	169:23 173:5
167:7,8,13 168:20	207:17	meeting 51:24 58:9	131:16 186:6	177:15 182:22
170:10,14 171:12	matter 5:8 36:9	83:5 183:19	microcosm 71:10	misapplied 40:7
171:23 172:7	39:18 64:9 79:20	meetings 112:6	microphone 93:18	misconduct 69:24
175:22 177:7,24	88:7 101:15	member 17:24	113:3	71:4 77:1,7
178:1 179:13,15	103:10 110:5,8,9	55:21 56:13 66:1	microphones 3:12	137:18
185:1 186:1,2	114:10 141:16	78:16,24 87:19	middle 61:18 62:6	missed 64:22 83:13
187:13 188:9	161:16 165:1	92:8 114:6 115:25	114:4 115:6,8	90:25 135:18
189:25 192:16	matters 36:6	116:1,4,7,7,10	163:25 164:3	136:20
193:4 194:2,19,24	mature 139:18	138:3 166:1	202:1 205:9,23	missing 96:16
195:25 196:5	McCaffrey 2:21	167:22 169:4	Mike 118:24	97:13 134:9
197:11,20 198:18	208:10	members 1:11 4:7	132:18,23 151:7	mistaken 38:17
198:22 201:3	mean 4:4 22:5	17:1 23:12 30:6,8	192:21	84:7
202:18,25 203:23	23:13 26:10 29:7	40:13,17,21 44:3	million 39:3 88:15	mistrust 164:23
204:1 205:5,17	30:13 40:4 44:13	54:23 101:2	88:16,18 194:15	misunderstanding
206:7,14	44:14 57:17 79:4	134:17 135:9	million-dollar	25:6
manifest 47:22	84:9 85:8 92:13	143:9 153:8	80:13	misunderstood
manifesting 47:24	98:22 106:18	172:17 181:12,16	mind 4:3 71:5	22:4 60:7 68:18
manifests 47:14,19	121:2 127:21	181:21 182:1	111:6 117:19	Mitchell 208:11
47:20	128:9 133:3	members' 72:23	121:3 170:11	mix 136:25
mantra 99:18	142:17 149:22	182:8	174:21 180:15	Mm 57:10
March 62:15	158:4 171:11	memory 125:4	184:24 192:11	model 57:2 76:8,12
mark 193:25	195:21 203:4	mental 41:13 67:16	203:15	77:3 81:25 102:23
marks 97:12	206:11	mentalities 165:5	mindful 104:17,22	129:14 138:1
marry 133:17,24	meaningful 178:22	mentality 20:10	184:10 195:5,10	144:9 163:8,9,11
mass 12:3	means 16:3 19:18	56:23 164:24	minding 146:19	163:17 174:14
massive 26:6 182:8	19:21 28:18 49:15	mention 5:5 15:13	minds 182:8	models 2:2 3:24
massive 20.0 102.0	53:6 57:18 71:25	29:9 107:4 164:2	minds 102.0	72:8 73:21,25
match 131:12	77:13,15 91:7	mentioned 13:16	minimised 112:17	74:10,18,24 75:9
maternity 54:17	116:13 127:2	18:16,17 22:18	112:18	76:21 77:20 81:3
	110.15 12/.2	10.10,17 22.10	112.10	70.21 77.20 01.5
	•	-	•	-

81:25 90:7 102:20	moving 3:4 40:13	national-led 87:22	197:24 198:7,9,9	150:14,15,21,23
103:4 111:13	58:25 75:25 85:12	nations 180:22,23	198:16,21 199:4,4	151:4 161:16
119:24 137:9,19	90:21 168:4	180:23	199:16,18 200:5	173:19 174:16
moment 20:17	Moya 52:20,21	natural 125:24	200:11 201:16,17	180:12 191:23
26:24 33:9,23	60:23 82:14 94:11	nature 61:12	203:6 205:19	192:8,13,14
40:11,21 59:19	94:12,25 99:16	naughty 92:20	needed 27:7 29:4	193:18 197:16,17
69:8 72:17 92:22	106:15,18 124:22	navigate 85:7	56:8 88:19 128:14	198:3
106:22 114:5	126:3 148:22,23	near 190:1 191:8	178:13 185:17	news 22:9 30:14
118:22 125:25	190:11 192:23	Nearly 22:9	193:14	180:11
131:5 161:19	MP 108:12	necessarily 17:9	needs 5:16 24:22	NHS 52:21 54:7
169:12 175:18	multi-agency 136:9	104:15 110:9	29:6 31:9 40:18	125:2 130:21
186:4 187:4 195:8	193:7	127:21 143:11,13	49:12 50:16,18	191:9,14,15,18,23
197:24	multiple 17:20	146:2 151:13	52:3 55:18,23	night 4:9 30:15
momentum 192:7	35:24 85:9 92:13	necessary 29:14	96:19 100:5 104:7	33:7 82:18 89:3
money 29:6,16,24	124:11	50:20 86:25	104:23 105:4,19	142:19
30:2 34:23 36:10	multiplier 165:22	129:21	105:20 106:6	nodding 28:24
36:11 37:24,24	multitude 46:18	need 7:11,18 11:20	111:3 124:5	162:5
41:8,9 58:24	Munro 10:13 12:18	15:11 17:20 20:15	126:15 132:10	nods 163:19
115:11 129:18	13:7	20:18 29:25 30:1	135:23 140:6	Noelle 6:9 21:14
162:23 182:5,5	Munro's 12:18,19	32:11 44:6 47:12	151:12 155:22	24:7 67:4 123:8
185:11 197:12,15	13:2	47:18 48:21,23	161:6 163:1 166:5	125:9 148:21
198:12	murder 85:9	49:2,18,23 50:14	169:8 173:14,18	155:2 184:19
money-laundering	121:15	50:17,25 52:13,17	174:10,16 178:11	Noelle's 73:9
115:19	Muslim 19:14	54:12,12 56:11	178:24 185:8,12	nominally 168:15
monies 88:14	27:20,23	57:2 64:12 68:21	185:13,14,20	nominated 143:5
month 96:14	mustn't 116:2	68:21 70:19,23	189:14 190:15	non-clinical 99:16
months 97:2 208:7	mythical 161:19	88:22 89:5,14	193:4,7 195:21	non-disclosure
mop 118:23	myths 25:10	94:18,19 95:18	197:2,4,5,16	7:17 114:9
moral 189:5		99:19 103:23	199:22,23 200:6	non-mandated
morally 127:12,14	N	104:17,21 105:7	201:7 205:17	200:11
morning 1:4 11:19	N 208:23	106:9 109:12	207:12	non-professional
36:19 74:8 98:1	naked 119:14	110:1 116:23	negative 90:13	145:25
98:13 116:19	name 72:16 92:17	119:16,18 123:7	91:19	non-recent 39:4
137:10 138:5	107:19 111:20	129:13 130:5,5,6	neglect 15:23 31:23	119:23 120:6,13
142:7	164:1	131:14 132:11,13	62:14,18 181:4	120:21 124:25
mouth 123:6	named 99:12	133:12 140:8	net 76:8 81:4	non-registered
move 16:14 18:12	names 164:1	147:8 153:17,18	neuroscience 73:12	146:1
40:23 42:21 53:19	narrow 94:18	158:15 174:4,13	neutral 3:20	non-reporting
72:7 73:19 75:6	narrower 76:2	178:19 183:15,17	neutrality 207:4	30:20 141:2
94:21 97:23	narrowly 75:23	184:15 185:8,9,16	never 88:4,11	145:10 158:11
102:16 111:10	NATHAN 44:13	185:19 186:2,14	100:11 179:3	159:20
118:12,22 137:3	45:11 78:8 195:21	187:15,16 188:9	new 1:17 15:18	non-returning
198:20	national 10:19 11:3	188:11,14 190:9	16:9 73:4 87:1,2	156:15
moved 20:2,4	66:2 90:15 134:12	192:12 193:11	90:24 115:22	non-sinister 80:3
moves 2:1	167:22	196:1 197:11,20	141:10,14 147:17	nonsense 60:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	_	_	_	
normal 55:4,4	46:14 63:14	108:22,23 119:15	124:22 132:4,17	opportunities
115:24	110:20 115:19	137:20 138:2,11	134:2 136:5	135:17 136:21
normally 55:2	141:5 206:2	138:24 151:9	141:14 143:8	186:10
149:13	obligations 45:25	154:23 160:4	145:24 148:14	opportunity 31:2
North 108:13	46:1,3 203:22	168:3	150:9 151:7	61:23 89:15 99:23
Northern 107:6	obliged 38:4	offences 71:4 77:7	156:15,17 163:19	125:21 162:10
108:4,5,8	obscure 202:17,22	104:21 119:8	163:20 170:3	176:25
note 13:14 138:17	202:22	142:8 167:24	171:25 181:1	opposed 10:24
180:15	observation 42:2	168:8	206:21	13:19 27:6 32:2
noted 52:24 74:11	50:4 53:6 82:14	offend 128:20	old 92:3 103:11	36:22 56:10 97:3
102:20	83:12 94:9 167:3	129:6	older 68:16 77:14	102:17 127:16
nothing's 200:24	170:13	offender 86:9	77:17,17 102:24	128:12 129:22
notice 113:12	observations 3:9	offenders 19:25	103:6 119:4,19	159:10 160:6
noticed 11:24 44:25	4:20,24,24 16:13	20:4,20,23,23	oncall 82:23 83:1	197:21 198:3
195:2	19:9 21:7 24:7	21:2,3 86:9	once 6:11,18 12:5	opposition 108:13
notion 202:22	45:21 61:19 97:18	127:13 128:3,20	15:11 27:12 39:21	opt 73:2
November 113:6	99:17 105:12	131:7 198:15	40:3 69:1,5 96:14	optimal 190:2
NPCC's 194:3	111:21 157:14	offending 96:12	128:21 165:9,15	opting 73:3
NSPCC 60:17	163:22 164:4	128:22	185:14	option 124:25
135:1 161:3	202:6 207:20	offer 30:24 97:17	one's 111:23	options 14:2
nuances 160:6	208:16 209:3,6,8	offering 175:19	ones 14:24 81:22	order 79:4 94:23
number 4:6 8:21	209:10	office 12:20 69:24	183:14,14	201:6,16
8:22 11:14 17:6	observe 94:25	71:5 78:18 119:11	ongoing 113:21	organisation 5:6
19:3,6,6 26:22	observing 95:5	officer 75:24 112:7	online 32:6 172:21	45:16,16 51:12
52:24 55:1 57:16	obsessed 39:9	115:13 138:20	Ontario 76:17,18	52:1 71:18 80:5
73:21 87:15 90:6	obtained 138:14	142:25 149:14	77:11,15 138:9,16	102:12 125:12
91:1 92:12 126:12	obvious 31:14	official 37:22	138:17,20	126:18 132:2
130:23 132:1	96:18	officials 63:12	Ontario's 81:25	136:23 138:21
135:2 142:20	obviously 4:5 44:2	offline 37:17	onwards 119:12	149:15 155:6
144:23 145:6,22	44:5 71:3 102:18	Ofsted 112:25	140:10	173:17,18 174:15
149:11 173:2	119:8 131:3 146:7	oh 64:7 116:1 181:1	Oops 202:24	191:9 193:11
178:5 191:12,18	181:15 194:6	okay 6:14 7:20	open 57:18 148:15	196:23
192:5	occasion 206:25	11:18,23 12:2,15	201:13	organisational
numbers 11:13	occasions 112:10	12:18,23 13:2,12	opening 1:3,19 2:8	45:13 58:16 78:9
26:10 35:7 145:9	occupancy 39:8,9,9	16:13 21:7,14	33:20 208:24	88:13 91:25 93:2
162:12	occupational 80:21	30:22,23 33:24	209:1	93:3,7,8,9,17,24
nurseries 76:13	occupations 15:4	35:10 36:11,24	openly 49:2	94:4 98:16 102:10
nurses 80:17	occur 164:15	38:20 39:24 46:15	openness 58:12	125:4 136:24
	occurred 112:11	61:2 72:3 79:2	201:9	175:7 182:13
0	166:7	85:22 90:1 92:23	operates 10:22	196:10 202:15
o'clock 82:18	occurring 46:22	102:14 103:9	operating 10:24	organisations 3:25
118:13	64:4	105:11 107:22	158:14 176:10	5:3 18:13 21:18
O'Mara 61:18	odd 91:1	108:1,5 109:7	Operation 120:5,6	29:23 32:11 57:2
objective 206:4,18	odds 63:2	111:23 116:20	133:11	60:20 63:12,17
obligation 46:8,10	offence 70:12	122:4,6,10,20,22	opinion 179:11	71:16,20 75:15,18
	l		l	I

				
75:22,24 76:2,15	overseas 46:19	57:16 62:13 74:1	42:8,9 102:6	137:24 138:7,16
78:4,5 79:5 81:11	overshadowing	75:4 83:13 86:13	191:23	138:18 141:1,18
81:17 82:7,7,12	24:15	95:22 96:25 99:5	parts 37:25,25	147:19,22,25
86:12 87:12,12	overwhelming	136:11 144:3	72:17 119:17	148:5,10,17,19,21
88:2 93:25 96:19	34:21	170:14 176:3	party 65:8	150:11,16,17
96:21 97:12,24	overwhelmingly	179:1 184:5 189:2	pass 5:21 135:5	pending 149:24
100:25 123:16,17	87:7	199:13 202:11	passage 180:1	people 4:22 7:4,10
125:3,8 126:3	ownership 129:16	207:7 208:14	passed 136:1	7:12 9:4,14,16,18
128:15 136:22,25	Oxford 142:16	PARTICIPANT	passionately	12:16 13:6 14:25
137:24 163:12		45:22 61:20 62:7	183:22	15:21 17:16,20
164:23 169:15	P	63:24 64:20 71:11	patient 51:22	18:7 19:12 20:9
172:16 184:22,24	pace 51:6 52:15	111:22 115:10	114:14	21:5,19,22 22:2,4
184:25 185:3	paced 94:22	116:20 123:23	patients 51:25	22:6,7,14,17,21
201:18,19,19	paediatric 82:20	131:2 145:15	paucity 92:14	22:23,23 23:4,23
organisations'	paediatrician	146:18 147:2	Paul 32:17 34:2	24:8,16,25 26:1,7
76:24	82:24,25 83:1,8	154:2 164:5	59:21,22 60:21	26:15,19 27:14,14
organising 190:13	94:13	166:20 167:6,11	97:19 99:1 148:21	27:18 28:11,19
original 15:17	paediatricians	202:7 204:9	150:10 194:9	29:21,22 31:17
116:9	166:3	205:10,24	196:6	37:2,6,10,12 41:9
ought 32:15	page 107:1 180:11	participants 2:10	Paul's 34:4	41:23 44:12,25
outcast 22:23	181:5	52:24 61:17 62:5	pause 5:7 107:8,11	45:2 47:5 48:16
outcome 64:24 81:5	paid 155:23	74:13 75:5 113:24	107:15,21,23	48:17 51:14,14,23
132:7 144:14	painful 57:8	163:23,24	147:11	52:16 53:19 56:2
149:25	panel 1:11,24 61:24	participants' 3:21	pay 95:18	56:4,19,24 58:2,4
outcomes 65:5	165:13,16 173:23	particular 15:8	paying 203:6	58:15,18 59:3,14
95:20 133:25	Panorama 4:8	50:15,18 52:5	peace 19:20	59:17,20 61:13
186:20 192:18	18:16 66:10	62:10 66:4 68:11	pediatricians	64:15 66:20 67:7
193:22,23 196:6,7	paper 114:12	143:5 145:1	157:23	67:9,11,15,20,25
outline 74:18 137:5	paragraph 62:10	152:19 156:20	peer 109:25 110:3	68:2,15,20 80:8
137:11 138:25	parallels 115:21	174:12 175:14	110:18 111:2	81:17 82:11 87:15
outlined 95:9	paralysed 152:23	177:22 178:23	peer-on-peer 68:17	88:11 89:9 91:14
outlining 75:8	paramount 183:3	179:2	68:22 103:2,8	91:15,16 93:4,4
outset 132:11 163:6	199:22	particularity 143:4	104:6 105:23	94:20 95:25 99:23
outside 18:23 43:9	parent 60:3	particularly 15:25	107:2 108:10	99:24 100:17
126:10 175:21	parents 29:15	46:25 47:2 48:11	111:23 112:1,20	101:10,13 103:19
outweigh 36:14	59:15 60:6,12,19	74:15,19 79:23	123:23 124:4	104:14,25 106:12
outweighs 162:4	72:19,25 73:2	145:2,10 153:9	peer-to-peer	111:5 114:1,16
overall 15:2 37:23	97:9 126:14	164:18 174:1	109:13,19 110:14	116:22 117:10
110:14,19	Parliament 85:7	175:8 208:17	119:8	120:7 121:4 122:4
overcoming 132:21	140:16	parties 180:3,4	peers 105:10	123:14,15,16,18
overlooked 25:2	Parliament's 46:5	partner 137:15	penalised 53:3	123:24 124:2,6
67:22 68:17	parliamentarians	partners 76:3 86:8	penalties 139:4	125:7 127:10,11
overnight 6:2 28:17	193:13	93:20 97:11	140:13 141:16	127:13,20,25
override 10:2	part 1:15 24:22	partnership 90:17	143:13 147:13	128:25 129:19
overriding 10:7	31:21 44:19 52:18	partnerships 42:4	penalty 21:1	136:22 139:17,18
8		•	~ ~ ~	

				rage 233
	l	l	l	l
143:5 147:5	108:1,5 120:22,23	physically 37:7	plea 66:8,15 167:24	161:22 162:25
150:22,22 151:2	120:25 121:20,22	pick 4:1 43:24 58:1	please 3:11,12 4:3	168:18 170:18
152:5,6,11 156:16	122:1 123:22	66:6 80:9 86:1	4:23 5:5,9,14 6:8	179:9 181:20
158:13,16 159:9	142:2 156:1,4	107:20 130:24	30:21 59:20 61:17	182:4 185:20
159:17,22 160:7	174:6 179:23	131:14 170:3	66:19 106:14	187:9,13 188:23
160:22 161:5,10	181:1	picked 97:3	107:16 115:9	190:15 193:19
161:15 162:9,10	Perry's 4:2	picking 129:17	124:23 147:10	194:18 200:14
162:12 164:1	person 6:25 19:19	picture 13:25 71:2	169:23 174:6	203:14
165:6 167:9 168:4	20:7 22:19 26:18	98:24,24 131:7	182:22 195:16,20	pointed 128:16
168:14 169:19	47:6 49:13 57:1,8	piece 11:4 17:7	pleased 1:14 31:13	146:7
172:6,15 185:6	59:12 78:20 83:15	87:18	pleasure 97:25	pointing 167:5
186:9 188:20,21	83:16,24 99:25	pink 203:17	plus 189:25 190:1	points 8:4,5,8,9,17
188:24 198:13,14	103:18 104:16,18	place 2:13 26:21	pm 118:15,17	9:24 34:7 45:22
198:15 200:22	104:20,23,25	27:25 28:3,19	169:25 170:2	109:10 156:1,3
202:11,18,20	127:21 129:2,5	42:4 44:3,16 45:9	208:19	164:5 170:4
203:11,15 206:13	130:14,14,20	52:9,13 77:5 89:2	pneumonia 114:23	177:10 182:11
people's 69:5 100:1	153:16,25,25	90:10 94:2 104:24	point 4:2 8:2 11:7	188:25 189:2
160:6 189:8	153.10,25,25	127:5 130:12	13:3 14:8,18 16:4	police 4:11 5:22
	198:1	133:7 148:4 155:8	16:5,19 20:21	
percentage 124:2,4			,	6:23 13:10 17:2
percentages 172:4	person's 24:11	155:15 161:21	28:15 30:5 33:5	18:21 22:6 25:11
perception 23:15	personal 62:23	163:17 165:11	34:4 36:3,4,24	25:11,14,17 26:5
42:17	65:17 121:12	169:16 176:1	37:1,9,19 41:4	26:7,11 28:13
perfect 89:18	personally 121:16	182:6 183:13	44:13 45:23 47:10	32:23,25 39:1
performance	persons 70:1 76:18	185:5 191:11	47:21,24 49:8,14	65:22 80:18 83:4
139:20 146:10	79:21 84:23,24	192:14 195:6,8,24	49:17 52:19 54:10	86:8 93:21 96:12
149:7	138:10	197:16 198:4	57:10 63:20,24	110:6,9,11 111:14
period 17:4,6 53:16	perspective 57:12	200:7 201:20	64:20 65:2,11	118:11,25 120:5
53:24 54:4 97:4	57:12 78:8 82:16	204:19 206:9,14	67:20 69:18 72:6	122:24,25 126:6
157:11	96:18 97:5 111:14	placed 184:16	72:9,10 73:7,9	128:1 129:13,24
permits 138:22	120:4 174:25	placing 198:10	79:8 81:8 83:11	130:5 131:6,11,24
perpertrated 77:9	196:18	plan 192:2	84:15,16 85:1,12	132:19,21 133:4,5
perpetrate 206:16	perspectives 175:7	planning 208:11	85:13 89:1 100:2	135:4 136:10
perpetrated 77:4	181:3	plans 16:6 192:3	103:25 109:20	151:9 162:6,11
perpetrating	persuade 61:10	plastered 128:10	117:21,23 118:10	190:23 195:10
104:21	perverse 181:18	plate 194:14	121:13,16 122:6,9	police's 193:14
perpetrator 105:16	perverting 69:23	platform 162:13	123:2,23 124:24	policies 62:9
120:3 121:18	phase 169:20	play 8:11 27:13	125:14 128:17	195:24 204:19,21
perpetrators	PhD 101:16	39:11 50:8 64:12	134:15 135:15	policing 151:24,25
191:18	phew 107:6	99:25 134:16	139:3 143:24	policy 2:24 34:17
Perry 11:6,18,19	Phil 18:19	136:11 179:1	145:21 148:25	34:18,22 36:4
30:20,24 38:15	phone 129:20	187:14 200:13	150:5 152:9,12,14	38:6,7 112:3,4,5
60:23 63:20 84:5	133:10	player 202:20	150:3 152:5,12,14	118:7 163:17
84:12 91:22,24	phrased 174:8	player 202.20 playgroup 146:21	152:15,25 153:19	190:3 197:5
106:15 107:12,14	phrased 174.8 physical 15:25	playgroup 140.21 playing 194:16	155:20 154.8,22	policy-based 45:25
106.13 107.12,14	112:1	playing 194.16 plays 43:14 135:20	160:3,13 161:20	
107.20,22,24	114.1	piays 43.14 133.20	100.3,13 101.20	46:8,10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	I

	1	1	1	
politicians 165:2	potential 14:5	pragmatic 73:6	183:25 189:12	92:3
poor 201:11	15:15 57:5 78:23	182:9	201:20	procedure 97:1
population 41:12	95:13 100:10	pre-committed	prevents 202:18	197:17
Porteous 66:1	143:17 149:9	117:20	previous 54:11	procedures 44:16
167:22	151:23 160:3	pre-determined	100:7	56:16 90:18 94:2
porter 130:15	162:2	202:14	primary 72:15,17	146:22,23,25
porters 101:3	potentially 20:6	preach 61:3	110:20 124:9	147:3 165:18
pose 120:20 125:1	58:3 78:22 116:10	preamble 142:21	171:2 182:10	169:18 195:6,8
position 13:18,21	130:13 131:1,20	preclude 151:19	principal 112:7	proceed 164:14
14:14 15:12 36:21	168:5	pregnant 192:6	principally 85:20	proceeded 122:23
41:5,6 62:24	poverty 30:14	premise 102:2	principle 7:23,25	proceeding 72:2
64:11 65:8,15	power 31:1 62:19	premises 206:1	8:12 9:19,25	proceedings 1:22
69:14 70:24 84:6	97:21 98:9 101:15	preparation 208:12	12:17 37:5 41:20	137:18 143:11
84:12,24 86:1	116:12 117:18	presence 141:11	41:22,24 54:18	146:3 170:24
89:16 121:2	powerful 63:22	present 14:3 52:21	65:11 166:15,18	process 3:7 99:5
151:10 157:10	87:18 89:21	58:8 72:2 73:25	183:10	129:12 131:25
173:24 174:19	117:19	74:20 118:19	principles 10:8	133:21 135:14
175:1,18 176:18	practical 37:9	195:21 207:24	printed 112:4	149:4,10,16,18
176:21 177:25	82:16 94:11	presentation 46:4	prior 33:12 57:14	153:19,21 154:19
180:13 181:11,11	116:20	51:2 74:7 80:14	202:24	169:8 197:21
183:7,12 194:3	practicalities 8:13	92:20 102:20	prioritise 19:16	200:1 207:6,7
203:8 207:4	practice 9:25 10:9	189:23 209:5	prioritising 34:24	208:14
positions 20:1	10:10,14,20 11:4	presentations 1:23	priority 57:17	processes 137:16
26:19 85:3,6	15:3 27:6 40:4,6	44:20 174:1	prison 41:12	155:8,10 169:15
155:15	42:3 45:24 46:2	185:23 207:18	168:13,16	produced 87:3
positive 49:12	47:15 57:21 79:20	presented 16:2	prisons 39:6,7,8,10	PROF 34:3 35:1,3
68:11 90:13	88:8,9 90:14,20	137:10,19	private 9:3 11:8	36:13 79:6 80:13
104:16	94:5 127:16	presenting 188:1	36:22 46:23	81:7,16 82:11
possibility 144:4,15	139:21,25 144:4	president 2:14,16	probability 121:14	83:13 84:2 109:9
144:18 158:4,7,9	144:16 147:17	66:2	probably 22:14	118:2 140:15
168:17	149:3 150:4 158:6	pressure 50:23	29:5 78:21 91:17	141:3,20,22
possible 4:22 14:2	159:21 164:14	59:6	96:17 109:5 129:3	147:16 148:5
14:20 62:17 64:3	171:7 176:11	pressures 189:15	142:14 150:21	163:16 170:16
116:8 162:4	183:24 185:2	prestigious 47:3	152:1 170:7	171:8,20 189:22
165:11 176:6,10	193:16 195:23	presumably 204:3	172:13,19 182:15	profession 76:19
176:11 192:5	practise 91:4	pretty 32:7 80:18	184:4,10,15	114:19 115:18
possibly 40:8 53:19	137:17 139:21	85:16 169:22	185:10 186:8	144:20 152:7,8
54:4 63:19 94:15	146:2	202:16,22	194:11 196:9	153:11 164:25
109:2 114:17	practitioner 13:10	prevent 49:8	198:6 201:8	professional 4:4
169:9	79:14,17	192:10	probation 93:21	10:3,4,12 12:14
post 89:21 146:14	practitioner's	prevented 55:6	problem 30:1 33:3	12:14 17:24 50:22
146:16	170:17	191:12	40:4 55:10 95:22	79:14,17 98:18,21
postcode 130:17	practitioners 42:24	prevention 57:16	100:20 165:23	99:11,21 104:2
posted 208:6	79:7 80:21,23,23	82:5 88:20 95:24	188:1,2	113:10,13 137:17
posturing 202:15	134:16 203:20	98:23 181:4	problems 22:5 55:3	138:15 139:18,25

Page 235

144:3,18 149:5 153:3,21 156:21 profile 192:9 profoundly 114:7 profile 192:9 profoundly 114:7 programme 15:1 195:14,25 160:25 164:8,22 149:4 175:21 142:13,14,17,23 160:25 164:8,22 149:4 175:21 190:17 200:13 164:23 166:3 170:17 184:12 professionals 4:12 10:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 43:6, 7 47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 51:10,24 52:4,20 59:15 65:16 70:13 76:6 79:6 87:11 87:11 88:19 3:5 91:16 79:6 87:11 87:11 88:19 3:5 91:16 79:6 87:11 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 136:20 138:1 17:20 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:30 17:3
153:3,21 156:21 157:1,3,15,212 157:1,3,15,212 159:11,23,25 159:11,23,25 164:8,22 164:23 165:3 170:17 184:12 189:14 190:20:3 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:20,23 11:23,24 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25 100:25
157:1,3,15,21,22 158:3,5,14,25 159:11,23,25 164:8,22 164:23 165:3 170:17 184:12 professionally 99:14 professionals 4:12 professionals 4:12 10:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 24:36,747:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 51:16,65:16 70:13 76:6 79:6 87:11 87:11 88:19 3:5 94:25 95:4,14 98:20 113:19 135:8 136:17 138:12,19 152:4 158:19 167:7,16 172:16 176:8 178:18 20 189:7,818 203:22 204:5 professionals 4:12 professionals 4:12 proposecution 148:24 professionals 4:12 159:17 200:13 164:13 166:1 Q Q Q C 142:15 Q Q C 142:15 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q
158:3,5,14,25 159:11,23,25 160:25 164:8,22 164:23 165:3 170:17 184:12 professionally prominence 120:7 professionals 4:12 10:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 43:67,47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 59:15 65:16 70:13 76:67 9:6 87:11 87:11 88:19 3:5 94:25 95:4,14 98:20 113:19 135:8 136:17 138:12,19 152:4 158:19 178:18 199:22 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 138:12,19 152:4 158:19 167:7,16 172:16 176:8 178:18 179:17 187:18,25 188:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 204:5 professions 4:1
159:11,23,25 160:25 164:8,22 149:13,14,17,23 149:4 175:21 170:17 184:12 189:19 189:17 163:16,21 164:2 169:4 170:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 43:67, 47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 59:15 65:16 70:13 76:6 79:6 87:11 87:11 88:1 93:5 94:25 95:4,14 98:20 113:19 135:8 136:17 138:12,19 152:4 156:24 179:21 183:10 179:24 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:21 183:10 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 179:22 1
160:25 164:8,22 169:4 175:21 progress 62:25 progress 62:25 progress 62:25 progressive 183:10 professionally 99:14 professionals 4:12 10:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 43:6,7 47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 51:10,24 52:4,20 59:15 65:16 70:13 76:6 79:6 87:11 87:11 88:1 93:5 94:25 95:4,14 98:20 113:19 proportionate 138:12,19 152:4 158:19 167:7,16 172:16 176:8 178:18 19:17 138:12,19 152:4 178:18 19:17 138:12,19 152:4 178:18 19:17 138:12,19 152:4 178:18 179:17 138:12,19 152:4 178:18 18:19 167:7,16 178:18 18:20 178:18 18:20 178:18 18:20 179:18 18:20 179:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:18 18:20 170:19 102:16 163:16,21 164:4 164:13 166:1 167:22 169:4 170:4 172:3,17 qualified 99:21 qualitative 46:7 qualified 99:21 qualitative 46:7 qualified 99:21 pore of 68:25,25 70:14 190:2 201:23 200:26 208:13 200:20 20:36,8,10 published 144:1 190:21 190:21 190:21 110:10,12,24 115:5 34:5,18 200:36,8,10 published 144:1 190:21 190:21 110:34:5,18 200:36,8,10 published 144:1
164:23 165:3 170:17 184:12 progress 62:25 progressive 183:10 204:20 protection 5:19 170:4 172:3,17 profilessionals 4:12 10:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 43:6,7 47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 51:10,24 52:4,20 51:10,24 52:5 55:1 163:10 190:25 15:10,24 52:4,20 13:18 19:13 168:19 proportionate 135:8 136:17 138:12,19 152:4 138:12,19 152:4 138:12,19 152:4 138:12,19 152:4 156:24 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 187:18,25 188:6 178:9,18 179:17 187:18,25 188:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 204:5 professions 4:1 professions 4:20 profession 14:12 professions 4:20 profession 4:10 profession 14:12 professions 4:10 professions 4:10 profession 14:12 professions 4:10 professions 4:10 professions 4:10 professions 4:10 professions 4:10 professions 4:10 professions 4:20 professions 4:10 professions 4:20 profes
170:17 184:12
professionally proffessionally prominence 120.7 prominence 5:19 170:4 172:3,17 qualified 99:21 99:14 prominence 53:10 prominence 120.7 prominence 5:19 34:10,15 36:5,8 170:4 172:3,17 173:8 176:5 qualified 99:21 10:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 promoting 161:12 prompted 108:7 prompted 108:4 prompted 108:7 prompted 108:4 prompted 108:1
99:14
professionals 4:12 promise 65:25 56:3 60:1 68:2,24 179:21 183:1 quarterly 149:6 10:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 43:6,7 47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 110:12,24 202:6 208:13 80:18 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 51:10,24 52:4,20 157:20 171:3,4 published 144:1 question 4:11 6:2 59:15 65:16 70:13 properly 119:23 175:19 177:20 published 144:1 question 4:11 6:2 18:11 88:1 93:5 proportion 14:12 155:24 published 144:1 question 4:11 6:2 18:25 95:4,14 proportionate 195:24 published 144:1 46:17 52:7 53:11 138:12,19 152:4 proportionate 139:22 protects 99:9 pupllion-pupil 80:23 10:918 11:11 187:18 88:19 167:7,16 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 76:9 provided 13:6 provided 74:1,22 purpose 47:1,22 purpose 41:18:5 10:918 11:11 187:18,25 188:6 proposed 76:9 70:14 133:16 pursue 158:2 13:21 133:17 177:12 proposition 14:22 providers 75:19 push 59:7 91
10:20,23 11:23,24 11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 43:6,7 47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 51:10,24 52:4,20 59:15 65:16 70:13 76:6 79:6 87:11 87:11 88:1 93:5 94:25 95:4,14 98:20 113:19 135:8 136:17 138:12,19 152:4 158:19 167:7,16 172:16 176:8 178:9,18 179:17 187:18,25 188:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 proposed 76:9 106:20 138:1 173:12 professions 4:1 professions 4:1 professions 4:1 professions 4:1 professions 4:1 professions 4:1 prosecution 151:2 proyule 4:20 proyul
11:25 16:18,20 17:24 19:5 29:17 35:23 42:10,24 43:6,7 47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 51:10,24 52:4,20 59:15 65:16 70:13 76:6 79:6 87:11 87:11 88:1 93:5 94:25 95:4,14 98:20 113:19 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:8 136:17 135:12,19 152:4 158:19 167:7,16 172:16 176:8 178:9,18 179:17 187:18,25 188:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 204:5 professionas¹ 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 11:25 16:18,20 prompted 108:7 prompts 106:24 prompts 106:24 prompts 106:24 prompts 106:24 prompts 106:24 prompts 106:24 proper 56:15 86:18 110:10,12,24 115:12 116:15 128:8 144:2 1190:21 pulling 145:16 pulling 145:16 pulling 145:16 punishment 159:14 purport 157:16 purport 157:16 purport 157:16 purpose 35:15 purposed 185:5 purposed 185:5 purposed 185:5 purposed 185:5 purposed 18:0:19 provider 36:19 provider 36:19 provider 57:19 84:24 provider 38:20 provider 57:19 provider 56:19 provider
17:24 19:5 29:17 prompted 108:7 prompted 108:7 209:3,6,8,10 80:18 35:23 42:10,24 prompts 106:24 110:10,12,24 published 144:1 190:21 published 144:1 15:34:5,18 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 100:25 157:20 171:3,4 pull 109:1 pull 109:1 44:19 45:4,4 44:19 45:4,4 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 80:18 36:20 41:18 44:18 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 48:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 46:17 52:7 53:11 80:18 36:20 41:18 44:18 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 46:17 52:7 53:11 46:17 52:7 53:11 80:18 question 4:11 6:2 11:5 34:5,18 36:20 41:18 44:18 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 46:17 52:7 53:11 80:18 question 4:11 6:2 11:5 34:5,18 36:20 41:18 44:18 46:17 52:7 53:11 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 46:17 52:7 53:11 10:18 9:20 10:18 9:20 10:19 9:21 10:19 9:21 10:19 9:21 10:19 9:21 10:19 9:21 10:19 9:21 10:19 9:21 10:19 9:21
35:23 42:10,24 prompts 106:24 prompts 106:21 prompts 106:21 prompts 106:21 prompts 106:21 prompts
43:6,7 47:11,13 47:18 48:3,6,7,10 48:15,22 50:9 51:10,24 52:4,20 59:15 65:16 70:13 76:6 79:6 87:11 87:11 88:1 93:5 94:25 95:4,14 98:20 113:19 138:12,19 152:4 158:19 167:7,16 172:16 176:8 178:9,18 179:17 187:18,25 188:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 204:5 professionals' 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 128:8 144:2 158:19 177:20 191:7,9 192:2 195:24 175:19 177:20 191:7,9 192:2 191:7,9 192:2 191:7,9 192:2 191:7,9 192:2 191:7,9 192:2 192:4 175:19 177:20 191:10 191:10 190:21 11:5 34:5,18 36:20 41:18 44:18 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 190:21 pull 109:1 pulling 145:16 punish 62:19 punishment 159:14 pupil-on-pupil 108:23 pupils 206:1 purport 157:16 purpose 35:15 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 125:5,7,21 132:5 132:21 133:17 174:12 174:12 175:19 177:20 191:10 192:1 100:21 pull 109:1 pull 109:1 pull 109:1 pull 109:1 pulling 145:16 punish 62:19 punishment 159:14 pupil-on-pupil 108:23 pupils 206:1 purpose 35:15 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 174:12 174:12 175:19 177:20 108:23 101:9 102:16 109:13 11:5 34:5,18 36:20 41:18 44:18 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 100:21 punishment 159:14 pupil-on-pupil 108:23 pupils 206:1 purpose 35:15 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 171:10 172:3 174:12 174:12 175:19 177:20 190:21 pulling 145:16 punish 62:19 punishment 159:14 pupil-on-pupil 108:23 pupils 206:1 purpose 35:15 164:19 171:2 164:19 171:2 171:10 172:3 174:12 174:12 175:19 177:20 190:21 171:9 108:23 101:9 102:16 109:13 11:5 34:5,18 36:20 41:18 44:18 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 11:5 34:5,18 36:20 41:18 44:18 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 11:5 34:5,18 36:20 41:18 44:18 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 11:5 34:5,18 36:20 41:18 44:18 4:19 45:16 punish 62:19 punishment 159:14 pupil-on-pupil 108:23 pupils 206:1 purpose 35:15 164:19 171:2 171:20 171:10 172:3 174:12 175:19 177:20 108:23 101:9 102:16 109:18 11:11 117:13,25 119:3 119:22 120:9 126:5,7,21 132:5 132:21 133:17 19:20 120:9 126:5,7,21 132:5 132:21 133:17 134:1 139:5 134:1 139:5 134:1 139:5 134:1 139:5 134:1 139:5 134:1 139:5 134:1 139:5 134
47:18 48:3,6,7,10 100:25 157:20 171:3,4 pull 109:1 36:20 41:18 44:18 48:15,22 50:9 19:21 206:8 19:7,9 192:2 pulling 145:16 44:19 45:4,4 59:15 65:16 70:13 168:19 proportion 14:12 195:24 punish 62:19 80:13 81:7 83:7 76:6 79:6 87:11 168:19 proportionate 171:9 punishment 159:14 80:13 81:7 83:7 94:25 95:4,14 139:22 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 13:11 providects 99:9 pupils 206:1 109:18 111:11 138:12,19 152:4 proposal 13:11 provide 74:1,22 purpose 35:15 109:18 111:11 172:16 176:8 proposed 76:9 70:14 133:16 purposeful 185:5 132:21 133:17 187:18,25 188:6 174:12 proposition 148:24 providers 75:19 pushing 49:20 put 14:14 20:16 163:7 170:16 199:7,8,18 203:22 prosecute 39:4 77:18 provides 62:19 provides 171:16 provides 62:19 provides 171:16 171:10 172:3 180:17 190:9 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15
48:15,22 50:9 51:10,24 52:4,20 properly 119:23 175:19 177:20 pulling 145:16 44:19 45:4,4 46:17 52:7 53:11 59:15 65:16 70:13 198:21 206:8 proportion 14:12 195:24 punish 62:19 80:13 81:7 83:7 87:20 89:17 90:12 187:11 88:1 93:5 139:22 proportionate 171:9 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 13:11 proposal 13:11 proposal 96:7,17 propose 173:2 provide 74:1,22 provided 13:6 purpose 35:15 109:18 111:11 117:13,25 119:3 178:19 177:20 166:18 201:10,21 pupils 206:1 pupils 206:1 pupils 206:1 pupils 206:1 pupils 206:1 109:18 111:11 117:13,25 119:3 119:22 120:9 126:5,7,21 132:5 120:9 126:5,7,21 132:5 132:21 133:17 126:5,7,21 132:5 132:21 133:17 133:17 148:7 149:8 150:5 132:21 133:17 148:7 149:8 150:5 132:21 133:17 148:7 149:8 150:5 148:7 149:8 150:5 148:7 149:8 150:5 150:11 160:10 163:7 170:16 171:10 172:3 171:10 172:3 180:17 190:9 163:7 170:16 171:10 172:3 180:17 190:9 180:17 190:9 180:17 190:9 180:17 190:9
51:10,24 52:4,20 198:21 206:8 191:7,9 192:2 punish 62:19 46:17 52:7 53:11 59:15 65:16 70:13 76:6 79:6 87:11 168:19 proportion 14:12 195:24 punish 62:19 80:13 81:7 83:7 87:11 88:1 93:5 proportionate 139:22 protections 170:21 pupil-on-pupil 108:23 101:9 102:16 98:20 113:19 proposal 96:7,17 proposals 13:11 provides 74:1,22 pupils 206:1 purpose 35:15 109:18 111:11 138:12,19 152:4 propose 173:2 provided 13:6 purposeful 185:5 126:5,7,21 132:5 172:16 176:8 proposed 76:9 106:20 138:1 70:14 133:16 purpose 158:2 push 59:7 91:17 134:1 139:5 147:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 proposition 148:24 provides 62:19 provides 62:19 put 14:14 20:16 163:7 170:16 199:7,8,18 203:22 prosecute 39:4 156:11 164:18 provides 62:19 provides 62:19 provides 62:19 provides 62:19 provides 62:19 106:12 52:9 60:5 193:25 196:15 17:10 172:3 prosecute 39:4 156:11 164:18 provides 62:19 50:12 52:9 60:5 193:
59:15 65:16 70:13 proportion 14:12 195:24 punishment 159:14 80:13 81:7 83:7 87:20 89:17 90:12 76:6 79:6 87:11 168:19 proportionate 171:9 punishment 159:14 87:20 89:17 90:12 101:9 102:16 109:18 111:11 109:18 111:11 109:18 111:11 109:18 111:11 109:18 111:11 109:18 111:11 117:13,25 119:3 109:18 111:11 117:13,25 119:3 119:22 120:9 106:18 201:10,21 109:18 111:11 117:13,25 119:3 119:22 120:9 126:5,7,21 132:5 119:22 120:9 126:5,7,21 132:5 126:5,7,21 132:5 126:5,7,21 132:5 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 127:13 127:23 31:21 33:12 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17 126:5,7,21 133:17
76:6 79:6 87:11 168:19 protections 170:21 pupil-on-pupil 108:23 87:20 89:17 90:12 94:25 95:4,14 139:22 protects 99:9 pupils 206:1 109:18 11:11 135:8 136:17 138:12,19 152:4 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 13:11 provide 74:1,22 purpose 35:15 109:18 11:11 158:19 167:7,16 propose 173:2 provided 13:6 purposeful 185:5 purposeful 185:5 132:21 133:17 178:9,18 179:17 106:20 138:1 providers 75:19 push 59:7 91:17 134:1 139:5 147:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 proposition 148:24 provides 62:19 put 14:14 20:16 163:7 170:16 173:12 prosecute 39:4 77:18 34:23 37:24 45:9 180:17 190:9 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 prosecution 151:2 provides 171:16 50:12 52:9 60:5 193:25 196:15 prosecution 151:2 proxy 194:20 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12
87:11 88:1 93:5 proportionate 171:9 protects 99:9 pupils 206:1 109:18 111:11 98:20 113:19 proposal 96:7,17 proposals 13:11 provide 74:1,22 provide 74:1,22 propose 173:2 provide 13:6 provide 74:1,22 provide 13:6 provide 75:19 provider 85:7 91:17 134:1 139:5 147:6 139:22 120:9 126:5,7,21 132:5 132:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21 133:17 135:21
94:25 95:4,14 98:20 113:19 135:8 136:17 138:12,19 152:4 158:19 167:7,16 172:16 176:8 178:9,18 179:17 187:18,25 188:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 204:5 professionals' 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 139:22 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 proposal 96:7,17 provide 74:1,22 provided 13:6 provided 13:6 providers 75:19 84:24 provides 62:19 provides 62:19 providing 38:20 77:18 providing 38:20 77:18 provides 171:16 27:23 31:21 33:12 109:18 111:11 purport 157:16 purpose 35:15 119:22 120:9 126:5,7,21 132:5 132:21 133:17 148:7 149:8 150:5 148:7 149:8 150:5 150:11 160:10 163:7 170:16 171:10 172:3 180:17 190:9 193:25 196:15 proxy 194:20 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12
98:20 113:19
135:8 136:17 proposals 13:11 proven 34:16 purpose 35:15 119:22 120:9 138:12,19 152:4 propose 173:2 provide 74:1,22 provided 13:6 purpose ful 185:5 132:21 133:17 172:16 176:8 proposed 76:9 70:14 133:16 purpose 158:2 purposeful 185:5 132:21 133:17 178:9,18 179:17 106:20 138:1 providers 75:19 push 59:7 91:17 148:7 149:8 150:5 187:18,25 188:6 174:12 proposition 148:24 provides 62:19 pushing 49:20 150:11 160:10 199:7,8,18 203:22 proposette 39:4 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 77:18 34:23 37:24 45:9 180:17 190:9 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 prosecution 151:2 proxy 194:20 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12
138:12,19 152:4 156:24 propose 173:2 provide 74:1,22 provided 13:6 172:16 176:8 178:9,18 179:17 187:18,25 188:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 204:5 professionals' professions 4:1 provides 62:19 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12 questioned 6
158:19 167:7,16 propose 173:2 provided 13:6 purposeful 185:5 132:21 133:17 172:16 176:8 178:9,18 179:17 106:20 138:1 providers 75:19 push 59:7 91:17 148:7 149:8 150:5 187:18,25 188:6 174:12 proposition 148:24 provides 62:19 put 14:14 20:16 150:11 160:10 173:12 professionals' providing 38:20 77:18 34:23 37:24 45:9 180:17 190:9 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 prosecution 151:2 proxy 194:20 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12
172:16 176:8 proposed 76:9 106:20 138:1 174:12 proposition 148:24 179:7,8,18 203:22 204:5 professionals' 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 professions 4:1 proposed 76:9 106:20 138:1 106:20 138:1 106:20 138:1 174:12 174:12 174:12 174:12 174:12 174:12 174:12 174:12 174:12 174:12 174:14 20:16 163:7 170:16 171:10 172:3 171:10 172:3 180:17 190:9 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15 193:25 196:15
178:9,18 179:17 106:20 138:1 providers 75:19 push 59:7 91:17 148:7 149:8 150:5 187:18,25 188:6 199:7,8,18 203:22 proposition 148:24 provides 62:19 pushing 49:20 150:11 160:10 204:5 professionals' prosecute 39:4 77:18 27:23 31:21 33:12 171:10 172:3 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 provides 62:19 provides 62:19 put 14:14 20:16 163:7 170:16 50:12 52:9 60:5 180:17 190:9 193:25 196:15 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12
176:3,16 179:17 187:18,25 188:6 174:12 84:24 pushing 49:20 150:11 160:10 199:7,8,18 203:22 proposition 148:24 provides 62:19 put 14:14 20:16 163:7 170:16 204:5 professionals' prosecute 39:4 77:18 34:23 37:24 45:9 180:17 190:9 50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 prosecution 151:2 proxy 194:20 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12
199:7,8,18 203:22 proposition 148:24 provides 62:19 professionals' professions 4:1 provides 62:19 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38:20 providing 38
204:5 173:12 providing 38:20 27:23 31:21 33:12 171:10 172:3 180:17 190:9 156:11 164:18 professions 4:1 providing 38:20 77:18 provinces 171:16 p
professionals' prosecute 39:4 77:18 34:23 37:24 45:9 180:17 190:9 50:11 188:20 156:11 164:18 provinces 171:16 50:12 52:9 60:5 193:25 196:15 professions 4:1 prosecution 151:2 proxy 194:20 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12
50:11 188:20 professions 4:1 prosecution 151:2 proxy 194:20 51:25 57:21 13:3
professions 4:1 prosecution 151:2 proxy 194:20 64:19 88:18 91:21 questioned 63:12
protessions prospection reliable protession o o o o o
36:2 80:16 81:10 164:10,11 psychological 94:2 104:24 questions 3.3 4.23
02.0 130.13 132.0 prosecutions
135.0,7 103.1 130.1 101.0,13 psychologists 00.21 117.17 125.25
prospectional logical land
1101CSS01 1.12 0.10 protect 22.10 +0.24 publity 00.10 130.11 137.5
Participation
32.11 00.23 01.1 120.12 130.7 3.13 1.17 17.23 103.11 107.10
00,7 00,7 12,10
193:20 196:21
200:10 203:7 176:4 177:21 63:7 66:1 69:11 puts 58:4 156:20 109:8,10 142:2

Page 236

				1490 250
155:3	170:4 175:24	25:24 26:9 30:10	110:17 112:24	reference 3:18
quiet 31:16 202:25	178:5	31:14 33:5,7	139:6,12 150:21	65:17
quietly 28:5	raising 17:2 52:25	36:21,25 39:10	204:6	referral 13:3 39:21
quite 8:14 13:5	134:20	40:19 41:1,3,13	reasonable 122:11	39:22 133:21
15:25 16:1,17	range 5:13 42:10	44:4,7 47:16	164:11	152:12
22:17 31:14,23	51:15 74:9 75:15	54:14 57:13 59:23	reasons 4:16 7:16	referrals 17:5 40:1
35:18,19,23 36:2	75:21 76:13 80:15	60:14 64:17 67:12	31:15 68:24 80:3	59:3 90:24 91:13
50:5 60:6 70:4	80:16 141:21	68:9 69:2 70:17	80:3 156:6 158:2	91:16 101:23
75:21,23 82:3	176:15 187:17	71:10 73:7 86:4	rebadge 60:5	106:21 120:12
85:5,10,17 86:7	rape 28:9 205:25	87:19 89:8 90:18	recap 3:1	129:15 132:20
89:1 97:20 115:8	rapid 180:16	90:22 91:10 93:11	receive 81:20 105:5	133:8
115:14 123:4	rapidly 51:5 178:20	93:24 94:3,16	132:20 135:2	referred 46:3 56:4
124:8 125:1	rare 128:21	95:15,15 97:14	200:4 205:15	71:4 126:3 185:22
144:14,19 149:11	rate 81:4 108:18	98:11 105:8,13,17	received 13:4 14:9	referring 13:23
150:1 151:4	190:5	105:19 106:1,2,5	14:12 23:10,17	139:17 161:3,15
154:12,25 165:2	rates 153:10	106:6 112:20	39:21 63:16 72:11	refers 195:12
167:3 182:17	rationale 6:15	115:17 116:15	109:24 165:15	reflect 8:7 157:18
184:13 201:5	86:10 120:12	117:5 119:6,24	receives 83:25	192:12 194:6
203:4,10	re-affirm 110:20	123:10 124:5,8,15	receiving 23:13	207:14
quotation 97:12	reach 48:14 68:16	124:21 126:21	199:3	reflection 27:19
quote 18:19	70:23 87:15	128:14 129:13	recognise 50:21	reflections 102:24
quoted 10:16	165:20 188:17	131:18 132:24	166:6	103:6
	199:5	133:7,17,18,19,20	recommend 82:1	reforms 190:19
R	reached 70:22	136:15 139:8	recommendations	191:3,5 192:23
rabbis 20:1,19,25	reaching 49:9	140:6 143:3	12:19 13:3 39:18	regard 190:18
Rachel 2:12,14	178:1	144:10 149:23,23	39:20,23 54:9	regarded 94:15
16:14 41:25 43:9	react 188:13	151:11 154:16	165:16 176:24	regarding 33:7
59:2 94:8,9 100:3	reaction 98:24	155:3 157:18	207:4	150:19
134:2 135:15	read 31:24 43:17	158:25 160:5,6	recommended	regardless 76:19
146:6 187:7	60:24 62:11 89:12	161:23 164:8,17	127:16	77:4 117:21
Rachel's 54:10	93:1 177:9 180:16	166:20 167:3	record 125:5,5	202:12
radically 61:6	203:11 204:2	171:6 175:4,4	records 125:7	register 144:17
176:22	reader 84:18	176:10 178:15,20	168:10	registered 99:14
raise 8:2 9:24 10:5	ready 162:6	179:9 181:14,17	recover 105:5	regular 96:10
42:15 51:17 53:2	real 62:24 98:22	182:11,12,25	recreational 75:18	regularly 42:14
56:5,14,16,19	169:11 176:7	185:4,7,9,12,12	recruit 153:8	187:18
78:12,19 104:5	178:11 182:18	185:23 186:11,12	recruiting 153:10	regulated 12:8,9,10
117:13 125:19	192:7	186:14,22 187:2	recruitment 153:12	12:13 13:1,2,5,8
147:6 193:19	realise 8:14 10:22	189:2,2 191:4,6	redistribute 163:2	14:3 20:16 39:22
196:3,14	109:20 117:7	192:25 193:12,12	rediverted 88:14	40:1,7 76:10
raised 17:16,23	119:13	197:4,15 198:7,16	reduce 189:11	85:14,16,20
34:2 71:6,8 87:19	realised 117:16	199:4,16 200:15	reduced 172:9	106:17,21 138:3
100:8,9 102:3	reality 121:5	200:23 207:14,22	reduction 10:18	157:5 197:3
136:1 143:9	really 6:19 11:20	reason 10:5 41:2	refer 53:7 140:10	regulator 137:17
150:10 157:15	16:12 23:24 25:1	48:11 62:3 85:4	161:1 179:14	145:20 158:8
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

177:16,25					1 age 257
regulators 201:17 regulatory 14:11 173:1 207:21 113:6,20 114:25 137:20 141:3 126:6,8,10,20 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 17:24 127:14:19 131:10:12:17 128:11,11 129:45 129:24 16:36:44 129:21:11,12 129:21:11,12 129:21:11,12 129:21:11,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12 129:21:14,12	177:16 25	183.2	110:11 112:8 25	76:22 109:14	123·19 125·1
regulatory 143:11 143:13 144.9,13 143:20 141:3 147:20 141:3 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 127:24 128:13 129:11 17:14 129:11 17:14 129:11 17:14 129:11 17:14 129:11 17:14 129:11 17:14 129:11 17:14 129:14 19 13:10 129:14 19 13:10 129:14 19 13:10 129:14 19 13:10 129:14 19 13:10 129:14 19 13:10 129:14 19 13:10 129:14 19:14 reporting 169:21 132:25 133:21 reporting 169:21 133:22 135:17 reporting 169:21 134:12 135:1,7 120:11 129:4 133:13,15,21.25 133:14,11,11 131:12,01 10:21 143:11,14,18 120:12 18:11 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,18 143:11,14,14 143:11,14,14 143:11,14 143:11,14,14 143:11,14,	*		,		
143:13 144:9,13 208:24 209:1,11 116:24 117:1 166:14,18 170:15 129:14,19 131:10 131:11,23 132:25 132:15 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:14,21 137:13,15,21,25 192:12 127:9 129:8 130:22 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14 133:4,6 135:14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 133:14,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 135:17,14 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18 133:14,18	S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5 5 5
144:18 145:13 remember 5:5,17 120:1,10 125:25 170:19,21 171:4,9 131:11/23 132:22 127:18,19,0,25 reporting 19:62:1,3 134:12 135:1,7 reiterated 197:14 removed 148:3 rejoicing 129:3 remotely 3:2 removel 147:25 139:4 141:11 13:19,25 14:5,11 148:8,12 149:9,18 removel 147:25 146:14 18:19 151:3 152:5,25 22:14 23:6 26:21 156:8,9,12 158:8 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,18 149:22 150:6,1	·		, ,		
146:3,9 157:22,23 52:23 114:21 127:18,19,20,25 192:16 196:16 132:25 133:21	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
reinforce 94:3 126:24 174:7 128:1,1 129:4,5 reporting 1:6 2:1,3 134:12 135:1,7 reiterate 189:22 192:21 207:9 129:8 130:22 3:24,25 4:17 5:24 137:69,12 141:8 reiterated 197:14 168:24 137:13,15,21,25 8:10,12,19,24 141:12 142:21 141:12 142:21 reiterating 59:23 remis 179:13 remit 86:23 120:5 139:4 141:11 131:19,25 145:11 143:1,14,18 143:1,14,18 143:1,14,18 143:1,14,18 144:12 149:9,18 144:12 149:9,18 144:11 143:1,20,122 148:1,129:14 143:1,14,18 144:11 143:1,20 10:2 12:8 147:17,20 148:1,1 148:8,12 149:9,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 150:2,18 149:12 150:6,18 149:12 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
reiterate 189:22 200:22 192:21 207:9 remind 148:3 129:8 130:22 133:4,6 135:14 3:24,25 4:17 5:24 5:25 6:4,6,21 7:24 137:6,9,12 141:8 reiterated 197:14 reiterating 59:23 repotated 42:1 relate 139:24,24 related 42:1 removel 147:25 related 42:1 removel 147:25 relation 18:6 42:16 75:1 87:14 94:20 p5:6,11 120:8 134:14,18 139:15 146:10,11 170:24 193:24 relationship 6:23 21:18 23:18 49:44 relationship 6:23 21:18 23:18 49:44 repeated 67:18 repeated 67:18 repeated 91:91 20:18 7eiled 4:10 7eiled 4:10 193:1 199:17 relative 36:5 94:23 96:1 176:14 25:17,20 197:25 193:1 199:17 relative 36:5 7:8 10:4 12:16 25:17,20 197:25 27:17 20 197:25 7:8 10:4 12:16 27:17 20 197:25 27:17 20 197:25 27:27 20 197:21 27:27 21 29:29 27:11 137:10 28:29 20:13 20:18,25 27:17 20 197:25 27:21 20:22,25 43:9 28:15 30:22 28:15 30:13,22 28:15 31:32 28:15 31:3					
200:22 reiterated 197:14 168:24 137:13,15,21,25 8:10,12,19,24 141:12 142:21 148:31 138:24,11,11,119 9:11,20 10:21 12:31 148:13,114,18 139:15 removel 147:25 repeated 67:18 repeated 67:18 repeated 67:18 repeated 91:18 repea					
reiterated 197:14 reiterating 59:23 repointing 1929:3 repointing 1929:3 relate 139:24,24 remiss 179:13 remotely 3:2 remotely 3:2 remotely 3:2 remotely 3:2 relate 42:1 recation 18:6 42:16 remove 144:16 responsibly 4:20 95:6,11 120:8 134:14,18 139:15 remove 147:22 153:1,22154:23 29:6,11 120:8 134:14,18 139:15 remove 147:22 148:4,6 134:14,18 139:15 reportable 139:24 14:13 170:24 193:24 relationship 6:23 21:18 23:18 49:4 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 667:18 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 67:18 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 repeat				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , ,
reiterating 59:23 remiss 179:13 138:2,4,11,1,19 9:11,20 10:2 12:8 147:17,20 148:1,1 rejoicing 129:3 remits 6:23 120:5 139:4 141:11 13:19,25 14:5,11 148:8,12 149;9,18 relate 139:24,24 removel 147:25 146:4 148:18 19:2 21:11,12 155:17,18,20,22 relation 18:6 42:16 remove 144:16 151:3 152:5,25 22:14 23:6 26:21 156:8,9,12 158:8 95:6,11 120:8 148:4,6 removing 136:13 166:5,17 170:24 35:5,12 38:17,19 164:19 165:7,10 193:24 removing 136:13 repeated 67:18 repertule 18:3 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 94:23 96:1 176:14 188:7 20:18 reperusions 25:17,20 197:25 21:9 43:8 44:25 57:15 58:17 59:12 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 193:1 199:17 report 4:15 6:11,18 7:8 10:4 12:16 7:2,4,8,10,12,22 60:12 66:66:5,15 69:4 188:12 189:1 19:12 47:1 19:5;21 177:0 19:5;22 177:0 19:5;22 177:2 19:12,21 19:3 19:13,25 19:13			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	
rejoicing 129:3 remit 86:23 120:5 139:4 141:11 13:19,25 14:5,11 148:8,12 149:9,18 related 139:24,24 remotelly 3:2 143:17 145:4 14:13,18,23 18:3 149:22 150:6,18 relation 18:6 42:16 removel 147:25 146:4 148:18 19:2 21:11,12 155:17,18,20,22 95:6,11 120:8 148:4,6 159:3 152:5,25 22:14 23:6 26:21 156:8,9,12 158:8 134:14,18 139:15 removel 147:22 153:1,22 154:23 28:15 31:13,22 161:10 162:1 146:10,11 170:24 139:24 removing 136:13 repeat 1:19 110:20 178:12 183:10 38:21 41:20 43:1 165:17,21 166:2,8 21:18 23:18 49:4 6:23 187:20 205:12 185:14 194:20 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 relationships 73:5 94:23 96:1 176:14 repeated 7:18 199:11 208:5 45:5,7,12,14,24 168:20 169:8,10 193:1 199:17 reported 18:23 94:19 137:23 51:14 52:8,12 170:10,14,20 relatively 34:9 repiced 30:10 53:12,14 62:22 61:22 62:14 63:19 183:25 185:7 185:25 187:5 186:1,2 187:13 189:25 185:7 186:1,2 187:13 <					, , ,
relate 139:24,24 remotely 3:2 143:17 145:4 14:13,18,23 18:3 149:22 150:6,18 relation 18:6 42:16 removal 147:25 143:17 145:4 14:13,18,23 18:3 149:22 150:6,18 75:1 87:14 94:20 removel 144:16 151:3 152:5,25 22:14 23:6 26:21 156:8,9,12 158:8 95:6,11 120:8 148:4,6 removing 136:13 repeat 1:19 110:20 159:23 165:9,15 32:12 33:8,13 163:8,9,10 164:6 193:24 repeat 1:19 110:20 178:12 183:10 38:21 41:20 43:1 165:17,21 166:2,8 21:18 23:18 49:4 repeated 67:18 repeated 108:11 repeated 108:11 repeated 19:19:19 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 50:12,13 51:3,6 166:13,15,18 188:7 relationships 73:5 94:23 96:1 176:14 repeited 30:10 78:10 412:16 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 50:12,13 51:3,6 170:10,14,20 193:1 199:17 relative 36:5 7:8 10:4 12:16 7:2,4,8,10,12,22 69:14 70:17,20,25 188:10 189:25 relatively 34:9 21:16 22:25 23:5 73:14 35:24 75:22 177:2,4,8,10,12,22 75:29,12 76:9,17 75:29,12 76:9,17 75:29,12 76:9,17 193:5,22 194:2,19	S			,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
related 42:1 removal 147:25 146:4 148:18 19:2 21:11,12 155:17,18,20,22 156:8,9,12 158:8 75:1 87:14 94:20 ps:6,11 120:8 148:4,6 151:3 152:5,25 22:14 23:6 26:21 156:8,9,12 158:8 134:14,18 139:15 146:10,11 170:24 148:4,6 removing 136:13 166:5,17 170:24 35:5,12 38:17,19 164:19 165:7,10 193:24 reationship 6:23 repeated 67:18 repeated 67:18 repeated 67:18 repeated 67:18 repeated 67:18 repeated 19 108:11 165:17,21 166:2,8 166:2,17 170:24 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14 167:17,8,14	•			, ,	,
relation 18:6 42:16 75:1 87:14 94:20 95:6,11 120:8 134:14,18 139:15 146:10,11 170:24 193:24 remove 144:16 removing 136:13 repeat 1:19 110:20 187:20 205:12 187:20 205:12 repeated 67:18 repeatedly 108:11 repeating 199:19 201:8 151:3 152:5,25 153:1,22 154:23 159:23 165:9,15 23:12 33:8,13 38:21 41:20 43:1 166:5,17 170:24 35:5,12 38:17,19 164:19 165:7,10 38:21 41:20 43:1 165:17,21 166:2,8 38:21 41:20 43:1 165:17,21 166:2,8 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 reportedly 108:11 repeating 199:19 201:8 164:19 165:7,10 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 reportedly 108:11 reportedly 108:11 reportedly 108:11 reportedly 108:12 reportedly 108:13 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 94:19 137:23 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 94:19 137:23 53:18 54:2 57:5 53:12,14 62:22 61:22 62:14 63:19 178:12 131:35 57:15 58:17 59:12 178:21 177:7,24 178:1 179:13,15 178:22 13:7 15:3 76:3 93:20 97:11 137:16 97:11 137:16 relied 4:10 relied 4:1		•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
75:1 87:14 94:20 removed 147:22 153:1,22 154:23 28:15 31:13,22 161:10 162:1 95:6,11 120:8 148:4,6 removing 136:13 159:23 165:9,15 32:12 33:8,13 163:8,9,10 164:6 134:14,18 139:15 repeat 1:19 110:20 178:12 183:10 38:21 41:20 43:1 166:17,17,10 193:24 187:20 205:12 185:14 194:20 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 relationship 6:23 21:18 23:18 49:4 repeated 67:18 repeating 199:19 201:8 repeating 199:19 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 relationships 73:5 94:23 96:1 176:14 repercussions 201:8 reported 18:23 53:18 54:2 57:5 175:12,24 172:7 relatively 34:9 25:17,20 197:25 21:9 43:8 44:25 57:15 58:17 59:12 178:12 187:13 188:25 189:1 76:4,23 77:10 63:13 65:21 75:1 65:6 66:5,15 69:4 188:10 189:25 175:22 177:7,24 relatively 34:9 21:16 22:25 23:5 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:14 35:21 37:12 101:1,48,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 relided 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 127:22 129:2,9 79:13,25 82					
95:6,11 120:8 134:14,18 139:15 146:10,11 170:24 193:24 relationship 6:23 21:18 23:18 49:4 61:4 77:19 152:17 188:7 relationships 73:5 94:23 96:1 176:14 188:25 189:1 193:1 199:17 relatiors 6:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 37:3 relationship 37:0 97:11 137:16 relationship 37:0 193:1 199:17 respect 67:18 repeated 67:18 repeated by 108:11 repeating 199:19 201:8 repeated by 108:11 repeating 199:19 201:8 repeated by 108:11 repeating 199:19 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 94:23 96:1 176:14 188:25 189:1 193:1 199:17 report 4:15 6:11,18 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:5 relative 36:9 30:14 35:21 37:12 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 48:5,23 51:5 102:17 109:16,17 12:23 13:22 77:2,4,8,10,12,22 65:14 70:17,20,25 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 199:15 190:4 48:5,23 51:5 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 199:12 23:18 199:11 208:5 77:2,4,8,10,12,22 65:14 70:17,20,25 188:10 189:25 199:12 30:22 199:3 30:12 33:8,13 166:3,17,19 166:18,18 166:19,165:7,10 165:17,21 166:2,8 166:19,162,2 166:20,162,8 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 188:10 40:2,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 188:10 40:2,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:1					, , ,
134:14,18 139:15 removing 136:13 repeat 1:19 110:20 178:12 183:10 38:21 41:20 43:1 165:17,21 166:2,8 166:13,15,18 repeat 64:18 17:20 205:12 185:14 194:20 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 166:47:18 1208:5 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 166:47:18 1208:5 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 166:47:18 1208:5 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 166:47:18 1208:5 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 166:47:18 1208:5 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 166:47:18 1208:5 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:13,15,18 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 166:47:18 1208:5 16	75:1 87:14 94:20	removed 147:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	161:10 162:1
146:10,11 170:24 187:20 205:12 187:12 183:10 188:21 41:20 43:1 165:17,21 166:2,8 166:13,15,18 187:20 205:12 185:14 194:20 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18 199:11 208:5 199:11 208:5 169:11 208:5 168:20 169:8,10 168:20 169:8,10 176:14 177:19 152:17 188:7 201:8 94:19 137:23 50:12,13 51:3,6 170:10,14,20 178:12 183:25 189:1 199:17 reported 18:23 25:17,20 197:25 21:9 43:8 44:25 57:15 58:17 59:12 178:1 179:13,15 188:25 189:1 replied 30:10 53:12,14 62:22 165:21 75:1 165:27,24 172:7 177:24 172:7 188:25 189:1 report 4:15 6:11,18 63:13 65:21 75:1 65:6 66:5,15 69:4 188:10 189:25 188:20 189:30 20:14 35:21 37:12 10:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 193:5,22 194:2,19 1	95:6,11 120:8	148:4,6	159:23 165:9,15	32:12 33:8,13	163:8,9,10 164:6
193:24 187:20 205:12 185:14 194:20 44:17,18,22 45:3 166:13,15,18	134:14,18 139:15	removing 136:13	166:5,17 170:24	35:5,12 38:17,19	164:19 165:7,10
relationship 6:23 21:18 23:18 49:4 61:4 77:19 152:17 188:7 repeated 67:18 201:8 199:11 208:5 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 94:19 137:23 45:5,7,12,14,24 66:1,2,3,6 48:9 50:12,13 51:3,6 51:14 52:8,12 168:20 169:8,10 170:10,14,20 171:12,24 172:7 175:22 177:7,24 175:12 65:6 66:5,15 69:4 186:1,2 187:10 175:12 177:7,24 175:13 158:7 175:22 177:7,24 175:12 177:7,24 175:12 177:7,24 175:13 175:12 175:13 76:39 3:20 97:11 137:16 7:8 10:4 12:16 21:16 22:25 23:5 102:17 109:16,17 101:1,4,8,10 63:13 65:21 75:1 65:6 66:5,15 69:4 69:14 70:17,20,25 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 101:1,4,8,10 188:10 189:25 188:10 189:25 199:12 130:22 199:13 25 23 198:13 204:1 199:12 120:27 199:13,25 82:3 198:18,22 200:7 198:1 204:17 199:11 208:5 198:18,22 200:7 198:1 204:17 45:5,7,12,14,24 46:12,3,6 48:9 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 170:10,14,20 1	146:10,11 170:24	repeat 1:19 110:20	178:12 183:10	38:21 41:20 43:1	165:17,21 166:2,8
21:18 23:18 49:4 61:4 77:19 152:17 188:7 201:8 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 94:19 137:23 50:12,13 51:3,6 170:10,14,20 171:12,24 172:7 175:21 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:21 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:13,15 175:22 177:13,15 175:22 177:13,16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 62	193:24	187:20 205:12	185:14 194:20	44:17,18,22 45:3	166:13,15,18
21:18 23:18 49:4 61:4 77:19 152:17 188:7 201:8 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 94:19 137:23 50:12,13 51:3,6 170:10,14,20 171:12,24 172:7 175:21 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:21 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:7,24 175:22 177:13,15 175:22 177:13,15 175:22 177:13,16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 62:24 65:16 175:24 62:24 62	relationship 6:23	repeated 67:18	199:11 208:5	45:5,7,12,14,24	167:1,7,8,14
61:4 77:19 152:17 188:7 repeating 199:19 201:8 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 94:19 137:23 50:12,13 51:3,6 51:14,52:8,12 170:10,14,20 relationships 73:5 94:23 96:1 176:14 188:25 189:1 193:1 199:17 repited 30:10 53:12,14 62:22 52:22 61:22 62:14 63:19 183:25 185:7 53:12,14 62:22 61:22 62:14 63:19 183:25 185:7 175:22 177:7,24 178:11 179:13,15 183:25 185:7 relative 36:5 relatively 34:9 relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 97:11 137:16 relied 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 19:8 20:3 27:19 30:22,22,25 43:9 46:25 50:7 75:19 75:25 76:20,22 19:22,22,22,22,25 43:9 46:25 50:7 75:19 76:14 82:9,11 86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 rely 18:20 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 relying 65:15,16 relation 122:5 190:4 remain 122:5 190:4 remainder 164:18 76:4,23 77:6 79:8 50:12,13 51:3,6 51:14 52:8,12 171:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 17:12,24 172:7 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:12,24 17:1	_	_	reportable 75:13		
188:7 201:8 94:19 137:23 51:14 52:8,12 171:12,24 172:7 relationships 73:5 7epercussions reported 18:23 53:18 54:2 57:5 175:22 177:7,24 94:23 96:1 176:14 25:17,20 197:25 21:9 43:8 44:25 57:15 58:17 59:12 178:1 179:13,15 188:25 189:1 replied 30:10 53:12,14 62:22 61:22 62:14 63:19 183:25 185:7 193:1 199:17 report 4:15 6:11,18 63:13 65:21 75:1 65:6 66:5,15 69:4 186:1,2 187:13 relative 36:5 7:8 10:4 12:16 77:2,4,8,10,12,22 69:14 70:17,20,25 188:10 189:25 relatively 34:9 21:16 22:25 23:5 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:5,22 194:2,19 relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 110:1,4,8,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 112:3 113:22 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 78:13 79:1,3,10 197:11,20 198:1 relied 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 127:22 129:2,9 79:13,25 82:3 198:18,222 200:7 religious 4:7 18:13 6	61:4 77:19 152:17		_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
relationships 73:5 repercussions reported 18:23 53:18 54:2 57:5 175:22 177:7,24 94:23 96:1 176:14 25:17,20 197:25 21:9 43:8 44:25 57:15 58:17 59:12 178:1 179:13,15 188:25 189:1 replied 30:10 report 4:15 6:11,18 63:13 65:21 75:1 65:6 66:5,15 69:4 183:25 185:7 relative 36:5 7:8 10:4 12:16 77:2,4,8,10,12,22 69:14 70:17,20,25 188:10 189:25 relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:5,22 194:2,19 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 reporter 83:8 93:20 103:1 105:22 reportings 131:6 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td>, ,</td>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,
94:23 96:1 176:14 25:17,20 197:25 21:9 43:8 44:25 57:15 58:17 59:12 178:1 179:13,15 188:25 189:1 replied 30:10 53:12,14 62:22 61:22 62:14 63:19 183:25 185:7 relative 36:5 7:8 10:4 12:16 77:2,4,8,10,12,22 69:14 70:17,20,25 188:10 189:25 relatively 34:9 21:16 22:25 23:5 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:5,22 194:2,19 relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 110:1,4,8,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 112:3 113:22 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 78:13 79:1,3,10 197:11,20 198:1 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reporter 83:8 93:20 7elying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65	relationships 73:5	repercussions		,	5
188:25 189:1 replied 30:10 53:12,14 62:22 61:22 62:14 63:19 183:25 185:7 relative 36:5 7:8 10:4 12:16 77:2,4,8,10,12,22 69:14 70:17,20,25 188:10 189:25 relatively 34:9 21:16 22:25 23:5 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:5,22 194:2,19 relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 110:1,4,8,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 120:17 121:23 75:79,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 78:13 79:1,3,10 197:11,20 198:1 relied 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 127:22 129:2,9 79:13,25 82:3 198:18,22 200:7 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reporters 83:8 93:20 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 80:5,6,6 81:24 79:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 103:1 105:22 reports 8:21		_	_		,
193:1 199:17 report 4:15 6:11,18 63:13 65:21 75:1 65:6 66:5,15 69:4 186:1,2 187:13 relative 36:5 7:8 10:4 12:16 77:2,4,8,10,12,22 69:14 70:17,20,25 188:10 189:25 relatively 34:9 21:16 22:25 23:5 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:5,22 194:2,19 relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 110:1,4,8,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 110:1,4,8,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 80:5,6,6 81:24 109:22 110:2,21 108:1 105:22 reportings 131:6 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 </th <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
relative 36:5 7:8 10:4 12:16 77:2,4,8,10,12,22 69:14 70:17,20,25 188:10 189:25 relatively 34:9 21:16 22:25 23:5 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:5,22 194:2,19 relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 110:1,4,8,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 112:3 113:22 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 78:13 79:1,3,10 197:11,20 198:1 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 7elying 65:15,16 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 125:10 128:9 113:18 115:11,22 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remaind		_	,		
relatively 34:9 21:16 22:25 23:5 102:17 109:16,17 72:8 73:20 74:1 193:5,22 194:2,19 relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 110:1,4,8,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 112:3 113:22 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 78:13 79:1,3,10 197:11,20 198:1 relied 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 127:22 129:2,9 79:13,25 82:3 198:18,22 200:7 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 30:22,22,25 43:9 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 rely 18:20 88:5 89:4,48,23 125:10 128:9 103:1 105:22 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 77:22 104:1,3,11 77:22 104:1,3,11 77:26 7:29<				•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
relevant 5:22 13:7 30:14 35:21 37:12 110:1,4,8,10 74:10,12,15,18 194:24 195:25 15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 112:3 113:22 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 78:13 79:1,3,10 197:11,20 198:1 relied 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 127:22 129:2,9 79:13,25 82:3 198:18,22 200:7 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 7ely 18:20 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 125:10 128:9 113:18 115:11,22 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18				, ,	
15:3 76:3 93:20 43:13,18 46:9,12 112:3 113:22 75:7,9,12 76:9,17 196:5,10,12 97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 78:13 79:1,3,10 197:11,20 198:1 relied 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 127:22 129:2,9 79:13,25 82:3 198:18,22 200:7 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 86:11 96:22 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 125:10 128:9 113:18 115:11,22 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1					
97:11 137:16 48:5,23 51:5 120:17 121:23 78:13 79:1,3,10 197:11,20 198:1 relied 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 127:22 129:2,9 79:13,25 82:3 198:18,22 200:7 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 reporter 83:8 93:20 103:1 105:22 reports 8:21 11:13 86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18					
relied 4:10 57:24 62:24 65:16 127:22 129:2,9 79:13,25 82:3 198:18,22 200:7 religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 reporter 83:8 93:20 103:1 105:22 reports 8:21 11:13 86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			' '
religious 4:7 18:13 69:1,5 74:25 75:3 138:23 145:12 86:3 88:5 91:25 201:3 202:18,25 19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 reporter 83:8 93:20 103:1 105:22 reports 8:21 11:13 86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,	5
19:8 20:3 27:19 75:25 76:20,22 149:5,21 150:23 95:8,17,21 97:1 203:21,23 204:1 30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 reporter 83:8 93:20 103:1 105:22 reports 8:21 11:13 86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18			,		,
30:22,22,25 43:9 77:13,16,21 78:2 153:19 166:3,10 98:12,22 102:21 205:6,17 206:7,14 46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 reporter 83:8 93:20 103:1 105:22 reports 8:21 11:13 86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 rely 18:20 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 125:10 128:9 113:18 115:11,22 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18	<u> </u>	*			,
46:25 50:7 75:19 78:4 79:17,25 198:1 204:17 102:21,23,25 reportings 131:6 76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 reporter 83:8 93:20 103:1 105:22 reports 8:21 11:13 86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 rely 18:20 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 125:10 128:9 113:18 115:11,22 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18					,
76:14 82:9,11 80:5,6,6 81:24 reporter 83:8 93:20 103:1 105:22 reports 8:21 11:13 86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 rely 18:20 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 125:10 128:9 113:18 115:11,22 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18		, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
86:11 96:22 83:15 86:6 87:8,9 109:22 110:2,21 108:9,15 109:4 23:9 26:24 30:8 rely 18:20 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 125:10 128:9 113:18 115:11,22 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18		,			
rely 18:20 88:5 89:4,4,8,23 125:10 128:9 113:18 115:11,22 30:17 33:14 35:7 relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18	_	2 2			<u> </u>
relying 65:15,16 91:6,6 93:20 94:1 129:12 130:22 116:3 117:11,15 35:18,19 40:14 remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
remain 122:5 190:4 94:5 95:3 96:6,8 170:22 190:1 117:16 118:1,3 44:23 115:25 remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18	· ·			,	
remainder 164:18 97:22 104:1,3,11 reporters 20:18 119:16 120:9 120:1 135:18		,		_	*
, , , <u>1</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
remains 3:20 4:11 104:11 108:14,22 21:1 46:7 75:2 122:6 123:1,2,11 147:14,24 170:21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
	remains 3:20 4:11	104:11 108:14,22	21:1 46:7 75:2	122:6 123:1,2,11	147:14,24 170:21

				1 age 230
181:20,22 195:6	87:25 88:23	102:11 127:10,15	45:17 49:7 51:7	158:6 199:17
200:10	178:11	129:4 144:3	52:10 53:14 57:6	206:17
represent 173:17	resourcing 34:6	155:12 157:24	58:7 61:16 63:23	risks 157:23
represented 179:11	193:9	195:15 198:10	72:11,12,14,21	risky 148:9
representing 183:8	respect 63:5 170:9	202:9	73:19 74:5 81:3	road 28:16 197:23
reputation 46:25	respond 29:12 35:8	responsible 60:14	86:18 88:5,24	robust 201:20
112:25	36:9 45:22 50:21	87:17 102:7	89:19 90:19 91:16	role 2:19 5:11 9:1
request 62:8	51:5,6 52:6,15	155:24 184:22	91:21,22 94:7	9:13,21 26:3 50:9
requested 180:3	59:4 67:19 69:10	rest 208:9	95:4,20 99:7	65:12 102:11
require 49:19	86:22 89:10 95:5	resting 160:18	102:9 104:7,8,23	135:20 136:9,13
94:22	124:6 127:1 134:5	restraint 67:18	102.9 104.7,8,23	139:23 155:9
required 34:6 35:8	178:20 184:6	restrict 144:15	104.24 103.3	193:14 200:12
38:5 77:3,8,10,12	189:14	restricted 166:11	107:20 108:1,2,6	roles 143:25 147:1
81:23 88:17 101:1	responded 167:9	restriction 166:9	111:4,17 114:3	147:4 158:18
	_		,	
105:6 120:1 136:7	172:6,14,15 205:3	result 14:16 17:17	118:19 122:10,22	190:25 199:9
141:5	responding 35:17	18:21 31:10 48:8	123:21 124:9	roll 53:6
requirement 10:3	35:20 42:18 59:1	49:22 81:6 173:25	130:3 132:7	rolled 12:12
48:5 77:13,16	86:20 162:15	resulted 56:17	135:24 140:9	room 9:14 39:11
81:12 120:15	189:16 197:22	108:8	145:15 148:22,22	66:9 84:9 89:22
133:12	198:20	resulting 18:4	151:18 153:25	139:11 142:20
requirements	response 13:22	22:10	154:25 155:7,25	159:15 168:7
48:22 120:19	14:7,21 18:10	retention 125:5	163:20 164:20,21	200:22,24 203:15
137:22 199:7	31:21 34:7,22	returned 1:16	167:4,18 169:2,21	root 68:22 124:10
research 14:17	49:11 62:10,13	returning 137:9	169:22 174:7,22	roots 22:3 194:15
48:15 65:1 67:8	76:24 81:5 104:4	revealed 47:7	178:9,10 182:1,2	round 3:10 38:12
73:12 79:2,16	104:8,12,23	reversed 118:4	183:11,13,14	41:15 174:2 180:2
81:2 105:20,25	110:13,22 112:17	review 10:13 12:19	184:9 185:15	rounded 122:9
136:16 157:18	117:8 128:18	15:5,11 43:17	189:17,17,17	route 124:19 133:1
161:25 170:11	130:19,21 133:2	89:21 90:1,2,8	195:5,9 201:23	134:17 139:11,18
177:9 186:17,21	136:2,3,8 146:8	167:4	204:24 206:20	routes 134:20
193:21,23 198:16	155:7 172:3,12	reviews 43:10	rights 27:4 34:15	routine 54:16 55:11
200:9,17 203:7,10	176:18 182:13	90:21,22 136:19	36:22 176:13	row 111:19 116:18
researching 202:23	185:15 199:23	revised 64:25	rigorous 203:11	Royal 46:20 168:1
resemble 203:2	205:16	190:19	ripe 47:8	RSE 176:12
reside 26:13	responses 14:9,12	revolution 56:7	rise 17:13 67:14	rudeness 179:20
residential 182:16	34:17 46:21 72:12	ridiculed 101:20	162:12	ruined 150:3
182:16	111:1 165:12	ridiculous 162:20	risk 5:20 14:14,22	rules 3:6 10:18
resonates 20:11	172:20 190:2	Riel 2:5,8 46:17	33:2 37:2 38:12	11:3 57:19 59:14
resource 34:13	responsibilities	77:24 137:8 139:1	42:5 48:7,8 51:18	59:16 98:3,4,13
41:11 51:1 52:3	17:19 51:25 54:8	207:23 208:8	58:4 83:6 120:2	99:7
87:21 182:3,6	88:2 144:2,5	209:1	121:7 122:23	run 97:8 139:5
200:5	146:13,17 147:4	right 2:23 3:16	124:15,16,16,20	157:23 203:19
resourced 206:8	199:9,10	7:20,23 10:17,17	129:21 130:25	running 27:15
resources 7:22 18:5	responsibility 42:9	11:15 17:25 19:9	137:1 144:8,12	runs 135:1 169:17
35:8 52:8 87:23	49:15 78:12 95:1	24:11 42:21 44:10	152:10,11 157:15	rush 180:7
	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

S	125:25 139:24	school's 78:10	sector 38:1 52:5,22	37:16 52:22,23
sacrifice 19:18 20:8	143:10,15,21,22	schools 25:1,22	53:1 161:1,3	62:7,25 69:18,20
21:3 129:6	145:13,14 149:9	30:12,14,15 44:1	176:5 178:2,3	73:22 74:9 75:12
sadly 24:5 92:22	151:22 152:1,22	44:4,15 46:23,23	179:6 194:17	76:5,18 92:18
105:7 156:17	158:10,10 163:13	49:3 68:1 69:7	sector-wide 35:23	93:14 113:25
safe 42:7,12 59:17	164:22 199:12	75:17 76:14	sectors 17:20 99:14	173:25 174:17
96:4 154:16 182:7	sat 112:5 129:9	111:25 122:20	161:17	200:14 206:22
195:22	Saturday 82:18	131:10 136:10	secular 25:19 66:2	208:2,12
safeguard 95:2	97:7 142:7	146:20 154:18	167:23	seminars 207:7
176:4	saved 205:7	156:13 169:5	secure 95:20	208:16
safeguarding 19:4	savings 36:13	176:12 181:24	sedation 67:18	send 29:11 114:12
32:8 40:5 42:7,9	saw 28:24 35:6	182:16,17 188:3	see 1:15 10:25 12:3	114:23 148:10
42:15 44:1,1,3	80:14 88:7	189:1 195:22	12:22,24 39:5	sends 114:25
56:16 57:25 58:5	saying 8:25 9:12	Schools' 195:23	59:5,6 61:24	senior 19:4 39:12
58:7,13,15,20,25	11:15,16 30:16	scientific 81:8	68:16 72:14,15	57:1 83:17,21
59:25 60:1,10,17	31:8 49:14,15	scope 183:14,14	75:8 78:12 93:1,7	115:13 116:1,4,6
65:4 76:11 78:20	52:11 55:23 89:22	scores 118:2 171:13	114:9,22 115:16	116:10 154:21
85:21 87:17 90:15	99:1 104:13	Scout 76:14 99:4	118:13,20 119:8	sense 44:11 49:1
90:16 98:21 99:18	111:24 123:5	scouting 57:16 58:6	140:1 145:18	52:6 81:6 82:4
101:16,23 102:13	128:18 129:22	Scouts 58:24 60:8	148:22 156:16,22	87:14 89:18 90:12
112:4,7 120:15,19	140:23,24 143:24	98:15,20 169:7	159:12 165:20	102:22 126:18
135:3 146:21	153:19 164:13	Scouts' 57:12	167:19 169:24	137:4 153:14
147:3 149:6 157:5	171:6 173:9 174:9	screens 74:5 75:8	178:4 186:18	158:21 162:21
157:8 169:5	181:21 184:3	scrutiny 58:13 92:2	191:4 194:22	sensitive 5:8
178:13 185:2	198:7	se 85:3	195:1,8 197:20	sensitivity 132:6
188:19 190:18,22	says 32:4,10 91:6	search 122:15	198:9,13,22	sent 29:9,10 30:6
190:25 194:14	97:13 116:1	seat 61:9 150:20	201:24,24 204:22	30:11
201:12 202:8	150:19 163:14	seat-belt 166:21,22	seeing 24:12 78:25	sentence 56:18
203:18	scale 100:20	secluded 131:9	seek 11:10 124:15	separate 12:2
safer 41:14 181:13	scary 135:12	seclusion 67:18	176:19	132:12 134:12
183:5 185:22	scenarios 45:2	second 1:5 33:5	seeking 12:11 15:2	139:8 169:18
safety 34:15 42:16	scheme 75:13,15	56:12 107:21	175:22 176:6,7	separately 70:19
51:22 61:11 86:23	76:4,23 77:6 79:8	109:12 111:19	seen 4:8 24:17,21	September 52:23
90:17 91:5 183:2	137:23 203:16	113:23 116:6,9	24:22,24 28:25	53:5 93:14 190:21
189:8 194:21	school 11:25 20:2	132:20 147:10	41:22 44:22 68:9	sequestration 92:4
200:25 204:23	31:6 45:19 68:3	170:16,23	71:9 91:19 96:15	92:10
sake 19:19 20:10	72:13,15,17,20	secondary 24:12	97:10 100:14	serial 20:23
21:3	78:11,15,20 97:7	72:20	115:1 126:11	serious 5:20 35:20
sanction 78:23 93:3	107:6 108:1,3,5	Secondly 65:1	141:6 196:15	36:20 43:10,17
125:11 140:18	108:11,11 110:7	168:3	select 98:9,10	57:7 90:21 110:15
141:1 151:14	112:3,8,10,19	seconds 156:3	self-defeating 40:2	136:19 141:3
152:4 155:16	122:20 124:9	secrecy 31:2	self-preservation	142:24,25 144:14
168:15	154:21 161:4	secret 59:13 66:13	159:18	144:19 148:11
sanctions 4:14	196:13 204:11,18	section 17:7 59:3	seminar 1:5,15 2:4	180:1
31:11 116:25	204:19 206:1	108:19	2:19,25 3:2,17 5:4	seriously 54:8 88:3

124:18 200:3	143:12 146:19,20	141:8,13 143:17	show 58:3 124:7	simply 38:6 85:6
servants 142:6	146:20,20 147:2	146:5 147:20	148:20	203:1
service 49:11 97:8	161:16 196:13	152:5,20 162:3	shown 35:24 79:22	single 58:8 74:12
135:20 156:9	204:18,20	163:9 171:21,24	shows 144:22	166:14,17 182:10
161:16 182:10	settings 12:13	177:22 187:10	203:25	sinister 80:2 154:10
199:23	30:22,22,24 46:25	189:6 190:3,6,7	shunned 25:20	Sir 1:12
services 2:15 6:17	47:2,8 50:7 60:13	191:2 192:6	shut 51:13 116:11	sit 21:2,9 39:16
6:18,20 18:2	75:18 76:13 82:23	200:15 205:5	shuts 26:17	57:6 146:8 203:8
20:19 22:11 27:16	85:19 93:6 97:6	sexualised 24:21	sick 29:6	sits 2:22,23 3:17
28:14 29:7 40:15	113:13 120:14	sexually 138:22	side 25:12,14 31:12	73:24 146:9
41:12 51:4 52:20	191:16,18,23	160:8 198:5	84:22 129:20	sitting 20:21,22
54:16,17 55:1	197:3	205:15	148:25 180:4	159:15,24 162:8
75:16,17 76:15	seven 113:9 205:18	shades 95:19	196:19	165:25 189:9
83:4 84:23 87:3	several-times-a	154:12	siege 164:24 165:5	197:8 204:8
88:19 92:9 96:10	96:24	shame 21:20 22:21	C	situ 121:24
97:19 104:3,4,8	96:24 severity 9:5 141:19	108:25	signals 43:24	situation 48:7 54:3
, ,	·		significant 8:20,21	
104:12,24 105:5	sex 20:3 49:4 72:9	shape 101:20 129:1	9:10 16:21 17:10	64:4 104:9 105:24
133:9,9 135:10,21	72:14,20 73:5	share 95:2 136:23	17:12 18:6 33:2	115:25 123:25
140:7 161:14	119:12 124:13	136:24 145:17	53:20 71:12 83:5	135:25 173:16
162:11 177:19	145:1,11,20 189:1	191:15	87:14 94:23 95:6	184:8
178:20 179:16	sexual 1:6,9 2:2	shared 100:25	95:10 100:9	situations 19:3
182:16 186:15,24	4:16 5:25 11:10	173:19 200:10	149:11 177:17	103:21 104:17
189:12 193:3	15:14,24 17:9	sharing 119:14	189:15 191:13,22	105:23 109:15,17
197:16 199:3,25	18:18,23 19:17	133:18 137:1	192:24	123:18 125:8
204:12	21:8 22:15 24:25	139:13 186:13	significantly 11:13	143:19 155:13
session 5:23,25	26:2 28:6,9 29:10	Sharon 50:2 143:20	58:24 74:24	162:16 204:5
66:21,21 67:2,3	29:21 33:22 34:15	157:13 177:15	191:17	six 97:2 130:20
72:7 73:18 74:13	35:6,13,14,18	Sharon's 53:6	signs 48:4 187:22	204:14
75:4 118:18,24	36:6,10,18,25	sharp 90:6	188:13	six-month 97:4
167:19 172:24	40:20 43:19 46:13	sharpen 185:3	Sikh 19:13 27:21	size 179:3
209:2,4,7,9	46:21 53:12,24	Sharpling 1:13	27:23	skill 200:5
sessions 4:18 29:8	54:15,15,25 55:13	sheltered 26:8	silence 25:25	skilled 69:1
71:24 130:20	56:12 57:5 67:10	shift 17:19 18:4	silenced 27:10 28:7	skills 185:17
set 3:6 10:18 13:22	75:1 76:20,25	24:4 26:20 126:25	28:10	skip 102:15
14:8 15:1 89:10	77:1,3,7,8,16 78:5	167:2	silent 19:22 122:5	skirting 63:18
89:25 91:20 112:5	82:21 100:6,10	shifting 17:17,17	silver 165:22	slice 171:22
120:5 127:3	102:24 103:2,6,14	shirt 115:8	similar 77:11	slides 74:4
146:16 175:1	103:16 105:1,9,14	shock 180:14	151:11	slightest 40:1
207:18	107:2 110:7	shoestring 29:13	Simon 43:20 44:10	slightly 7:14 15:23
sets 50:8 62:20	111:12 112:2,8,11	short 2:6 5:4 40:24	78:7 79:19 84:15	76:8 84:6,18
setting 11:3 20:2	115:1 118:3 119:9	41:16 59:19,20,21	85:1 195:20	111:17 123:25
31:16 60:2,8	119:23,25 120:2,6		Simon's 45:23	124:1 127:4,8
76:19 78:10 98:5	120:13,21 124:3,8		simple 12:17 31:15	153:2 154:6 163:5
107:5 108:24	127:2 134:8,15	shot 155:3	57:20 58:21 157:8	171:20 172:9
120:8 122:5	137:6,21 138:4,13	should've 156:14	simplest 157:9	180:24 182:15
120.0 122.3	157.0,21 150.7,15	5110414 TO 150.17	Simplest 15 (.)	100.21102.13
	•	-	•	-

	•	-	•	
slow 28:16 84:18	128:18	205:19	201:19	started 13:3 66:5
94:22	soon 25:15 28:3,7	speaker 114:7	spot 48:4 78:18	174:19 178:25
small 36:14 91:1	sophisticated 47:19	speakers 5:13	98:19 187:21	starting 58:3
123:23 173:24	sorry 11:11 21:22	35:11	188:12	109:20 187:9
184:23 185:3	30:25 83:13 84:12	speaking 7:4,4	spurious 100:18	190:15
smaller 80:15	84:19 107:16	23:21 49:10 51:21	149:11,18 150:6	starts 68:1 152:23
87:10,13,13,13	108:19 167:6	93:16,17 98:1	Spurs 202:19	state 9:1,13,21 11:9
127:2	174:6 176:9	121:13,16 127:9	squadron 142:5	43:16 65:11 79:23
smiling 156:22	sort 6:16 14:1	143:15 151:14	squarely 79:11	113:4
smoking 61:9	25:16 31:9 41:18	special 25:1	151:24	stated 19:1
smoothly 169:22	50:17 51:7,20	specialist 51:1,4	stabilise 162:22	statement 83:14
snitched 25:18	52:8,12 63:4 73:6	82:20 204:24	staff 5:15,18 12:13	states 34:10,11
so-called 42:23	92:4 93:2 106:22	specialists 157:6	17:2,2 51:11,15	36:1 80:15 147:12
social 9:16 10:9,10	108:16 123:1	specific 5:2 15:13	54:12,23 55:12,21	147:15 171:15,17
10:13 12:8,10,11	125:24 127:10	18:14 79:12	56:14 76:7,7,10	statistics 9:7 22:13
12:14 13:10 15:3	128:16 143:19	185:17	76:12,13 78:17,24	144:22 180:25
16:24,25,25 17:3	150:2,24 151:2	specifically 19:10	80:5 81:16 82:6	statute 69:21
17:16 18:4 22:5	156:21 157:15,21	21:11 55:22 120:7	85:2 86:9 93:15	statutory 6:18,24
30:6,7,18 31:4	161:19,22 164:11	145:19 190:13	93:21,25 95:24	21:18 28:13 29:23
40:4,6,15 42:2,19	178:4 179:2,3	specified 85:15	96:12 99:13,16	32:21 33:20 59:5
42:19 80:25 83:3	182:7 186:15	93:6	100:21 101:2,7	74:15 75:9,16
87:3,24 88:15	196:18 197:9,13	spectrum 67:15	115:25 116:1,4,7	76:20,22 99:11
133:9 140:7 153:9	202:14	69:3 137:13	116:8,11 138:3	100:4 135:10
154:3,4 155:14	sorted 12:5	144:24	146:1 153:8	137:14,21 139:3
156:24 157:1	sorts 40:19 82:23	speculate 122:11	154:17,18 206:1	141:11,16,18
161:17 162:11,13	115:15 165:5	speedy 179:23	stage 55:14,15	147:19,22 184:24
164:25 181:22	sought 12:7	spend 5:1 36:7	65:25 150:8	190:19 193:1
184:14 189:5,7,13	sound 85:14 136:8	41:11	stance 125:5	195:9 197:15
190:16 204:12	163:18 189:25	spending 189:11	stand 53:20 84:24	205:15
society 8:25 19:21	sounds 56:9 101:14	spent 185:11	100:19	staunch 65:12
41:12 66:2 89:11	source 128:2	spirit 31:17	standard 60:4,11	stay 22:17
150:24 167:23	South 141:10,15	spoke 36:19 53:23	60:16 89:24 91:5	stays 112:25
181:4,25	147:18 150:14,16	56:23 86:5 193:20	91:20	stemming 39:19
solution 17:25	150:21,23 151:4	spoken 90:7 113:25	standardised 193:7	68:13
95:23 173:14,15	space 70:18 71:23	114:2 131:19	standards 144:11	step 87:1 155:1
174:11,14 180:8	203:5	163:24 179:12,14	standing 33:10	stepped 194:13
189:3 193:10	speak 3:11,15	spokesman 108:13	140:1	stepping 154:14
solutions 173:2	16:12 19:20 20:7	sport 60:1 98:7	stands 63:10	steps 94:24
solve 88:6 165:23	22:8 32:22,25	156:19 157:7	205:24	STEWART 32:19
somebody 10:16	55:9 56:25 84:10	169:7 194:11	start 5:24 6:1,11	59:23 97:20
55:2 56:9 66:12	89:10 98:6 100:21	sports 12:15 47:2,3	25:15 61:22 89:19	150:13 194:10
100:13 117:1	111:6,19 129:10	59:24,25 60:8	96:2 132:10	stick 85:4,5
132:13 152:14,15	162:10 175:8	76:14 86:12 96:22	143:22,24 153:2	sticking 85:6
something's 126:14	183:5 191:19	97:19,20 98:4	174:3 179:19	stone 161:23
somewhat 63:2	194:11 203:3,4	99:2,3 157:3	206:13	stones 122:18,21
	l		l	I

				1 490 212
stood 27:3	struck 188:15	199:2	89:9 91:7 105:5	193:24 203:3
stool 191:19	structure 127:24	sufficient 24:2	105:17 146:19	204:3 205:2,4
stop 5:6 20:4 51:14	130:7,12	34:20 64:7 132:6	158:16 183:6	survivors' 167:12
61:11 99:4 113:14	structures 45:9	133:13 143:16,21	supporter 89:4	susceptible 164:24
157:10 173:6	71:20	146:17 153:8	supporting 26:1,4	suspect 164:14
198:10	struggle 161:1	155:17 164:9	42:12 101:2	172:18
stories 30:10	struggling 29:20,21	sufficiently 34:16	123:15 188:23	suspected 43:7
story 34:17	29:22,23 112:19	140:17	supportive 31:14	143:17
straight 29:8	Stuart 2:13,15	suggest 19:22 31:12	supports 117:10	suspects 82:21
124:18 129:12	16:16	42:3 79:3 120:21	supports 117.10 suppose 83:7	suspended 149:24
131:24 185:18	stuck 65:2	140:12,15 151:6	102:22 133:20	suspicion 4:15
197:22	students 110:7	184:4	146:16 149:8	_
				81:22 83:17,20,25
straightforward	studies 45:24 46:7	suggesting 123:11	157:14 158:5	138:13 153:23
115:14,14,17	study 117:10	171:5	185:24	160:14
130:23 169:9	stuff 44:4	suggestion 132:19	sure 6:22,24 9:9,14	suspicions 201:4
strand 126:13	stunning 168:2	170:8,14	14:4 15:16 21:5	Sutton 52:20 53:7
strands 19:7	subject 17:10 78:21	suggestions 179:8	22:13 25:10 33:11	56:7 82:15 99:18
126:12 177:1	80:7 115:10 117:6	suitability 140:5	36:8 44:13 45:17	101:14 124:24
strategic 33:19	138:6,10,18 146:2	suitable 139:23	45:18 52:4,15	148:25 149:3
strategy 83:4 190:8	158:1 165:4 192:2	summarising 208:5	59:13 60:17 80:4	190:12
streamed 2:6 5:4	subjected 190:6,7	Sunday 60:25 97:7	82:1 91:16 100:17	symptoms 94:14
streamline 186:15	submission 31:22	Superintendent	102:12 109:2	114:24
197:18	106:19 107:1	156:4	113:11 123:11	synagogue 97:8
streamlined 131:15	submissions 13:6	supervision 54:13	141:6 142:14	synagogues 20:15
161:7,14 186:25	submitted 180:9	support 5:15,18	154:2 155:7	197:2
197:17	submitting 3:7	6:20 23:3 26:25	158:24 167:3	system 18:2 34:11
streams 70:19	64:23	27:8,25 28:5,12	171:2,8,8,12	35:7,22 45:12,13
street 23:14	subsequent 118:6	28:22 29:4,14,16	172:17 173:22	54:13 61:23 80:1
strengthen 48:22	subsequently 93:1	29:18,24 42:6	175:25 176:1	86:2,3,5 94:3
strengthened	subset 110:2	48:2 49:19 51:8	178:19 183:22	105:8 115:23
190:17 191:5	subsets 109:21	52:16 54:12,13	184:7,12 195:14	116:3 125:13
strengthening	substantial 14:11	55:12,13,19 68:12	197:23 200:6,12	130:9,17 134:11
199:7,15	15:19 193:8	74:17 88:10 96:3	201:5 203:7	134:12,14 135:1,6
strengthens 200:6	substantiated 8:23	100:4 101:7,13	207:10	135:18 136:3
strictly 85:8	9:8 33:21 52:14	104:23,25 105:6	surprise 36:15	149:22 161:9
striking 50:5	substantiation 65:2	105:15,15,21	surprised 70:3	169:10 173:10,10
strong 63:22 91:4	succeeding 98:7	106:6 116:23	surrounding 67:25	174:9 175:16
100:12 101:10,11	success 34:22 81:4	135:25 140:2,9	98:14	176:17 177:18
157:21 171:8	successful 6:24	159:1 177:21	survey 172:12	178:5 187:3
199:17	successfully 10:22	187:17 196:5	205:3	188:10 189:16
stronger 10:19	successive 38:16,16	199:5 200:4,7	surveyed 114:13	191:7,10,11,21
50:12 158:24	suffer 200:16	201:18 204:2,24	survivor 7:1 105:14	192:1 197:16
strongly 86:20	suffered 9:5	supported 26:23	survivors 18:18	199:16 200:6
102:5,8 168:19	suffering 23:25	27:8 48:6 56:11	133:10 167:15	systemic 67:24
185:25	53:17 55:9 192:10	56:19,22 88:23	172:18 175:6	190:2

				Page 243
systems 50:23	48:13,14,17 49:2	teapot 203:5	Tescos 142:7,8	208:8,12,18
116:21,23 188:12	53:8 59:25 99:19	technicality 136:9	143:7	thanks 109:9 137:8
192:8,14	111:22 125:23	technology 184:11	test 135:12 160:14	139:2 151:7 159:3
172.0,14	150:25 162:14	184:11 191:10	181:2	198:23 207:23
	188:12 201:15	teenagers 7:5	thank 1:4,17 2:9,16	theoretically 121:7
table 19:5 29:5	talked 6:3 33:9	tell 12:19 13:17	18:11 21:6 25:7	theory 160:20,20
55:17 56:2 58:24	58:23 95:23 176:2	53:5 65:18,20	30:3,19 32:17	therapy 19:23
75:8 78:7 113:2	187:16 192:18	85:10 107:24	36:12 38:14 40:10	they'd 21:3 78:25
159:16 173:1	193:6	114:10,14,16	40:22 41:1,17	thing 6:25 8:14
180:2,9 187:4	talking 10:23 11:22	115:16 116:2,22	42:21 50:1,5	10:17 21:9 28:2
193:6 196:12	11:24,25 16:25	122:12,15 147:15	60:21 61:15,20	30:25 32:4 37:20
take 1:15 9:17,22	17:24 20:23 25:15	147:17 156:12	62:4,7 64:13,14	39:11 40:3 45:1
11:17 12:24 16:19	36:17 41:9,9,10	173:15 174:14	65:23 66:1,7,16	45:17 49:8 51:9
26:20,22 27:12	49:11 78:5 82:4	telling 40:13	66:17 67:1,6 69:8	58:4 66:14 80:9
28:20 42:13,25	84:10 90:14 91:14	116:11 198:13	69:9 73:8,17 78:1	81:13 85:17 91:8
43:8 49:7,16,24	91:15 103:14	205:5	82:13,15 84:3	91:21 93:13 116:5
54:8 59:19 60:4	112:21 127:23	tells 71:15	85:24 93:12,18,19	121:5 122:3,16
65:23 70:18 71:7	129:14 135:8	temporary 141:12	93:19 94:10 96:5	132:12 135:19
74:2 76:21 83:11	151:22,25 153:22	ten 16:20 66:3	102:14 106:15	136:15 143:3,20
91:9 95:6 111:18	154:2 159:8,13	tend 119:13 127:3	111:4 113:23	150:13 143:3,20
124:17 126:24	191:10 194:21	153:7	115:5 116:16,17	159:16 164:21,21
127:4 129:21	195:11,13 196:21	tendency 153:2	117:22 118:9,14	165:8 166:17
144:23,25 145:4,6	tapping 179:19	term 4:5 12:12 13:9	118:19 119:2,21	183:11 184:10
155:11 163:10	targeting 61:5	57:3,4 128:6	124:22 125:17	things 7:2,7,17 8:16
170:16 173:20	62:21	159:11	134:25 136:5	10:17 11:2 15:5
174:18 177:1,11	task 157:9	terminology 24:15	137:3 141:25	24:18 32:3 38:3
179:20 180:25	taught 25:13	terms 3:18 11:7	143:8 145:24	44:23,24 47:15
183:18 195:16	tea 169:24	16:18 22:10 23:15	147:9 157:14	51:3 54:14 58:17
196:22 198:8	teach 182:3	31:15 34:3 35:7	163:20 165:24	67:2 71:23 91:12
200:5	teacher 129:23	35:19 54:8 55:24	168:25 169:1,4,21	95:23 96:22 101:5
taken 7:18 49:21	130:14 154:3,5	55:25 56:2 62:21	171:25 172:23,23	106:19 115:15
100:24 101:21	teacher's 27:9	72:21 73:1 74:25	177:12,14 179:18	116:8 122:8,9,17
102:7,8,10 137:5	teachers 12:15 17:1	76:2 82:3 86:23	179:23 181:5,8,10	123:4 131:20
138:8 140:11	26:23 30:7 43:20	91:4 100:5,25	182:20,21,24	134:19 145:16
145:10 177:25	45:14 47:11 80:17	110:15 122:2,2,17	184:17,18,20	153:11 170:18
180:5 191:17	112:7 154:18	146:22 150:22	186:5 187:6,8	174:20 176:15
200:2,3 207:12	157:3	153:14 157:8	189:20 190:12,12	177:5 183:21
takes 29:16 54:21	teaching 93:5 121:6	176:14 178:7	192:20,22 194:8	184:8 185:11
54:21,22 75:10	122:14 131:9	183:2,7 184:14	194:10,10 195:18	192:12,13 196:20
137:11 169:21	152:7 153:11	186:7 187:15	195:19 196:25	196:21 200:1,5,8
185:5	154:7 157:7	190:20 194:14	198:25 200:19,21	202:17
tale 119:6	team 2:24 57:25	territories 34:10,11	201:22,22 202:7	think 6:8,10,16,19
talk 18:5 22:20	59:1 83:3 96:12	147:12,15 171:15	204:7,9 205:8,10	7:2,11,12,17 8:2
24:8 25:11 26:4,8	98:21 208:10	171:16,17	205:21,22 206:20	8:11,15,16 9:19
28:4 33:1 41:8	teams 86:9	territory 95:19	206:23 207:18	9:22,24 10:6,16
		•		,, .

				rage 211
11:2 13:7,21	107:15 108:3	182:3,11,11,14,24	156:13 164:5	61:20 63:3 69:18
15:18,19,22,23	109:5,11,12,20	183:9,10,15,17,21	166:12 200:1	74:23 82:4 88:22
16:5,6,16,17	110:3 114:6,23	183:23 184:1,5	201:24	144:22 176:3,21
20:14 21:25,25	115:2,20,20	185:6,12,16,21	thresholds 135:24	177:1,11,23 179:8
23:16 24:3,3,4,10	119:16 120:24,25	186:3,11,13,16,23	169:12,14	183:8,15 187:2,8
24:16 25:4 26:13	121:1,9,12 122:1	186:24 187:25	Thursday 183:19	187:16 188:15
28:16,24 32:15,17			tick 12:12 198:19	195:2 199:20
	122:1,22,25 123:2	188:18,23,25		
32:20 33:5,16,19	123:3,12,18,19,24	189:4,22,23,24	ticking 10:11,14	today's 208:2 told 25:11 76:5
36:25 37:15,19	124:17,18,25	190:8 191:3 192:7	tiers 126:22	
39:3 40:16 41:4	125:15,20 126:21	192:11,15 193:5	ties 41:18	86:7 107:21
42:10 43:25 44:6	126:23 127:7	194:13,19,25,25	tighter 87:9	114:20 127:12
45:8,11 47:11,12	128:7,13,15,23	195:10 196:1,3,20	time 3:4 20:5,21	147:12
48:6 49:17 50:10	129:10,13,15	196:23 197:20	21:19 25:23 27:11	Tom 4:2 11:18
50:15 51:2,9,22	130:6 131:18,20	198:7,21 199:1,6	27:12 29:16 33:9	13:17,22 30:19
52:2,5,18,21	131:25 132:9	199:10,13,15,16	33:23 46:8 50:23	38:14 59:21 60:22
53:12 54:10,19,20	134:25 135:3,6,16	199:20 200:23,23	53:16,24 54:5,22	63:20 84:4 91:23
54:23 55:11 56:10	135:19,23 136:13	201:1,7,15,17	61:13 62:25 63:17	106:12 120:22
56:18 57:1,13,15	136:15 139:7,13	202:8,16 203:8	87:3 95:7,25	123:13,21 128:18
57:19 58:4 59:1,8	140:15,15,24	206:3,4,6,6,10,12	96:20 111:19	138:4 141:25
59:17 60:6,11,16	141:3,17 143:6	206:14,18	115:9 121:4,15	148:22 150:19
61:23 63:6,22	146:6,7,12,18	thinking 33:6	142:4,23,25 148:9	154:14 155:25
64:25 65:7,13	147:2,8 148:20	44:19 67:7 70:16	150:1 162:16,22	174:11 176:9
67:4 68:19,23,23	150:8,13,15,18,20	87:23 95:16,21	167:1 168:9	179:19
69:9 70:20 71:11	150:25 151:1,3,5	123:13 139:13	169:22,23 173:7	tools 146:12
71:11,14,21,25	151:11 152:3,10	143:25 152:24	178:10 179:21,21	top 79:9 169:11
72:1,20 73:3,13	152:11,24 153:1,4	154:9 159:19	181:6 183:13	topic 31:18 40:23
74:4 78:8,9,14,22	153:16 154:16,23	173:16 185:13	187:24 188:1,2,6	102:19 111:10
79:19,22 80:4,21	154:24 155:5,5,19	196:2,2 201:9	188:10 189:12,14	118:12 125:20
81:9,11,25 82:2,5	155:21 157:17	third 30:9 48:19	189:17 194:4	163:5
82:11,15 83:14,19	158:13,14,15,23	109:18	195:12 200:5	topics 5:10,12
87:16,20 88:4,21	159:6 160:1,4	third-hand 128:1	202:9,16 207:1	totally 128:4 159:7
88:22,24 89:18,19	162:6,16,18,23	thought 8:7 16:8	208:4	touch 102:19
89:24 90:8,11,12	163:2,16,16,19	31:8,23 32:6 35:2	timeline 112:12	111:13
90:25 91:18,20	164:7,12,25	65:19 67:12 88:4	times 9:16 10:25	touched 10:1,6
92:17 93:10,23	165:12,17,24	88:12 122:22	28:13 58:22 59:6	43:3 103:3
94:8,16,17,17,19	166:1,5,19 168:16	132:24 145:17	60:25 142:3	touching 112:2,9
95:16 96:18 97:20	168:18 169:9,16	174:20 175:14	175:25 196:11	track 134:9
98:4,6,17,20,25	170:16 172:13	181:14 184:21	Tina 57:10 59:23	trade 196:22
99:12,20,23 100:2	173:5,12 174:19	207:11	60:23 98:15	201:17
100:7,16 101:22	174:25 175:11,13	thoughts 111:7	200:20	traffic 18:3
103:10,15,17,21	175:16,17 176:3	thread 58:7 83:15	tired 170:7	trafficking 55:20
103:25 104:4,6,7	176:22 177:16	201:11	titled 61:3	train 50:24 183:12
104:15,21 105:17	178:5,17,25 179:5	threat 189:7	today 1:18 2:11,13	197:12
105:19,24,25	179:7 181:11,17	threaten 61:12	3:5 4:18 22:6	trained 26:2 55:21
106:1,2,4,9 107:4	181:19 182:1,1,1	three 96:14 137:19	35:11 41:19 51:12	58:20 129:19

130:20,24	tripartite 190:23	115:11 116:8	54:12 55:11 60:9	Unreliable 142:13
trainers 140:5	true 82:3	138:8 142:23	68:3,22 73:5,11	unreported 108:10
training 45:11 48:2	trust 6:17 21:2	143:1 161:23	73:15 78:24 86:19	108:11
48:6 50:15,20	77:19 82:20 84:25	166:20 168:23	105:8 124:15,20	unsubstantiated
55:18 58:18 61:21	85:3,6 94:23	173:5 177:15	126:15 134:13,17	33:21 65:4
61:25 73:10 88:16	trusted 49:6 89:12	178:6 182:22	167:16 176:13	unthinkable 54:20
95:24 115:13	89:14,15 95:25	185:25 192:8	177:4,23 178:3	54:20 115:23
140:2,3,8 158:25	99:24,25	194:5 201:24	187:11 188:4,7,24	116:2 201:10
176:1 178:7,9	trustees 58:8	204:9 207:25	196:18	unwilling 165:5
179:17 185:2	trusts 87:17	two-day 53:8	understandably	unwise 61:5 116:4
187:17,20,20	truth 147:17	two-way 23:14	39:16	116:7
191:1 193:6,6	truth-telling	type 37:4 119:15	understanding	update 69:19 70:22
196:3 199:21	114:18	126:2 152:19	17:13,18,19 33:19	194:6
203:14,16,18,19	truthful 125:16	types 15:24 36:18	37:1 42:5 45:10	updated 102:3
trains 175:14	try 3:3,4 4:22,23	43:2 70:8 71:18	45:10 47:13,19	uplift 61:25 62:2
	10:10 15:2 95:4	78:4 147:1	48:18 52:10 67:24	
transcript 1:20 208:2	158:11 174:7	/0.4 14/.1	69:2 72:23 73:9	upper 103:22
transformation	176:7,12	U	100:20 113:14	upstanding 127:12 127:14
88:17	trying 10:8 11:11	UK 33:11 65:7	140:25 179:9	upwards 113:20
transforming	28:11 54:14,16	71:10 92:4 109:4	199:8,21	use 3:12 7:9 12:11
90:23	73:11 82:15 92:10	ultimately 59:16	understands 105:1	19:21 43:6,16
transparency	95:19 131:5	206:18	200:12	53:25 145:13
201:9	133:17 152:17	UN 66:3	understood 23:23	159:22,24 161:20
transparent 57:18	157:24 158:2,22	unable 63:10	24:2 68:21 106:25	176:9 184:13
trauma 22:15	175:23 177:23	unaddressed 67:22	undertaken 17:8	useful 1:25 143:2
23:21 47:14,14,19	178:25 177:25	unborn 192:4,5	156:14	145:18 166:17
47:20 54:24,24	206:9	unclear 16:2 48:8	undesirable 141:12	172:22 175:4
67:21 73:13	Tuesday 1:1	185:6	undiscovered 54:4	179:7 181:7
113:17 199:21,22	turf 161:8	uncomfortable	undoubtedly 9:6	199:24
traumatised 94:21	Turkey 32:6	25:3 48:10 78:19	71:14	uses 60:18
travel 93:11	turn 18:13 48:18	78:22	unfounded 33:15	usual 4:19 12:12
Treasury 37:21,22	48:24 49:6 61:15	undermine 165:6	unhappy 9:18	usually 51:23 75:24
treating 83:21	94:7 111:16	undermines 156:19	unintended 95:17	utmost 192:10
treatment 67:17	117:23 121:24	undermining	117:6 181:18	uttered 28:8
114:15	160:19 163:21	108:18	193:19 194:1	utterly 162:20
treats 140:16	turned 90:13	underneath 188:2	unique 158:21	utterly 102.20
tremendous 41:11	121:19	underpinning	United 36:1	\mathbf{V}
			units 39:1 67:17	valid 84:16
tremoring 156:16 tried 13:24 14:3	Turning 3:14 33:24	underplayed 25:2		valuable 95:15
	twice 190:6	underreporting	University 61:1	128:2 166:14
157:17 162:16	two 53:9 54:6 59:20	25:5	unjustifiable 165:2	208:17
triggering 135:21	64:15 66:9 70:18	understand 15:9	unpicked 11:20	value 47:5 156:19
135:24	72:21 73:1 75:5	22:2 23:18,19	unreasonably 9:17	156:20
triggers 104:11,11	92:3 96:14 97:7	32:11 34:3,4	206:17	values 61:3,4,6,8
Trinity 30:25 31:1	103:19 106:11	43:13,23 50:16	unregulated	61:14
31:1	109:21 110:7	TJ.1J,4J JU.1U	100:21	01.17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

vanishingly 164:8	29:21 141:13	49:21 52:19 55:25	46:14 56:15 61:7	159:6,8,9,13,15
variable 147:16	voice 59:9	56:1 69:13 70:15	66:14 69:7 71:17	159:18 160:1,5
varieties 115:11	voices 197:7	71:22 72:13,18	85:23 88:11,24	161:22 162:15,25
variety 158:2	volume 147:14	85:25 86:1 88:9	91:12 93:10 95:4	163:6 168:21
various 8:9 147:1	voluntary 76:12	93:1,2,6 103:25	101:20 109:1	175:19 180:24
156:6 175:6	97:19	111:5,22 113:3,19	115:1 122:25	186:3 191:6
variously 86:2	volunteer 77:9 97:9	113:25 114:2	124:10 126:1,25	194:16,21 195:11
venting 5:1	100:9 194:16	115:24 116:6	129:1 132:9	195:13,17 197:25
version 204:2	volunteers 58:20	120:20 124:22	134:10 136:2,12	198:3 201:23
versus 45:25 78:6	60:12,13,19 77:1	126:1,16 129:4	143:23 144:9	207:15
vice 112:7	81:17 82:6 98:17	130:7 134:9	146:3,5 171:22	we've 6:3,23 21:21
victim 27:10 50:18	99:10 100:22	139:16,17 140:22	173:24 176:4	33:11 41:15 43:2
104:18 105:4,4	138:17 187:18,25	142:12 156:5,5	177:18 178:22	50:10 55:18 58:23
112:16,18 123:12	vulnerability 40:17	157:1,8 160:17	183:24 186:23	61:20 66:3,8
127:9	40:18,19	161:5 163:21	188:18 189:16,17	70:22 76:1 79:16
victim's 112:18	vulnerable 40:19	164:18,20,21	197:10 203:2,18	88:13,14,18 90:20
victims 19:4,16	51:25 68:6 76:11	169:19 173:6	207:9,16,17	91:3 92:21 94:20
20:6 52:16 55:13	84:20,21 85:21	184:6,7 195:1	ways 44:17 47:21	95:23 101:22
55:20 67:10 70:2	123:17 157:5	196:24 198:11	152:1 162:14	119:24 126:11
70:14 128:25		200:17 206:12,13	177:6	128:11 130:1
130:10 162:9	W	207:2	we'll 4:22 62:5	131:19 132:22
168:11 172:18	wait 64:8	wanted 7:3 8:2	83:11 107:21	140:2,11 153:21
175:5 177:21	waiting 97:2 163:1	9:24 24:8 40:12	115:7 118:13	155:13 159:21
191:24 192:4	Wales 6:1 15:8	42:17 48:13 67:5	167:19 169:2,24	162:5 167:1 168:6
193:23 205:4	16:11 62:1 71:1	67:6 72:9 73:7	we're 2:12 7:3,4	168:10 174:13
victims' 172:12	74:16 76:1 77:2	85:4,5 94:10	11:22,22,24,25	176:12 177:25
Victoria 75:13 79:9	87:4,24 89:5	111:19 113:2	12:11 20:23 22:5	178:23 186:10
79:12 80:16	93:19 96:8 137:13	119:3 123:8	25:13 29:5,20,20	194:25 195:10
137:23 138:15	139:3 140:11	128:17 134:3,4	29:22,23 30:11	204:14 208:1
Victorian 46:5	141:10,15 147:18	139:17 142:1	33:20 40:2 41:9,9	weak 61:5
video 1:21 208:3	150:14,16,21,23	152:9 167:7 172:1	41:10,13 51:12	weakness 93:9
view 30:5 79:13,15	151:4 170:9 177:4	172:5	54:14,16 65:15	wealthier 34:12
81:8 82:12 100:13	183:8 194:23,23	wanting 46:24	66:14,18 73:19	wealthy 34:9
102:6 117:20	walk 198:7	123:10 167:15,16	77:22 82:4 89:7	weapons 64:12
118:11,25 121:25	walk-in 55:1	wants 92:1	90:19 91:3,12,12	wear 61:10 150:20
139:12 143:25	Walmsley 84:14	war 161:8	96:16 97:1,11,13	166:22
145:21 162:2	180:4	wasn't 53:13,14	97:21 101:24	website 1:21 2:6
170:18 175:10	Walmsley's 12:7	62:2 117:7 123:10	108:6 117:20	3:19 38:15 39:5
views 3:22 5:9,13	179:25	162:8 166:11	118:11 121:1,1	128:10 180:11
74:19 78:6 103:5	want 2:21 4:1 5:1	171:5,6	122:22 125:23	208:3,6
132:4 140:3	5:24 6:1 7:10	wasted 202:16	127:12 128:24	weekly 96:10,23
148:16 183:1,9	12:13 15:5 21:6	watch 142:4 179:19	129:11,14,23	97:6
vigilance 206:6	25:9 30:5 33:3	watching 3:1	130:2 131:4	weigh 23:1,8
vilify 128:13	40:23 42:1,21,25	way 24:3,5 26:7,8	143:15 145:3	139:14
violence 19:17 26:3	44:12 47:6 49:14	27:5 32:23 43:25	154:2 156:21	weight 36:5
-				

welcome 1:3,4,14	62:21 69:16 70:8	70:11,15,19 73:13	190:20 192:24	166:1
2:10,17 39:24,24	70:20 201:2	75:16 81:19 90:17	193:1 197:8	
73:4 199:6 208:24	wilfully 45:7	95:15 96:3,19,21	workplace 43:2	X
welcomed 123:3	Wilkins 169:4	98:3,14 104:7	works 38:23,24	X 198:19 208:23
well-being 185:15	willing 174:4	105:8,19 110:12	61:7 78:17 110:25	
well-respected	willingness 97:22	117:17 119:10	124:6 144:9	Y
53:25	117:19	121:4,11 123:14	193:15	Y 198:19
Welsh 85:25 86:10	Willison 8:1,4	123:24 124:9	world 129:18	year 14:7 30:6 36:7
90:15 95:12	11:11 13:21 15:17	127:13 138:12	165:19 171:11,23	88:17 92:23
102:23 116:21	16:8 36:24 37:19	150:1 153:9,17	180:18 181:1,2	144:23 145:6,23
177:3 183:7	69:12 136:7 152:9	164:25 166:10,16	183:5	166:7 190:21
went 45:15,15	153:16 167:5,9	168:16 174:23	worldwide 180:24	years 16:21 20:17
53:24 54:4 87:4,5	172:4 174:23	177:3 184:15,25	worried 130:2	22:3 26:14,22
87:8 92:11 114:9	WILSON 57:13	186:11,12,25	159:10 197:25	44:15 56:13 65:18
122:13,13,21,24	98:17 200:21	187:3 188:14	worries 88:25 89:3	66:3,9 92:3 100:8
142:19	winning 161:23	190:17 197:9	89:7 152:22	101:22 103:11
weren't 44:24 45:3	wish 10:5 111:20	198:14,15 199:25	worry 40:21 101:25	112:6 121:3 123:6
45:6 107:19 162:6	207:20	204:19 207:8	129:11 179:23	125:4,15,15,15
West 62:6	withdraw 72:11,12	208:11	worrying 153:2	142:4 146:20
Western 80:19	72:14,19,21,25	worked 27:20 98:2	160:1,7 197:22	159:21,25 162:7
Whichever 171:22	witness 205:25	98:12 102:2	worse 68:8 100:23	166:12 180:5,6
whilst 89:7 122:4	women 192:6	206:23	137:2	191:12 204:11
whistle 57:9	wonder 33:25 90:8	worker 31:4 154:3	worst 68:7	205:6,7
whistleblower	107:10 117:17	154:4	worth 37:15 139:13	Yehudis 19:9 22:18
128:7	wonderful 66:10	workers 9:16 12:9	would've 63:13	25:8 29:3 47:22
whistleblowers	207:18	12:11,14 13:10	123:2 129:3,7,9,9	125:18 126:9
55:24 56:11	wondering 23:17	16:24,25,25 17:16	145:2 166:11	159:4 163:14
whistleblowing	154:8	53:2 80:25 155:14	186:16	195:12 197:1
56:8,15 57:3	wonders 152:15	156:24 157:1	wouldn't 4:6 22:2	Yehudis's 32:19
white 22:14 107:1	Wood 66:1 167:22	161:17 189:13	26:5 28:8 50:12	182:4
154:11	word 27:22 28:8	workforce 88:15	55:2,3 65:21 85:7	yelling 106:22
who've 20:12	33:3 42:25 43:6	140:6	112:13,14,16	yellow 58:22
wide 75:21 187:17	43:16 56:9 129:18	working 27:14	114:14,16 139:7	yesterday 1:8,20
wide-ranging	162:23	28:14 29:17 42:6	146:1 159:23	2:4,20 3:6,20 4:2
135:2	words 53:25 85:1	42:11 44:2 47:16	164:13	8:3,18 10:1,16
widely 191:7	101:10 123:6	58:9,9 66:3 73:14	wrap 51:7	15:23 16:16 35:6
widen 111:9	160:15 173:15	76:10 83:17,20	writing 62:4	41:19 42:23 44:6
widening 55:17	work 3:18 10:9,9	90:17 95:1 99:13	written 114:13	44:20 46:4 57:13
wider 3:18 48:13	10:10,13,20 12:11	99:15 100:5 135:4	wrong 11:16 15:22	57:20 61:21 65:3
76:8 81:4 97:18	15:1,3 17:7 19:12	136:9 138:3,5	45:15 56:8 99:7	74:11 86:6 90:4
177:1 188:14,21	19:12 26:2,5,7,11	140:7 146:1 147:5	104:12 126:14	90:25 103:3 104:1
199:5 200:7,8,16	28:11 29:11 37:20	152:16 154:1,18	148:10 152:21,24	104:14 117:8
widespread 22:8	38:24 39:8 40:4,6	159:7 160:25	172:4,8	160:21 161:25
66:12	42:2,19,19 51:19	161:18 162:15	wrote 32:7,9	177:23 181:15
wilful 44:7 51:13	52:2 54:7 59:5	176:2,5 185:21	Wyatt 114:6 166:1	192:18 199:20
Willul 77. / J1.13	34.4 37.1 33.3	170.2,3 103.21	vv yatt 117.0 100.1	
	1	1	1	

Page 248

				raye 240
200:10 yesterday's 1:21,23 80:14 189:23 194:17 young 6:25 7:4,5,10 19:18 20:9 21:5 22:6,7,19 24:8,16 24:25 26:1,15,18 27:14,18 29:21,22 48:16 49:13 52:16	118 209:7 12 29:8 172:9 13 171:16 13-year-old 55:8 106:5 14 105:18 15 103:11 105:18 169:23 159 17:11 15th 72:22 73:1	2012 144:1 145:7,9 157:19 178:25 2014 120:4 179:24 2015 38:15 39:3 69:25 113:6 2016 14:6 2017 52:23 53:5 190:16,17 2018 16:23 62:15 2019 1:1	5.1 108:19 50 39:3 67:10 125:15 171:17 6 6 29:8 60 30:8 120:14 125:15 60s 114:14 61 209:3	149C 210
54:25 58:4 59:12 59:14,17 67:7,14 67:20 68:15,20 69:5 70:1 77:18 87:15 88:11 89:9 94:20 99:24 100:1 103:18,19 104:14	16 14:10 77:12,14 103:15 119:12 167:6,11 172:6 16/2/14 60:25 164 209:8 16s 119:12 17 77:14 103:13	202 209:10 207 209:11 22 17:5 59:1,3 204:14 22-year 166:9 23,000 72:11 24/7 178:13	7 72 180:20 73 209:4 74 209:5 77 180:20 78 16:24	
103:18,19 104:14 104:16,18,20,22 104:25,25 105:17 106:8 124:6,6,8 128:25 159:9 160:7 188:24 189:8	177.14 103.13 172 209:9 18 77:7,9 103:13 119:14 19 142:8 1933 70:1 1950s 114:10	26 181:5 3 3 5:23 30:9 88:16 209:2 3.15 169:25	78.6 180:22 7th 181:3 8 8 30:13 88:15,18 107:1 80.3 180:23	
younger 119:20 youth 20:3,15 27:15,15 86:9 96:12 97:8 201:18 youth-produced 119:9	1967 69:23 108:20 1970 114:14 1970s 114:17 1980s 114:17 1987 147:21 148:5 166:7	3.30 170:2 30 1:1 125:15 156:3 38 121:3 123:6 4 4 30:14 73:18 118:18 209:4,7	81.8 180:22 84,500 39:10 85,000 39:10 86 172:13 180:20 87 150:15 151:5 88 167:13 204:4	
Z Z 198:19 Zen 203:8	2 2 118:13 209:1 2.00 118:17 20 60:9 200 171:11	4.25 208:19 40 36:7 204:11 205:6 40-odd-year-old 55:8 42 156:3	205:2 	
1 1 92:18 208:24 1.00 118:15 10.00 1:2 100 128:24 11 82:18	2002 115:20 2004 70:2 2006 76:11 85:21 114:13 157:6 181:5 2008 16:23 107:14	42-point 156:2 43 12:6 179:25 47 17:7 59:3 49 145:11		
11.30 66:23 11.45 66:19,25 111 209:6	2008 16.23 107.14 2010 10:14 108:17 147:22 148:6 150:19 151:5	5 74:2 103:11 156:15 172:24 209:2,9		